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Abstract. We prove a result improving regularity of solutions of equation

$$
\kappa x+(1-\kappa) y=\lambda \varphi^{-1}(\mu \varphi(x)+(1-\mu) \varphi(y))+(1-\lambda) \psi^{-1}(\nu \psi(x)+(1-\nu) \psi(y))
$$

and leading to generalizations of some theorems established by D. Głazowska, W. Jarczyk, and J. Matkowski and by Z. Daróczy and Zs. Páles.

Given an interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$, a continuous strictly monotonic function $\varphi: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and a real $\mu \in(0,1)$ we denote by $A_{\mu}^{\varphi}$ the quasi-arithmetic mean generated by $\varphi$ and weighted by $\mu$ :

$$
A_{\mu}^{\varphi}(x, y)=\varphi^{-1}(\mu \varphi(x)+(1-\mu) \varphi(y))
$$

In paper [5] D. G乇azowska, W. Jarczyk, and J. Matkowski found all the quasi-arithmetic means $A_{1 / 2}^{\varphi}$ and $A_{1 / 2}^{\psi}$ such that the classical arithmetic mean $A$ is an affine combination of them:

$$
A=\lambda A_{1 / 2}^{\varphi}+(1-\lambda) A_{1 / 2}^{\psi}
$$

assuming that the generators $\varphi, \psi$ are twice continuously differentiable. In other words, they determined all functions $\varphi, \psi: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of class $\mathcal{C}^{2}$ satisfying the
functional equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{x+y}{2}=\lambda \varphi^{-1}\left(\frac{\varphi(x)+\varphi(y)}{2}\right)+(1-\lambda) \psi^{-1}\left(\frac{\psi(x)+\psi(y)}{2}\right) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The result of [5] was generalized by Z. Daróczy and Zs. Páces [3, Theorem 6], where the equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mu x+(1-\mu) y \\
& \quad=\lambda \varphi^{-1}(\mu \varphi(x)+(1-\mu) \varphi(y))+(1-\lambda) \psi^{-1}(\mu \psi(x)+(1-\mu) \psi(y)) \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

with given $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0,1\}$ and $\mu \in(0,1)$ was solved in the class $\mathcal{C}^{1}$.
In the present paper we prove the theorem below which allows to generalize the results of both papers [5] and [3]. It shows that continuous functions satisfying the equation

$$
\begin{align*}
\kappa x+ & (1-\kappa) y \\
& =\lambda \varphi^{-1}(\mu \varphi(x)+(1-\mu) \varphi(y))+(1-\lambda) \psi^{-1}(\nu \psi(x)+(1-\nu) \psi(y)), \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

extending both of (1) and (2), are locally of much higher regularity. The Theorem provides a positive answer to a question posed recently by Z. Daróczy [1]. Results improving regularity of solutions of functional equations have a vast literature (cf. book [6] by A. JÁRAI and the bibliography therein). Some of them will be used below.

The main result of this paper is the following regularity theorem concerning functional equation (3).

Theorem. Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a non-trivial interval, $\kappa, \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0,1\}$ and let $\mu, \nu \in$ $(0,1)$. If $\varphi, \psi: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous strictly monotonic functions and the pair $(\varphi, \psi)$ satisfies equation (3), then there exists a non-trivial interval $I_{0} \subset I$ such that $\left.\varphi\right|_{I_{0}},\left.\psi\right|_{I_{0}}$ are infinitely many times differentiable and $\varphi^{\prime}(x) \neq 0, \psi^{\prime}(x) \neq 0$ for every $x \in I_{0}$.

In the proof we shall apply a modification of the method presented in [7]. In particular, we need the following result obtained by Zs. PÁles (see [9, Corollary 6 and Example 2 ]), as well as Lemma 2 which was proved in [7]. The latter is also a consequence of L. Székelyhidi's results [10] (see also [2], [8]).

Lemma 1. Let $J \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an open interval, $c \in(0, \infty), \mu \in(0,1)$, and let $f: J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a strictly increasing function such that

$$
J \ni s \mapsto f(s)-c f(\mu s+(1-\mu) t)
$$

is strictly monotonic for every $t \in J$. Then for every $s_{0} \in J$ there exist numbers $\delta \in(0, \infty)$ and $K, L \in(0, \infty)$ such that $\left(s_{0}-\delta, s_{0}+\delta\right) \subset J$ and

$$
K \leq \frac{f(s)-f(t)}{s-t} \leq L
$$

for every $s, t \in\left(s_{0}-\delta, s_{0}+\delta\right), s \neq t$.
Lemma 2. Let $J \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an interval and let $\mu \in(0,1)$, $\vartheta \in \mathbb{R}$. If $f: J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\mu s+(1-\mu) t)=\vartheta f(s)+(1-\vartheta) f(t) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $s, t \in J$, then there exist an additive function $a: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and a real $b$ such that

$$
f(s)=a(s)+b, \quad s \in J
$$

At first we prove the following fact.
Lemma 3. Let $J \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an open interval, $\kappa, \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0,1\}, \mu, \nu \in(0,1)$, and let $f, g: J \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ satisfy the equation

$$
\begin{align*}
f(\mu s+(1-\mu) t)[\kappa(1-\nu) g(t)- & (1-\kappa) \nu g(s)] \\
& =\lambda \mu(1-\nu) f(s) g(t)-\lambda(1-\mu) \nu f(t) g(s) \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

If $f$ is Lebesgue measurable and $g$ is of the first Baire class, then $f$ and $g$ are infinitely many times differentiable on a non-trivial subinterval of $J$.

Proof. Putting $s=t$ in (5) it is easy to observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa=\lambda \mu+(1-\lambda) \nu \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

At first assume that $f$ is constant on a non-trivial subinterval of $J$. Then, by equation (5), we have

$$
[(1-\kappa)-\lambda(1-\mu)] \nu g(s)=[\kappa-\lambda \mu](1-\nu) g(t)
$$

for $s, t$ from the same subinterval. Hence, by (6), also $g$ is constant there.
Now assume that $g$ is constant on a non-trivial interval $J_{0} \subset J$. Then, by (5), we have

$$
\lambda \mu(1-\nu) f(s)-\lambda(1-\mu) \nu f(t)=[\kappa(1-\nu)-(1-\kappa) \nu] f(\mu s+(1-\mu) t)
$$

for all $s, t \in J_{0}$. Using (6) we can rewrite the above condition as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(1-\nu) f(s)-(1-\mu) \nu f(t)=(\mu-\nu) f(\mu s+(1-\mu) t), \quad s, t \in J_{0} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\mu=\nu$ then, by (7), $f$ is constant on $J_{0}$. Now we assume that $\mu \neq \nu$. Then (7) is equivalent to the condition

$$
f(\mu s+(1-\mu) t)=\frac{\mu(1-\nu)}{\mu-\nu} f(s)-\frac{(1-\mu) \nu}{\mu-\nu} f(t), \quad s, t \in J_{0}
$$

Let $\vartheta:=\frac{\mu(1-\nu)}{\mu-\nu}$. Then

$$
f(\mu s+(1-\mu) t)=\vartheta f(s)+(1-\vartheta) f(t), \quad s, t \in J_{0}
$$

Applying Lemma 2 we obtain that there exist additive function $a: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and number $b \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
f(s)=a(s)+b, \quad s \in J_{0}
$$

Thus, as $f$ is Lebesgue measurable, it is continuous.
From that place we assume that neither $f$, nor $g$ is constant on a non-trivial subinterval of $J$. Let

$$
C(g):=\{v \in J: g \text { is continuous at } v\} .
$$

As $g$ is of the first Baire class, $C(g)$ is a dense $G_{\delta}$ subset of $J$. We show that there exist $s_{0}, t_{0} \in C(g), s_{0} \neq t_{0}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-\kappa) \nu g\left(s_{0}\right) \neq \kappa(1-\nu) g\left(t_{0}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose on the contrary that

$$
(1-\kappa) \nu g(s)=\kappa(1-\nu) g(t)
$$

for all different $s, t \in C(g)$. Then $g$ is constant on $C(g)$, i.e. there exists a positive $k$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(t)=k, \quad t \in C(g) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore $(1-\kappa) \nu=\kappa(1-\nu)$, whence $\kappa=\nu$ and, by $(6), \mu=\nu$. Now equation (5) can be rewritten in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\mu s+(1-\mu) t)[g(t)-g(s)]=\lambda[f(s) g(t)-f(t) g(s)] \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, by (9),

$$
\lambda k(f(s)-f(t))=0, \quad s, t \in C(g)
$$

whence $f$ is constant on $C(g)$, i.e. there exists a positive $l$ such that $f(t)=l$ for every $t \in C(g)$.

If there existed an $s_{0} \in J$ such that $\mu s_{0}+(1-\mu) t \in J \backslash C(g)$ for every $t \in C(g)$, then $C(g)$ would be homeomorphic with a subset of $J \backslash C(g)$. This, however, is impossible, as $C(g)$ is a dense $G_{\delta}$ subset of $J$ and, consequently, $J \backslash C(g)$ is of the first Baire category. Therefore, for every $s \in J$ there exists a $t \in C(g)$ such that $\mu s+(1-\mu) t \in C(g)$. Now, if $s \in J$ and $t \in C(g)$ are such that $\mu s+(1-\mu) t \in C(g)$, then, by (10), we have

$$
l[k-g(s)]=\lambda[k f(s)-l g(s)] .
$$

Hence

$$
f(s)=\frac{k l-l(1-\lambda) g(s)}{k \lambda}, \quad s \in J .
$$

Using again (10) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{k l-l(1-\lambda) g(\mu s+(1-\mu) t)}{k \lambda}[g(t)-g(s)] \\
& \quad=\lambda\left(\frac{k l-l(1-\lambda) g(s)}{k \lambda} g(t)-\frac{k l-l(1-\lambda) g(t)}{k \lambda} g(s)\right), \quad s, t \in J,
\end{aligned}
$$

which, after some calculations, yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
[g(t)-g(s)][k-g(\mu s+(1-\mu) t)]=0, \quad s, t \in J . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $g$ is not constant on $J$, there exists a $v_{0} \in J$ such that $m:=g\left(v_{0}\right) \neq k$. Take arbitrary $v \in J$ and $\varepsilon>0$ with $(v-\varepsilon, v+\varepsilon) \subset J$. As $g$ is not constant on intervals, there exists an $s \in(v-\varepsilon, v+\varepsilon)$ such that

$$
g\left(\mu s+(1-\mu) v_{0}\right) \neq k .
$$

By (11) we have $g(s)=g\left(v_{0}\right)=m$. Therefore, in every neighbourhood of $v$ there exists an $s$ with $g(s)=m$ and, since $C(g)$ is dense in $J$, a point $u$ such that $g(u)=k \neq m$. Thus $g$ is not continuous at $v$ and, consequently, $C(g)=\emptyset$, which is impossible. This proves the existence of different $s_{0}, t_{0} \in C(g)$ satisfying (8).

According to (8) there exist open intervals $U, V$ containing $s_{0}, t_{0}$, respectively, and such that for every $s \in U$ and $t \in V$ we have $(1-\kappa) \nu g(s) \neq \kappa(1-\nu) g(t)$. Making use of (5) we obtain

$$
f(\mu s+(1-\mu) t)=\frac{\lambda \mu(1-\nu) f(s) g(t)-\lambda(1-\mu) \nu f(t) g(s)}{\kappa(1-\nu) g(t)-(1-\kappa) \nu g(s)}, \quad s \in U, t \in V .
$$

Now we are going to apply [6, Th. 8.6] by A. JÁRAI. To this aim put $n=4$, $T:=J, Z=Z_{1}=\cdots=Z_{4}=Y:=\mathbb{R}, X_{1}=X_{3}=A_{1}=A_{3}:=U$ and $X_{2}=X_{4}=A_{2}=A_{4}:=V$. Fix an $\eta>0$ with $\left(t_{0}-\eta, t_{0}+\eta\right) \subset V$ and define

$$
\begin{aligned}
D:=\{ & (v, y) \subset J \times U:\left|v-\left(\mu s_{0}+(1-\mu) t_{0}\right)\right|<\frac{\eta}{2}(1-\mu) \\
& \left.\quad \text { and }\left|y-s_{0}\right|<\frac{\eta}{2}\left(\frac{1}{\mu}-1\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
W:=\left\{\left(v, y, z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}, z_{4}\right) \in D \times \mathbb{R}^{4}: \kappa(1-\nu) z_{4} \neq(1-\kappa) \nu z_{3}\right\}
$$

Put also $f:=f, f_{1}:=\left.f\right|_{U}, f_{2}:=\left.f\right|_{V}, f_{3}:=\left.g\right|_{U}, f_{4}:=\left.g\right|_{V}$ and define $g_{1}, g_{3}:$ $D \rightarrow U, g_{2}, g_{4}: D \rightarrow V$ by

$$
g_{1}(v, y)=g_{3}(v, y)=y, \quad g_{2}(v, y)=g_{4}(v, y)=\frac{v-\mu y}{1-\mu}
$$

and $h: W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
h\left(v, y, z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}, z_{4}\right)=\frac{\lambda \mu(1-\nu) z_{1} z_{4}-\lambda \nu(1-\mu) z_{2} z_{3}}{\kappa(1-\nu) z_{4}-\nu(1-\kappa) z_{3}} .
$$

Put $K:=\left[s_{0}-\delta, s_{0}+\delta\right]$, where $0<\delta<\eta\left(\frac{1}{\mu}-1\right)$ and $\left[s_{0}-\delta, s_{0}+\delta\right] \subset U$. Making use of [6, Theorem 8.6], applied to the Lebesgue measure, we infer that $f$ is continuous on the interval

$$
J_{f}:=\left\{v \in J:\left|v-\left(\mu s_{0}+(1-\mu) t_{0}\right)\right|<\frac{\eta}{2}(1-\mu)\right\} .
$$

Fix an $s^{*} \in J_{f}$. Since $f$ is not constant on intervals, there is a $t^{*} \in J_{f}$ such that $f\left(\mu s^{*}+(1-\mu) t^{*}\right) \neq \frac{\lambda \mu}{\kappa} f\left(s^{*}\right)$. By the continuity of $f$ at $t^{*}$ we have $f\left(\mu s^{*}+(1-\mu) t\right) \neq \frac{\lambda \mu}{\kappa} f\left(s^{*}\right)$ for $t$ 's from a non-trivial interval $J_{g} \subset J_{f}$. Then, by (5),

$$
g(t)=\frac{\nu}{1-\nu} \cdot \frac{(1-\kappa) f\left(\mu s^{*}+(1-\mu) t\right)-\lambda(1-\mu) f(t)}{\kappa f\left(\mu s^{*}+(1-\mu) t\right)-\lambda \mu f\left(s^{*}\right)} g\left(s^{*}\right), \quad t \in J_{g}
$$

and, consequently, $g$ is continuous on $J_{g}$.
Now we show that $f$ is almost everywhere (with respect to the Lebesgue measure) differentiable on some non-trivial subinterval of $J_{g}$ provided $\mu \neq \nu$. In that case equation (5) can be rewritten in the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nu g(s)[(1-\kappa) f(\mu s+(1-\mu) t)-\lambda & (1-\mu) f(t)] \\
& =(1-\nu) g(t)[\kappa f(\mu s+(1-\mu) t)-\lambda \mu f(s)]
\end{aligned}
$$

Interchanging $s$ by $t$ here we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nu g(t)[(1-\kappa) f(\mu t+(1-\mu) s)-\lambda & (1-\mu) f(s)] \\
& =(1-\nu) g(s)[\kappa f(\mu t+(1-\mu) s)-\lambda \mu f(t)]
\end{aligned}
$$

for every $s, t \in J$. Multiplying these equalities by sides we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (1-\nu)^{2} g(s) g(t)[\kappa f(\mu s+(1-\mu) t)-\lambda \mu f(s)][\kappa f(\mu t+(1-\mu) s)-\lambda \mu f(t)] \\
& \left.\quad=\nu^{2} g(s) g(t)[(1-\kappa) f(\mu t+(1-\mu) s)-\lambda(1-\mu) f(s))\right] \\
& \quad \cdot[(1-\kappa) f(\mu s+(1-\mu) t)-\lambda(1-\mu) f(t)],
\end{aligned}
$$

whence, dividing it by positive $g(s) g(t)$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& (1-\nu)^{2}[\kappa f(\mu s+(1-\mu) t)-\lambda \mu f(s)][\kappa f(\mu t+(1-\mu) s)-\lambda \mu f(t)] \\
& \left.\quad=\nu^{2}[(1-\kappa) f(\mu t+(1-\mu) s)-\lambda(1-\mu) f(s))\right] \\
& \quad \cdot[(1-\kappa) f(\mu s+(1-\mu) t)-\lambda(1-\mu) f(t)] \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

for every $s, t \in J$. Put

$$
\begin{aligned}
k(s, t):= & \lambda(1-\mu) \nu^{2}[(1-\kappa) f(\mu s+(1-\mu) t)-\lambda(1-\mu) f(t)] \\
& -\lambda \mu(1-\nu)^{2}[\kappa f(\mu t+(1-\mu) s)-\lambda \mu f(t)]
\end{aligned}
$$

for every $s, t \in J$. Fix an $s_{0} \in J_{g}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
k\left(s_{0}, s_{0}\right)=\lambda & (1-\mu) \nu^{2}\left[(1-\kappa) f\left(s_{0}\right)-\lambda(1-\mu) f\left(s_{0}\right)\right] \\
& -\lambda \mu(1-\nu)^{2}\left[\kappa f\left(s_{0}\right)-\lambda \mu f\left(s_{0}\right)\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

which, after using (6) and making some calculations, gives

$$
k\left(s_{0}, s_{0}\right)=\lambda(1-\lambda) \nu(1-\nu)(\nu-\mu) f\left(s_{0}\right) .
$$

Since $f\left(s_{0}\right)>0, \mu \neq 1, \nu \neq 1$, and $\mu \neq \nu$, we have $k\left(s_{0}, s_{0}\right) \neq 0$. Thus there exists an $\varepsilon>0$ such that $\left(s_{0}-\varepsilon, s_{0}+\varepsilon\right) \subset J_{g}$ and $k(s, t) \neq 0$ for all $s, t \in\left(s_{0}-\varepsilon, s_{0}+\varepsilon\right)$. Let $J_{0}:=\left(s_{0}-\varepsilon, s_{0}+\varepsilon\right)$. By (12) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(s)= & \frac{(1-\kappa) \nu^{2} f(\mu t+(1-\mu) s)[(1-\kappa) f(\mu s+(1-\mu) t)-\lambda(1-\mu) f(t)]}{k(s, t)} \\
& -\frac{\kappa(1-\nu)^{2} f(\mu s+(1-\mu) t)[\kappa f(\mu t+(1-\mu) s)-\lambda \mu f(t)]}{k(s, t)}
\end{aligned}
$$

for every $s, t \in J_{0}$.
Put $s=k=1, n=3, Z:=\mathbb{R}, T:=J_{0}, Y:=\mathbb{R}, D:=J_{0}{ }^{2}, C:=$ $\left[s_{0}-\vartheta \varepsilon, s_{0}+\vartheta \varepsilon\right]$ with $\vartheta:=\max \{\mu, 1-\mu\}, W:=D \times G$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
G:=\{ & \left(w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}:(1-\mu) \nu^{2}\left[(1-\kappa) w_{2}-\lambda(1-\mu) w_{1}\right] \\
& \left.\neq \mu(1-\nu)^{2}\left[\kappa w_{3}-\lambda \mu w_{1}\right]\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Define $f:=\left.f\right|_{J_{0}}, g_{1}, g_{2}, g_{3}: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{1}(s, t)=t, \quad g_{2}(s, t)=\mu s+(1-\mu) t, \quad g_{3}(s, t)=\mu t+(1-\mu) s \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $h: W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
& h\left(s, t, w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}\right):= \\
& \qquad \frac{(1-\kappa) \nu^{2} w_{3}\left[(1-\kappa) w_{2}-\lambda(1-\mu) w_{1}\right]-\kappa(1-\nu)^{2} w_{2}\left[\kappa w_{3}-\lambda \mu w_{1}\right]}{\lambda(1-\mu) \nu^{2}\left[(1-\kappa) w_{2}-\lambda(1-\mu) w_{1}\right]-\lambda \mu(1-\nu)^{2}\left[\kappa w_{3}-\lambda \mu w_{1}\right]} . \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, according to [6, Th. 11.6] by A. Járai, $f$ is locally Lipschitzian on $J_{0}$, and thus, on account of [4, Th. 3.1.9] it is almost everywhere differentiable on $J_{0}$.

Now take any positive integer $p$. We prove that $f$ and $g$ are $p$ times continuously differentiable on a non-trivial subinterval of $J_{0}$. At first assume that $\mu \neq \nu$. Then, as $k\left(s_{o}, s_{0}\right) \neq 0$, we have $\left(f\left(s_{0}\right), f\left(s_{0}\right), f\left(s_{0}\right)\right) \in G$. Since $G$ is open, there is an open interval $P$ such that $f\left(s_{0}\right) \in P$ and $P^{3} \subset G$. Using the continuity of $f$ we find such an open interval $J_{1}$ that $s_{0} \in J_{1} \subset J_{0}$ and $f\left(J_{1}\right) \subset P$. Now let $s=k=1, n=3, Z:=\mathbb{R}, Z_{1}=Z_{2}=Z_{3}:=P, Y=T=X_{1}=X_{2}=X_{3}:=J_{1}$, $D:=J_{1}{ }^{2}$, and take $r_{1}=r_{2}=r_{3}=1$. Define $f=f_{1}=f_{2}=f_{3}:=\left.f\right|_{J_{1}}$, $g_{1}, g_{2}, g_{3}: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by (12) and $h: D \times Z_{1} \times Z_{2} \times Z_{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by (14). According to [6, Th. 14.2] $f$ is continuously differentiable on $J_{1}$. Now, using [6, Th. 15.2] $p-1$ times, we get by induction that $f$ is $p$ times continuously differentiable on $J_{1}$. As $J_{1}$ does not depend on $p$, this means that $f$ is infinitely many times differentiable on $J_{1}$. It follows from (5) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\kappa(1-\nu) f\left(\mu s_{0}+(1-\mu) t\right)-\lambda \mu(1-\nu) f\left(s_{0}\right)\right] g(t)} \\
& \quad=\left[(1-\kappa) \nu f\left(\mu s_{0}+(1-\mu) t\right)-\lambda(1-\mu) \nu f(t)\right] g\left(s_{0}\right), \quad t \in J_{1} . \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

As $f$ is not constant on non-trivial intervals we can find a $t \in J_{1}$ such that

$$
\kappa(1-\nu) f\left(\mu s_{0}+(1-\mu) t\right)-\lambda \mu(1-\nu) f\left(s_{0}\right) \neq 0
$$

By the continuity of $f$ this is true for $t$ 's running through a subinterval of $J_{1}$. Consequently, we can calculate $g(t)$ by (15) on that subinterval. Clearly, $g$ is infitely many times differentiable there.

If $\mu=\nu$ then, by (6), we have $\kappa=\mu$, and thus equation (5) takes the form

$$
f(\mu s+(1-\mu) t)[g(t)-g(s)]=\lambda[f(s) g(t)-f(t) g(s)]
$$

Now it is enough to use [3, Th. 5 and 2].
The following fact seems to be of interest on its own.
Lemma 4. Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an open interval, $\mu \in(0,1)$, and let $\varphi: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous strictly monotonic function. Assume that the mean $A_{\mu}^{\varphi}$ is differentiable with respect to one of the variables. Then $\varphi$ is differentiable on a non-trivial interval and $\varphi^{\prime}$ does not vanish wherever it exists. If, in addition, the partial derivative of $A_{\mu}^{\varphi}$ is continuous in the other variable on a non-trivial interval, then $\varphi$ is continuously differentiable on a non-trivial interval.

Proof. Assume, for instance, that $A_{\mu}^{\varphi}$ is differentiable with respect to the first variable.

Since $\varphi^{-1}$ is strictly monotonic, it is differentiable almost everywhere with respect to the Lebesgue measure. Fix any point $u_{0} \in \varphi(I)$ of the differentiability of $\varphi^{-1}$. We prove that $\varphi^{-1}$ is differentiable in the open interval $\mu u_{0}+(1-\mu) \varphi(I)$ and the derivative of $\varphi^{-1}$ does not vanish wherever it exists.

Take any point $v \in \varphi(I)$ and then any $u \in \varphi(I) \backslash\left\{u_{0}\right\}$ such that $\mu u+(1-\mu) v \in$ $\mu u_{0}+(1-\mu) \varphi(I)$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\varphi^{-1}(\mu u+(1-\mu) v)-\varphi^{-1}\left(\mu u_{0}+(1-\mu) v\right)}{(\mu u+(1-\mu) v)-\left(\mu u_{0}+(1-\mu) v\right)} \\
& \quad=\frac{A_{\mu}^{\varphi}\left(\varphi^{-1}(u), \varphi^{-1}(v)\right)-A_{\mu}^{\varphi}\left(\varphi^{-1}\left(u_{0}\right), \varphi^{-1}(v)\right)}{\mu\left(u-u_{0}\right)} \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{\mu} \cdot \frac{A_{\mu}^{\varphi}\left(\varphi^{-1}(u), \varphi^{-1}(v)\right)-A_{\mu}^{\varphi}\left(\varphi^{-1}\left(u_{0}\right), \varphi^{-1}(v)\right)}{\varphi^{-1}(u)-\varphi^{-1}\left(u_{0}\right)} \cdot \frac{\varphi^{-1}(u)-\varphi^{-1}\left(u_{0}\right)}{u-u_{0}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now letting $u$ tend to $u_{0}$ we see that $\varphi^{-1}$ is differentiable at $\mu u_{0}+(1-\mu) v$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\varphi^{-1}\right)^{\prime}\left(\mu u_{0}+(1-\mu) v\right)=\frac{1}{\mu} \partial_{1} A_{\mu}^{\varphi}\left(\varphi^{-1}\left(u_{0}\right), \varphi^{-1}(v)\right) \cdot\left(\varphi^{-1}\right)^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $v \in \varphi(I)$. If $\left(\varphi^{-1}\right)^{\prime}$ vanished anywhere, then, by (16), it would be zero on a non-trivial interval, which is impossible as $\varphi^{-1}$ is one-to-one. The desired properties of the function $\varphi$ follows directly from what we have just proved about $\varphi^{-1}$.

The additional assertion is a direct consequence of formula (16).

Proof of the Theorem. Replacing $I$ with its interior we may assume that $I$ is open. Without loss of generality we may also confine ourselves to the case of strictly increasing $\varphi$ and $\psi$. Moreover, replacing, if necessary, $\kappa$ with $1-\kappa$ (consequently, $\mu$ with $1-\mu$ and $\nu$ with $1-\nu$ ) and by interchanging $x$ and $y$, we may assume that $\kappa$ is positive. Of course, at least one of the numbers $\lambda$ and $1-\lambda$ is positive. Assume, for instance, the first case. Let $J:=\varphi(I)$. Clearly, $J$ is an open interval.

At first we show that $\varphi$ and $\varphi^{-1}$ are locally Lipschitzian and their derivatives do not vanish wherever they exist. Putting $s=\varphi(x)$ and $t=\varphi(y)$ in (3) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
(1-\lambda) \psi^{-1}\left(\nu \psi\left(\varphi^{-1}(s)\right)+\right. & \left.(1-\nu) \psi\left(\varphi^{-1}(t)\right)\right) \\
& =\kappa \varphi^{-1}(s)+(1-\kappa) \varphi^{-1}(t)-\lambda \varphi^{-1}(\mu s+(1-\mu) t)
\end{aligned}
$$

for every $s, t \in J$. Since the left-hand side is strictly monotonic as a function of $s$, so does the right-hand side. Hence

$$
J \ni s \mapsto \varphi^{-1}(s)-\frac{\lambda}{\kappa} \varphi^{-1}(\mu s+(1-\mu) t)
$$

is strictly monotonic for every $t \in J$. For every $v_{0} \in J$, by Lemma 1 , we can find $\delta \in(0, \infty)$ and $K, L \in(0, \infty)$ such that $\left(v_{0}-\delta, v_{0}+\delta\right) \subset J$ and

$$
K \leq \frac{\varphi^{-1}(u)-\varphi^{-1}(v)}{u-v} \leq L, \quad u, v \in\left(v_{0}-\delta, v_{0}+\delta\right), u \neq v
$$

Then also for every $x_{0} \in I$ there exist $\delta>0$ and $K, L>0$ such that

$$
\frac{1}{L} \leq \frac{\varphi(x)-\varphi(y)}{x-y} \leq \frac{1}{K}, \quad x, y \in\left(x_{0}-\delta, x_{0}+\delta\right), x \neq y
$$

In particular, it follows that if the function $\varphi$ is differentiable at a point $x_{0} \in I$, then $\varphi^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right) \neq 0$ and if the function $\varphi^{-1}$ is differentiable at $v_{0} \in \varphi(I)$, then $\left(\varphi^{-1}\right)^{\prime}\left(v_{0}\right) \neq 0$.

Now we show that $\varphi$ is differentiable on $I$. For every $v \in J$ put

$$
U(v)=\frac{1}{1-\mu}(J-v) \cap \frac{1}{\mu}(v-J)
$$

observe that $U(v)$ is an open interval containing 0 . Given any $v \in J$ and $u \in U(v)$ define also

$$
V(u)=(J-(1-\mu) u) \cap(J+\mu u)
$$

clearly $V(u)$ is an open interval and $v \in V(u)$. Putting $x=\varphi^{-1}(v+(1-\mu) u)$ and $y=\varphi^{-1}(v-\mu u)$ in (3) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda \varphi^{-1}(v)= & \kappa \varphi^{-1}(v+(1-\mu) u)+(1-\kappa) \varphi^{-1}(v-\mu u) \\
& -(1-\lambda) \psi^{-1}\left(\nu \psi\left(\varphi^{-1}(v+(1-\mu) u)\right)+(1-\nu) \psi\left(\varphi^{-1}(v-\mu u)\right)\right) \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

for every $v \in J$ and $u \in U(v)$.
Take any $v_{0} \in J$ and define functions $f_{1}, f_{2}: U\left(v_{0}\right) \rightarrow I$ by

$$
f_{1}(u)=\varphi^{-1}\left(v_{0}+(1-\mu) u\right), \quad f_{2}(u)=\varphi^{-1}\left(v_{0}-\mu u\right)
$$

For $i=1,2$ put

$$
N_{i}=\left\{u \in U\left(v_{0}\right): f_{i} \text { is not differentiable at } u\right\} .
$$

By the monotonicity of $f_{1}, f_{2}$ the sets $N_{1}, N_{2}$ are of Lebesgue measure 0 and, consequently, so is their union $N$. Since $\varphi$ and $\varphi^{-1}$ are locally Lipschitzian, also the function $A_{\mu}^{\varphi}$ has that property, and thus, by Rademacher's theorem [4, Theorem 3.1.9], $A_{\mu}^{\varphi}$ is almost everywhere differentiable on $I^{2}$. In particular, the set
$C=\left\{(x, y) \in I^{2}: A_{\mu}^{\varphi}(\cdot, y)\right.$ is differentiable at $x$ and $A_{\mu}^{\varphi}(x, \cdot)$ is differentiable at $\left.y\right\}$ is of full Lebesgue measure in $I^{2}$. As $\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)\left(U\left(v_{0}\right)\right)$ is the product of two open intervals and the functions $f_{1}, f_{2}$ are locally Lipschitzian, the set $\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)^{-1}(C)$ has a positive measure; otherwise $C \cap\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)\left(U\left(v_{0}\right)\right)=\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)\left[\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)^{-1}(C)\right]$ would be of measure zero. Hence it follows that the set $\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)^{-1}(C) \backslash N$ is non-empty. Take any $u_{0} \in\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)^{-1}(C) \backslash N$. Then $f_{1}, f_{2}$ are differentiable at $u_{0}$ and the functions $A_{\mu}^{\varphi}\left(\cdot, f_{2}\left(u_{0}\right)\right)$ and $A_{\mu}^{\varphi}\left(f_{1}\left(u_{0}\right), \cdot\right)$ are differentiable at $f_{1}\left(u_{0}\right)$ and $f_{2}\left(u_{0}\right)$, respectively.

Now define functions $g_{1}, g_{2}: V\left(u_{0}\right) \rightarrow I$ by

$$
g_{1}(v)=\varphi^{-1}\left(v+(1-\mu) u_{0}\right), \quad g_{2}(v)=\varphi^{-1}\left(v-\mu u_{0}\right) .
$$

Observe that $g_{1}\left(v_{0}\right)=f_{1}\left(u_{0}\right)$ and $g_{2}\left(v_{0}\right)=f_{2}\left(u_{0}\right)$. Therefore the functions $A_{\mu}^{\varphi}\left(\cdot, g_{2}\left(v_{0}\right)\right)$ and $A_{\mu}^{\varphi}\left(g_{1}\left(v_{0}\right), \cdot\right)$ are differentiable at the points $g_{1}\left(v_{0}\right)$ and $g_{2}\left(v_{0}\right)$, respectively, whence, according to (3), $A_{\nu}^{\psi}\left(\cdot, g_{2}\left(v_{0}\right)\right)$ and $A_{\nu}^{\psi}\left(g_{1}\left(v_{0}\right), \cdot\right)$ are differentiable at $g_{1}\left(v_{0}\right)$ and $g_{2}\left(v_{0}\right)$, respectively. Moreover, as $f_{1}$ is differentiable at $u_{0}$, the function $\varphi^{-1}$ is differentiable at $v_{0}+(1-\mu) u_{0}$, and thus $g_{1}$ is differentiable at $v_{0}$. Similarly, we infer that the function $g_{2}$ has the same property. Consequently, the function $V\left(u_{0}\right) \ni v \mapsto A_{\nu}^{\psi}\left(g_{1}(v), g_{2}(v)\right)$ is differentiable at $v_{0}$. Now (17) gives

$$
\lambda \varphi^{-1}(v)=\kappa g_{1}(v)+(1-\kappa) g_{2}(v)-(1-\lambda) A_{\nu}^{\psi}\left(g_{1}(v), g_{2}(v)\right), \quad v \in V\left(u_{0}\right),
$$

and we get the differentiability of $\varphi^{-1}$ at $v_{0}$. As $v_{0}$ is an arbitrary point of $J$ and the derivative of $\varphi^{-1}$ does not vanish, $\varphi$ is differentiable on $I$.

According to (3) and applying Lemma 4 to $\psi$ and $\nu$ instead of $\varphi$ and $\mu$, respectively, we find a non-empty open interval $I_{0} \subset I$ such that $\psi$ is differentiable in $I_{0}$; clearly also $\varphi$ is differentiable in $I_{0}$.

Define functions $f, g: I_{0} \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ by

$$
f(s)=\varphi^{\prime}\left(\varphi^{-1}(s)\right), \quad g(s)=\psi^{\prime}\left(\varphi^{-1}(s)\right)
$$

We show that the pair $(f, g)$ satisfies equation (5). Indeed, differentiating both sides of equality (3) with respect to $x$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\lambda \mu \varphi^{\prime}(x)}{\varphi^{\prime}\left(\varphi^{-1}(\mu \varphi(x)+(1-\mu) \varphi(y))\right)}+\frac{(1-\lambda) \nu \psi^{\prime}(x)}{\psi^{\prime}\left(\psi^{-1}(\nu \psi(x)+(1-\nu) \psi(y))\right)}=\kappa \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in I_{0}$. On the other hand, differentiating equality (3) with respect to $y$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\lambda(1-\mu) \varphi^{\prime}(y)}{\varphi^{\prime}\left(\varphi^{-1}(\mu \varphi(x)+(1-\mu) \varphi(y))\right)}+\frac{(1-\lambda)(1-\nu) \psi^{\prime}(y)}{\psi^{\prime}\left(\psi^{-1}(\nu \psi(x)+(1-\nu) \psi(y))\right)}=1-\kappa \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in I_{0}$. Multiplying equality (18) by $(1-\nu) \psi^{\prime}(y)$ and (19) by $-\nu \psi^{\prime}(x)$ and adding the obtained equalities by sides we have

$$
\frac{\lambda \mu(1-\nu) \varphi^{\prime}(x) \psi^{\prime}(y)-\lambda(1-\mu) \nu \varphi^{\prime}(y) \psi^{\prime}(x)}{\varphi^{\prime}\left(\varphi^{-1}(\mu \varphi(x)+(1-\mu) \varphi(y))\right)}=\kappa(1-\nu) \psi^{\prime}(y)-(1-\kappa) \nu \psi^{\prime}(x)
$$

for all $x, y \in I_{0}$, whence, setting here $x=\varphi^{-1}(s)$ and $y=\varphi^{-1}(t)$, we see that equality (5) holds for every $s, t \in \varphi\left(I_{0}\right)$. Since $\varphi^{-1}$ is locally Lipschitzian and $\varphi^{\prime}$ is measurable $\varphi^{\prime} \circ \varphi^{-1}$ is Lebesgue measurable. Moreover, $\psi^{\prime}$ is of the first Baire class and $\varphi^{-1}$ is continuous whence $\psi^{\prime} \circ \varphi^{-1}$ is of the first Baire class. Therefore, due to Lemma 3, we infer that $f, g$ are infinitely many times differentiable on a non-empty subinterval of $\varphi\left(I_{0}\right)$. This competes the proof.
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