Publ. Math. Debrecen 44 / 3-4 (1994), 215–223

# Lorentzian Para-contact submanifolds

By SHANTESHWAR PRASAD (Varanasi) and RAM HIT OJHA (Varanasi)

MATSUMOTO and MIHAI [1] introduced the idea of Lorentzian Paracontact structure and studied its several properties. The purpose of the present paper is to initiate the study of Lorentzian Para-contact submanifolds.

### 1. Introduction

Let us consider an *n*-dimensional real differentiable manifold of differentiability class  $C^{\infty}$  endowed with a  $C^{\infty}$  vector valued linear function  $\varphi$ , a  $C^{\infty}$  vector field  $\xi$  and a  $C^{\infty}$  1-form  $\eta$  and a Lorentzian metric g satisfying

(1.1) 
$$\varphi^2(V) = V + \eta(V)\xi$$

(1.2) 
$$\eta(\xi) = -1$$

(1.3) 
$$g(\varphi U, \varphi V) = g(U, V) + \eta(U)\eta(V)$$

(1.4) 
$$g(V,\xi) = \eta(V)$$

for arbitrary vector fields U and V, then  $V_n$  is called a Lorentzian Paracontact manifold and the structure  $(\varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$  is called a Lorentzian Paracontact structure.

In a Lorentzian para-contact structure the following hold:

(1.5) 
$$\varphi \xi = 0, \quad \eta(\varphi V) = 0$$

(1.6) 
$$\operatorname{rank}(\varphi) = n - 1.$$

A Lorentzian para-contact manifold is called Lorentzian Para-Sasakian manifold if

(1.7) 
$$(\nabla_U \varphi)(V) = g(U, V)\xi + U\eta(V) + 2\eta(U)\eta(V)$$

and

(1.8) 
$$\nabla_U \xi = \varphi U$$

where  $\nabla_U$  denotes the covariant differentiation with respect to g. Let us put

(1.9) 
$$\Phi(U,V) = g(\varphi U,V)$$

Then the tensor field  $\Phi$  is symmetric:

(1.10) 
$$\Phi(U,V) = \Phi(V,U)$$

and

(1.11) 
$$\Phi(U,V) = (\nabla_U \eta)(V).$$

 $Definition\ 1.1.$  An Lorentzian para-contact manifold will be called an LP–cosymplectic manifold if

(1.12) 
$$\nabla_U \varphi = 0$$

Definition 1.2. An Lorentzian Para (LP)-contact manifold will be called an LP–nearly cosymplectic manifold if

(1.13) (a) 
$$(\nabla_U \varphi)(U) = 0 \iff (\nabla_U \varphi)(V) + (\nabla_V \varphi)(U) = 0.$$

It can be easily seen that on an LP–Cosymplectic manifold  $\nabla_U \xi = 0$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** The Lorentzian para-contact structure on  $V_n$  is not unique.

PROOF. Let  $(\varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$  be a Lorentzian Para-contact structure on  $V_n$ . Let  $\xi'$  be a nonzero vector field nowhere in the  $\xi$ -direction, then we have a non-singular tensor field  $\mu$  of type (1,1) such that  $\mu\xi' = \xi$ . If we define a tensor field  $\varphi'$  and a 1-form  $\eta'$  by  $\mu\varphi'U = \varphi\mu U$ ,  $\eta'(U) = \eta(\mu U)$ , then we have

$$\mu \varphi'^2 U = \varphi \mu \varphi' U = \varphi^2 \mu U = \mu U + \eta(\mu U) = \mu (U + \eta'(U)\xi)$$

yielding

$$\varphi'^2 U = U + \eta'(U)\xi'.$$

Let us define a metric tensor g' by  $g'(U, V) = g(\mu U, \mu V)$ . Then  $g'(\varphi'U, \varphi'V) = g(\mu \varphi'U, \mu \varphi'V) = g'(U, V) + \eta'(U)\eta'(V)$ . Also  $g'(\xi', U) = \eta'(U)$ .

Thus  $(\varphi', \xi', \eta', g')$  is another Lorentzian Para-contact structure.

**Theorem 1.2.** On a nearly LP–Cosymplectic manifold  $\nabla_U \xi = 0$ .

PROOF. Equation (1.13) (b) is equivalent to

(1.14) 
$$(\nabla_U \Phi)(V, W) = (\nabla_V \Phi)(U, W) = 0.$$

Equations (1.9) and (1.14) give

(1.15) 
$$(\nabla_U \eta)(\varphi V) + (\nabla_V \eta)(\varphi U) = 0.$$

Putting  $\xi$  for U in (1.14) and using in (1.15) we get  $\nabla_U \xi = 0$ .

## 2. Lorentzian Para-contact submanifold

Let  $V_{2m-1}$  be a submanifold of  $V_{2m+1}$  with the inclusion map  $b : V_{2m-1} \to V_{2m+1}$  such that  $p \in V_{2m-1}$  goes to  $bp \in V_{2m+1}$ . The map b induces a linear transformation (Jacobian map)  $b_{\star} : T_{(2m-1)} \to T_{(2m+1)}$  where  $T_{2m-1}$  is the tangent space to  $V_{2m-1}$  at a point p and  $T_{2m+1}$  is the tangent space to  $V_{2m+1}$  at a point p such that

$$(X \text{ in } V_{2m-1} \text{ at } p) \rightarrow (b_{\star}X \text{ in } V_{2m+1} \text{ at } bp)$$

Agreement 2.1. In what follows the equations containing X, Y, Z hold for arbitrary vector fields X, Y, Z in  $V_{2m-1}$ .

Let M, N be mutually orthogonal unit vectors normal to  $V_{2m-1}$ . If  $\tilde{g}$  is an induced metric tensor in  $V_{2m-1}$ , we have

(a) 
$$g(b_{\star}X, b_{\star}Y)$$
ob =  $\tilde{g}(X, Y)$  (b)  $g(b_{\star}X, M)$ ob = 0

(2.1) (c) 
$$g(b_{\star}X, N)$$
ob = 0 (d)  $g(M, N)$ ob = 0

(e) 
$$g(M, M)$$
ob =  $g(N, N)$ ob = 1

If D is the induced connection on  $V_{2m-1}$ , then we have the Gauss equation

(2.2) 
$$V_{b_{\star}X}b_{\star}Y = b_{\star}D_XY + MH(X,Y) + NK(X,Y)$$

where H and K are symmetric bilinear functions in  $V_{2m-1}$ . The Weingarten equations in  $V_{2m-1}$  are given by

$$V_{b_{\star}X}M = -b_{\star}'H(X) + l(X)M, \qquad g('H(X),Y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} H(X,Y),$$
$$V_{b_{\star}X}N = -b_{\star}'K(X) - l'(X)N, \qquad g('K(X),Y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} K(X,Y).$$

If the second fundamental forms H and K of  $V_{2m-1}$  are of the form  $H(X,Y) = \mu_1 \tilde{g}(X,Y), K(X,Y) = \mu_2, g(X,Y)$  where  $\mu_1, \mu_2 = (\operatorname{Tr} b_{\star})/n'$  then  $V_{2m-1}$  is called totally umbilical. In our case, we take  $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu$ . If the second fundamental form vanishes identically then  $V_{2m-1}$  is said to be totally geodetic. (YANO and KON [3]).

A submanifold  $V_{2m-1}$  of a Lorentzian Para-contact manifold  $V_{2m+1}$ is said to be invariant if the structure vector field  $\xi$  of  $V_{2m-1}$  is tangent to  $V_{2m+1}$  and  $\varphi(T_X(V_{2m-1}) \subseteq T_X(V_{2m-1}))$  where  $T_X(V_{2m-1})$  denotes the tangent space of  $V_{2m-1}$  at X. On the other hand if  $\varphi(T_X(V_{2m-1})) \subseteq$  $T_X(V_{2m-1})^{\perp}$  for all  $X \in V_{2m-1}$ , where  $T_X(V_{2m-1})^{\perp}$  is the normal space of  $V_{2m-1}$  at X then  $V_{2m-1}$  is said to be antiinvariant in  $V_{2m-1}$ . Let us put

(2.3)  

$$(a) \quad \varphi(b_{\star}X) = b_{\star}X + \alpha(X)M + \nu(X)N$$

$$(b) \quad \xi = b_{\star}\xi + \rho M + \sigma N$$

$$(c) \quad \varphi(M) = -b_{\star}p + \delta N$$

$$(d) \quad \varphi(N) = -b_{\star}q + \theta M$$

Pre-multiplying (2.3) (a) by  $\varphi$  and using (1.1), (2.3) (b), (c), (d) we obtain

(2.4) 
$$b_{\star}X + \eta(b_{\star}X)(b_{\star}\xi + \rho M + \sigma N) = b_{\star}\phi^{2}X - b_{\star}p\alpha(X) - b_{\star}q\nu(X) + M(\alpha(\phi(X)) + \theta\nu(X) + N(\nu(\phi(X)) + \delta\alpha(X)))$$

Substituting from (2.3) (a) in

$$g(\varphi(b_{\star}X),\varphi(b_{\star}Y)) = g(b_{\star}X,b_{\star}Y) + \eta(b_{\star}X)\eta(b_{\star}Y)$$

and using (2.1) we obtain

(2.5) 
$$g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) + (\eta(b_{\star}X)ob)(\eta(b_{\star}Y)ob) -\alpha(X)\alpha(Y) - \nu(X)\nu(Y)$$

Equations (2.4) and (2.5) give

$$\varphi^2 X = X + a(X)T$$
$$g(\varphi X, \varphi Y) = g(X, Y) + a(X)a(Y)$$

 $\operatorname{iff}$ 

$$(b_{\star}X)ob = a(X), \qquad p(\alpha(X)) + q(\nu(X)) = 0$$
  

$$\rho a(X) = \alpha(\varphi(X)) + \theta(\nu(X)), \qquad \sigma a(X) = \nu(\varphi X) + \delta(\alpha(X))$$
  

$$\alpha(X)\alpha(Y) + \nu(X)\nu(Y) = 0.$$

The above equations are consistent iff

(2.6) 
$$\eta(b_{\star}X)ob = a(X), \quad \alpha(X) = \nu(X) = 0, \quad \rho = \sigma = 0.$$

Substituting these in (2.3) (a), (b) we obtain

(2.7) (a) 
$$\varphi(b_{\star}X) = b_{\star}\varphi X$$
 (b)  $\xi = b_{\star}\xi$ .

Thus we have

**Theorem 2.1.** The necessary and sufficient conditions for a submanifold of  $V_{2m+1}$  to be a Lorentzian Para-contact submanifold are (2.6) and (2.7).

**Theorem 2.2.** Let us denote the Nijenhuis tensors in  $V_{2m+1}$  and  $V_{2m-1}$  by N and n, determined by  $\varphi$  and  $\phi$  respectively, then  $N(b_{\star}X, b_{\star}Y) = b_{\star}n(X, Y)$ .

**PROOF.** In consequence of (2.2) and (2.7) (a) we have

$$\varphi(\varphi[b_{\star}X, b_{\star}Y]) = \varphi(\varphi(\nabla_{b_{\star}X}b_{\star}Y - \nabla_{b_{\star}Y}b_{\star}X))$$
$$= b_{\star}\phi^2(D_XY) - b_{\star}\phi^2(D_YX) = b_{\star}\phi^2([X, Y])$$

hence

$$N(b_{\star}X, b_{\star}Y) = b_{\star}n(X, Y).$$

Definition 2.1. An Lorentzian Para-contact manifold is said to be normal if  $N(X, Y) \leftarrow L(X, Y) \in \mathbb{C}$ 

$$N(X,Y) + d\eta(X,Y)\xi = 0.$$

**Theorem 2.3.** If  $V_{2m+1}$  is normal then  $V_{2m-1}$  is also normal.

**PROOF.** We have from Thorem (2.2)

Now

$$N(b_{\star}X, b_{\star}Y) = b_{\star}n(X, Y)$$
  

$$N(b_{\star}X, b_{\star}Y) + ((\nabla_{b_{\star}X}\eta)(b_{\star}Y) - (\nabla_{b_{\star}Y}\eta)(b_{\star}X))\xi = 0$$
  

$$n(X, Y) + ((D_{X}a)(Y) - (D_{Y}a)(X))\xi - 0.$$

This shows that if  $V_{2m+1}$  is normal then  $V_{2m-1}$  is also normal.

**Theorem 2.4.** When  $V_{2m-1}$  is an Lorentzian Para-contact submanifold in a Lorentzian Para-contact manifold we have

(2.8)  
(i) 
$$\eta(M) = 0, \qquad \eta(N) = 0,$$
  
(ii)  $\varphi(M) = \delta N, \qquad \varphi N = \theta M$   
(iii)  $\delta = \theta \qquad \text{and} \quad \delta \theta = 1.$ 

**PROOF.** We have  $g(\varphi M, b_{\star}X) - g(M, b_{\star}\varphi X) = 0$  which gives

$$g(-b_{\star}p + \delta N, b_{\star}X) - g(M, b_{\star}\varphi X) = 0.$$

Using (2.1) (a), (b), (c), (d) we get

$$g(p, X) = 0 \implies p = 0.$$

Similarly we can get q = 0, putting this value in (2.3) (c) and (d) we get

$$\varphi(M) = \delta N, \quad \varphi(N) = \theta M$$

Pre-multiplying (2.3) (c), (d) by  $\varphi$  and using (1.1) and equating tangential and normal parts we have

$$\eta(M) = 0, \quad \eta(N) = 0, \quad \delta\theta = 1.$$

We also have

$$g(\varphi M, N) - g(M, \varphi N) = 0.$$

Putting the values of M and N as above we get

 $\delta = \theta$ .

**Theorem 2.5.** Let  $V_{2m+1}$  be an Lorentzian Para-contact cosymplectic manifold then  $V_{2m-1}$  is also an LP–cosymplectic manifold and

(2.9) 
$$H(X,\varphi Y) = \delta K(X,Y), \quad K(X,\varphi Y) = \delta H(X,Y)$$

where H and K are symmetric bilinear functions in  $V_{2m-1}$  and  $\delta^2 = 1$ .

PROOF. We have

$$(\nabla_{b_{\star}X}\eta)(b_{\star}Y) = 0 \implies X(a(Y) - a(D_XY) = 0$$
  
 $\implies (D_Xa)(Y) = 0.$ 

Also

$$(\nabla_{b_{\star}X}\varphi)(b_{\star}Y) = 0 \implies \nabla_{b_{\star}X}b_{\star}Y = \varphi(\nabla_{b_{\star}X}b_{\star}Y)$$

which gives

$$b_{\star}D_X\varphi Y + H(X,\varphi Y)M + K(X,\varphi Y)N$$
  
=  $b_{\star}\varphi(D_XY) + H(X,Y)\delta N + K(X,Y)\delta M.$ 

This equation implies that  $(D_X \varphi)(Y) = 0$  and (2.9) are satisfied. This completes the proof.

**Theorem 2.6.** Let  $V_{2m+1}$  be an LP-nearly cosymplectic manifold. Then  $V_{2m-1}$  is also an LP-nearly cosymplectic manifold and

$$H(X,\varphi Y) + H(Y,\varphi X) - 2\delta H(X,Y) = 0$$
$$K(X,\varphi Y) + K(Y,\varphi X) - 2\delta K(X,Y) = 0$$

where  $\delta^2 = 1$ .

PROOF. For an LP-nearly cosymplectic manifold we have

$$(\nabla_{b_{\star}X}\varphi)(b_{\star}Y) + (\nabla_{b_{\star}Y}\varphi)(b_{\star}X) = 0$$
  
$$\nabla_{b_{\star}X}b_{\star}\varphi Y + \nabla_{b_{\star}Y}b_{\star}\varphi X = \varphi(\nabla_{b_{\star}X}b_{\star}Y) + \varphi(\nabla_{b_{\star}Y}b_{\star}X)$$

or

and

$$b_{\star}D_{X}\varphi Y + H(X,\varphi Y)M + K(X,\varphi Y)N + b_{\star}D_{Y}\varphi X + H(Y,\varphi X)M + K(Y,\varphi X)N = b_{\star}\varphi(D_{X}Y) + b_{\star}\varphi(D_{Y}X) + H(X,Y)\delta N + H(Y,X)\delta N + K(X,Y)\delta M + K(Y,X)\delta M.$$

This equation implies

and

$$(D_X \varphi)(Y) + (D_Y \varphi)(X) = 0$$
$$H(X, \varphi Y) + H(Y, \varphi X) - 2\delta K(X, Y) = 0$$
$$K(X, \varphi Y) + K(Y, \varphi X) - 2\delta H(X, Y) = 0.$$

 $(\mathbf{x})$ 

**Theorem 2.7.** Let  $V_{2m-1}$  be a submanifold tangent to the sturcture vector field  $\xi$  of an Lorentzian Para-Sasakian manifold  $V_{2m+1}$ . If  $V_{2m-1}$  is totally umbilical then  $V_{2m-1}$  is totally geodesic.

**PROOF.** From Gauss' equation we have

 $(\mathbf{D})$ 

$$\nabla_{b_{\star}X}\xi = b_{\star}D_X\xi + H(X,\xi)M + K(X,\xi)N,$$

or

$$b_{\star}\varphi X = b_{\star}D_X\xi + H(X,\xi)M + K(X,\xi)N.$$

Equating tangential and normal parts we get

$$\varphi X = D_X \xi$$
 and  $H(X,\xi) = 0$ ,  $K(X,\xi) = 0$ .

Thus

$$H(\xi,\xi) = 0, \quad K(\xi,\xi) = 0.$$

If  $V_{2m-1}$  is totally umbilical, then  $H(X,Y) = \mu g(X,Y) = K(X,Y)$ . Writing  $\xi$  for both X and Y we get

$$H(\xi,\xi) = K(\xi,\xi) = 0 \implies g(\xi,\xi) = 0 \implies \mu = 0$$

which implies that

$$H(X,Y) = K(X,Y) = 0.$$

Thus  $V_{2m-1}$  is totally geodesic.

If  $V_{2m-1}$  is totally geodesic then  $H(X,\xi) = 0$  that is  $\varphi X$  is tangent to  $V_{2m-1}$  and hence  $V_{2m-1}$  is an invariant submanifold.

**Theorem 2.8.** Let  $V_{2m-1}$  be a submanifold of a Lorentzian Para-Sasakian manifold.  $V_{2m+1}$  is tangent to the structure vector field  $\xi$  of  $V_{2m+1}$ . Then vector field  $\xi$  is parallel with respect to the induced connection on  $V_{2m-1}$  if and only if  $V_{2m-1}$  is an anti-invariant submanifold in  $V_{2m+1}.$ 

**PROOF.** We have for the tangent  $\xi$  of  $V_{2m-1}$ 

(2.10) 
$$\nabla_{b_{\star}X}\xi = b_{\star}\varphi X = b_{\star}D_X\xi + H(X,\xi)M + K(X,\xi)N.$$

Since  $\xi$  is parallel with respect to the induced connection we have

$$D_X \xi = 0$$

From (2.10) we have

$$\varphi X = H(X,\xi)M + K(X,\xi)N$$

Hence  $\varphi X$  is normal to  $V_{2m-1}$ . Thus  $\varphi X \in T_X(V_{2m-1})^{\perp}$  for every vector field X on  $V_{2m-1}$ . Thus  $V_{2m-1}$  is anti-invariant. Conversely if  $V_{2m-1}$  is anti-invariant then  $\varphi X = H(X,\xi)M + K(X,\xi)N$ , hence  $D_X \xi = 0$ . This completes the proof.

**Theorem 2.9.** Let  $V_{2m-1}$  be a submanifold of an Lorentzian Para-Sasakian manifold of  $V_{2m+1}$ . If the structure vector field  $\xi$  is normal to  $V_{2m-1}$ , then  $V_{2m-1}$  is totally geodesic if and only if  $V_{2m-1}$  is an antiinvariant submanifold.

**PROOF.** Since  $\xi$  is normal to  $V_{2m-1}$  we have

$$g(b_{\star}\varphi X, b_{\star}Y) = g(b_{\star}\nabla_{X}\xi, b_{\star}Y) = g(-b_{\star}'H(X), b_{\star}Y) + g(l(X)\xi, b_{\star}Y)$$
  
=  $g(-b_{\star}'K(X), b_{\star}Y) - g(l'(X)\xi, b_{\star}Y),$ 

or

$$g(b_{\star}\varphi X, b_{\star}Y) = -g('H(X), Y) = g('K(X), Y)$$
 for any X

and Y on  $V_{2m-1}$ . Hence  $\Phi$ , H and K are symmetric, hence  $g(b_\star \varphi X, b_\star Y) = g(H(X), Y) = 0 = g(K(X), Y)$ . If  $V_{2m-1}$  is totally geodesic then

$${}^{\prime}K(X) = {}^{\prime}H(X) = 0 \implies \varphi(X) \in T_X(V_{2m-1}).$$

Hence  $V_{2m-1}$  is anti-invariant.

Conversely if  $V_{2m-1}$  is anti-invariant then

$$g('H(X), Y) = 0 = g('K(X), Y)$$
  
 $'H(X) = 0 = 'K(X)$   $H(X, Y) = 0 = K(X, Y)$ 

hence  $V_{2m-1}$  is totally geodesic.

#### References

- K. MATSUMOTO and ION MIHAI, On certain transformation in an LP-Sasakian Manifold, *Tensor N.S.* 47 (1989).
- [2] R. H. OJHA, Almost contact submanifold, Tensor N.S. 28 (1974).
- [3] K. YANO and M. KON, Anti-invariant submanifold of Sasakian space forms, I. Tohoku Math. J. 29 (1977), 19–23.

## Lorentzian Para-contact submanifolds

[4] R. S. MISHRA, Structures on differentiable manifold and their applications, Chandrama Prakashan, Allahabad, 1984.

SHATESHWAR PRASAD DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS FACULTY OF SCIENCE BANARAS HINDU UNIVERSITY VARANASI – 221005 INDIA

RAM HIT OJHA DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS FACULTY OF SCIENCE BANARAS HINDU UNIVERSITY VARANASI – 221005 INDIA

(Received March 24, 1992; revised January 20, 1993)