Publ. Math. Debrecen 41 / 1–2 (1992), 73–77

## On quasi-inner automorphisms of a finite *p*-group

By IZABELA MALINOWSKA\* (Bialystok)

In [3] JONAH and KONVISSER constructed a *p*-group of order  $p^8$ , whose the automorphism group is elementary abelian of order  $p^{16}$ . Later a lot of *p*-groups satisfying similar properties have been found. The most interesting one was presented in [1] by HEINEKEN. In fact it has been found a class of finite *p*-groups all of whose normal subgroups are characteristic. All these groups are of nilpotency class 2, they have exponent  $p^2$  and their automorphism groups are *p*-groups. Each automorphism  $\varphi$  of the *p*-group *G* of this class satisfies the following condition: for every *g* in *G* there exists *h* in *G* such that  $\varphi(g) = g^h$ . We call it a quasi-inner automorphism.

Until recently there were no examples of p-groups of class larger then 2 with all automorphisms quasi-inner. In this paper we present an example of a p-group of class 3 and order  $p^6$  (p > 3) with such a property. We also show that for every r > 2 there exists a p-group P of class r with a quasi-inner automorphism (which is not inner).

Throughout the paper terminology and notation will follow [2,4].

Let G be a group generated by a, b, c, d with the following relations

$$[a,b] = a^{p} [a,c] = d [a,d] = b^{p} [b,c] = a^{pm}b^{pk} [b,d] = 1 [d,c] = a^{pl} ,$$

 $a^{p^2} = b^{p^2} = c^p = d^p = 1$ , where p > 3 and  $k, l, m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ .

It is easily seen that G is a p-group of order  $p^6$  and of nilpotency class 3. Moreover

(1) 
$$Z(G) = \langle a^p, b^p \rangle$$

<sup>\*</sup>Supported by Polish scientific grant R.P.I.10

Izabela Malinowska

(2) 
$$G' = \langle a^p, b^p, d \rangle$$

(3) 
$$\Omega_1(G) = \langle c, d, Z(G) \rangle$$

(4) 
$$\Omega_1(G)' = \langle a^p \rangle.$$

**Theorem 1.** All automorphisms of G are quasi-inner if and only if  $A = m^2 + 4kl$  is a quadratic non-residue for p.

**PROOF.** Let A be a quadratic non-residue for p. The commutator relations imply that

(5) 
$$C_G(G') = \langle b, G' \rangle.$$

Of course  $C_G(G')$  is characteristic in G.

Let  $\varphi$  be an automorphism of G. Then by (2), (3) and (5)  $\varphi$  maps b to  $b^{\alpha}d^{\beta} \pmod{Z(G)}$ , c to  $c^{\gamma}d^{\delta} \pmod{Z(G)}$  and d to  $d^{\varepsilon} \pmod{Z(G)}$  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \varepsilon \in \mathbf{Z})$ . Furthermore by (4)  $\varphi$  takes a to  $a^{\zeta}c^{\eta}d^{\vartheta} \pmod{Z(G)}$  $(\zeta, \eta, \vartheta \in \mathbf{Z})$ . Applying  $\varphi$  to the third and the first relations gives  $\eta \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ ,  $\alpha \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ ,  $\beta \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  and

(6) 
$$\zeta \cdot \varepsilon \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

Applying it to the fourth relation gives  $\gamma \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  and  $\zeta \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ . Then  $\varepsilon \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  by (6). So each automorphism  $\varphi$  of G has the form:

$$\begin{split} \varphi(a) &\equiv ad^r, \quad \varphi(b) \equiv b, \\ \varphi(c) &\equiv cd^s, \quad \varphi(d) \equiv d \pmod{Z(G)} \\ \text{where } r, s \in \mathbf{Z}. \end{split}$$

This means that  $\varphi$  is the *p*-automorphism of *G* which induces the identity on  $G/\Phi(G)$ . Moreover for  $g = a^{\alpha}b^{\beta}c^{\gamma}d^{\delta}$   $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{Z})$  we have

$$\varphi(g) = g \cdot d^{r\alpha + s\gamma} \cdot a^{p\kappa} b^{p\lambda}$$

for some  $\kappa, \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We show that  $\varphi$  maps each element g to one of its conjugates. To do this we need to find integers t, x, y, z such that for  $h = a^t b^x c^y d^z$ 

(7) 
$$\varphi(g) = g^h.$$

A strightforward computation gives

$$g^{h} = g \cdot d^{\alpha y - \gamma t} a^{p(\mu + (\alpha - m\gamma)x - l\gamma z)} \cdot b^{p(\nu - k\gamma x + \alpha z)}$$

where  $\mu, \nu$  are expressed in terms of  $t, y, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ . Thus the equality (7) implies

(8) 
$$\alpha y - \gamma t \equiv r\alpha + s\gamma \pmod{p}.$$

74

If  $\alpha \neq 0$  or  $\gamma \neq 0$ , then there is y and t satisfying the equation (8). Hence for  $L_1 = \kappa - \mu$ ,  $L_2 = \lambda - \nu$ 

(9) 
$$\begin{cases} (\alpha - m\gamma)x - l\gamma z \equiv L_1 \pmod{p} \\ -k\gamma x + \alpha z \equiv L_2 \pmod{p}. \end{cases}$$

For  $\alpha \neq 0$  the system of equations (9) has a unique solution (x, z) if and only if

det 
$$\begin{bmatrix} \alpha - m\gamma & -l\gamma \\ -k\gamma & \alpha \end{bmatrix} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$
,

which is equivalent to

$$\alpha^2 - m\gamma\alpha - kl\gamma^2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

i.e. if and only if  $A = m^2 + 4kl$  is a quadratic non-residue for p.

Now assume  $\alpha \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . Thus the system (9) has the form

$$\begin{cases} -m\gamma x - l\gamma z \equiv L_1 \\ -k\gamma x \equiv L_2. \end{cases}$$

It has a unique solution (x, z) if and only if  $l \not\equiv 0, k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  which are satisfied by the assumptions.

Suppose that  $\alpha \equiv 0$  and  $\gamma \equiv 0$ . Then we take  $x \equiv 0, z \equiv 0$  and have

(10) 
$$\begin{cases} -\beta t + (m\beta + l\delta)y \equiv M_1 \pmod{p} \\ -\delta t + k\beta y \equiv M_2 \pmod{p} \end{cases}$$

for some  $M_1, M_2 \in \mathbf{Z}$  which are expressed in terms of  $\beta, \delta$ .

Similarly it can be found (t, y) being the solution of (10).

Now let  $\varphi$  be the automorphism of G such that

$$\varphi(a) = ad, \ \varphi(b) = ba^{pm}b^{pk}, \ \varphi(c) = cd, \ \varphi(d) = da^{pl}b^{p}.$$

Assume that  $\varphi$  is quasi-inner and A is a quadratic residue for p. Consider an element  $b^{\beta}d^{\delta} \in G$  such that  $\beta, \delta \in \mathbb{Z}$ . It follows from the definition of a quasi-inner automorphism of G that there is an element  $h = a^t b^x c^y d^z$  such that

$$\varphi(g) = g^h \quad (t, x, y, z \in \mathbf{Z}).$$

Notice that

$$\varphi(g) = g \cdot a^{p(m\beta+l\delta)} b^{p(k\beta+\delta)} \text{ and}$$
$$g^{h} = g \cdot a^{p(m\beta y+l\delta y-\beta t)} b^{p(k\beta y-\delta t)}, \text{ so}$$

(11) 
$$\begin{cases} -\beta t + (m\beta + l\delta)y \equiv m\beta + l\delta \pmod{p} \\ -\delta t + k\beta y \equiv k\beta + \delta \pmod{p} \end{cases}$$

Izabela Malinowska

and this system of equations has a solution (t, y) i.e.

$$r \begin{bmatrix} -\beta & m\beta + l\delta \\ -\delta & k\beta \end{bmatrix} = r \begin{bmatrix} -\beta & m\beta + l\delta & m\beta + l\delta \\ -\delta & k\beta & k\beta + \delta \end{bmatrix}$$

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be the coefficient matrix of the system (10) and  $\mathfrak{B}$  be the augmented matrix of this system.

Since A is a quadratic residue for p, there is  $\beta \neq 0$ ,  $\delta \neq 0$  such that the rank  $r(\mathfrak{A}) = 1$ . Therefore  $r(\mathfrak{B}) = 1$ , but it is easy to see that  $r(\mathfrak{B}) = 2$ . This gives a contradiction.  $\Box$ 

Now let P be a group generated by a, b, c, d, x, y with the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} a^{p^{r}} &= b^{p^{r}} = c^{p} = d^{p} = x^{p} = y^{p} = 1\\ [a, b] &= a^{p} \quad [a, c] = b^{p^{r-1}} \quad [b, c] = 1\\ [a, d] &= c \quad [b, d] = b^{p^{r-1}k} \quad [c, d] = a^{p^{r-1}m}b^{p^{r-1}n}\\ [a, x] &= [c, x] = [a, y] = [c, y] = 1\\ [x, y] &= a^{p^{r-1}m}b^{p^{r-1}n} \quad [b, y] = 1\\ [b, x] &= a^{p^{r-1}} \quad [d, y] = a^{p^{r-1}l}\\ [d, x] &= 1\end{aligned}$$

where p > 5, r > 2,  $k, m, n, l \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . One can easily show that G is regular and of nilpotency class r.

**Theorem 2.** *P* has a quasi-inner automorphism which is not inner.

**PROOF.** Let  $\varphi$  be an automorphism of P such that

$$\varphi(a) = a, \ \varphi(b) = b, \ \varphi(c) = c, \ \varphi(d) = da^{p^{r-1}}, \ \varphi(x) = x, \ \varphi(y) = y.$$

 $\varphi$  is not inner since  $C_P(x) \cap C_P(y) \cap C_P(c) = \langle a^p, b^p, c \rangle$ . If  $g = a^{\alpha} b^{\beta} c^{\gamma} d^{\delta} x^{\lambda} y^{\mu}$  for  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then

(12) 
$$\varphi(g) = g \cdot a^{p^{r-1}\delta}$$

We need to find an element h such that  $\varphi(g) = g^h$ . Of course if  $\delta \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  then  $\varphi(g) = g$ . Assume that  $\delta \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ .

If  $\alpha \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , then we take  $h = b^{p^{r-2}t}$ . Hence we get  $\alpha t \equiv \delta \pmod{p}$  by (12). Clearly there exists t satisfying this equation.

If  $\beta \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , then we take  $h = a^{p^{r-2}t}$ . Thus we get  $-\beta t \equiv \delta \pmod{p}$  by (12).

Assume that  $\alpha \equiv 0, \beta \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . Now we take  $h = c^t y^w$ . Thus

$$g^{h} = g \cdot a^{p^{r-1}(-m\delta t + l\delta w + m\lambda w)} b^{p^{r-1}(-n\delta t + n\lambda w)}$$

Hence by (12)

$$\begin{cases} -m\delta t + (l\delta + m\lambda)w \equiv \delta \pmod{p} \\ -n\delta t + n\lambda w \equiv 0 \pmod{p}. \end{cases}$$

This equation has a unique solution (t, w) if and only if

det 
$$\begin{bmatrix} -m\delta & l\delta + m\lambda \\ -n\delta & n\lambda \end{bmatrix} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p},$$

i.e. if and only if  $\delta \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ .  $\Box$ 

## References

- H. HEINEKEN, Nilpotente gruppen, deren sämtliche normalteiler charakteristish sind, Arch. Math. 33 (1979), 497–503.
- [2] B. HUPPERT, Endliche Gruppen I., Springer, Berlin, 1967.
- [3] D. JONAH and M. KONVISSER, Some non-abelian *p*-groups with abelian automorphism groups, *Arch. Math.* Vol. XXVI (1975), 131–133.
- [4] D. J. S. ROBINSON, A Course in the Theory of Groups, Springer-Verlag, New-York, 1982.

IZABELA MALINOWSKA INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS WARSAW UNIVERSITY, BIALYSTOK DIVISION 15—267 BIALYSTOK AKADEMICKA 2

(Received December 27, 1990)

•