Publ. Math. Debrecen 45 / 3-4 (1994), 305–312

### On generalised pseudo symmetric manifolds

By M. C. CHAKI (Calcutta)

Dedicated to the memory of Professor Béla Barna

### 1. Introduction

The notion of a pseudo symmetric manifold was introduced by the author in an earlier paper [1]. A non-flat Riemannian manifold  $(M^n, g)$   $(n \ge 2)$  was called pseudo symmetric if its curvature tensor R satisfies the condition

(1) 
$$(\nabla_X R)(Y, Z, W) = 2A(X)R(Y, Z, W) + A(Y)R(X, Z, W) + A(Z)R(Y, X, W) + A(W)R(Y, Z, X) + g(R(Y, Z, W), X)P; X, Y, Z, P \in \chi(M^n)$$

where A is a non-zero 1-form,  $\nabla$  denotes the operator of covariant differentiation with respect to the metric tensor g and P is a vector field given by

(2) 
$$g(X,P) = A(X) \quad \forall X.$$

The 1-form A was called the associated 1-form of the manifold and such an n-dimensional manifold was denoted by  $(PS)_n$ . The vector field P defined by (2) is called the basic vector field corresponding to the associated 1-form A.

The object of this paper is to study a type of non-flat Riemannian manifold  $(M^n, g)(n > 2)$  whose curvature tensor R satisfies the condition

(3) 
$$(\nabla_X R)(Y, Z, W) = 2A(X)R(Y, Z, W) + B(Y)R(X, Z, W) + C(Z)R(Y, X, W) + D(W)R(Y, Z, X) + g(R(Y, Z, W), X)P$$

where A, B, C, D are non-zero 1-forms and  $\nabla$  and P have the meaning already mentioned.

M. C. Chaki

Such a manifold shall be called a generalised pseudo symmetric manifold, A, B, C, D shall be called its associated 1-forms and an *n*-dimensional manifold of this kind shall be denoted by  $G(PS)_n$ . Let

(4) 
$$g(X,\lambda) = B(X), g(X,\mu) = C(X) \text{ and}$$

$$g(X,\nu) = D(X) \qquad \forall X \in \chi(M)$$

Then  $P, \lambda, \mu, \nu \in \chi(M)$  shall be called the basic vector fields of  $G(PS)_n$ corresponding to the associated 1-forms A, B, C, D, respectively. If, in particular, B = C = D = A, then the manifold defined by (3) reduces to a pseudo symmetric manifold defined by (1). This justifies the name "Generalized pseudo symmetric manifold" and the use of the symbol  $G(PS)_n$ . It may be mentioned in this connection that following my paper [1], TAMÁSSY and BINH [2] studied a type of Riemannian manifold (M, g) whose curvature tensor R satisfies the condition

(5) 
$$(\nabla_X R)(Y, Z, W) = \alpha(X)R(Y, Z, W) + \beta(Y)R(X, Z, W) + \gamma(Z)R(Y, X, W) + \delta(W)R(Y, Z, X) + g(R(Y, Z, W), X)F$$

where  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$  are 1-forms and F any vector field. They called such a manifold weakly symmetric. (5) is a little weaker assumption than (3). (5) gives (3) if  $\alpha$  and F are related by  $g(X, F) = \alpha \ \forall X$ . Though the definition of a  $G(PS)_n$  is similar to that of a weakly symmetric manifold mentioned above, our study of a  $G(PS)_n$  is different from that of Tamássy and Binh.

In this paper the question whether a  $G(PS)_n$  can be of constant curvature has been answered. Considering an Einstein  $G(PS)_n$  it is shown that such a manifold is necessarily of zero scalar curvature under a certain condition. Further, an interesting result of paper [1] for a conformally flat  $(PS)_n$  has been generalised for a conformally flat  $G(PS)_n$ . Finally, it is shown that if a  $G(PS)_n$  admits a parallel vector field which is not orthogonal to the basic vector field P, then the manifold cannot be conformally flat.

### 1. Preliminaries

Let L be the symmetric endomorphism of the tangent space at each point of a  $G(PS)_n$  corresponding to the Ricci tensor S of type (0, 2). Then

(1.1) 
$$g(LX,Y) = S(X,Y) \quad \forall X,Y \in \chi(M).$$

Further, let

(1.2) 
$$A(X) = A(LX), \quad B(X) = B(LX),$$
  
 $\bar{C}(X) = C(LX), \quad \bar{D}(X) = D(LX).$ 

306

Then the 1-forms  $\overline{A}$ ,  $\overline{B}$ ,  $\overline{C}$ ,  $\overline{D}$  shall be called the auxiliary associated 1forms of a  $G(PS)_n$  corresponding to the forms A, B, C, D, respectively. Establishing the inner product of both sides of (3) with a vector  $U \in \chi(M^n)$  and then contracting over Z and W, we get

(1.3) 
$$(\nabla_X S)(Y,U) = 2A(X)S(Y,U) + B(Y)S(X,U) + C(R(X,Y,U)) + D(R(X,U,Y)) + A(U)S(X,Y).$$

Next, contracting (1.3) over Y and U, we obtain

(1.4) 
$$dr(X) = 2A(X)r + S(X, P) + S(X, \lambda) + S(X, \mu) + S(X, \nu),$$

where r is the scalar curvature. Using the notations  $P + \lambda + \mu + \nu = \rho$ and A + B + C + D = E, we obtain  $\overline{E}(X) = E(LX) = S(X, \rho)$  and then (1.4) takes the form

(1.4') 
$$dr(X) = 2A(X)r + S(X,\rho) = 2A(X)r + \bar{E}(X).$$

From (1.4') we get

$$ddr(X,Y) = 2rdA(X,Y) + 2\bar{E}(X)A(Y) - 2\bar{E}(Y)A(X) + d\bar{E}(X,Y).$$

Since ddr(X) = 0, we obtain

(1.5) 
$$rdA(X,Y) + [\bar{E}(X)A(Y) - \bar{E}(Y)A(X)] + \frac{1}{2}d\bar{E}(X,Y) = 0.$$

These formulas will be used in the sequel.

# 2. $G(PS)_n$ of non-zero constant scalar curvature

We suppose that in a  $G(PS)_n$  the scalar curvature r is a constant different from zero. Then from (1.4') we get

$$2A(X)r + \bar{E}(X) = 0$$
 or  $\bar{E}(X) = -2A(X)r$ .

From this it follows that

(2.1) 
$$S(X,\rho) = -2rA(X).$$

If, in particular, B = C = D = A, then  $S(X, \rho) = 4\overline{A}(X)$  and (2.1) takes the form  $4\overline{A}(X) = -2rA(X)$ , from which we get

**Theorem 1.** In a  $G(PS)_n$  of non-zero constant scalar curvature, in which B = C = D = A we obtain.

$$\bar{A}(X) = -\left(\frac{r}{2}\right)A(X).$$

This result has already been obtained in paper [1] of the author.

M. C. Chaki

3. Einstein 
$$G(PS)_n$$
  $(n > 2)$ 

In this section we suppose that a  $G(PS)_n$  is an Einstein manifold. Then

(3.1) 
$$S(X,Y) = \frac{r}{n}g(X,Y).$$

It is known [3] that in an Einstein manifold  $(M^n, g)$  (n > 2)r is constant. Hence in this case dr(X) = 0. Therefore from (1.4) it follows that

$$2A(X)r + S(X, P) + S(X, \lambda) + S(X, \mu) + S(X, \nu) = 0$$

Or, in virtue of (3.1) we have

$$2A(X)r + \frac{r}{n}[A(X) + B(X) + C(X) + D(X)] = 0,$$

or

(3.2) 
$$[(2n+1)A(X) + B(X) + C(X) + D(X)]r = 0.$$

From (3.2) it follows that if

(3.3) 
$$(2n+1)A(X) + B(X) + C(X) + D(X) \neq 0$$
, then  $r = 0$ .

This leads to the following theorem:

**Theorem 2.** An Einstein  $G(PS)_n$  satisfying the condition (3.3) is of zero scalar curvature.

If, in particular, B = C = D = A, then the  $G(PS)_n$  reduces to a  $(PS)_n$  and the expression (2n+1)A(X) + B(X) + C(X) + D(X) takes the form 2(n+2)A(X) which is not zero, because  $A(X) \neq 0$ . Thus it follows that an Einstein  $(PS)_n$  with n > 2 is of zero scalar curvature — a result already proved by the author in his paper [1]. It is known that a manifold of constant curvature is an Einstein manifold, but the converse is not, in general, true. The question therefore arises whether a  $G(PS)_n$  can be of constant curvature.

Suppose that a  $G(PS)_n$  is of constant curvature. Then we can write

(3.4) 
$$R(X,Y,Z) = \kappa[g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y]$$

where  $\kappa$  is constant. Being of constant curvature, the  $G(PS)_n$  under consideration is an Einstein manifold. Hence if (3.3) holds, then according to Theorem 2, r = 0. Therefore  $\kappa = 0$ , because from (3.4) we easily get  $r = \kappa n(n-1)$  by contraction.

Consequently, from (3.4) it follows that R(X, Y, Z) = 0, that is, the manifold is flat. But this is not admissible by the definition of a  $G(PS)_n$ . Therefore in answer to the question raised above we can state the following theorem:

308

**Theorem 3.** A  $G(PS)_n$  satisfying the condition (3.3) cannot be of constant curvature.

Since a 3-dimensional Einstein manifold is of constant curvature ([3] p. 293), we can state the following corollary of Theorem 3.

**Corollary.** An Einstein  $G(PS)_n$  satisfying the condition  $7A(X) + B(X) + C(X) + D(X) \neq 0$  does not exist.

## 4. Conformally flat $G(PS)_n$ $(n \ge 3)$

It has been proved by the author elsewhere [1] that in a conformally flat  $(PS)_n$ , the associated 1-form A is proportional to the auxiliary associated 1-form  $\overline{A}$ . It is therefore narural to enquire about the nature of generalisation of this result for a conformally flat  $G(PS)_n$ . An answer to this enquiry is given in this section.

It is known ([4] p. 91) that in a conformally flat  $(M^n, g)(n \ge 3)$ 

(4.1) 
$$(\nabla_X S)(Y, Z) - (\nabla_Z S)(Y, X)$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{2(n-1)} [dr(X)g(Y, Z) - dr(Z)g(X, Y)].$ 

In virtue of (1.4) the equation (4.1) can be written as follows:

$$2(n-1)[(\nabla_X S)(Y,Z) - (\nabla_Z S)(Y,X) = 2r[A(X)g(Y,Z) - A(Z)g(X,Y)]$$
  
(4.2) +  $[\bar{A}(X)g(Y,Z) - \bar{A}(Z)g(X,Y)] + [\bar{B}(X)g(Y,Z) - \bar{B}(Z)g(X,Y)]$   
+  $[\bar{C}(X)g(Y,Z) - \bar{C}(Z)g(X,Y)] + [\bar{D}(X)g(Y,Z) - \bar{D}(Z)g(X,Y)]$ 

Again in virtue of (1.3)

$$(\nabla_X S)(Y,Z) - (\nabla_Z S)(Y,X) = A(X)S(Y,Z) - A(Z)S(Y,X)$$
  
+  $C(R(X,Z,Y)) + 2D(R(X,Z,Y)).$ 

Therefore

$$(4.3) \qquad 2(n-1)[(\nabla_X S)(Y,Z) - (\nabla_Z S)(Y,X)] \\ = 2(n-1)A(X)S(Y,Z) - 2(n-1)A(Z)S(Y,X) \\ + 2(n-1)C(R(X,Z,Y) + 4(n-1)D(R(X,Z,Y))).$$

M. C. Chaki

From (4.2) and (4.3) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & 2(n-1)A(X)S(Y,Z) - 2(n-1)A(Z)S(Y,X) \\ & + 2(n-1)C(R(X,Z,Y)) + 4(n-1)D(R(X,Z,Y)) \\ & = 2r[A(X)g(Y,Z) - A(Z)g(X,Y)] \\ & + [\bar{A}(X)g(Y,Z) - \bar{A}(Z)g(X,Y)] \\ & + [\bar{B}(X)g(Y,Z) - \bar{B}(Z)g(X,Y)] \\ & + [\bar{C}(X)g(Y,Z) - \bar{C}(Z)g(X,Y)] \\ & + [\bar{D}(X)g(Y,Z) - \bar{D}(Z)g(X,Y)] \end{aligned}$$

Again in a conformally flat  $(M^n, g)$  (n > 2)

(4.5)  

$$R(X, Z, Y, W) = \frac{1}{n-2} [S(Y, Z)g(X, W) - S(X, Y)g(Z, W) + S(X, W)g(Y, Z) - S(Z, W)g(X, Y)] + \frac{r}{(n-1)(n-2)} [g(X, Y)g(Z, W) - g(Y, Z)g(X, W)]$$

where

$$(4.6) R(X, Z, Y, W) = g[R(X, Z, Y), W]$$

In virtue of (4.5) we get

$$(4.7) \begin{aligned} & 2(n-1)[C(R(X,Z,Y))+2D(R(X,Z,Y))] \\ & = \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2}[S(Y,Z)\{C(X)+2D(X)\}-S(X,Y)\{C(Z)+2D(Z)\}\} \\ & +g(Y,Z)\{\bar{C}(X)+2\bar{D}(X)\}-g(X,Y)\{\bar{C}(Z)+2\bar{D}(Z)\}] \\ & +\frac{2r}{n-2}[g(X,Y)\{C(Z)+2D(Z)\}-g(Y,Z)\{C(X)+2D(X)\}]. \end{aligned}$$

310

In virtue of (4.7) we can express (4.4) as follows:

$$2(n-1)S(Y,Z)[(n-2)A(X) + C(X) + 2D(X)] - 2(n-1)S(X,Y)[(n-2)A(Z) + C(Z) + 2D(Z)] + g(Y,Z)[2(n-1)\bar{C}(X) + 4(n-1)\bar{D}(X) (4.8) - (n-2)\{\bar{A}(X) + \bar{B}(X) + \bar{C}(X) + \bar{D}(X)\}] - g(X,Y)[2(n-1)\bar{C}(Z) + 4(n-1)\bar{D}(Z) - (n-2)\{\bar{A}(Z) + \bar{B}(Z) + \bar{C}(Z) + \bar{D}(Z)\}] = 2r[g(Y,Z)\{(n-2)A(X) + 2C(X) + 4D(X)\} - g(X,Y)\{(n-2)A(Z) + 2C(Z) + 4D(Z)\}]$$

Putting Y = P in (4.8) we obtain

$$(n-1)[2C(X)\bar{A}(Z) - 2C(Z)\bar{A}(X) + 4D(X)\bar{A}(Z) - 4D(Z)\bar{A}(X)] + (n-1)[2\bar{C}(X)A(Z) - 2\bar{C}(Z)A(X) + 4\bar{D}(X)A(Z) - 4\bar{D}(Z)A(X)] (4.9) - (n-2)[A(Z)\{(-2n+1)\bar{A}(X) + \bar{B}(X) + \bar{C}(X) + \bar{D}(X)\} - A(X)\{(2n+1)\bar{A}(Z) + \bar{B}(Z) + \bar{C}(Z) + \bar{D}(Z)\}] = 2r[2C(X)A(Z) - 2C(Z)A(X) + 4D(X)A(Z) - 4D(Z)A(X)].$$

This is the required generalization.

We can therefore state the following theorem:

**Theorem 4.** In a conformally flat  $G(PS)_n$  the associated and the auxiliary associated 1-forms satisfy the relation (4.9).

If, in particular, B = C = D = A, then the  $G(PS)_n$  reduces to a  $(PS)_n$  and the relation (4.9) takes the form

$$\bar{A}(X)A(Z) - \bar{A}(Z)A(X) = 0,$$

a result already proved by the author elsewhere [1].

# 5. $G(PS)_n$ admitting a parallel vector field

In this section we suppose that a  $G(PS)_n$  admits a parallel vector field V ([3] p. 124, [5] p. 322]).

Then

(5.1) 
$$(\nabla_X V) = 0 \quad \forall X \in \chi(G(PS)_n).$$

Applying Ricci identity to (5.1) we get

(5.2) R(X, Y, V) = 0.

From (5.2) it follows that

(5.3) 
$$R(X, Y, Z, V) = 0.$$

In virtue of (5.3) we get

$$(5.4) S(X,V) = 0$$

Now, by (5.1) and (5.4)

(5.5) 
$$(\nabla_X S)(Y, V) = \nabla_X S(Y, V) - S(\nabla_X Y, V) - S(Y, \nabla_X V) = 0$$

Again from (1.3) we get by (5.3) and (5.4)

(5.6) 
$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_X S)(Y,V) &= 2A(X)S(Y,V) + B(Y)S(X,V) \\ &+ R(Y,X,\mu,V) + R(Y,\nu,X,Y) + A(V)S(Y,X) = A(V)S(Y,X). \end{aligned}$$

From (5.5) and (5.6) we obtain

If  $A(V) \neq 0$ , i.e., if  $g(P, V) \neq 0$ , then from (5.7) we get S(Y, X) = 0. Hence

$$C(X, Y, Z) = R(X, Y, Z),$$

where  $\tilde{C}$  is Weyl's conformal curvature tensor. Therefore  $\tilde{C}(X, Y, Z) \neq 0$ , for otherwise R(X, Y, Z) will be zero implying that the manifold is flat which is inadmissible. Hence we can state the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.** If a  $G(PS)_n$  admits a parallel vector field which is not orthogonal to the basis vector field P, then the manifold cannot be conformally flat.

#### References

- M. C. CHAKI, On pseudo symmetric manifolds Analele Stiint, Univ. Al-I. Cuza 33 (1987), 53–58.
- [2] L. TAMÁSSY and T. Q. BINH, On weakly symmetric and weakly projective symmetric Riemannian Manifolds, Colloq. Math. János Bolyai 56 (1989), 663–670.
- [3] S. KOBAYASHI and K. NOMIZU, Foundations of Differential Geometry, Vol. 1., Interscience Publishers (1983).
- [4] L. P. EISENHART, Riemannian Geometry. (1926).
- [5] J. A. SCHOUTEN, Ricci Calculus (2nd Ed.), Springer-Verlag (1954).

M. C. CHAKI 27, SASHI BHUSAN DE STREET CALCUTTA-700 012 INDIA

(Received August 2, 1993; revised March 10, 1994)