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#### Abstract

In this paper, we find a sufficient and necessary condition for an important class of $(\alpha, \beta)$ - metrics in the form $F=\alpha \phi(\beta / \alpha)$ to be locally projectively flat, where $\phi=\phi(s)$ is a positive $C^{\infty}$ function satisfying certain conditions, characterized by a polynomial or a power series of $s, \alpha$ is a Riemannian metric and $\beta$ is a 1 -form.


## 1. Introduction

Hilbert's Fourth Problem in the regular case requires to study and characterize Finsler metrics $F=F(x, y)$ on an open domain $\mathbf{U} \subset R^{n}$ whose geodesics are straight lines [4]. Finsler metrics on $\mathbf{U}$ with this property are called projectively flat metrics. In [3], G. Hamel first found a simple system of partial differential equations that characterizes projectively flat Finsler metrics on an open domain $\mathbf{U} \subset R^{n}$. That is $F=F(x, y)$ on $\mathbf{U}$ is projectively flat if and only if the following PDE's hold:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{x^{m} y^{i}} y^{m}=F_{x^{i}} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is one of the important problems in Finsler geometry to characterize projectively flat metrics. According to Beltrami's Theorem, a Riemannian metric is projectively flat if and only if it is of constant sectional curvature[7], [8]. Further, it is known that a Randers metric $F=\alpha+\beta$ is projectively flat if and only if $\alpha$ is projectively flat and $\beta$ is closed [1], where $\alpha=\sqrt{a_{i j}(x) y^{i} y^{j}}$ is a Riemannian metric and $\beta=b_{i} y^{i}$ is a 1 -form with $b:=\left\|\beta_{x}\right\|<1$ for $x \in M$.
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In this paper, we are going to consider a class of Finsler metrics on a manifold $M$ which are expressed in the following form:

$$
F=\alpha \phi(s), \quad s=\frac{\beta}{\alpha}
$$

where $\phi=\phi(s)$ is a $C^{\infty}$ function on $\left(-b_{0}, b_{0}\right)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(0)=1, \quad \phi(s)>0, \quad \phi(s)-s \phi^{\prime}(s)+\left(b^{2}-s^{2}\right) \phi^{\prime \prime}(s)>0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s$ and $b$ are arbitrary numbers with $|s| \leq b<b_{0}$. It is known that $F=$ $\alpha \phi(s), s=\beta / \alpha$ is a Finsler metric if and only if the condition (2) holds. Finsler metrics in the above form are called $(\alpha, \beta)$-metrics. The class of $(\alpha, \beta)$-metrics contains all Riemannian metrics $(\phi=1)$ and all Randers metrics $(\phi=1+s)$. In the past several years, various curvatures in Finsler geometry have been studied and their geometric meaning is better understood. This is partially due to the study of $(\alpha, \beta)$-metrics. Thus, this motivates people to study $(\alpha, \beta)$-metrics more deeply.

From now on, we are going to consider a special class of $(\alpha, \beta)$-metrics $F=$ $\alpha \phi(s)$, where $\phi=\phi(s)$ is a function satisfying (2) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi-s \phi^{\prime}=\left(p+r s^{2}\right) \phi^{\prime \prime}(s), \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p, r$ are constants. Recently, Z. Shen has proved the following
Theorem 1.1. ([11]) Assume that $\phi=\phi(s)$ satisfies (2) and (3). Let $F=$ $\alpha \phi(\beta / \alpha)$ be an ( $\alpha, \beta$ )-metric on a manifold M. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{i \mid j}=2 \tau\left\{\left(p+b^{2}\right) a_{i j}+(r-1) b_{i} b_{j}\right\} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the spray coefficients $G_{\alpha}^{i}$ of $\alpha$ are of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{\alpha}^{i}=\xi y^{i}-\tau \alpha^{2} b^{i}, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b:=\sqrt{a^{i j} b_{i} b_{j}}, b_{i \mid j}$ denote the coefficients of the covariant derivative of $\beta$ with respect to $\alpha, \tau=\tau(x)$ is a scalar function and $\xi=\xi_{i}(x) y^{i}$ is a 1-form on $M$, then $F$ is locally projectively flat.

Unfortunately, we are not sure that the conditions (4) and (5) are necessary. A key step is to prove that $\beta$ is closed. However, some progress has been made for certain types of functions $\phi$ recently. In [12], Z. Shen and G. C. Yildirim first showed that $F=(\alpha+\beta)^{2} / \alpha$ is projectively flat if and only if the conditions
(4) and (5) hold with $p=1 / 2$ and $r=-1 / 2$. Then they proved that the $(\alpha, \beta)$ metrics $F=\alpha+\varepsilon \beta+k \beta^{2} / \alpha$ are projectively flat if and only if the conditions (4) and (5) hold with $p=1 /(2 k)$ and $r=-1 / 2$, where $\varepsilon$ and $k \neq 0$ are constants. Further, Y. Shen and L. Zhao have shown that $\phi=1+\varepsilon s+2 k s^{2}-\frac{k^{2}}{3} s^{4}$ satisfies (2) and (3) with $p=1 /(4 k)$ and $r=-1 / 4$, and the Finsler metrics in the form $F=\alpha \phi(\beta / \alpha)$ are projectively flat if and only if (4) and (5) hold [6]. In this paper we will show that, for a larger class of Finsler metrics $F=\alpha \phi(s)$ satisfying (2) and (3), they are projectively flat if and only if (4) and (5) hold.

We will firstly show that, if $p=0$ then the solutions of (3) will not satisfy (2). Hence, we always assume that $p \neq 0$ in this paper. In this case, the solutions of (3) are analytic near the origin and the power series of the solutions are of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(s)=C_{0}+C_{1} s+C_{2} s^{2}+C_{4} s^{4}+\cdots+C_{2 n} s^{2 n}+\cdots \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{0}$ and $C_{1}$ are arbitrary constants and the coefficients of $\phi$ satisfy

$$
C_{2 n+2}=\left(-\frac{1}{p}\right) \frac{(2 n-1)(2 n r+1)}{(2 n+2)(2 n+1)} C_{2 n} .
$$

By a simple analysis, we can see that $\phi(s)$ satisfies (2) and (3) if and only if $C_{0}=1$ and $b \in(0, \sqrt{|p|})$ is sufficiently small. In particular, if we take $r=-\frac{1}{2 k}$ and $k$ is a positive integer, then the $\phi(s)$ in (6) are polynomials of the following form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(s)=C_{0}+C_{1} s+C_{2} s^{2}+C_{4} s^{4}+\cdots+C_{2 k} s^{2 k} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, if we take $k=1$ and then $k=2$, then the $(\alpha, \beta)$-metrics $F=\alpha \phi(s)$, $s=\beta / \alpha$, given by (7) have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=\alpha+C_{1} \beta+\frac{1}{2 p} \frac{\beta^{2}}{\alpha} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=\alpha+C_{1} \beta+\frac{1}{2 p} \frac{\beta^{2}}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{48 p^{2}} \frac{\beta^{4}}{\alpha^{3}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively. These are just the $(\alpha, \beta)$-metrics discussed in [12] and [6] respectively.

By using the form (6) of $\phi$, we have the following main
Theorem 1.2. Assume that $\phi=\phi(s)$ is a function in the form (6) satisfying (2) and (3). If $C_{1} \neq 0$ and $r \neq 1$ or $C_{1}=0$ but $r=-1 /(2 k)$, where $k$ is any positive integer, then the $(\alpha, \beta)$-metric $F=\alpha \phi(\beta / \alpha)$ is projectively flat if and only if (4) and (5) hold.

According to the discussion above, Theorem 1.2 generalizes the results in [12] and [6].

## 2. The analysis of the solutions

In this section, we will study the second order linear ODE (3) by the power series method. If $p=0$, then equation (3) has explicit solutions as follows:

$$
\phi(s)= \begin{cases}C s & \text { if } r=0 \\ C s+\widetilde{C} s \ln s & \text { if } r=-1 \\ C s+\widetilde{C} s^{-\frac{1}{r}} & \text { if } r \neq 0,-1\end{cases}
$$

In this case, $\phi(0) \neq 1$. Now, the resulting $\phi(s)$ does not satisfy (2) and the $F=\alpha \phi(\beta / \alpha)$ defined by these $\phi(s)$ are not Finsler metrics. Therefore, we always assume that $p \neq 0$.

By a theorem on the power series method of ODE, we know that the solutions of (3) are analytic near the origin. Let the power series expressions of $\phi(s)$ be

$$
\phi(s)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_{n} s^{n}
$$

The first and the second order derivatives of $\phi(s)$ are

$$
\phi^{\prime}(s)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(n+1) C_{n+1} s^{n}, \quad \phi^{\prime \prime}(s)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(n+2)(n+1) C_{n+2} s^{n} .
$$

Plugging them into (3) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(2 p C_{2}-C_{0}\right)+6 p C_{3} s+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\{p(n & +4)(n+3) C_{n+4} \\
& \left.+(n+1)[r(n+2)+1] C_{n+2}\right\} s^{n+2}=0 \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

From (10) we know that the coefficients of $\phi(s)$ must satisfy

$$
\begin{gather*}
C_{2 n+1}=0, \quad n \geq 1  \tag{11}\\
C_{2 n+2}=\left(-\frac{1}{p}\right) \frac{(2 n-1)(2 n r+1)}{(2 n+2)(2 n+1)} C_{2 n}, \quad n \geq 0 . \tag{12}
\end{gather*}
$$

Hence the power series expression of $\phi(s)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(s)=C_{0}+C_{1} s+C_{2} s^{2}+C_{4} s^{4}+\cdots+C_{2 n} s^{2 n}+\cdots \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

We assert that the $F=\alpha \phi(\beta / \alpha)$ defined by $\phi(s)$ in the form (13) are Finsler metrics if and only if $C_{0}=1$ and $b \in(0, \sqrt{|p|})$ is sufficiently small. Because of $\phi(0)=C_{0}$ and (2), we know that $C_{0}=1$. Then $\phi(s)>0$ in a sufficiently small neighborhood of the origin. Note that

$$
\left[\phi(s)-s \phi^{\prime}(s)+\left(b^{2}-s^{2}\right) \phi^{\prime \prime}(s)\right]_{s=0}=\frac{p+b^{2}}{p}
$$

Thus, if $b \in(0, \sqrt{|p|})$ is sufficiently small and $|s| \leq b$, then

$$
\phi(s)-s \phi^{\prime}(s)+\left(b^{2}-s^{2}\right) \phi^{\prime \prime}(s)>0
$$

This proves our assertion. In this case,

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{2}=\frac{1}{2 p} \neq 0 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. $(\alpha, \beta)$-metrics

In this section, for a function $\phi=\phi(s)$ satisfying (2), we will recall some well-known properties of an $(\alpha, \beta)$-metric $F=\alpha \phi(\beta / \alpha)$. Let $b_{i \mid j} d x^{i} \otimes d x^{j}$ denote covariant derivatives of $\beta$ with respect to $\alpha$. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{i j} & :=\frac{1}{2}\left(b_{i \mid j}+b_{j \mid i}\right), & s_{i j} & :=\frac{1}{2}\left(b_{i \mid j}-b_{j \mid i}\right), \\
s_{j}^{i} & :=a^{i l} s_{l j}, & s_{j} & :=b^{i} s_{i j}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
r_{00}:=r_{i j} y^{i} y^{j}, \quad s_{0}:=s_{i} y^{i}, \quad s^{i}{ }_{0}:=s^{i}{ }_{j} y^{j}, \quad s_{l 0}:=s_{l j} y^{j} .
$$

Clearly, $\beta$ is closed if and only if $s_{i j}=0$.
The geodesic coefficients $G^{i}$ of $F=\alpha \phi(\beta / \alpha)$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{i}=G_{\alpha}^{i}+\alpha Q s^{i}{ }_{0}+H\left(-2 \alpha Q s_{0}+r_{00}\right)\left\{\chi \frac{y^{i}}{\alpha}+b^{i}\right\}, \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi & :=\frac{\left(\phi-s \phi^{\prime}\right) \phi^{\prime}}{\phi \phi^{\prime \prime}}-s, \\
Q & :=\frac{\phi^{\prime}}{\phi-s \phi^{\prime}}, \\
H & :=\frac{\phi^{\prime \prime}}{2\left[\left(\phi-s \phi^{\prime}\right)+\left(b^{2}-s^{2}\right) \phi^{\prime \prime}\right]} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The formula (15) is given in [2], [10], [11]. Further, by (1) and (15) we have the following

Lemma 3.1 ([12]). An $(\alpha, \beta)$-metric $F=\alpha \phi(\beta / \alpha)$ is projectively flat on an open domain $\mathbf{U} \subset R^{n}$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a_{m l} \alpha^{2}-y_{m} y_{l}\right) G_{\alpha}^{m}+\alpha^{3} Q s_{l 0}+H\left(-2 \alpha Q s_{0}+r_{00}\right)\left(b_{l} \alpha^{2}-\beta y_{l}\right)=0 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y_{l}:=a_{i l} y^{i}$.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section, we are going to prove Theorem 1.2 using Lemma 3.1.
From (3) and (15) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=\frac{\phi^{\prime}}{\left(p+r s^{2}\right) \phi^{\prime \prime}}, \quad H=\frac{1}{2\left[\left(p+b^{2}\right)+(r-1) s^{2}\right]} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

If (4) holds, then $\beta$ is closed and $r_{00}=2 \tau\left\{\left(p+b^{2}\right) \alpha^{2}+(r-1) \beta^{2}\right\}$. Hence (15) reduces to

$$
G^{i}=G_{\alpha}^{i}+\tau\left\{\chi \frac{y^{i}}{\alpha}+b^{i}\right\} \alpha^{2}
$$

In addition, if (5) holds, we may obtain

$$
G^{i}=(\xi+\tau \chi \alpha) y^{i} .
$$

Therefore $F$ is projectively flat.
Conversely, assume that $\phi=\phi(s)$ is a function satisfying (2) and (3) and the $(\alpha, \beta)$-metric $F=\alpha \phi(\beta / \alpha)$ is projectively flat.

If $\beta$ is closed, i.e. $s_{i j}=0,(16)$ reduces to

$$
\left(a_{m l} \alpha^{2}-y_{m} y_{l}\right) G_{\alpha}^{m}+\frac{\alpha^{2} r_{00}}{2\left[\left(p+b^{2}\right) \alpha^{2}+(r-1) \beta^{2}\right]}\left(b_{l} \alpha^{2}-\beta y_{l}\right)=0
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
2\left(a_{m l} \alpha^{2}-y_{m} y_{l}\right)\left[\left(p+b^{2}\right) \alpha^{2}+(r-1) \beta^{2}\right] G_{\alpha}^{m}=-\alpha^{2} r_{00}\left(b_{l} \alpha^{2}-\beta y_{l}\right) . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Contracting (18) with $b^{l}$, we get

$$
2\left[\left(p+b^{2}\right) \alpha^{2}+(r-1) \beta^{2}\right]\left(b_{m} \alpha^{2}-y_{m} \beta\right) G_{\alpha}^{m}=-\alpha^{2}\left(b^{2} \alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}\right) r_{00} .
$$

Note that the polynomial $\left(p+b^{2}\right) \alpha^{2}+(r-1) \beta^{2}$ is not divisible by $\alpha^{2}$ and $b^{2} \alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}$ as $r \neq 1$. Thus $\left(b_{m} \alpha^{2}-y_{m} \beta\right) G_{\alpha}^{m}$ is divisible by $\alpha^{2}\left(b^{2} \alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}\right)$. Therefore, there is a function $\tau=\tau(x)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{00}=2 \tau\left\{\left(p+b^{2}\right) \alpha^{2}+(r-1) \beta^{2}\right\} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that (19) is equivalent to (4) since $s_{i j}=0$. Now the formula (15) for $G^{i}$ can be simplified to

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{i}=G_{\alpha}^{i}+\tau \chi \alpha y^{i}+\tau \alpha^{2} b^{i} . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, it is well-known that $F$ is projectively flat if and only if there is a scalar function $P(x, y)$ satisfying $P(x, \lambda y)=\lambda P(x, y)$ for $\lambda>0$, such that $G^{i}=P y^{i}$. Thus, by (20), we have

$$
G_{\alpha}^{i}=(P-\tau \chi \alpha) y^{i}-\tau \alpha^{2} b^{i} .
$$

Because both of $G_{\alpha}^{i}$ and $\tau \alpha^{2} b^{i}$ are quadratic forms of $\left(y^{i}\right)$, we assert that $\xi:=$ $P-\tau \chi \alpha y^{i}$ must be a 1 -form and we get (5). Thus the key step of the proof is to prove that $\beta$ is closed.

It is easy to see by (17) that (16) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2\left[\left(p+b^{2}\right)+(r-1) s^{2}\right]\left(p+r s^{2}\right)\left(a_{m l} \alpha^{2}-y_{m} y_{l}\right) G_{\alpha}^{m} \phi^{\prime \prime} \\
& \quad+2\left[\left(p+b^{2}\right)+(r-1) s^{2}\right] \alpha^{3} s_{l 0} \phi^{\prime}-2 \alpha s_{0}\left(b_{l} \alpha^{2}-\beta y_{l}\right) \phi^{\prime} \\
& \quad+r_{00}\left(p+r s^{2}\right)\left(b_{l} \alpha^{2}-\beta y_{l}\right) \phi^{\prime \prime}=0 \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

Contracting (21) with $b^{l}$ yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2\left[\left(p+b^{2}\right)+(r-1) s^{2}\right]\left(p+r s^{2}\right)\left(b_{m} \alpha^{2}-y_{m} \beta\right) G_{\alpha}^{m} \phi^{\prime \prime} \\
& \quad+2\left[\left(p+b^{2}\right)+(r-1) s^{2}\right] \alpha^{3} s_{0} \phi^{\prime}-2 \alpha s_{0}\left(b^{2} \alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}\right) \phi^{\prime} \\
& \quad+r_{00}\left(p+r s^{2}\right)\left(b^{2} \alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}\right) \phi^{\prime \prime}=0 \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left\{2\left[\left(p+b^{2}\right)+(r-1) s^{2}\right]\left(b_{m} \alpha^{2}-y_{m} \beta\right) G_{\alpha}^{m}+r_{00}\left(b^{2} \alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}\right)\right\}\left(p+r s^{2}\right) \phi^{\prime \prime} \\
&+2 \alpha s_{0}\left(p \alpha^{2}+r \beta^{2}\right) \phi^{\prime}=0 . \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

Case 1: $\quad C_{1} \neq 0$ and $r \neq 1$. In this case, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi(s) & =1+C_{1} s+C_{2} s^{2}+C_{4} s^{4}+\cdots+C_{2 n} s^{2 n}+\cdots, \\
\phi^{\prime}(s) & =C_{1}+2 C_{2} s+4 C_{4} s^{3}+\cdots+2 n C_{2 n} s^{2 n-1}+\cdots, \\
\phi^{\prime \prime}(s) & =2 C_{2}+4 \cdot 3 C_{4} s^{2}+\cdots+2 n \cdot(2 n-1) C_{2 n} s^{2 n-2}+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

Let

$$
\psi(s)=2 C_{2}+4 C_{4} s^{2}+\cdots+2 n C_{2 n} s^{2 n-2}+\cdots
$$

Then $\phi^{\prime}(s)=C_{1}+s \psi(s)$ and (23) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{2\left[\left(p+b^{2}\right)+(r-1) s^{2}\right]\left(p+r s^{2}\right)\left(b_{m} \alpha^{2}-y_{m} \beta\right) G_{\alpha}^{m}\right. \\
& \left.\quad \quad+r_{00}\left(p+r s^{2}\right)\left(b^{2} \alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}\right)\right\} \phi^{\prime \prime}+2 \beta s_{0}\left(p \alpha^{2}+r \beta^{2}\right) \psi \\
& \quad+2 \alpha s_{0}\left(p \alpha^{2}+r \beta^{2}\right) C_{1}=0 \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that, when we change $y$ into $-y$ in (24), only $2 \alpha s_{0}\left(p \alpha^{2}+r \beta^{2}\right) C_{1}$ changes its sign. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \alpha s_{0}\left(p \alpha^{2}+r \beta^{2}\right) C_{1}=0 \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because of $p \neq 0$, we assert that $p \alpha^{2}+r \beta^{2} \neq 0$. Thus

$$
s_{0}=0
$$

Substituting it back into (21), by a similar discussion and by paying attention to $\phi^{\prime}(-s)=C_{1}-s \psi(s)$, we get $C_{1} s_{l 0}=0$. By assumption, we obtain

$$
s_{l 0}=0
$$

That is, $\beta$ is closed.
Case 2: $C_{1}=0$ but $r=-\frac{1}{2 k}$, where $k$ is a positive integer.
When $k=1$, the metrics are just those of the form (8). In [12], Z. Shen and G. C. Yidirim have proved that such metrics are projectively flat if and only if (4) and (5) hold. So, in the following, we will always assume that $k \geq 2$. In this case, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi(s) & =1+C_{2} s^{2}+C_{4} s^{4}+\cdots+C_{2 k} s^{2 k} \\
\phi^{\prime}(s) & =2 C_{2} s+4 C_{4} s^{3}+\cdots+2 k C_{2 k} s^{2 k-1} \\
\phi^{\prime \prime}(s) & =2 C_{2}+4 \cdot 3 C_{4} s^{2}+\cdots+2 k \cdot(2 k-1) C_{2 k} s^{2 k-2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let

$$
\psi(s)=2 C_{2}+4 C_{4} s^{2}+\cdots+2 k C_{2 k} s^{2 k-2}
$$

Then $\phi^{\prime}(s)=s \psi(s)$ and (23) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left\{2\left[\left(p+b^{2}\right)+(r-1) s^{2}\right]\left(b_{m} \alpha^{2}-y_{m} \beta\right) G_{\alpha}^{m}+r_{00}\left(b^{2} \alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}\right)\right\}\left(p+r s^{2}\right) \phi^{\prime \prime} \\
+2 \beta s_{0}\left(p \alpha^{2}+r \beta^{2}\right) \psi=0 . \tag{26}
\end{array}
$$

Multiplying (26) by $\alpha^{2 k+2}$ yields

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left\{2\left[\left(p+b^{2}\right) \alpha^{2}+(r-1) \beta^{2}\right]\left(b_{m} \alpha^{2}-y_{m} \beta\right) G_{\alpha}^{m}+r_{00} \alpha^{2}\left(b^{2} \alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}\right)\right\} \eta \\
&+2 \alpha^{4} \beta s_{0} \theta=0 \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \eta=2 C_{2} \alpha^{2 k-2}+4 \cdot 3 C_{4} \alpha^{2 k-4} \beta^{2}+\cdots+2 k \cdot(2 k-1) C_{2 k} \beta^{2 k-2}, \\
& \theta=2 C_{2} \alpha^{2 k-2}+4 C_{4} \alpha^{2 k-4} \beta^{2}+\cdots+2 k C_{2 k} \beta^{2 k-2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

When $k \geq 2$, it is clear that $\theta$ and $\eta$ are relatively prime polynomials of $\left(y^{i}\right)$. Hence $\alpha^{4} \beta s_{0}$ must be divisible by $\eta$. Because both of $\alpha^{2}$ and $\beta$ are irreducible polynomials of $\left(y^{i}\right), \alpha^{4} \beta$ and $\eta$ are obviously relatively prime polynomials of $\left(y^{i}\right)$. Then $s_{0}$ must be divisible by $\eta$, which implies that

$$
s_{0}=0
$$

Substituting this back into (21) yields that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\{2\left[\left(p+b^{2}\right)+(r-1) s^{2}\right]\left(a_{m l} \alpha^{2}-y_{m} y_{l}\right)\right. & \left.G_{\alpha}^{m}+r_{00}\left(b_{l} \alpha^{2}-\beta y_{l}\right)\right\}\left(p+r s^{2}\right) \phi^{\prime \prime} \\
& +2\left[\left(p+b^{2}\right)+(r-1) s^{2}\right] \alpha^{3} s_{l 0} \phi^{\prime}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left\{2\left[\left(p+b^{2}\right)+(r-1) s^{2}\right]\left(a_{m l} \alpha^{2}-y_{m} y_{l}\right) G_{\alpha}^{m}+r_{00}\left(b_{l} \alpha^{2}-\beta y_{l}\right)\right\}\left(p+r s^{2}\right) \phi^{\prime \prime} \\
+2\left[\left(p+b^{2}\right)+(r-1) s^{2}\right] \alpha^{2} \beta s_{l 0} \psi=0 \tag{28}
\end{array}
$$

Multiplying (28) by $\alpha^{2 k+2}$ yields

$$
\begin{align*}
\{2 & {\left[\left(p+b^{2}\right) \alpha^{2}+(r-1) \beta^{2}\right]\left(a_{m l} \alpha^{2}-y_{m} y_{l}\right) G_{\alpha}^{m} } \\
& \left.+r_{00} \alpha^{2}\left(b_{l} \alpha^{2}-\beta y_{l}\right)\right\}\left(p \alpha^{2}+r \beta^{2}\right) \eta \\
& +2\left[\left(p+b^{2}\right) \alpha^{2}+(r-1) \beta^{2}\right] \alpha^{4} \beta s_{l 0} \theta=0 . \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence $\left[\left(p+b^{2}\right) \alpha^{2}+(r-1) \beta^{2}\right] s_{l 0}$ must be divisible by $\eta$.
If $k=2$, we know from (12) and (14) that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\eta=\frac{1}{p^{2}}\left(p \alpha^{2}-\frac{1}{12} \beta^{2}\right) \\
\left(p+b^{2}\right) \alpha^{2}+(r-1) \beta^{2}=15\left[\left(\frac{p+b^{2}}{15}\right) \alpha^{2}-\frac{1}{12} \beta^{2}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

It is clear that $\left(p+b^{2}\right) \alpha^{2}+(r-1) \beta^{2}$ and $\eta$ are relatively prime polynomials of $\left(y^{i}\right)$. Hence $s_{l 0}$ must be divisible by $\eta$. This yields that $s_{l 0}=0$.

If $k \geq 3$, the degrees of $\left[\left(p+b^{2}\right) \alpha^{2}+(r-1) \beta^{2}\right] s_{l 0}$ and $\eta$ show that $s_{l 0}=0$.
Thus we may conclude that $\beta$ is closed when $k \geq 2$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

From Theorem 1.2 and (12), (14) and the discussion in section 2, we have the following

Corollary 4.1. Assume that

$$
\phi(s)=1+C_{1} s+\frac{1}{2 p} s^{2}+\cdots+C_{2 n} s^{2 n}+\cdots
$$

and $b \in(0, \sqrt{|p|})$ is sufficiently small, where

$$
C_{2 n+2}=(-1)^{n} \frac{(2 n-1)!!}{(2 n+2)!p^{n+1}} \prod_{i=1}^{n}(2 i r+1), \quad n \geq 1
$$

If $C_{1} \neq 0$ and $r \neq 1$ or $C_{1}=0$ but $r=-1 /(2 k)$ where $k$ is any positive integer, then the $(\alpha, \beta)$-metric $F=\alpha \phi(\beta / \alpha)$ is projectively flat if and only if (4) and (5) hold.
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