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#### Abstract

Given an $L$-function $F(s)$ from the extended Selberg class, we associate a function $\Phi_{F}(x, y)$. We show that the functions $\Phi_{F}(x, y)$ are, in the general case, the analogs of the modular forms associated with the $\mathrm{GL}_{2} L$-functions. Indeed, we prove that every $\Phi_{F}(x, y)$ is eigenfunction of a certain partial differential operator. Moreover, we prove a general correspondence theorem for such $L$-functions involving the functions $\Phi_{F}(x, y)$.


Let $F(s)$ be a function in the extended Selberg class $\mathcal{S}^{\sharp}$. This means that $(s-1)^{m} F(s)$ is entire of finite order for some non-negative integer $m, F(s)$ is representable for $\sigma>1$ as an absolutely convergent Dirichlet series with coefficients $a(n)$ and satisfies the functional equation

$$
\gamma(s) F(s)=\omega \bar{\gamma}(1-s) \bar{F}(1-s)
$$

with $|\omega|=1$ and

$$
\gamma(s)=Q^{s} \prod_{j=1}^{r} \Gamma\left(\lambda_{j} s+\mu_{j}\right)
$$
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where $Q>0, \Re \mu_{j} \geq 0$ and $\lambda_{j}>0$. Here $\bar{f}(s)=\overline{f(\bar{s})}$. We also write $d_{F}=$ $2 \sum_{j=1}^{r} \lambda_{j}$ for the degree of $F(s)$ and

$$
\mu=\frac{1}{2}+\sum_{j=1}^{r}\left(\mu_{j}-\frac{1}{2}\right) .
$$

Moreover, for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ with $y>0$, we let

$$
\Phi_{F}(x, y)=y^{-\mu} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n) \tilde{\gamma}(n \sqrt{q} y) e(n x)
$$

where $q=q_{F}=(2 \pi)^{d_{F}} Q^{2} \prod_{j=1}^{r} \lambda_{j}^{2 \lambda_{j}}$ is the conductor of $F(s), e(x)=e^{2 \pi i x}$ and $\tilde{\gamma}(\xi)$ is the inverse Mellin transform of the gamma-factor $\gamma(s)$, i.e. for $\xi>0$

$$
\tilde{\gamma}(\xi)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{2-i \infty}^{2+i \infty} \gamma(s) \xi^{-s} \mathrm{~d} s
$$

Since $\tilde{\gamma}(\xi) \ll \xi^{-A}$ for every $A>0$, the series defining $\Phi_{F}(x, y)$ has good convergence properties.

Examples of $\Phi_{F}(x, y)$. The function $\Phi_{F}(x, y)$ becomes a familiar object when $F(s)$ is a classical $L$-function of degree 2 .

1. Holomorphic cusp forms. Let $f(z)$ be a holomorphic cusp form of weight $k$ and level $N$

$$
f(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha(n) e(n z), \quad z=x+i y
$$

see Ch. 7 of Iwaniec [3]. Writing $a(n)=\alpha(n) n^{-(k-1) / 2}$, the normalized $L$ function associated with $f(z)$ is

$$
L(s, f)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a(n)}{n^{s}}
$$

hence $F(s)=L(s, f)$ is an entire function of degree 2 in $\mathcal{S}^{\sharp}$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma(s)=\left(\frac{\sqrt{N}}{2 \pi}\right)^{s} \Gamma\left(s+\frac{k-1}{2}\right), \quad \mu=\frac{k-1}{2}, \quad q=N . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We therefore have

$$
\tilde{\gamma}(\xi)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{2-i \infty}^{2+i \infty} \Gamma(s+\mu)\left(\frac{2 \pi \xi}{\sqrt{q}}\right)^{-s} \mathrm{~d} s=\left(\frac{\sqrt{q}}{2 \pi \xi}\right)^{-\mu} e^{-2 \pi \xi / \sqrt{q}}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{F}(x, y)=(2 \pi)^{\mu} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n) n^{\mu} e^{-2 \pi n y+2 \pi i n x}=(2 \pi)^{\mu} f(z) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. Maass forms. Let $f(z)$ be a Maass form of level $N$ and given parity

$$
f(z)=\sqrt{y} \sum_{n \neq 0} a(n) n^{\varepsilon} K_{i \kappa}(2 \pi|n| y) e(n x), \quad z=x+i y
$$

where $1 / 4+\kappa^{2}$ is the eigenvalue of $f(z), K_{i \kappa}(z)$ is the Bessel $K$-function and $\varepsilon=0$ if $f(z)$ is even, $\varepsilon=1$ otherwise; see Ch. 3 of Terras [12]. The $L$-function associated with $f(z)$ is

$$
L(s, f)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a(n)}{n^{s}},
$$

hence $F(s)=L(s, f)$ is an entire function of degree 2 in $\mathcal{S}^{\sharp}$ with

$$
\gamma(s)=\left(\frac{\sqrt{N}}{\pi}\right)^{s} \Gamma\left(\frac{s+\varepsilon+i \kappa}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{s+\varepsilon-i \kappa}{2}\right), \quad \mu=\varepsilon-\frac{1}{2}, q=N .
$$

In this case we have

$$
\tilde{\gamma}(\xi)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{2-i \infty}^{2+i \infty} \Gamma\left(\frac{s+\varepsilon+i \kappa}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{s+\varepsilon-i \kappa}{2}\right)\left(\frac{\pi \xi}{\sqrt{q}}\right)^{-s} \mathrm{~d} s .
$$

Making the substitution $s+\varepsilon+i \kappa=2 w$ and using the following integral representation (obtained by the inverse Mellin transform of formula 11.1 of p. 115 of Oberhettinger [10], choosing $a=2$ )

$$
K_{\nu}(z)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{\nu} \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{c-i \infty}^{c+i \infty} \Gamma(w) \Gamma(w-\nu)\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{-2 w} \mathrm{~d} w
$$

with $c>\max (0, \Re \nu)$, we obtain

$$
\tilde{\gamma}(\xi)=\left(\frac{\pi \xi}{\sqrt{q}}\right)^{\varepsilon} K_{i \kappa}\left(\frac{2 \pi \xi}{\sqrt{q}}\right)
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{F}(x, y)=\pi^{\varepsilon} \sqrt{y} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n) n^{\varepsilon} K_{i \kappa}(2 \pi n y) e(n x)=\frac{\pi^{\varepsilon}}{2} f(z) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, in both cases $\Phi_{F}(x, y)$ reduces (essentially) to the modular form to which $F(s)$ is associated.

In Theorem 1 below we assume that $\lambda_{j} \in \mathbb{Q}$ for every $j$. Hence, without loss of generality, we may assume that the $\lambda_{j}$ are all equal and of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{j}=\frac{1}{k} \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, j=1, \ldots, r \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(this can be seen by means of the multiplication formula for the $\Gamma$ function, see [6]). In particular, the degree $d_{F}$ is a rational number; note that it is expected that $d_{F} \in \mathbb{N}$ for every $F \in \mathcal{S}^{\sharp}$. For $F \in \mathcal{S}^{\sharp}$ satisfying (4) we consider the partial differential operator

$$
\mathcal{D}=\prod_{j=1}^{r}\left(-\frac{1}{k} y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}+\mu_{j}-\frac{\mu}{k}\right)-\frac{(2 \pi)^{r-k}}{k^{r} i^{k}} y^{k} \frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial x^{k}},
$$

where multiplication means composition of differential operators. Note that $\Phi_{F}(x, y)$ depends strongly on $F(s)$, while $\mathcal{D}$ depends only on the functional equation satisfied by $F(s)$. However, the operator $\mathcal{D}$ is not invariant, in the sense that it depends on the shape of the functional equation of $F(s)$, which may be changed by applications of the multiplication formula for the $\Gamma$ function. We have

Theorem 1. Let $F \in \mathcal{S}^{\sharp}$ satisfy (4). Then

$$
\mathcal{D} \Phi_{F}(x, y)=0
$$

Remark. Let $\mathcal{D}_{0}$ be a partial differential operator of the form

$$
\mathcal{D}_{0}=\prod_{j=1}^{r}\left(-\lambda y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}+\nu_{j}\right)
$$

It is not difficult to detect the structure of such operators. Indeed, it can be proved that $\mathcal{D}_{0}$ can be written as

$$
\mathcal{D}_{0}=\sum_{j=0}^{r} W_{j, r}\left(\lambda, \nu_{j}\right) y^{j} \frac{\partial^{j}}{\partial y^{j}}
$$

where the $W_{j, r}$ are polynomials satisfying

$$
W_{j, r+1}=\left(\nu_{r+1}-j \lambda\right) W_{j, r}-\lambda W_{j-1, r}, \quad W_{0, r}=\prod_{j=1}^{r} \nu_{j}, \quad W_{r, r}=(-1)^{r} \lambda^{r}
$$

Moreover, the ring generated by such operators is the polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}\left[y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right]$.

Proof of Theorem 1. From the definition of $\tilde{\gamma}(\xi)$ and $\Phi_{F}(x, y)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{k} y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \Phi_{F}(x, y)=-\frac{\mu}{k} \Phi_{F}(x, y)-y^{-\mu} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n) \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{2-i \infty}^{2+i \infty} \gamma(s)(n \sqrt{q} y)^{-s} \frac{s}{k} \mathrm{~d} s e(n x) \\
& =\left(\mu_{j}-\frac{\mu}{k}\right) \Phi_{F}(x, y)-y^{-\mu} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n) \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{2-i \infty}^{2+i \infty} \gamma(s)\left(\frac{s}{k}+\mu_{j}\right)(n \sqrt{q} y)^{-s} \mathrm{~d} s e(n x)
\end{aligned}
$$

hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(-\frac{1}{k} y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}+\mu_{j}\right. & \left.-\frac{\mu}{k}\right) \Phi_{F}(x, y) \\
& =y^{-\mu} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n) \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{2-i \infty}^{2+i \infty} \gamma(s)\left(\frac{s}{k}+\mu_{j}\right)(n \sqrt{q} y)^{-s} \mathrm{~d} s e(n x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
& \prod_{j=1}^{r}\left(-\frac{1}{k} y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}+\mu_{j}-\frac{\mu}{k}\right) \Phi_{F}(x, y) \\
& \quad=y^{-\mu} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n) \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{2-i \infty}^{2+i \infty} \gamma(s) \prod_{j=1}^{r}\left(\frac{s}{k}+\mu_{j}\right)(n \sqrt{q} y)^{-s} \mathrm{~d} s e(n x) \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

By (4) and the factorial formula for the $\Gamma$ function we have

$$
\gamma(s) \prod_{j=1}^{r}\left(\frac{s}{k}+\mu_{j}\right)=Q^{s} \prod_{j=1}^{r} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{k}+\mu_{j}+1\right)=Q^{-k} \gamma(s+k)
$$

hence, by the substitution $s+k=w$ and using Cauchy's theorem, the right hand side of (5) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q^{-k} y^{-\mu} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n) \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{2-i \infty}^{2+i \infty} \gamma(s+k)(n \sqrt{q} y)^{-s} \mathrm{~d} s e(n x) \\
& \quad=Q^{-k} y^{-\mu}(\sqrt{q} y)^{k} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n) n^{k} \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{2-i \infty}^{2+i \infty} \gamma(w)(n \sqrt{q} y)^{-w} \mathrm{~d} w e(n x) \\
& \quad=Q^{-k} y^{-\mu}(\sqrt{q} y)^{k} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n) n^{k} \tilde{\gamma}(n \sqrt{q} y) e(n x) \\
& \quad=\left(\frac{\sqrt{q}}{2 \pi i Q}\right)^{k} y^{k} \frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial x^{k}} \Phi_{F}(x, y) . \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

The result follows now from (5), (6) and the definition of $q$.

Special cases of Theorem 1. Let us examine again two classical cases of Theorem 1.

1. Holomorphic cusp forms. In this case by (1) we have

$$
\mathcal{D}=-y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}+i y \frac{\partial}{\partial x}=i y\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}+i \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)
$$

hence $\mathcal{D} \Phi_{F}(x, y)=0$ means

$$
\frac{\partial \Phi_{F}(x . y)}{\partial x}=-i \frac{\partial \Phi_{F}(x . y)}{\partial y}
$$

i.e. the Cauchy-Riemann equations. But, see $(2), \Phi_{F}(x, y)=(2 \pi)^{\mu} f(z)$, hence $\mathcal{D} \Phi_{F}(x, y)=0$ is equivalent to the fact that $f(z)$ is holomorphic.
2. Maass forms. In this case we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D} & =\left(-\frac{y}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}+\frac{\varepsilon+i \kappa}{2}-\frac{\varepsilon-1 / 2}{2}\right)\left(-\frac{y}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}+\frac{\varepsilon-i \kappa}{2}-\frac{\varepsilon-1 / 2}{2}\right)+\left(\frac{y}{2}\right)^{2} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}} \\
& =\frac{y^{2}}{4}\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}}\right)+\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{1}{4}+\kappa^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\mathcal{D} \Phi_{F}(x, y)=0$ becomes

$$
-y^{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}}\right) \Phi_{F}(x, y)=\left(\frac{1}{4}+\kappa^{2}\right) \Phi_{F}(x, y)
$$

i.e. $\Phi_{F}(x, y)$ is an eigenfunction of the hyperbolic laplacian, with eigenvalue $\frac{1}{4}+\kappa^{2}$, as expected by (3).

The classification of the degree $2 L$-functions in the Selberg class (i.e., roughly, the Dirichlet series with functional equation of degree 2 and Euler product) is a well known open problem, see Selberg [11], Conrey-Ghosh [2] and our survey papers [5], [4], [7], [8], [9]. Roughly speaking, it is expected that such functions are the $L$-functions associated with the holomorphic and non-holomorphic modular forms. The classification of the degree 2 functions in the extended Selberg class (where no Euler product is assumed) is more difficult, and as far as we know there isn't even a clear expectation about it. The above special cases suggest that the functions $\Phi_{F}(x, y)$ are, in the general case, the analogs of the classical modular forms. Such analogy is supported also by the following extension of the Hecke correspondence theorem; we refer e.g. to Berndt-Knopp [1]
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for an account of Hecke's correspondence theorem. Suppose that the Dirichlet series

$$
F(s)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a(n)}{n^{s}}
$$

is absolutely convergent for $\sigma>1$, and let $\mu \in \mathbb{C}, q>0, Q>0, \lambda_{j}>0$ and $\Re \mu_{j} \geq 0$. We write $\gamma(s), \tilde{\gamma}(\xi)$ and $\Phi_{F}(x, y)$ as above, and

$$
\tilde{\gamma}^{*}(\xi)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{2-i \infty}^{2+i \infty} \bar{\gamma}(s) \xi^{-s} \mathrm{~d} s \quad \Phi_{F}^{*}(x, y)=y^{-\bar{\mu}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{a(n)} \tilde{\gamma}^{*}(n \sqrt{q} y) e(n x)
$$

With this notation our general correspondence theorem is
Theorem 2. Let $|\omega|=1$. With the above notation the following statements are equivalent.
(i) $F(s)$ extends to an entire function of finite order and satisfies the functional equation

$$
\gamma(s) F(s)=\omega \bar{\gamma}(1-s) \bar{F}(1-s)
$$

(ii) For $y>0$ we have

$$
\Phi_{F}(0, y)=\omega q^{-\bar{\mu}-1 / 2} y^{-\bar{\mu}-\mu-1} \Phi_{F}^{*}\left(0, \frac{1}{q y}\right)
$$

Remarks. 1. Results of type of Theorem 2 already exist in the literature, mainly due to S . Bochner and his collaborators and followers. We refer to Chapters 7 and 8 of Berndt-Knopp [1] and to the literature quoted there for several variants of the principle underlying Theorem 2.
2. The condition that $F(s)$ is entire is not crucial in Theorem 2. Indeed, the same argument proves a version of Theorem 2 where $F(s)$ in (i) has a pole at $s=1$ and the modular relation in (ii) is slightly modified by adding terms corresponding to the residue of $F(s)$ at $s=1$.

Proof of Theorem 2. We first show that (i) implies (ii). Since $F(s)$ has polynomial growth, the integrals below have good convergence properties, justifying our formal manipulations. Note first that, thanks to the functional equation, $F(s) \gamma(s)$ is an entire function since $F(s)$ is entire and $\gamma(s)$ is holomorphic for $\sigma>0$. Hence by Cauchy's theorem we have

$$
\Phi_{F}(0, y)=y^{-\mu} \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{2-i \infty}^{2+i \infty} \gamma(s) F(s)(\sqrt{q} y)^{-s} \mathrm{~d} s
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\omega y^{-\mu} \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{2-i \infty}^{2+i \infty} \bar{\gamma}(1-s) \bar{F}(1-s)(\sqrt{q} y)^{-s} \mathrm{~d} s \\
& =\omega y^{-\mu} \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{-1-i \infty}^{-1+i \infty} \bar{\gamma}(w) \bar{F}(w)(\sqrt{q} y)^{w-1} \mathrm{~d} w \\
& =\omega y^{-\mu} \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{2-i \infty}^{2+i \infty} \bar{\gamma}(w) \bar{F}(w)(\sqrt{q} y)^{w-1} \mathrm{~d} w \\
& =\omega q^{-1 / 2} y^{-\mu-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{a(n)} \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{2-i \infty}^{2+i \infty} \bar{\gamma}(w)\left(\frac{n \sqrt{q}}{q y}\right)^{-w} \mathrm{~d} w,
\end{aligned}
$$

hence

$$
\Phi_{F}(0, y)=\omega q^{-\bar{\mu}-1 / 2} y^{-\bar{\mu}-\mu-1} \Phi_{F}^{*}\left(0, \frac{1}{q y}\right)
$$

and the first statement follows. Now we prove that (ii) implies (i). We have

$$
\left(\frac{y}{\sqrt{q}}\right)^{\mu} \Phi_{F}\left(0, \frac{y}{\sqrt{q}}\right)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{2-i \infty}^{2+i \infty} \gamma(s) F(s) y^{-s} \mathrm{~d} s
$$

hence by the inversion formula of Mellin's transform we get

$$
\gamma(s) F(s)=q^{-\mu / 2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \Phi_{F}\left(0, \frac{y}{\sqrt{q}}\right) y^{s+\mu-1} \mathrm{~d} y .
$$

Now we apply the well known trick of splitting the integration over $(0, \infty)$ into $(0,1) \cup(1, \infty)$ and then transforming the integral over $(0,1)$. We get

$$
\begin{aligned}
q^{-\mu / 2} \int_{0}^{1} \Phi_{F}\left(0, \frac{y}{\sqrt{q}}\right) y^{s+\mu-1} \mathrm{~d} y & =\omega q^{-\bar{\mu} / 2} \int_{0}^{1} \Phi_{F}^{*}\left(0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{q} y}\right) y^{s-\bar{\mu}-2} \mathrm{~d} y \\
& =\omega q^{-\bar{\mu} / 2} \int_{1}^{\infty} \Phi_{F}^{*}\left(0, \frac{y}{\sqrt{q}}\right) y^{-s+\bar{\mu}} \mathrm{d} y
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
\gamma(s) F(s)= & q^{-\mu / 2} \int_{1}^{\infty} \Phi_{F}\left(0, \frac{y}{\sqrt{q}}\right) y^{s+\mu-1} \mathrm{~d} y \\
& +\omega q^{-\bar{\mu} / 2} \int_{1}^{\infty} \Phi_{F}^{*}\left(0, \frac{y}{\sqrt{q}}\right) y^{-s+\bar{\mu}} \mathrm{d} y . \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Thanks to the decay properties of $\tilde{\gamma}(\xi)$ and $\tilde{\gamma}^{*}(\xi)$ as $\xi \rightarrow \infty$, the right hand side of (7) provides the analytic continuation of $\gamma(s) F(s)$ to $\mathbb{C}$, as well as the functional equation. The other properties in (i) follow from this in a standard way.
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