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## 1. Introduction

In the present paper we obtain recursive formulae for the determination of all non-negative (that is $X \geq 0$ and $Y \geq 0$ ) integral solutions of
(F)

$$
X^{2}-d Y^{2}=C \quad(d \neq \square, C \neq 0)
$$

where $d \neq \square$ (non-square) is a natural number and $C$ is an integer $\neq 0$ (Theorem 2.3 for $C>0$ and Theorem 2.4 for $C<0$ below). Also, we obtain same recursive formulae (Theorem 2.6 below).

The special case $d=2$ and $C=2 k^{2}-1, k=0,1,2, \ldots$, of $(F)$ constitute the connecting link with the numbers of the form

$$
N(w) \equiv w^{2}+(w+1)^{2}
$$

(for $w=(X-1) / 2$, we have $N(w)=Y^{2}+k^{2}$ ).
In a fortcoming paper these recursive formulae will be used in the special case $d=2$ and $C=2 k^{2}-1$ for the complete determination of all composite numbers of the form $w^{2}+(w+1)^{2}$.

The expression $x_{1}+y_{1} \sqrt{d}$ will always denote the fundamental solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{2}-d y^{2}=1 \quad(d \neq \square) . \tag{P}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, $x_{n}+y_{n} \sqrt{d} n=0,1, \ldots$, will denote the sequece of all non-negative integral solutions of $(P)$. These solutions are given in [3, p. 439] by the
following recursive formulae:

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{n+1}=2 x_{1} x_{n}-x_{n-1}, & \text { where } \quad x_{0}=1 \quad \text { and } \quad x_{1}=x_{1},  \tag{1.1}\\
y_{n+1}=2 x_{1} y_{n}-y_{n-1}, & \text { where } \quad y_{0}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad y_{1}=y_{1}, \tag{1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $G$ be the group of all integral solutions of $(P)$. Let $Z \equiv X+Y \sqrt{d}$ be an integral solution of $(F)$. Consider the class

$$
A \equiv\{Z z \mid z \in G\}
$$

of solutions of $(F)$ represented by Z. Define

$$
\bar{A} \equiv\{-\bar{Z} z \mid z \in G\}
$$

Then $\bar{A}$ constitutes a class of solutions of $(F)$ represented by $-\bar{Z}$. This class $\bar{A}$ is called conjugate class of $A$. If $A \neq \bar{A}$ then $A$ is called genuine or not ambiguous class. If $A=\bar{A}$, then $A$ is called ambiguous [cf. 2, p. 205].

Let $Z^{*}=X^{*}+Y^{*} \sqrt{d}$ be the fundamental solution (as defined in Nagell in [2, p. 205]) of $(F)$ belonging to the class $A$, then

$$
A=\left\{Z^{*} z \mid z \in G\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad \bar{A}=\left\{-\bar{Z}^{*} z \mid z \in G\right\} .
$$

Theorem 1.1. The Diophantine equation $(F)$ has a finite number of classes of solutions. The fundamental solutions of all such classes are determined by the following (equivalent) inequalities in case $C>0$

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0<\left|X^{*}\right| \leq \sqrt{\left(x_{1}+1\right) C / 2},  \tag{1.3}\\
& 0 \leq Y^{*} \leq\left(y_{1} / \sqrt{2\left(x_{1}+1\right)}\right) \sqrt{C} \tag{1.4}
\end{align*}
$$

and by the followinq (equivalent) inequalities in case $C<0$

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0 \leq\left|X^{*}\right| \leq \sqrt{\left(x_{1}-1\right)(-C) / 2}  \tag{1.5}\\
& 0<Y^{*} \leq\left(y_{1} / \sqrt{2\left(x_{1}-1\right)}\right) \sqrt{(-C)} \tag{1.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, $A$ consists of all elements of the form

$$
X+Y \sqrt{d}=\left(X^{*}+Y^{*} \sqrt{d}\right)(x+y \sqrt{d})
$$

where $x+y \sqrt{d}$ ranges over the set of all integral solutions of $(P)$.
The Diophantine equation $(F)$ has no solution at all when it has no solution satisfying the inequalities (1.3) and (1.4) or (1.5) and (1.6) respectively.

Proof. See Theorem 109, in [2] (cf. also [1], [4] and [5]).
In case $C>0$ the recursive description of all non-negative integral solutions of $(F)$ belonging to a class of solutions $A$, is given by Theorem 2.1.

Its proof is based on Proposition 1.2. In the sequel $A$ will always denote an arbitrarily chosen fixious class of solutions of $(F)$ and $X^{*}+Y^{*} \sqrt{d}$ its fundamental solution.

Proposition 1.2. Consider the Diophantine equation $(F), C>0$. Let $A$ be a class of solutions with $X^{*}>0$. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{n}+Y_{n} \sqrt{d} \equiv\left(X^{*}+Y^{*} \sqrt{d}\right)\left(x_{n}+y_{n} \sqrt{d}\right) \quad \text { for all } \quad n=0,1, \ldots, \\
& X_{n}^{\prime}+Y_{n}^{\prime} \sqrt{d} \equiv\left(X^{*}-Y^{*} \sqrt{d}\right)\left(x_{n}+y_{n} \sqrt{d}\right) \quad \text { for all } \quad n=1,2, \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the set of all non-negative integral solutions of $(F)$ belonging to $A$ consists of all pairs ( $X_{n}, Y_{n}$ ), while the set of all non-negative (positive) integral solutions of $(F)$ belonging to $\bar{A}$ consists of all pairs $\left(X_{n}^{\prime}, Y_{n}^{\prime}\right)$.

Proof. By Theorem 1.1 the class $A$ consists of all elements having one of the following typical forms:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(X^{*}+Y^{*} \sqrt{d}\right)\left(x_{n}+y_{n} \sqrt{d}\right) & =\left(x_{n} X^{*}+d y_{n} Y^{*}\right)+\left(y_{n} X^{*}+x_{n} Y^{*}\right) \sqrt{d} \\
& \equiv X_{n}+Y_{n} \sqrt{d} \\
\left(X^{*}+Y^{*} \sqrt{d}\right)\left(-x_{n}-y_{n} \sqrt{d}\right) & =-X_{n}-Y_{n} \sqrt{d} \\
\left(X^{*}+Y^{*} \sqrt{d}\right)\left(-x_{n}+y_{n} \sqrt{d}\right) & =-\left(x_{n} X^{*}-d y_{n} Y^{*}\right)+\left(y_{n} X^{*}-x_{n} Y^{*}\right) \sqrt{d} \\
& \equiv-X_{n}^{\prime}+Y_{n}^{\prime} \sqrt{d} \\
\left(X^{*}+Y^{*} \sqrt{d}\right)\left(x_{n}-y_{n} \sqrt{d}\right) & =X_{n}^{\prime}-Y_{n}^{\prime} \sqrt{d}
\end{aligned}
$$

Also, $\bar{A}$ consists of all elements having one of the following typical forms:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(-X^{*}+Y^{*} \sqrt{d}\right)\left(x_{n}+y_{n} \sqrt{d}\right) & =-X_{n}^{\prime}-Y_{n}^{\prime} \sqrt{d} \\
\left(-X^{*}+Y^{*} \sqrt{d}\right)\left(-x_{n}-y_{n} \sqrt{d}\right) & =X_{n}^{\prime}+Y_{n}^{\prime} \sqrt{d} \\
\left(-X^{*}+Y^{*} \sqrt{d}\right)\left(-x_{n}+y_{n} \sqrt{d}\right) & =X_{n}-Y_{n} \sqrt{d} \\
\left(-X^{*}+Y^{*} \sqrt{d}\right)\left(x_{n}-y_{n} \sqrt{d}\right) & =-X_{n}+Y_{n} \sqrt{d}
\end{aligned}
$$

The following hold true:

$$
\begin{align*}
X_{n} & =x_{n} X^{*}+d y_{n} Y^{*}>0  \tag{1.7}\\
Y_{n} & =y_{n} X^{*}+x_{n} Y^{*} \geq 0  \tag{1.8}\\
X_{n}^{\prime} & =x_{n} X^{*}-d y_{n} Y^{*}>0 \tag{1.9}
\end{align*}
$$

The last equality holds true because $x_{n}>y_{n} \sqrt{d}$ and $X^{*}>Y^{*} \sqrt{d}$.
It will be proved that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{n}^{\prime}=y_{n} X^{*}-x_{n} Y^{*}>0 \quad \text { for every } \quad n=1,2, \ldots \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, by (1.4) we deduce that

$$
Y^{*^{2}} \leq\left(y_{1}^{2} C\right) /\left(2\left(x_{1}+1\right)\right)<y_{1}^{2} C \leq y_{n}^{2}\left(X^{*^{2}}-d Y^{*^{2}}\right) \quad \text { for every } \quad n \geq 1
$$

that is

$$
\left(y_{n} X^{*}\right)^{2}-\left(x_{n} Y^{*}\right)^{2}>0
$$

Hence

$$
y_{n} X^{*}-x_{n} Y^{*}>0, \quad \text { that is } \quad Y_{n}^{\prime}>0
$$

From (1.7), (1.8), (1.9) and (1.10) follows the desired conclusion.
In the sequel $X_{n}, X_{n}^{\prime}, Y_{n}, Y_{n}^{\prime}$ will have the same meaning as in Proposition 1.2.

## 2. Study of the generalized Fermat equation

Theorem 2.1. Consider the Diophantine equation $(F), C>0$. Let $A$ be a class of solutions with $X^{*}>0$. Then the sequence of all non-negative integral solutions of $(F)$ belonging to $A$ is determined by the following recursive formulae:

$$
\begin{align*}
X_{n+1} & =2 x_{1} X_{n}-X_{n-1}, \text { where } X_{0}=X^{*} \text { and } X_{1}=x_{1} X^{*}+d y_{1} Y^{*},  \tag{2.1}\\
Y_{n+1} & =2 x_{1} Y_{n}-Y_{n-1}, \quad \text { where } Y_{0}=Y^{*} \text { and } Y_{1}=y_{1} X^{*}+x_{1} Y^{*} . \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Also, the sequence of all non-negative (positive) integral solutions of ( $F$ ) belonging to $\bar{A}$ is determined by the following recursive formulae:

$$
\begin{align*}
X_{n+1}^{\prime} & =2 x_{1} X_{n}^{\prime}-X_{n-1}^{\prime}, \text { where } X_{0}^{\prime}=X^{*} \text { and } X_{1}^{\prime}=x_{1} X^{*}-d y_{1} Y^{*}  \tag{2.3}\\
Y_{n+1}^{\prime} & =2 x_{1} Y_{n}^{\prime}-Y_{n-1}^{\prime}, \quad \text { where } Y_{0}^{\prime}=-Y^{*} \text { and } Y_{1}^{\prime}=y_{1} X^{*}-x_{1} Y^{*} \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. It is easily seen, because of Proposition 1.2 , that the nonnegative solutions of $A$ and $\bar{A}$ satisfy the recursive formulae (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3), (2.4) respectively. We now use Proposition 1.2 to prove the reverse side of the theorem. It will be proved that

$$
\begin{align*}
X_{n}+Y_{n} \sqrt{d} & =\left(X^{*}+Y^{*} \sqrt{d}\right)\left(x_{n}+y_{n} \sqrt{d}\right)  \tag{2.5}\\
& =\left(x_{n} X^{*}+d y_{n} Y^{*}\right)+\left(y_{n} X^{*}+x_{n} Y^{*}\right) \sqrt{d}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $n=0,1, \ldots$.
Clearly (2.5) is true for $n=0,1$. Suppose that (2.5) holds true for every index less than $n+1$. (Induction hypothesis). It will be proved that
(2.5) holds true for $n+1$. In fact;

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{n+1}+Y_{n+1} \sqrt{d} & =2 x_{1} X_{n}-X_{n-1}+\left(2 x_{1} Y_{n}-Y_{n-1}\right) \sqrt{d} \\
& =\left(X^{*}+Y^{*} \sqrt{d}\right)\left(x_{n}+y_{n} \sqrt{d}\right)\left(2 x_{1}-\left(x_{1}-y_{1} \sqrt{d}\right)\right) \\
& =\left(X^{*}+Y^{*} \sqrt{d}\right)\left(x_{n+1}+y_{n+1} \sqrt{d}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Evidently $X_{n}>0$ and $Y_{n} \geq 0$. Hence, every pair $\left(X_{n}, Y_{n}\right)$ is a nonnegative integral solution of $(F)$ belonging to $A$.

In a similar way to the proof of $(2.5)$ it can be proved that

$$
X_{n}^{\prime}+Y_{n}^{\prime} \sqrt{d}=\left(X^{*}-Y^{*} \sqrt{d}\right)\left(x_{n}+y_{n} \sqrt{d}\right) \quad \text { for all } \quad n=1,2, \ldots
$$

Furthermore, by (1.9) and (1.10), we deduce that $X_{n}^{\prime}>0$ and $Y_{n}^{\prime}>0$ for all $n=1,2, \ldots$ Hence, every pair $\left(X_{n}^{\prime}, Y_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ is a non-negative (positive) integral solution of $(F)$ belonging to $\bar{A}$.

The set of all non-negative integral solutions of $(F)$, for $C>0$, is determined in Theorem 2.3 whose proof is based (inter alia) on Proposition 2.2. A similar determination for $C<0$ is described in Theorem 2.4.

Proposition 2.2. Consider the Diophantine equation $(F), C>0$. Let $A$ be a class of solutions with $X^{*}>0$. Then the following hold true:
(i) $Y_{n+1}>Y_{n} \geq 0$ for every $n=0,1, \ldots$.
(ii) Let $Y^{*}>0$. Then $Y_{n+1}^{\prime} \geq Y_{n}>Y_{n}^{\prime}>0$ for every $n=1,2, \ldots$.
(iii) Let $Y^{*}=0$. Then $Y_{n}=Y_{n}^{\prime}$ for every $n=0,1, \ldots$.
(iv) Let $A$ be genuine. Then

$$
Y_{n+1}^{\prime}>Y_{n}>Y_{n}^{\prime}>0 \quad \text { for all } \quad n=1,2, \ldots
$$

(v) Let $A$ be ambiguous. Then for every $m$ there exists $n$ such that:

$$
X_{m}^{\prime}=X_{n} \quad \text { and } \quad Y_{m}^{\prime}=Y_{n}
$$

Proof. i)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Y_{n+1}=y_{n+1} X^{*}+x_{n+1} Y^{*}=\left(x_{1} y_{n}+x_{n} y_{1}\right) X^{*}+\left(x_{1} x_{n}+d y_{1} y_{n}\right) Y^{*} \\
& =y_{n}\left(x_{1} X^{*}+d y_{1} Y^{*}\right)+x_{n}\left(y_{1} X^{*}+x_{1} Y^{*}\right)>y_{n} X^{*}+x_{n} Y^{*}=Y_{n} \geq 0 \\
& \quad \text { that is } Y_{n+1}>Y_{n} \geq 0 \quad \text { for every } n=0,1, \ldots
\end{aligned} \quad \begin{aligned}
& \text { ii) }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{n+1}^{\prime}=y_{n}\left(x_{1} X^{*}-d y_{1} Y^{*}\right)+x_{n}\left(y_{1} X^{*}-x_{1} Y^{*}\right) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, $-X^{*}+Y^{*} \sqrt{d}$ is the fundamental solution of $\bar{A}$, while,

$$
x_{1} X^{*}-d y_{1} Y^{*}+\left(y_{1} X^{*}-x_{1} Y^{*}\right) \sqrt{d}=\left(-X^{*}+Y^{*} \sqrt{d}\right)\left(-x_{1}-y_{1} \sqrt{d}\right)
$$

is (by (1.9) and (1.10)) a positive integral solution of $(F)$ belonging to $\bar{A}$. Hence, by Definition of fundamental solution, we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.y_{1} X^{*}-x_{1} Y^{*} \geq Y^{*} \quad \text { (and equivalently } \quad x_{1} X^{*}-d y_{1} Y^{*} \geq X^{*}\right) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.6) and (2.7) we deduce:

$$
Y_{n+1}^{\prime} \geq Y_{n}>Y_{n}^{\prime}>0 \quad \text { for every } \quad n=1,2, \ldots
$$

iii) By the definition of $Y_{n}$ and $Y_{n}^{\prime}$.
iv) It will be proved that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1} X^{*}-d y_{1} Y^{*}>X^{*} \quad \text { and } \quad y_{1} X^{*}-x_{1} Y^{*}>Y^{*} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact; by (2.7) we have:

$$
x_{1} X^{*}-d y_{1} Y^{*} \geq X^{*}>0 \quad \text { and } \quad y_{1} X^{*}-x_{1} Y^{*} \geq Y^{*} \geq 0
$$

Assume that (2.8) is not true. Then
i.e.

$$
x_{1} X^{*}-d y_{1} Y^{*}=X^{*} \quad \text { and } \quad y_{1} X^{*}-x_{1} Y^{*}=Y^{*}
$$

$$
\left(X^{*}-Y^{*} \sqrt{d}\right)\left(x_{1}+y_{1} \sqrt{d}\right)=X^{*}+Y^{*} \sqrt{d}
$$

which condradicts the assumption, because $A$ is genuine. Hence (2.8) holds true. Thus by (2.6) we obtain:

$$
Y_{n+1}^{\prime}>Y_{n}>Y_{n}^{\prime}>0
$$

v) Evident by the assumption $A=\bar{A}$.

Theorem 2.3. Consider the Diophantine equation $(F), C>0$. Let $X_{r}^{*}+Y_{r}^{*} \sqrt{d}$, where $r=1,2, \ldots, m$, be the only integral solutions of $(F)$ such that:

$$
0<X_{r}^{*} \leq \sqrt{\left(x_{1}+1\right) C / 2} \quad \text { and } \quad 0 \leq Y_{r}^{*} \leq y_{1} \sqrt{C} / \sqrt{2\left(x_{1}+1\right)}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
X_{n}+Y_{n} \sqrt{d} \equiv\left(X_{r}^{*}+Y_{r}^{*} \sqrt{d}\right)\left(x_{n}+y_{n} \sqrt{d}\right) \quad \text { for all } \quad n=0,1, \ldots, \\
X_{n}^{\prime}+Y_{n}^{\prime} \sqrt{d} \equiv\left(X_{r}^{*}-Y_{r}^{*} \sqrt{d}\right)\left(x_{n}+y_{n} \sqrt{d}\right) \quad \text { for all } \quad n=1,2, \ldots
\end{array}
$$

(For a typical $r$ ).
Then the set of all non-negative integral solutions of $(F)$ consists of all pairs $\left(X_{n}, Y_{n}\right)$ together with all pairs $\left(X_{n}^{\prime}, Y_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ for all respective genuine classes $A_{r}$ in addition to all pairs $\left(X_{n}, Y_{n}\right)$ for all respective ambiguous
classes $B_{r}$. Moreover, $X_{n}, Y_{n}, X_{n}^{\prime}$ and $Y_{n}^{\prime}$ are determined by the following recursive formulae:

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{n+1}= & 2 x_{1} X_{n}-X_{n-1} \quad \text { for } \quad n=1,2, \ldots \quad \text { with } \\
& X_{0}=X_{r}^{*}, X_{1}=x_{1} X_{r}^{*}+d y_{1} Y_{r}^{*} \quad \text { and } \quad r=1,2, \ldots, m \\
Y_{n+1}= & 2 x_{1} Y_{n}-Y_{n-1} \quad \text { for } \quad n=1,2, \ldots \quad \text { with } \\
& Y_{0}=Y_{r}^{*}, Y_{1}=y_{1} X_{r}^{*}+x_{1} Y_{r}^{*} \quad \text { and } \quad r=1,2, \ldots, m \\
X_{n+1}^{\prime}= & 2 x_{1} X_{n}^{\prime}-X_{n-1}^{\prime} \quad \text { for } \quad n=1,2, \ldots \quad \text { with } \\
& X_{0}^{\prime}=X_{r}^{*}, X_{1}^{\prime}=x_{1} X_{r}^{*}-d y_{1} Y_{r}^{*} \quad \text { and } \quad r=1,2, \ldots, m \\
Y_{n+1}^{\prime}= & 2 x_{1} Y_{n}^{\prime}-Y_{n-1}^{\prime} \quad \text { for } \quad n=1,2, \ldots \quad \text { with } \\
& Y_{0}^{\prime}=-Y_{r}^{*}, Y_{1}^{\prime}=y_{1} X_{r}^{*}-x_{1} Y_{r}^{*} \quad \text { and } \quad r=1,2, \ldots, m
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. By using Proposition 2.2 and Theorems 1.1 and 2.1.
Theorem 2.4. Consider the Diophantine equation $(F), C<0$. Let $X_{r}^{*}+Y_{r}^{*} \sqrt{d}$, where $r=1,2, \ldots, m$, be the only integral solutions of $(F)$ such that:

$$
0 \leq X_{r}^{*} \leq \sqrt{\left(x_{1}-1\right)(-C) / 2} \quad \text { and } \quad 0<Y_{r}^{*} \leq y_{1} \sqrt{(-C)} / \sqrt{2\left(x_{1}-1\right)}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{n}+Y_{n} \sqrt{d} \equiv\left(X_{r}^{*}+Y_{r}^{*} \sqrt{d}\right)\left(x_{n}+y_{n} \sqrt{d}\right) \quad \text { for all } \quad n=0,1, \ldots, \\
& X_{n}^{\prime \prime}+Y_{n}^{\prime \prime} \sqrt{d} \equiv\left(-X_{r}^{*}+Y_{r}^{*} \sqrt{d}\right)\left(x_{n}+y_{n} \sqrt{d}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { for all } n=1,2, \ldots . \quad(\text { For a typical } r)
$$

Then the set of all non-negative integral solutions of $(F)$ consists of all pairs $\left(X_{n}, Y_{n}\right)$ together with all pairs $\left(X_{n}^{\prime \prime}, Y_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ for all respective genuine classes $A_{r}$ in addition to all pairs $\left(X_{n}, Y_{n}\right)$ for all respective ambiguous classes $B_{r}$. Moreover, $X_{n}, Y_{n}, X_{n}^{\prime \prime}$ and $Y_{n}^{\prime \prime}$ are determined by the following recursive formulae:

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{n+1}= & 2 x_{1} X_{n}-X_{n-1} \quad \text { for } \quad n=1,2, \ldots \quad \text { with } \\
& X_{0}=X_{r}^{*}, X_{1}=x_{1} X_{r}^{*}+d y_{1} Y_{r}^{*} \quad \text { and } \quad r=1,2, \ldots, m \\
Y_{n+1}= & 2 x_{1} Y_{n}-Y_{n-1} \quad \text { for } n=1,2, \ldots \quad \text { with } \\
& Y_{0}=Y_{r}^{*}, Y_{1}=y_{1} X_{r}^{*}+x_{1} Y_{r}^{*} \quad \text { and } \quad r=1,2, \ldots, m \\
X_{n+1}^{\prime \prime}= & 2 x_{1} X_{n}^{\prime \prime}-X_{n-1}^{\prime \prime} \quad \text { for } n=1,2, \ldots \quad \text { with } \\
& X_{0}^{\prime \prime}=-X_{r}^{*}, X_{1}^{\prime \prime}=-x_{1} X_{r}^{*}+d y_{1} Y_{r}^{*} \quad \text { and } \quad r=1,2, \ldots, m .
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y_{n+1}^{\prime \prime}= & 2 x_{1} Y_{n}^{\prime \prime}-Y_{n-1}^{\prime \prime} \quad \text { for } \quad n=1,2, \ldots \quad \text { with } \\
& Y_{0}^{\prime \prime}=Y_{r}^{*}, \quad Y_{1}^{\prime \prime}=-y_{1} X_{r}^{*}+x_{1} Y_{r}^{*} \quad \text { and } \quad r=1,2, \ldots, m .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Similar to the proof of Theorem 2.3.
Our next Theorem 2.5 provides a recursive determination of all $Y^{2}$ for the elements $X+Y \sqrt{d}$ comprising the set of all absolutely distinct solutions of a class of $(F)$. [Any two solutions $X+Y \sqrt{d}$ and $X^{\prime}+Y^{\prime} \sqrt{d}$ of $(F)$ are considered as absolutely the same whenever $|X|=\left|X^{\prime}\right|$ and $\left.|Y|=\left|Y^{\prime}\right|\right]$. A similar recursive determination of all $Y^{2}+k^{2}$, for a fixed integer $k$ (and $Y$ etc. as above) is provided by Theorem 2.6 whose proof is a direct consequence of that of Theorem 2.5.

Theorem 2.5. Consider the Diophantine equation ( $F$ ). Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{n}+Y_{n} \sqrt{d} \equiv\left(X^{*}+Y^{*} \sqrt{d}\right)\left(x_{1}+y_{1} \sqrt{d}\right)^{n} \\
& X_{n}^{\prime}+Y_{n}^{\prime} \sqrt{d} \equiv\left(X^{*}-Y^{*} \sqrt{d}\right)\left(x_{1}+y_{1} \sqrt{d}\right)^{n} \quad \text { for all } \quad n=0,1, \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $P_{n} \equiv Y_{n}^{2}$ and $P_{n}^{\prime} \equiv Y_{n}^{\prime^{2}}$ for all $n=0,1, \ldots$ Then the numbers $P_{n}, P_{n}^{\prime}$ are determined by the following recursive formulae:

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{n+1}= & 2 x_{2} P_{n}-P_{n-1}+2 y_{1}^{2} C, \text { where } P_{0}=Y^{*^{2}} \text { and }  \tag{2.9}\\
& P_{1}=\left(x_{1} Y^{*}+y_{1} X^{*}\right)^{2}, \\
P_{n+1}^{\prime}= & 2 x_{2} P_{n}^{\prime}-P_{n-1}^{\prime}+2 y_{1}^{2} C, \text { where }  \tag{2.10}\\
& P_{0}^{\prime}=Y^{*^{2}} \text { and } P_{1}^{\prime}=\left(y_{1} X^{*}-x_{1} Y^{*}\right)^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. First we prove that the numbers $P_{n}, P_{n}^{\prime}$ satisfy the above mentioned recursive formulae. Let $Z^{*}=X^{*}+Y^{*} \sqrt{d}, z_{n}=\left(x_{1}+y_{1} \sqrt{d}\right)^{n}=$ $z_{1}^{n}=x_{n}+y_{n} \sqrt{d}, Z_{n}=Z^{*} z_{n}$ and $Z_{n}^{\prime}=\bar{Z}^{*} z_{n}$.

The following hold true:

$$
Z_{n}^{2}=Z^{*^{2}} z_{1}^{2 n}=Z^{*^{2}} z_{2 n} \quad \text { and } \quad Z^{*^{2}}=X^{*^{2}}+d Y^{*^{2}}+2 X^{*} Y^{*} \sqrt{d}
$$

Let $X_{2}^{*} \equiv X^{*^{2}}+d Y^{*^{2}}$ and $Y_{2}^{*} \equiv 2 X^{*} Y^{*}$. Then

$$
\left(X_{n}+Y_{n} \sqrt{d}\right)^{2}=\left(X_{2}^{*}+Y_{2}^{*} \sqrt{d}\right)\left(x_{2 n}+y_{2 n} \sqrt{d}\right)
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{n}^{2}+d Y_{n}^{2}=X_{2}^{*} x_{2 n}+d Y_{2}^{*} y_{2 n} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also,

$$
X_{n}^{2}-d Y_{n}^{2}=C
$$

Therefore

$$
2 d Y_{n}^{2}=X_{2}^{*} x_{2 n}+d Y_{2}^{*} y_{2 n}-C
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{2 n} \equiv X_{2}^{*} x_{2 n}+d Y_{2}^{*} y_{2 n} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

But $P_{n}=Y_{n}^{2}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{2 n}=2 d P_{n}+C \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also,

$$
z_{m+2}=z_{m} z_{2} \quad \text { and } \quad z_{m-2}=z_{m} \bar{z}_{2}
$$

Hence we deduce:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{m+2}=2 x_{2} x_{m}-x_{m-2} \quad \text { and } \quad y_{m+2}=2 x_{2} y_{m}-y_{m-2} . \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.12) and (2.14) we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{2 n+2}=2 x_{2} Q_{2 n}-Q_{2 n-2} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.13) we have:

$$
2 x_{2} Q_{2 n}-Q_{2(n-1)}=2 d P_{n+1}+C,
$$

that is

$$
2 x_{2}\left(2 d P_{n}+C\right)-2 d P_{n-1}-C=2 d P_{n+1}+C,
$$

and so

$$
P_{n+1}=2 x_{2} P_{n}-P_{n-1}+C\left(x_{2}-1\right) / d
$$

Also $x_{2}=x_{1}^{2}+d y_{1}^{2}$, that is $\left(x_{2}-1\right) / d=2 y_{1}^{2}$. Hence

$$
P_{n+1}=2 x_{2} P_{n}-P_{n-1}+2 C y_{1}^{2}
$$

In a similar way as above we deduce:

$$
P_{n+1}^{\prime}=2 x_{2} P_{n}^{\prime}-P_{n-1}^{\prime}+2 C y_{1}^{2}
$$

Also, the initial conditions $P_{0}=Y^{*^{2}}$ etc are proved directly by the definitions of $P_{n}$ and $P_{n}^{\prime}$ for $n=0,1$.

Consider now the sequences $P_{n}, P_{n}^{\prime}$ defined by (2.9) and (2.10). We shall prove that $P_{n}=Y_{n}^{2}$ and $P_{n}^{\prime}=Y_{n}^{\prime 2}$. Clearly

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n}=Y_{n}^{2} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

is true for $n=0,1$. Suppose that (2.16) holds true for every index less than $n+1$. (Induction hypothesis). It will be proved that (2.16) holds true for $n+1$. In fact;

$$
2 y_{1}^{2}=\left(x_{2}-1\right) / d
$$

hence

$$
2 d P_{n+1}=2 x_{2} 2 d P_{n}-2 d P_{n-1}+2\left(x_{2}-1\right) C .
$$

Hence, by the induction hypothesis, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 d P_{n+1}+C=2 x_{2}\left(X_{n}^{2}+d Y_{n}^{2}\right)-\left(X_{n-1}^{2}+d Y_{n-1}^{2}\right) . \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following holds true:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{2 n+2}=2 x_{2} x_{2 n}-x_{2 n-2} \quad \text { and } \quad y_{2 n+2}=2 x_{2} y_{2 n}-y_{2 n-2} \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.11), (2.17) and (2.18) we obtain:

$$
2 d P_{n+1}+C=X_{n+1}^{2}+d Y_{n+1}^{2}
$$

Thus we deduce:

$$
P_{n+1}=Y_{n+1}^{2}
$$

In a similar way as above we deduce that:

$$
P_{n}^{\prime}=Y_{n}^{\prime 2} \quad \text { for every } n=0,1, \ldots
$$

Theorem 2.6. Consider the Diophantine equation $(F)$. Let $R_{n} \equiv$ $Y_{n}^{2}+k^{2}$ and $R_{n}^{\prime} \equiv Y_{n}^{\prime 2}+k^{2}$, where $k$ is a fixed integer. Then the numbers $R_{n}, R_{n}^{\prime}$ are determined by the following recursive formulae:

$$
R_{n+1}=2 x_{2} R_{n}-R_{n-1}-2 k^{2}\left(x_{2}-1\right)+2 y_{1}^{2} C,
$$

where $R_{0}=Y^{*^{2}}+k^{2}$ and $R_{1}=\left(y_{1} X^{*}+x_{1} Y^{*}\right)^{2}+k^{2}$.

$$
R_{n+1}^{\prime}=2 x_{2} R_{n}^{\prime}-R_{n-1}^{\prime}-2 k^{2}\left(x_{2}-1\right)+2 y_{1}^{2} C,
$$

where $R_{0}^{\prime}=Y^{*^{2}}+k^{2}$ and $R_{1}^{\prime}=\left(y_{1} X^{*}-x_{1} Y^{*}\right)^{2}+k^{2}$.
Proof. It is actually a direct consequence of the proof of Theorem 2.5.

## 3. An application of Theorem 2.6

A special case of Theorem $2.6\left(d=2\right.$ and $\left.C=2 k^{2}-1, k=0,1,2, \ldots\right)$ is the following

Theorem 3.1. The Diophantine equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
X^{2}-2 Y^{2}=2 k^{2}-1, \quad \text { where } k=0,1, \ldots \tag{k}
\end{equation*}
$$

has at least one class of solutions $A$. Moreover, if $R_{n} \equiv Y_{n}^{2}+k^{2}$ and $R_{n}^{\prime} \equiv Y^{\prime 2}{ }_{n}+k^{2}$, then the numbers $R_{n}, R_{n}^{\prime}$ are determined by the following recursive formulae:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{n+1}=34 R_{n}-R_{n-1}-8\left(2 k^{2}+1\right) \quad \text { for all } \quad n=1,2, \ldots \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $R_{0}=Y^{*^{2}}+k^{2}$ and $R_{1}=\left(2 X^{*}+3 Y^{*}\right)^{2}+k^{2}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{n+1}^{\prime}=34 R_{n}^{\prime}-R_{n-1}^{\prime}-8\left(2 k^{2}+1\right) \quad \text { for all } \quad n=1,2, \ldots \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $R_{0}^{\prime}=Y^{*^{2}}+k^{2}$ and $R_{1}^{\prime}=\left(2 X^{*}-3 Y^{*}\right)^{2}+k^{2}$.
Proof. It suffices to prove the existence of the class $A$. The other assertions are evident by Theorem 2.6 , since $3+2 \sqrt{2}$ is the fundamental solution of $x^{2}-2 y^{2}=1$.

The fundamental solution of $\left(F_{0}\right)$ is $1+\sqrt{2}$. Also $2 k-1+(k-1) \sqrt{2}$ is a solution of $\left(F_{k}\right)$ for $k=1,2, \ldots$ In fact it is the fundamental solution of its class, since satisfies the inequalities (1.3) and (1.4). This proves the Theorem.

Let $X+Y \sqrt{2}$ be a non-negative integral solution of $\left(F_{k}\right)$ (see Theorem 2.3 for $k \geq 1$ or Theorem 2.4 for $k=0$ ). Hence, we have $X^{2}=$ $2\left(Y^{2}+k^{2}\right)-1 \geq 1$ and so $X$ is an old natural number. In case $X+Y \sqrt{2}$ is the fundamental solution of $\left(F_{0}\right)$ or $\left(F_{1}\right)$ we have $X=1$. We set $N(w) \equiv w^{2}+(w+1)^{2}$; If $w=(X-1) / 2[w$ is an integer $>0$ if $X+Y \sqrt{2}$ is not the fundamental solution of $\left(F_{0}\right)$ or $\left.\left(F_{1}\right)\right]$ it follows that $N(w)=Y^{2}+k^{2}$. Hence, by Theorem 3.1 the numbers $R_{n}, R_{n}^{\prime}, n=1,2, \ldots$ [see (3.1) and (3.2)] are of the form $w^{2}+(w+1)^{2}$.

Example. We consider the Diophantine equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
X^{2}-2 Y^{2}=-1 \tag{0}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Theorems 2.4 and 3.1 we obtain: $X^{*}+Y^{*} \sqrt{2}=1+\sqrt{2}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{n+1}= & 34 R_{n}-R_{n-1}-8=Y_{n+1}^{2}, \quad n=1,2, \ldots \quad \text { with } \\
& R_{0}=1 \text { and } R_{1}=25
\end{aligned}
$$

[It follows that $R_{1}=25, R_{2}=841, R_{3}=28561, R_{4}=970225, R_{5}=$ $32959081, R_{6}=1119638521, R_{7}=38034750625, R_{8}=1292061882721$, $\left.R_{9}=43892069261881, \ldots\right]$

The numbers $R_{n}=1,2, \ldots$, are square (composite) numbers of the form $w^{2}+(w+1)^{2}$.

Remark. Let $X^{*}+Y^{*} \sqrt{2}$ be the fundamental solution of a class $A$ of integral solutions of $\left(F_{k}\right)$, with $X^{*}>0$. If $A$ is genuine, then (by Proposition 2.2, (iv) and Theorem 3.1) $R_{n}^{\prime}<R_{n}<R_{n+1}^{\prime}$ for all $n=$ $1,2, \ldots$. But if $A$ is ambiguous, then for every $m$ there exists $n$ such that $R_{m}^{\prime}=R_{n}$.
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