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#### Abstract

Our purpose in the paper is to investigate divisibility properties of 2 -adic $L$-functions attached to quadratic characters at integers. Following Uehara's ideas we extend the linear congruence relations proved in [6], [8] and [10] (see also [3], [4], [5], [6] and [7]). For any two-element subset $L$ of the set $\{-1,0,1,2\}$ we determine the so-called optimal linear congruence relations for $L_{2}\left(k, \chi \omega^{1-k}\right)$, with $k \in L$.


## 1. Notation

For prime $p$ as usual we denote by $\mathbb{C}_{p}$ the completion of the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$. $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ denotes the field of $p$-adic numbers. For $a, b \in \mathbb{C}_{p}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$ the notation $a \equiv b\left(\bmod p^{\alpha}\right)$ means that $|a-b|_{p} \leq p^{-\alpha} .|\cdot|_{p}$ denotes the normalized (such that $|p|_{p}=1 / p$ ) absolute value on $\mathbb{C}_{p}$. For $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ these congruences are the usual congruences for integral rational numbers. We say that $a \in \mathbb{C}_{p}$ is $p$-integral if $a \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{0}\right)$. For $a \in \mathbb{Q}$, if $a$ is $p$-integral in the above sense then its denominator is not divisible by $p$. We say that $p$-integral number $a$ is divisible by $p^{\alpha}(\alpha \geq 1)$ if $a \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{\alpha}\right)$. We write $p^{\alpha} \mid a$. If for $p$-integral number $a$ we have

[^0]$a \not \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{\alpha}\right)$, we write $p^{\alpha} \nmid a$ and say that $a$ is not divisible by $p^{\alpha}$. For $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ if $p^{\alpha} \mid a$ and $p^{\alpha+1} \nmid a$, we set $p^{\alpha} \| a$. For $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p^{\alpha} \| a$, we write $\operatorname{gcd}\left(p^{\beta}, a\right)=p^{\alpha}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.p^{\beta}\right)$ if $\alpha \leq \beta($ resp. $\beta<\alpha)$. If $a \equiv b\left(\bmod p^{\beta}\right)$, we have
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{gcd}\left(p^{\beta}, a\right)=\operatorname{gcd}\left(p^{\beta}, b\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Moreover if $m, n \in \mathbb{C}_{p}$ are $p$-integers not divisible by $p$, we observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{gcd}\left(p^{\beta}, a\right)=\operatorname{gcd}\left(p^{\beta}, \frac{a}{m}\right)=\operatorname{gcd}\left(p^{\beta}, a n\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We say that $a\left(\in \mathbb{C}_{2}\right)$ is even if $a$ is 2-integral and divisible by 2 . We say that $a$ is odd if $a$ is 2-integral and is not even.

As usual let $\log =\log _{p}, \omega=\omega_{p}$ denote the $p$-adic logarithm and the Teichmüller character at $p$ respectively. For a Dirichlet character $\chi$ let $L_{p}(s, \chi)$ be the Kubota-Leopoldt $L$-function. For details see [9].

For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ let $l_{k}=l_{k, p}$ denote the so-called multilogarithms, which are locally analytic functions on the set $\mathbb{C}_{p}-\{1\}$ defined inductively by $l_{0}(s)=-s /(1-s), d l_{k}(s)=l_{k-1}(s) d s / s$ and $\lim _{s \rightarrow 0} l_{k}(s)=0$. For details, see [1]. Moreover if $k \leq 0$, we have $l_{k}(s)=s(-1)^{k} R_{-k}(s) /(1-s)^{1-k}$, where $R_{n} \in \mathbb{Z}[x](n \geq 0)$ are the so-called Frobenius polynomials defined in [2]. If $k=-1$ we have $l_{-1}(s)=s /(1-s)^{2}$ in particular. If $k=1$, we have $l_{1}(s)=-\log _{p}(1-s)$.

The main interest of the multilogarithms is that they give the Coleman formulas

$$
L_{p}\left(k, \chi \omega^{1-k}\right)=\left(1-\chi(p) p^{-k}\right) \frac{\tau\left(\chi, \zeta_{M}\right)}{M} \sum_{a=1}^{M-1} \bar{\chi}(a) l_{k, p}\left(\zeta_{M}^{-a}\right)
$$

Here $\chi$ is a primitive non-trivial Dirichlet character modulo $M$ and throughout the paper we denote by $\zeta_{M}$ a primitive $M$ th root of unity in $\mathbb{C}_{p}$.

For a fundamental discriminant $d(\neq 1)$ as usual we denote by $\chi_{d}$ the associated quadratic character (Kronecker symbol). We set $\chi_{1}=1$. Denote by $\mathcal{T}_{d}$ the set of all fundamental discriminants dividing $d$. Throughout the paper, for $t, c \in \mathbb{Z}(t \neq 0, c \geq 1)$ we denote by $\nu(t)$ the number of distinct prime factors of $t$ and adopt the notation $\sum_{a=1}^{c}$ to a sum taken over integers $a$ prime to $c$. As usual $\phi$ denotes Euler's phi function.

The proofs of the main theorems of the paper (Theorems 1 and 2) are based on the following lemma.

Lemma 1 (see [8, Lemma 1], cf. [6, Lemma 3]). Let $\chi$ be a Dirichlet character modulo $M>1$ and let $N$ be a multiple of $M$ such that $N / M>0$ is a rational square-free integer relatively prime to $M$. For arbitrary natural number $T$ satisfying $M|T| N$ we assume that $\zeta_{T}=\zeta_{M} \zeta_{T / M}$ and set

$$
\mathcal{S}_{k, \chi}(T)=\sum_{a=1}^{T} \chi \chi(a) l_{k}\left(\zeta_{T}^{a}\right)
$$

Then for any integer $k$ we have

$$
\mathcal{S}_{k, \chi}(N)=(-1)^{\nu(N / M)} \prod_{\substack{p \mid(N / M) \\ p \text { prime }}}\left(1-\bar{\chi}(p) p^{1-k}\right) \mathcal{S}_{k, \chi}(M)
$$

## 2. Quadratic fields

If $d$ is the discriminant of a quadratic field, we denote by $h(d), k_{2}(d)$, $\varepsilon_{d}$, resp. $R_{2}(d)$ the class number, the order of the $K_{2}$-group of the integers, the fundamental unit, resp. the second Borel regulator of the field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$. For $k \in\{-1,0,1,2\}$ we have

$$
L\left(k, \chi_{d}\right)= \begin{cases}-12 w_{2}^{-1}(d) k_{2}(d), & \text { if } k=-1 \text { and } d>1 \\ 2 w^{-1}(d) h(d), & \text { if } k=0 \text { and } d<0 \\ 2 d^{-1 / 2} h(d) \log \varepsilon_{d}, & \text { if } k=1 \text { and } d>1 \\ 2 R_{2}(d)|d|^{-3 / 2} k_{2}(d), & \text { if } k=2 \text { and } d<0\end{cases}
$$

where $w(-3)=6, w(-4)=4, w(d)=2$ if $d<-4$ and $w_{2}(8)=48$, $w_{2}(5)=120, w_{2}(d)=24$ if $d>8$. Here $L(s, \chi)$ is the classical, complex Dirichlet $L$-function attached to $\chi$. In the case when $k=2$ we assume that the so-called Lichtenbaum conjecture for imaginary quadratic fields holds.

Usually, the complex and $p$-adic formulas differ by an Euler factor. Namely we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{p}\left(k, \chi_{d} \omega^{1-k}\right) \\
& \quad= \begin{cases}-12 w_{2}^{-1}(d)\left(1-\chi_{d}(p) p\right) k_{2}(d), & \text { if } k=-1 \text { and } d>1, \\
2 w^{-1}(d)\left(1-\chi_{d}(p)\right) h(d), & \text { if } k=0 \text { and } d<0, \\
2 d^{-1 / 2}\left(1-\chi_{d}(p) p^{-1}\right) h(d)_{p} \log \varepsilon_{d}, & \text { if } k=1 \text { and } d>1, \\
2 R_{2, p}(d)|d|^{-3 / 2}\left(1-\chi_{d}(p) p^{-2}\right) k_{2}(d), & \text { if } k=2 \text { and } d<0,\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

where by analogy $R_{2, p}(d)$ denotes the second $p$-adic regulator of the corresponding field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$. In the case when $k=2$ the above equation is the statement of a $p$-adic analogue of the Lichtenbaum conjecture for imaginary quadratic fields.

## 3. The numbers $W_{k, e}(n)$

Let $k, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $e \in \mathcal{T}_{8}$. For $n \geq 0$ write

$$
\gamma_{n, e}= \begin{cases}-1, & \text { if } n \equiv 1,2(\bmod 4) \text { and } e \in \mathcal{T}_{8}-\mathcal{T}_{4} \\ 1, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
W_{k, e}(n)=\sum_{l=0}^{n}(-1)^{l(k+1)}(2 l+1)^{1-k} \gamma_{l, e}\binom{2 n+1}{n-l} .
$$

The numbers $W_{k, e}(n)$ are 2-integral rational numbers. We have $\operatorname{ord}_{2}\left(W_{k, e}(n)\right) \geq n$. For details see [10].

## 4. Uehara's functions

From now on we assume that $p=2, \omega=\omega_{2}$ and $l_{k}=l_{k, 2}$. For any Dirichlet character $\psi$ modulo $f$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ let $\mathcal{L}_{k, \psi}$ denote the so-called Uehara functions. These functions are defined by

$$
\mathcal{L}_{k, \psi}(s)=\frac{1}{2}(-1)^{k+1}\left(l_{k}(s)-l_{k}(-s)\right) \quad(s \neq \pm 1),
$$

if $\psi$ is the trivial character, and

$$
\mathcal{L}_{k, \psi}(s)=(-1)^{k+1} \frac{\tau\left(\bar{\psi}, \zeta_{f}\right)}{f} \sum_{a=1}^{f} \psi(a) l_{k}\left(\zeta_{f}^{a} s\right) \quad\left(s \neq \zeta_{f}^{a}\right)
$$

otherwise. For details see [8]. For $\psi=\chi_{e}$ set $\mathcal{L}_{k, \psi}=\mathcal{L}_{k, e}$.
The proof of the main result of the paper (Theorem 1) is based on the following properties of Uehara's functions implied by the identity of Lemma 1 and proved in [8] and [10].

Lemma 2 (see [6], [8, Lemma 2] and [10, Lemma 1]). Given any odd integer $M$, let $\chi$ by a primitive Dirichlet character modulo $M$. Suppose that $N$ is an odd multiple of $M$ such that $N / M(>0)$ is a rational squarefree integer relatively prime to $M$. Let $\psi$ be a primitive Dirichlet character being either trivial or of even conductor coprime to $N$. Assume that for arbitrary natural number $T$ satisfying $M|T| N$ we have $\zeta_{T}=\zeta_{M} \zeta_{T / M}$. Then for any integer $k$ we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\tau\left(\bar{\chi}, \zeta_{M}\right)}{M} \sum_{a=1}^{\prime} \chi(a) \mathcal{L}_{k, \psi}\left(\zeta_{N}^{a}\right) \\
=(-1)^{\nu(N / M)} \prod_{\substack{p \mid(N / M) \\
p \text { prime }}}\left(1-\overline{\chi \psi}(p) p^{1-k}\right) L_{2}\left(k, \overline{\chi \psi} \omega^{1-k}\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

unless $k=1$ and the characters $\chi$ and $\psi$ are trivial, in which case we have

$$
\sum_{a=1}^{N} \mathcal{L}_{k, \psi}\left(\zeta_{N}^{a}\right)= \begin{cases}-\left(\log _{2} N\right) / 2, & \text { if } N \text { is a prime number }, \\ 0, & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases}
$$

Remark. In the formulation of Lemma 2 of [8] there is a small error, which implies the same error in Lemma 1 of [10]. The right hand sides of the identities of the lemmas should be multiplied by $(-1)^{k+1}$.

Lemma 3. Let $c(>1)$ be an odd natural number. If $k \neq 0$, 1 we have

$$
\sum_{a=1}^{c} l_{k}\left(\zeta_{c}^{a}\right)=(-1)^{k+1+\nu(c)}\left(1-2^{-k}\right)^{-1} \prod_{\substack{p \mid c \\ p \text { prime }}}\left(1-p^{1-k}\right) L_{2}\left(k, \omega^{1-k}\right) .
$$

If $k=0$ or 1 we have

$$
\sum_{a=1}^{c} l_{k}\left(\zeta_{c}^{a}\right)= \begin{cases}-\frac{1}{2} \phi(c), & \text { if } k=0 \\ -\log _{2} c, & \text { if } k=1 \text { and } c \text { is a prime number } \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Proof. Given $r \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$
\frac{1}{r} \sum_{\zeta^{r}=1} l_{k}(\zeta z)=\frac{l_{k}\left(z^{r}\right)}{r^{k}}
$$

(see [1, Proposition 6.1]). Applying this formula with $r=2$ we obtain

$$
\mathcal{L}_{k, 1}(s)=(-1)^{k+1}\left(l_{k}(s)-2^{-k} l_{k}\left(s^{2}\right)\right) \quad(s \neq \pm 1)
$$

Hence we have

$$
\sum_{a=1}^{c} l_{k}\left(\zeta_{c}^{a}\right)=(-1)^{k+1}\left(1-2^{-k}\right)^{-1} \sum_{a=1}^{c}{ }^{\prime} \mathcal{L}_{k, 1}\left(\zeta_{c}^{a}\right)
$$

because

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(1-2^{-k}\right) \sum_{a=1}^{c} l_{k}\left(\zeta_{c}^{a}\right) & =(-1)^{k+1} \sum_{a=1}^{c}(-1)^{k+1}\left(l_{k}\left(\zeta_{c}^{a}\right)-l_{k}\left(\zeta_{c}^{2 a}\right)\right) \\
& =(-1)^{k+1} \sum_{a=1}^{c} \mathcal{L}_{k, 1}\left(\zeta_{c}^{a}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus Lemma 3 in the case when $k \neq 0$ follows easily from Lemma 2.
If $k=0$ we have

$$
\sum_{a=1}^{c} l_{0}\left(\zeta_{c}^{a}\right)=\sum_{a=1}^{c} \frac{\zeta_{c}^{a}}{1-\zeta_{c}^{a}}=\sum_{a=1}^{c} \frac{1}{1-\zeta_{c}^{a}}-\phi(c)=\frac{1}{2} \phi(c)-\phi(c)=-\frac{1}{2} \phi(c)
$$

which completes the proof.
Lemma 4 (cf. [6, Lemma 2]). Given $d(\neq 1)$ an odd fundamental discriminant we have

$$
\sum_{a=1}^{|d|} \chi_{d}(a) l_{0}\left(\zeta_{|d|}^{a}\right)= \begin{cases}-\frac{|d| h(d)}{\tau\left(\chi_{d}, \zeta_{|d|}\right)}, & \text { if } d<0 \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Proof. By the definition of $l_{0}$ we have

$$
\sum_{a=1}^{|d|} \chi_{d}(a) l_{0}\left(\zeta_{|d|}^{a}\right)=\sum_{a=1}^{|d|} \frac{\chi_{d}(a) \zeta_{|d|}^{a}}{1-\zeta_{|d|}^{a}}=\sum_{a=1}^{|d|} \frac{\chi_{d}(a)}{1-\zeta_{|d|}^{a}}-\sum_{a=1}^{|d|} \chi_{d}(a)
$$

Hence and from Lemma 2 [6] the identity of the hypothesis of Lemma 4 follows immediately.

In Lemmas 5 and $6 \xi(\neq 1)$ denotes a primitive $N$ th root of unity, where $N$ is an odd natural number.

Lemma 5 (see [6] and [8, Lemma 4]). For any $e \in \mathcal{T}_{8}$ write $\alpha=\operatorname{sgn} e$ and set

$$
w_{\alpha}=\frac{\alpha \xi}{1+\alpha \xi^{2}}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{-1, e}(\xi) & =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(4 \alpha)^{k} w_{\alpha}^{2 k+1},
\end{aligned} \quad \mathcal{L}_{0, e}(\xi)=\omega_{-\alpha}, ~\left(\begin{array}{l}
\mathcal{L}_{2, e}(\xi)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-16 \alpha)^{k} \omega_{-\alpha}^{2 k+1}}{(2 k+1)^{2}}\binom{2 k}{k}^{-1} \\
\mathcal{L}_{1, e}(\xi)
\end{array}\right.
$$

if $e \in \mathcal{T}_{4}$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{-1, e}(\xi) & =-\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(2 \alpha)^{k}(2 k-1) \omega_{\alpha}^{2 k+1}, \quad \mathcal{L}_{0, e}(\xi)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(-2 \alpha)^{k} \omega_{-\alpha}^{2 k+1} \\
\mathcal{L}_{1, e}(\xi) & =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2 \alpha)^{k} \omega_{\alpha}^{2 k+1}}{2 k+1}, \\
\mathcal{L}_{2, e}(\xi) & =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-16 \alpha)^{k} \omega_{-\alpha}^{2 k+1}}{(2 k+1)^{2}}\binom{2 k}{k}^{-1} \sum_{l=0}^{k}\binom{2 l}{l} 2^{-3 l}
\end{aligned}
$$

if $e \in \mathcal{T}_{8}-\mathcal{T}_{4}$.
Remark. Uehara in a letter to the author has observed that the formulas for $\mathcal{L}_{-1, e}(\xi)$ and $\mathcal{L}_{2, e}(\xi)$ given in the above lemma can be deduced easily from his formulas for $\mathcal{L}_{0, e}(\xi), \mathcal{L}_{1, e}(\xi)$, and differential properties of Coleman's multilogarithms. The details of the proof are left to the reader as an exercise.

Lemma 6 (see [10, Lemma 3]). For any $e \in \mathcal{T}_{8}$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ write $\alpha=(-1)^{m+1} \operatorname{sgn} e$ and let

$$
w_{\alpha}=\frac{\alpha \xi}{1+\alpha \xi^{2}}
$$

Then we have

$$
\mathcal{L}_{m, e}(\xi)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^{k} W_{m, e}(k)}{2 k+1} w_{\alpha}^{2 k+1}
$$

## 5. Some special sequences

Let $K$ be a finite non-empty subset of the rational integers. We will consider linear combinations of Uehara's functions at $\xi$ with 2-adic integral coefficients

$$
x=\left\{x_{k, e}\right\}_{(k, e) \in K \times \mathcal{I}_{8}} \subseteq \mathbb{C}_{2}
$$

For any $L \subseteq K$ the $x$ is said to be defined on $L$ if $x_{k, e}=0$ for $k \notin L$. Let

$$
\alpha_{k}=\binom{2 k}{k}^{-1} \quad \text { and } \quad \beta_{k}=\binom{2 k}{k}^{-1} \sum_{l=0}^{k}\binom{2 l}{l} 2^{-3 l}
$$

Given 2-adic integers $a_{k, e}(n)\left(\in \mathbb{C}_{2}\right)$ with $k \in K, e \in \mathcal{T}_{8}, n \geq 0$ we consider some sequences of linear combinations of $x_{k, e}$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n}(x)=\sum_{(k, e) \in K \times \mathcal{T}_{8}} a_{k, e}(n) x_{k, e}, \quad n \geq 0 \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $L \subseteq K$ the sequence $\left(y_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$ of this form is said to be defined on $L$, if the sum is taken over $(k, e) \in L \times \mathcal{T}_{8}$.

For $x=\left\{x_{k, e}\right\}_{(k, e) \in K \times \mathcal{I}_{8}}$ we consider two sequences $z=\left(z_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$ and $u=\left(u_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$ of the form (5.3). The sequences are defined on $K=$ $\{-1,0,1,2\}$ in the former case and on any finite subset $K$ of $\mathbb{Z}$ in the latter case by

$$
z_{0}=\sum_{(k, e) \in K \times \mathcal{T}_{8}} x_{k, e}, \quad z_{1}=2 \sum_{\substack{(k, e) \in K \times \mathcal{T}_{8} \\ \operatorname{sgn} e=(-1)^{k}}} x_{k, e}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
z_{2 l+\varrho}=2^{l+\varrho}( & 2^{l}(2 l+1)^{2}\left((1-\varrho) x_{-1,1}+x_{-1,-4}\right) \\
& -(2 l-1)(2 l+1)^{2}\left((1-\varrho) x_{-1,8}+x_{-1,-8}\right) \\
& +(2 l+1)^{2}\left((1-\varrho) x_{0,-8}+x_{0,8}\right) \\
& +2^{l}(2 l+1)\left((1-\varrho) x_{1,1}+x_{1,-4}\right) \\
& +(2 l+1)\left((1-\varrho) x_{1,8}+x_{1,-8}\right) \\
& +2^{3 l} \alpha_{l}\left((1-\varrho) x_{2,-4}+x_{2,1}\right) \\
& \left.+2^{3 l} \beta_{l}\left((1-\varrho) x_{2,-8}+x_{2,8}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

if $l \geq 1, \varrho \in\{0,1\}$, and

$$
u_{2 l+\varrho}=2^{\varrho} \sum_{k, e}(-1)^{l(k+1)}(2 l+1)^{1-k} \gamma_{l, e} x_{k, e}, \quad l \geq 0, \varrho \in\{0,1\}
$$

where the sum in the latter case is taken over all $(k, e) \in K \times \mathcal{T}_{8}$ if $\varrho=0$, and over $(k, e) \in K \times \mathcal{T}_{8}$ with $\operatorname{sgn} e=(-1)^{k}$ if $\varrho=1$.

Let $y=\left(y_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$ be a sequence of the form (5.3). Let $c=c(y)$ be a non-negative number such that there exist 2 -adic integers $x_{k, e}$ not all even satisfying

$$
y_{n}(x) \equiv 0 \quad\left(\bmod 2^{c}\right), \quad n \geq 0
$$

and if for some 2-adic integers $x_{k, e}$ we have

$$
y_{n}(x) \equiv 0 \quad\left(\bmod 2^{c+1}\right), \quad n \geq 0
$$

then all the numbers $x_{k, e}$ are even.
Lemma 7 (see [8, Lemma 5]). Let $K=\{-1,0,1,2\}$ and let $L$ be a non-empty subset of $K$. Write $c(L)=c(z)$, where $z=\left(z_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$ is the sequence given above, defined on $L$. Then we have

$$
c(L)=12,9,5, \text { resp. } 2
$$

if $\operatorname{card}(L)=4,3,2$, resp. 1 , unless $L=\{-1,1\}$ or $\{0,2\}$, in which cases

$$
c(L)=6
$$

Lemma 8 (see [10, Lemma 5]). Let $m \geq 1$ be an integer and let

$$
K=\{-m+2,-m+3, \ldots, 1\} .
$$

Then we have

$$
c\left(u_{n}\right)=3 m-1+\operatorname{ord}_{2}((m-1)!) .
$$

Remark. Lemma 8 is also valid for any set consisting of $m$ consecutive integers. In order to prove it we apply the same reasoning as in the proof of Lemma 5 [10].

## 6. Linear combinations of $\mathcal{L}_{k, e}(\xi)$

Recall that $N$ is an odd natural number and $\xi(\neq 1)$ is a primitive $N$ th root of unity in $\mathbb{C}_{2}$. Given 2-adic integers $\left\{x_{k, e}\right\}_{(k, e) \in K \times \mathcal{I}_{8}} \subseteq \mathbb{C}_{2}$ not all even, defined on a non-empty subset $L$ of $K$, our purpose is to evaluate the linear combinations

$$
\sum_{(k, e) \in K \times \mathcal{T}_{8}} x_{k, e} \mathcal{L}_{k, e}(\xi),
$$

modulo powers of 2. In order to obtain the congruences stated in Lemma 9 we appeal to Lemmas 5 and 7. Combining the obtained congruences with Lemmas 1 and 2 we shall derive some new congruences for linear combinations of the values of 2-adic $L$-functions $L_{2}\left(k, \chi \omega^{1-k}\right)$ with arbitrary 2 -adic integral coefficients, where $\chi$ are primitive quadratic Dirichlet characters.

Lemma 9 (see [8, Lemma 5]). Set $K=\{-1,0,1,2\}$. Let $x_{k, e}(k \in K$, $e \in \mathcal{T}_{8}$ ) be 2 -adic integers not all even defined on a non-empty subset $L$ of $K$. Then we have

$$
\sum_{(k, e) \in L \times \mathcal{I}_{8}} x_{k, e} \mathcal{L}_{k, e}(\xi) \equiv 0 \quad\left(\bmod 2^{\lambda}\right),
$$

where $2^{\lambda}$ is the greatest common divisor of

$$
2^{c(L)} \text { and } z_{n}, \quad 0 \leq n \leq \max (2 c(L)-4,2),
$$

and

$$
c(L)=12,9,5, \text { resp. } 2,
$$

if $\operatorname{card}(L)=4,3,2$, resp. 1 , unless $L=\{-1,1\}$ or $\{0,2\}$, in which cases

$$
c(L)=6 .
$$

Proof. We first observe that for $n$ even

$$
2 z_{n}=z_{n+1}+\tilde{z}_{n+1},
$$

where the $\tilde{z}_{n+1}$ comes from $z_{n+1}$ by replacing $x_{k,-4}$ (resp. $x_{k, 1}, x_{k,-8}$ or $\left.x_{k, 8}\right)$ by $x_{k, 1}\left(\right.$ resp. $x_{k,-4}, x_{k, 8}$ or $\left.x_{k,-8}\right)$.

In [8, Lemma 5] the congruence of Lemma 9 was proved modulo the greatest common divisor of $2^{c(L)}$ and $z_{n}, 0 \leq n \leq 2 c(L)-2$. Now it suffices to use the congruences

$$
\begin{gathered}
z_{2 l+1} \equiv 2^{l+1} \eta\left(\bmod 2^{l+2}\right), \quad \tilde{z}_{2 l+1} \equiv 2^{l+1} \tilde{\eta}\left(\bmod 2^{l+2}\right) \\
z_{2 l} \equiv 2^{l}(\eta+\tilde{\eta})\left(\bmod 2^{l+1}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

where $l \geq 1$ and

$$
\eta=x_{-1,-8}+x_{0,8}+x_{1,-8}+x_{2,8} .
$$

These congruences follow immediately by the definition of the $z_{2 l+\varrho}$. Indeed we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
z_{2 l+\varrho} \equiv & 2^{l+\varrho}\left(\left((1-\varrho) x_{-1,8}+x_{-1,-8}\right)+\left((1-\varrho) x_{0,-8}+x_{0,8}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\left((1-\varrho) x_{1,8}+x_{1,-8}\right)+\left((1-\varrho) x_{2,-8}+x_{2,8}\right)\right)\left(\bmod 2^{l+\varrho+1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

because $\operatorname{ord}_{2}\left(2^{3 l} \alpha_{l}\right) \geq 2 l$ and $\operatorname{ord}_{2}\left(2^{3 l} \beta_{l}\right)=0$.
By the above, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
z_{2 c(L)-2} & \equiv 2^{c(L)-1}(\eta+\tilde{\eta})\left(\bmod 2^{c(L)}\right) \\
z_{2 c(L)-3} & \equiv 2^{c(L)-1} \eta\left(\bmod 2^{c(L)}\right) \\
z_{2 c(L)-4} & \equiv 2^{c(L)-2}(\eta+\tilde{\eta})\left(\bmod 2^{c(L)-1}\right), \\
z_{2 c(L)-5} & \equiv 2^{c(L)-2} \eta\left(\bmod 2^{c(L)-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

provided $c(L)>2$. Therefore we may ignore $z_{2 c(L)-2}$ and $z_{2 c(L)-3}$ if $c(L)>2$.

Appealing to Lemmas 6 and 8 we obtain:

Lemma 10 (see [10, Lemma 6]). Let $m \geq 1$ be an integer and let

$$
K=\{-m+2,-m+3, \ldots, 1\} .
$$

Let $x_{k, e}\left(k, \in K, e \in \mathcal{T}_{8}\right)$ be integers in $\mathbb{C}_{2}$ not all even. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{(k, e) \in K \times \mathcal{T}_{8}} x_{k, e} \mathcal{L}_{k, e}(\xi) \equiv 0 \quad\left(\bmod 2^{\lambda}\right), \tag{i}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $2^{\lambda}$ is the greatest common divisor of

$$
2^{c\left(u_{n}\right)} \text { and } u_{n}, \quad 0 \leq n \leq 4 m-1,
$$

(ii) for an arbitrary integer $s$

$$
\sum_{(k, e) \in K \times \mathcal{I}_{8}} x_{k, e} \mathcal{L}_{k+s, e}(\xi) \equiv 0 \quad\left(\bmod 2^{\lambda}\right) .
$$

## 7. Main theorems

In this section we extend linear congruence relations proved in [8] and [10]. We follow Uehara's ideas from [6] and give a further generalization of the Gras-Uehara type congruence for linear combinations of the values of 2-adic $L$-functions $L_{2}\left(k, \chi \omega^{1-k}\right)$, where $\chi$ is a quadratic Dirichlet character. We restrict our attention to the cases when $k$ is taken over an arbitrary non-empty subset $L$ of the set $K=\{-1,0,1,2\}$ or when $k$ is taken over an arbitrary finite set of consecutive integers. These cases were considered in [8] and [10] respectively. It appears to be still an open problem to find the Gras-Uehara type congruence when $k$ is taken over any finite subset of the rational integers.

Let $d$ be an odd fundamental discriminant and let $m>1$ be a natural number. Throughout the paper let $\Psi, \Theta: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{2}$ be multiplicative functions such that $\Psi(s) \equiv \Theta(s) \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$ if $s \mid m$. Let $\delta_{X, Y}$ denote the Kronecker delta function, that is, $\delta_{X, Y}=1$ if $X=Y$ and is zero otherwise. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $e \in \mathcal{T}_{8}$ we write

$$
L_{2}^{[m, \Theta]}\left(k, \chi_{e d} \omega^{1-k}\right)=0
$$

if $d=e=k=1$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{2}^{[m, \Theta]}\left(k, \chi_{e d} \omega^{1-k}\right) \\
& =\left(\prod_{\substack{p \mid m \\
p \text { prime }}}\left(1-\chi_{e d}(p) \Theta(p) p^{1-k}\right)-\delta_{d, 1} \prod_{\substack{p \mid m \\
p \text { prime }}}(1-\Theta(p))\right) L_{2}\left(k, \chi_{e d} \omega^{1-k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

otherwise. Set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{2, *}^{[m, \Theta]}\left(k, \chi_{d} \omega^{1-k}\right) \\
& \quad= \begin{cases}h(d), & \text { if } k=0 \text { and } d<0, \\
0, & \text { if } k=0 \text { and } d>0, \\
\left(1-\chi_{d}(2) 2^{-k}\right)^{-1} L_{2}^{[m, \Theta]}\left(k, \chi_{d} \omega^{1-k}\right), & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\Theta(s)=1$ for $s \mid m$, we have $L_{2}^{[m, \Theta]}\left(k, \chi_{e d} \omega^{1-k}\right)=L_{2}^{[m]}\left(k, \chi_{e d} \omega^{1-k}\right)$ and $L_{2}^{[m]}\left(k, \chi_{e d} \omega^{1-k}\right)$

$$
= \begin{cases}0, & \text { if } d=e=k=1, \\ \prod_{\substack{p \mid m \\ p \text { prime }}}\left(1-\chi_{e d}(p) p^{1-k}\right) L_{2}\left(k, \chi_{e} \omega^{1-k}\right), & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Now we are ready to extend the main theorems of the papers [8] and [10]. Let $m, s>1$ be square-free natural numbers with $s \mid m$. We shall apply the following identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{t \mid s} \Theta(t) \prod_{\substack{p \mid(s / t) \\ p \text { prime }}}(1-\Theta(p)) \prod_{\substack{p \mid t \\ p \text { prime }}}(1-\Phi(p))=\prod_{\substack{p \mid s \\ p \text { prime }}}(1-\Phi(p) \Theta(p)), \tag{7.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

see $[6,(3.1)]$.
Theorem 1 (cf. [8, Main Theorem], [10, Theorem]). Let $m>1$ be a square-free odd natural number having $\nu$ prime factors and let $\Psi, \Theta$ : $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{2}$ be multiplicative functions satisfying $\Psi(s) \equiv \Theta(s) \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$ if $s \mid m$. Let $K$ have the same meaning as in Lemma 9 (resp. Lemma 10)
and let $x=\left\{x_{k, e}\right\}_{(k, e) \in K \times \mathcal{T}_{8}}$ be a set of 2-adic integers not all even. Set

$$
\Lambda_{1}(m, \Theta)=-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{p \mid m \\ p \text { prime }}} \Theta(p) \log _{2} p \prod_{\substack{q \mid(m / p) \\ q \text { prime }}}(1-\Theta(q)) .
$$

Then the number
$\Lambda(x, m, \Psi, \Theta):=\sum_{(k, e) \in K \times \mathcal{T}_{8}} x_{k, e} \sum_{d \in \mathcal{T}_{m}} \Psi(|d|) L_{2}^{[m, \Theta]}\left(k, \chi_{e d} \omega^{1-k}\right)+x_{1,1} \Lambda_{1}(m, \Theta)$
is a 2 -adic integer divisible by $2^{\nu+\lambda}$, where $\lambda$ has the same meaning as in Lemma 9 if $K=\{-1,0,1,2\}$ and $x$ is defined on a non-empty finite subset $L$ of $K$ (resp. Lemma 10 if $K$ is a finite set of consecutive integers).

Proof. Write

$$
\Lambda_{2}(x, m, \Theta)=\prod_{\substack{p \text { p } \\ p \text { prime }}}(1-\Theta(p)) \sum_{\substack{(k, e) \in K \times \mathcal{T}_{8} \\(k, e) \neq(1,1)}} x_{k, e} L_{2}\left(k, \chi_{e} \omega^{1-k}\right) .
$$

and

$$
L_{2}^{\prime}\left(k, \chi_{e d} \omega^{1-k}\right)= \begin{cases}0, & \text { if } e=d=k=1, \\ L_{2}\left(k, \chi_{e d} \omega^{1-k}\right), & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

We proceed in the same manner as in the proof of the Main Theorem in [8] (resp. the Theorem in [10]). Making use of (7.4), for any multiplicative function $\Phi: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{2}$ and fixed $u, s$ with $u \mid s$ we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Theta^{-1}(u) \sum_{u|t| s} \Theta(t) \prod_{\substack{p \mid(s / t) \\
p \text { prime }}}(1-\Theta(p)) \prod_{\substack{p \mid(t / u) \\
p \text { prime }}}(1-\Phi(p))  \tag{7.5}\\
=\prod_{\substack{p \mid(s / u) \\
p \text { prime }}}(1-\Phi(p) \Theta(p)) .
\end{gather*}
$$

This follows from (7.4) by a simple induction on the number of prime factors of $s / u$. We observe that for any functions $f$ and $g$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{d \mid m} f(d) \sum_{c \mid d} g(c) h(d, c)=\sum_{d \mid m} g(d) \sum_{d|c| m} f(c) h(c, d) . \tag{7.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Lambda(x, m, \Psi, \Theta)-x_{1,1} \Lambda_{1}(m, \Theta)+\Lambda_{2}(x, m, \Theta) \\
& =\sum_{(k, e) \in K \times \mathcal{T}_{8}} x_{k, e} \sum_{d \in \mathcal{T}_{m}} \Psi(|d|) \prod_{\substack{p \mid(m / d) \\
p \text { prime }}}\left(1-\Theta(p) \chi_{e d}(p) p^{1-k}\right) L_{2}^{\prime}\left(k, \chi_{e d} \omega^{1-k}\right) \\
& =\sum_{(k, e) \in K \times \mathcal{T}_{8}} x_{k, e} \sum_{d \in \mathcal{T}_{m}} \Psi(|d|) \Theta^{-1}(|d|) L_{2}^{\prime}\left(k, \chi_{e d} \omega^{1-k}\right) \\
& \times \sum_{\substack{c \in \mathcal{T}_{m} \\
p d \in \mathcal{T}_{c}}} \Theta(|c|) \prod_{\substack{p \mid(m / c) \\
p \text { prime }}}(1-\Theta(p)) \prod_{\substack{p \mid(c / d) \\
p \text { prime }}}\left(1-\chi_{e d}(p) p^{1-k}\right) \\
& =\sum_{(k, e) \in K \times \mathcal{T}_{8}} x_{k, e} \sum_{d \in \mathcal{T}_{m}} \Theta(|d|) \prod_{\substack{p \mid(m / d) \\
p \text { prime }}}(1-\Theta(p)) \\
& \times \sum_{c \in \mathcal{T}_{d}} \Psi(|c|) \Theta^{-1}(|c|) \prod_{\substack{p \mid(d / c) \\
p \text { prime }}}\left(1-\chi_{e c}(p) p^{1-k}\right) L_{2}^{\prime}\left(k, \chi_{e c} \omega^{1-k}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently appealing to Lemma 2 we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Lambda(x, m, \Psi, \Theta) \\
& =\sum_{1 \neq d \in \mathcal{T}_{m}} \Theta(|d|) \mu(|d|) \prod_{\substack{p \mid(m / d) \\
p \text { prime }}}(1-\Theta(p)) \sum_{a=1}^{|d|} \prime\left(\sum_{\substack{k \in K \\
e \in \mathcal{T}_{8}}} x_{k, e} \mathcal{L}_{k, e}\left(\zeta_{|d|}^{a}\right)\right) \\
& \times\left(\sum_{c \in \mathcal{T}_{d}} \mu(|c|) \Psi(|c|) \Theta^{-1}(|c|) \tau\left(\chi_{c}, \zeta_{|c|}\right)|c|^{-1} \chi_{c}(a)\right) \\
& =\sum_{1 \neq d \in \mathcal{T}_{m}} \Theta(|d|) \mu(|d|) \prod_{\substack{p \mid(m / d) \\
p \text { prime }}}(1-\Theta(p)) \sum_{a=1}^{|d|} \prime\left(\sum_{\substack{k \in K \\
e \in \mathcal{T}_{8}}} x_{k, e} \mathcal{L}_{k, e}\left(\zeta_{|d|}^{a}\right)\right) \\
& \times\left(\prod_{\substack{p \mid d \\
p \text { prime }}}\left(1-\tau\left(\chi_{p^{*}}, \zeta_{p}\right) p^{-1} \Psi(p) \Theta^{-1}(p) \chi_{p^{*}}(a)\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $p^{*}=(-1)^{(p-1) / 2} p$ and $\zeta_{|d|}=\prod_{\substack{p \mid d \\ p \text { prime }}} \zeta_{p}$

Now Theorem 1 follows from Lemma 9 when $K=\{-1,0,1,2\}$ or from Lemma 10 when $K$ is a set of consecutive integers.

The Main Theorem in [8] and Theorem in [10] are special cases of Theorem 1 when $\Theta(s)=1$ for $s \mid m$.

We now extend Theorem 2 [6] (a supplement of Theorem 1 [6]). Let $m(>1)$ be a square-free odd natural number. Denote by $I(m)$ the set of $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $l_{k}\left(\zeta_{c}^{a}\right)$ are 2-adic integers for any $c$ and $a$ with $c \mid m$, $c \neq 1,1 \leq a \leq c$ and $\operatorname{gcd}(a, c)=1$. By definition, we have $1 \in I(m)$ and $r \in I(m)$ for any integer $r \leq 0$. The question whether $I(m)=\mathbb{Z}$ remains to be open.

Theorem 2 (cf. [6, Theorem 2]). Let $m>1$ be a square-free odd natural number having $\nu$ prime factors and let $\Psi, \Theta: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{2}$ be multiplicative functions satisfying $\Psi(s) \equiv \Theta(s) \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$ if $s \mid m$. Set

$$
\Lambda_{0, *}(m, \Theta)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\prod_{\substack{p \mid m \\ p \text { prime }}}(1-\Theta(p) p)-\prod_{\substack{p \mid m \\ p \text { prime }}}(1-\Theta(p))\right)
$$

and

$$
\Lambda_{1, *}(m, \Theta)=\sum_{\substack{p \mid m \\ p \text { prime }}} \Theta(p) \log _{2} p \prod_{\substack{q \mid(m / p) \\ q \text { prime }}}(1-\Theta(q)) .
$$

For $k \in I(m)$ the number

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Lambda_{*}(k, m, \Psi, \Theta):= & \sum_{d \in \mathcal{T}_{m}} \Psi(|d|) L_{2, *}^{[m, \Theta]}\left(k, \chi_{d} \omega^{1-k}\right) \\
& +\delta_{k, 0} \Lambda_{0, *}(m, \Theta)+\delta_{k, 1} \Lambda_{1, *}(m, \Theta)
\end{aligned}
$$

is a 2 -adic integer divisible by $2^{\nu}$.
Proof. Write

$$
\Lambda^{\prime}(k, m, \Theta)= \begin{cases}\left(1-2^{-k}\right)^{-1} L_{2}^{[m, \Theta]}\left(k, \omega^{1-k}\right), & \text { if } k \neq 0,1 \\ \Lambda_{k, *}(m, \Theta), & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\Lambda^{\prime \prime}(k, m, \Psi, \Theta)=\sum_{d \in \mathcal{T}_{m}} \Psi(|d|) \prod_{\substack{p \mid(m / d) \\ p \text { prime }}}\left(1-\Theta(p) \chi_{d}(p) p^{1-k}\right) L_{2}^{\prime \prime}\left(k, \chi_{d} \omega^{1-k}\right),
$$

where

$$
L_{2}^{\prime \prime}\left(k, \chi_{d} \omega^{1-k}\right)= \begin{cases}h(d), & \text { if } k=0 \text { and } d<0 \\ 0, & \text { if } k=0 \text { and } d>0 \\ & \text { or } k \neq 0 \text { and } d=1, \\ \left(1-\chi_{d}(2) 2^{-k}\right)^{-1} L_{2}\left(k, \chi_{d} \omega^{1-k}\right), & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
$$

We first observe that

$$
\Lambda_{*}(k, m, \Psi, \Theta)=\Lambda^{\prime}(k, m, \Theta)+\Lambda^{\prime \prime}(k, m, \Psi, \Theta) .
$$

On the other hand, by virtue of (7.4) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Lambda^{\prime}(k, m, \Theta)= & \left(1-2^{-k}\right)^{-1} \sum_{\substack{d \in \mathcal{T}_{m} \\
d \neq 1}} \Theta(|d|) \prod_{\substack{p \mid(m / d) \\
p \text { prime }}}(1-\Theta(p)) \\
& \times \prod_{\substack{p \mid d \\
p \text { prime }}}\left(1-p^{1-k}\right) L_{2}\left(k, \omega^{1-k}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

if $k \neq 0,1$ and

$$
\Lambda^{\prime}(0, m, \Theta)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{d \in \mathcal{T}_{m} \\ d \neq 1}}(-1)^{\nu(d)} \Theta(|d|) \phi(|d|) \prod_{\substack{p \mid(m / d) \\ p \text { prime }}}(1-\Theta(p)) .
$$

Moreover by virtue of (7.5) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Lambda^{\prime \prime}(k, m, \Psi, \Theta)= & \sum_{d \in \mathcal{T}_{m}} \Psi(|d|) L_{2}^{\prime \prime}\left(k, \chi_{d} \omega^{1-k}\right) \Theta^{-1}(|d|) \sum_{d|c| m} \Theta(|c|) \\
& \times \prod_{\substack{p \mid(m / c) \\
p \text { prime }}}(1-\Theta(p)) \prod_{\substack{p \mid(c / d) \\
p / \text { prime }}}\left(1-\chi_{d}(p) p^{1-k}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and so in view of (7.6) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Lambda^{\prime \prime}(k, m, \Psi, \Theta)=\sum_{d \in \mathcal{T}_{m}} \Theta(|d|) \prod_{\substack{p \mid(m / d) \\
p \text { prime }}}(1-\Theta(p)) \\
& \quad \times \sum_{c \in \mathcal{T}_{d}} \Psi(|c|) \Theta^{-1}(|c|) \prod_{\substack{p \mid(d / c) \\
p{ }_{p r i m e}}}\left(1-\chi_{c}(p) p^{1-k}\right) L_{2}^{\prime \prime}\left(k, \chi_{c} \omega^{1-k}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore appealing to Lemmas 3 and 4 we deduce that

$$
\Lambda^{\prime}(k, m, \Theta)=(-1)^{k+1} \sum_{\substack{d \in \mathcal{T}_{m} \\ d \neq 1}}(-1)^{\nu(d)} \Theta(|d|) \prod_{\substack{p \mid(m / d) \\ p \text { prime }}}(1-\Theta(p)) \sum_{b=1}^{|d|} l_{k}\left(\zeta_{|d|}^{b}\right)
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Lambda^{\prime \prime}(k, m, \Psi, \Theta)=(-1)^{k+1} \sum_{\substack{d \in \mathcal{T}_{m} \\
d \neq 1}} \Theta(|d|) \prod_{\substack{p \mid(m / d) \\
p \text { prime }}}(1-\Theta(p)) \\
\times \sum_{c \in \mathcal{T}_{d}} \Psi(|c|) \Theta^{-1}(|c|) \frac{\tau\left(\chi_{c}, \zeta_{|c|}\right)}{|c|} \prod_{\substack{p \mid(d / c) \\
p \text { prime }}}\left(1-\chi_{c}(p) p^{1-k}\right) \sum_{b=1}^{|c|} \chi_{c}(b) l_{k}\left(\zeta_{|c|}^{b}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus in view of Lemma 1 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Lambda_{*}(k, m, \Psi, \Theta)=(-1)^{k+1} \sum_{\substack{d \in \mathcal{T}_{m} \\
d \neq 1}}(-1)^{\nu(d)} \Theta(|d|) \prod_{\substack{p \mid(m / d) \\
p \text { prime }}}(1-\Theta(p)) \\
& \times \sum_{c \in \mathcal{T}_{d}} \Psi(|c|) \Theta^{-1}(|c|) \mu(|c|) \frac{\tau\left(\chi_{c}, \zeta_{|c|}\right)}{|c|} \sum_{b=1}^{|d|} \chi_{c}(b) l_{k}\left(\zeta_{|d|}^{b}\right) \\
& =(-1)^{k+1} \sum_{\substack{d \in \mathcal{T}_{m} \\
d \neq 1}}(-1)^{\nu(d)} \Theta(|d|) \prod_{\substack{p \mid(m / d) \\
p \text { prime }}}(1-\Theta(p)) \sum_{b=1}^{|d|} l_{k}\left(\zeta_{|d|}^{b}\right) \\
& \times \sum_{c \in \mathcal{T}_{d}} \mu(|c|) \Psi(|c|) \Theta^{-1}(|c|) \frac{\tau\left(\chi_{c}, \zeta_{|c|}\right)}{|c|} \chi_{c}(b) \\
& =(-1)^{k+1} \sum_{\substack{d \in \mathcal{T}_{m} \\
d \neq 1}} \Theta(|d|) \prod_{\substack{p \mid(m / d) \\
p \text { prime }}}(1-\Theta(p)) \sum_{b=1}^{|d|} l_{k}\left(\zeta_{|d|}^{b}\right) \\
& \times \prod_{\substack{p \mid d \\
p \text { prime }}}\left(\tau\left(\chi_{p^{*}}, \zeta_{p}\right) p^{-1} \Psi \Theta^{-1}(p) \chi_{p^{*}}(b)-1\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

which proves Theorem 2.

## 8. Optimal linear congruences

The congruences in the hypothesis of Theorem 1

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{(k, e) \in K \times \mathcal{T}_{8}} x_{k, e} \sum_{d \in \mathcal{I}_{m}} \Psi(|d|) L_{2}^{[m, \Theta]}\left(k, \chi_{e d} \omega^{1-k}\right) \\
+x_{1,1} \Lambda_{1}(m, \Theta) \equiv 0\left(\bmod 2^{\nu+\lambda}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

are said to be optimal if $\lambda=c(L)$ (resp. $\lambda=c\left(u_{n}\right)$ ). The 2-adic integers $x_{k, e}\left(k \in K, e \in \mathcal{T}_{8}\right)$ determining an optimal linear congruence are called optimal for $K$. For example, the congruences proved in [4], [7] or resp. [5] are optimal for $K=\{0\}, K=\{-1,0\}$ or resp. $K=\{-m, \ldots,-1,0\}$ ( $m \geq 0$ ).

Optimal linear congruences exist for any non-empty subset $L$ of $K=$ $\{-1,0,1,2\}$ and when $K$ is a finite subset of consecutive integers. Such a congruence was given explicitly in the proof of Lemma 5 in [8] in the former case and inductively in the proof of Lemma 6 in [10] in the latter case.

## 9. Applications of Theorem 1

When $L=\{0,1\}$ Theorem 1 gives the congruences of Gras [3] and Uehara [6] for class numbers of quadratic fields which are modulo $2^{\nu+\lambda}$, where $\lambda \leq 5$. When $L=\{-1,0\}$ (resp. $L=\{0\}$ ) we obtain congruences for the same objects as those in [7] (resp. [4]). The obtained congruences are modulo $2^{\nu+\lambda}$, where $\lambda \leq 6$ (resp. $\lambda \leq 2$ ). When $2 \in L$ the congruences implied by Theorem 1 are quite new and especially interesting. They produce, via a 2 -adic version of the Lichtenbaum conjecture, some new congruences for the conjectured orders of $K_{2}$-groups of the integers of imaginary quadratic fields. We present these congruences in a general form in Theorem 3.

For the discriminant $\mathcal{D}$ of a quadratic field, we write

$$
H(\mathcal{D})=L_{2}\left(k, \chi_{\mathcal{D}} \omega^{1-k}\right) \quad\left(\text { resp. } K_{2}(\mathcal{D})=2 L_{2}\left(k, \chi_{\mathcal{D}} \omega^{1-k}\right)\right),
$$

if $k=0, \mathcal{D}<0$ or $k=1, \mathcal{D}>1$ (resp. $k=-1, \mathcal{D}>1$ or $k=2, \mathcal{D}<0$ ). We have

$$
H(\mathcal{D})= \begin{cases}2 w^{-1}(\mathcal{D})\left(1-\chi_{\mathcal{D}}(2)\right) h(\mathcal{D}), & \text { if } \mathcal{D}<0, \\ \left(2-\chi_{\mathcal{D}}(2)\right) \mathcal{D}^{-1 / 2} h(\mathcal{D}) \log _{2} \varepsilon_{\mathcal{D}}, & \text { if } \mathcal{D}>1,\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
K_{2}(\mathcal{D})= \begin{cases}-24 w_{2}^{-1}(\mathcal{D})\left(1-\chi_{\mathcal{D}}(2) 2\right) k_{2}(\mathcal{D}), & \text { if } \mathcal{D}>1, \\ \left(4-\chi_{\mathcal{D}}(2)\right)|\mathcal{D}|^{-3 / 2} R_{2,2}(\mathcal{D}) k_{2}(\mathcal{D}), & \text { if } \mathcal{D}<0\end{cases}
$$

In the formula for $K_{2}(\mathcal{D})$ when $\mathcal{D}<0$ we assume that the 2-adic Lichtenbaum conjecture for imaginary quadratic fields holds. Now we are ready to extend results of [8, Applications]. We rewrite Theorem 1 with $K=\{-1,0,1,2\}$ in the form:

Theorem 3 (cf. [8, Applications]). Let $m>1$ be a square-free odd natural number having $\nu$ prime factors and let $\Theta, \Psi: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{2}$ be multiplicative functions such that $\Theta(s) \equiv \Psi(s) \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$ if $s \mid m$. Set $K=\{-1,0,1,2\}$ and let $L$ be a non-empty subset of $K$. Given a set $x=\left\{x_{k, e}\right\}_{(k, e) \in K \times \mathcal{T}_{8}}$ of 2-adic integers not all even defined on $L$, set

$$
\Lambda=\Lambda_{-1}+\Lambda_{0}+\Lambda_{1}+\Lambda_{2}+\Lambda_{-1}^{\prime}+\Lambda_{1}^{\prime},
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Lambda_{-1}= & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{e \in \mathcal{T}_{8}} x_{-1, e} \sum_{\substack{d \in \mathcal{T}_{m} \\
e d>1}} \Psi(|d|) \\
& \times\left(\prod_{\substack{p \mid m \\
p \text { prime }}}\left(1-\chi_{e d}(p) \Theta(p) p^{2}\right)-\delta_{d, 1} \prod_{\substack{p \mid m \\
p \text { prime }}}(1-\Theta(p))\right) K_{2}(e d), \\
\Lambda_{0}= & \sum_{e \in \mathcal{T}_{8}} x_{0, e} \sum_{\substack{d \in \mathcal{T}_{m} \\
e d<0}} \Psi(|d|) \\
& \times\left(\prod_{\substack{p \mid m \\
p \text { prime }}}\left(1-\chi_{e d}(p) \Theta(p) p\right)-\delta_{d, 1} \prod_{\substack{p \mid m \\
p \text { prime }}}(1-\Theta(p))\right) H(e d), \\
\Lambda_{1}= & \sum_{e \in \mathcal{T}_{8}}^{x_{1, e} \sum_{\substack{d \in \mathcal{T}_{m} \\
e d>1}} \Psi(|d|)} \\
& \times\left(\prod_{\substack{p \mid m \\
p \text { prime }}}\left(1-\chi_{e d}(p) \Theta(p)\right)-\delta_{d, 1} \prod_{\substack{p \mid m \\
p \text { prime }}}(1-\Theta(p))\right) H(e d), \\
\Lambda_{2}= & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{ } \mathcal{T}_{8}} x_{2, e} \sum_{\substack{d \in \mathcal{T}_{m} \\
e d<0}} \Psi(|d|)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \times\left(\prod_{\substack{p \mid m \\
p \text { prime }}}\left(1-\chi_{e d}(p) \Theta(p) p^{-1}\right)-\delta_{d, 1} \prod_{\substack{p \mid m \\
p \text { prime }}}(1-\Theta(p))\right) K_{2}(e d), \\
\Lambda_{-1}^{\prime}= & \frac{1}{12} x_{-1,1}\left(\prod_{\substack{p \mid m \\
p \text { prime }}}\left(1-\Theta(p) p^{2}\right)-\prod_{\substack{p \mid m \\
p \text { prime }}}(1-\Theta(p))\right), \\
\Lambda_{1}^{\prime}= & -\frac{1}{2} x_{1,1} \sum_{\substack{p \mid m \\
p \text { prime }}} \Theta(p) \log _{2} p \prod_{\substack{q \mid(m) p) \\
q \text { prime }}}(1-\Theta(q)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the number $\Lambda$ is a 2 -adic integer divisible by $2^{\nu+\lambda}$, where $\lambda$ has the same meaning as in Theorem 1.

## 10. The case $L=\{0,1\}$

Hardy and Williams [4] discovered a new type of linear congruence relating class numbers of imaginary quadratic fields. A general linear congruence relating class numbers and units both of real and imaginary quadratic fields was discovered by Gras [3]. Gras derived his congruence using 2-adic measure theory. Uehara [6] reproved Gras' congruence using elementary 2 -adic arguments. Both Gras and Uehara used the 2-adic analogue of Dirichlet's class number formulas. Urbanowicz and Wójcik [8] and WóJcik [10] indicated how Uehara's techniques may be used to obtain more general congruences among the values of 2 -adic $L$-functions. Gras and Uehara's congruences are special cases of Theorems 1 and 2.

Theorem 4 (see [6, Theorem 1]). Let $m>1$ be an odd square-free integer having $\nu$ prime factors, and let $\Theta, \Psi: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{2}$ be multiplicative functions such that $\Psi(s) \equiv \Theta(s) \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$ for any divisor $s \mid m$. In the notation of Theorem 3, for any 2-adic integers $x_{0, e}, x_{1, e}\left(e \in \mathcal{I}_{8}\right)$ not all even we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{e \in \mathcal{T}_{8}} x_{0, e} \sum_{\substack{d \in \mathcal{T}_{m} \\
e d<0}} \Psi(|d|)\left(\prod_{\substack{p \mid m \\
p \text { prime }}}\left(1-\chi_{e d}(p) \Theta(p) p\right)-\delta_{d, 1} \prod_{\substack{p \mid m \\
p \text { prime }}}(1-\Theta(p))\right) H(e d) \\
+\sum_{e \in \mathcal{T}_{8}} x_{1, e} \sum_{\substack{d \in \mathcal{T}_{m} \\
e d>1}} \Psi(|d|)\left(\prod_{\substack{p \mid m \\
p \text { prime }}}\left(1-\chi_{e d}(p) \Theta(p)\right)-\delta_{d, 1} \prod_{\substack{p \mid m \\
p \text { prime }}}(1-\Theta(p))\right) H(e d) \\
-\frac{1}{2} x_{1,1} \sum_{\substack{p \mid m \\
p \text { prime }}} \Theta(p) \log _{2} p \prod_{\substack{q \mid(m / p) \\
q \text { prime }}}(1-\Theta(q)) \equiv 0\left(\bmod 2^{\nu+\lambda}\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

where $2^{\lambda}$ is the greatest common divisor of the eight integers $s_{i}(0 \leq i \leq 7)$ defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{0}=x_{0,-8}+x_{0,-4}+x_{0,1}+x_{0,8}+x_{1,-8}+x_{1,-4}+x_{1,1}+x_{1,8} \\
& s_{1}=2\left(x_{0,1}+x_{0,8}+x_{1,-8}+x_{1,-4}\right) \\
& s_{2}=2\left(3 x_{0,-8}+3 x_{0,8}+x_{1,-8}+2 x_{1,-4}+2 x_{1,1}+x_{1,8}\right) \\
& s_{3}=4\left(3 x_{0,8}+x_{1,-8}+2 x_{1,-4}\right) \\
& s_{4}=4\left(5 x_{0,-8}+5 x_{0,8}+x_{1,-8}+4 x_{1,-4}+4 x_{1,1}+x_{1,8}\right) \\
& s_{5}=8\left(x_{0,8}+x_{1,-8}\right) \\
& s_{6}=8\left(x_{0,-8}+x_{0,8}-x_{1,-8}-x_{1,8}\right) \\
& s_{7}=32
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark. The proof of Theorem 4 is straightforward. We see at once that $\operatorname{gcd}\left(z_{i}, 32\right)=\operatorname{gcd}\left(s_{i}, 32\right), 0 \leq i \leq 6$, which is clear from (1.1) and (1.2) (with $p=2$ ).

Theorem 4 is the main result of [6]. This theorem and its supplement stated in [6, Theorem 2] include the congruences proved in [3, Théorèmes (1.3), (1.4)] and [4]. For details and other applications see [6].

In fact Uehara has provided a general method of producing such congruences. It is a simple matter to determine linear congruence relations with given $\lambda$. We will look more closely at the case when $\lambda=5$.

Corollary 1. The congruence in the hypothesis of Theorem 4 is optimal if and only if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{0,-8}=a \\
& x_{0,-4}=a+32 b-16 c-24 d+4 e+4 f+2 g
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{0,1} & =-a+16 c+16 d-4 e-4 f-2 g+2 h, \\
x_{0,8} & =-a+16 d-4 f+2 h, \\
x_{1,-8} & =a-16 d+4 f+4 g-2 h, \\
x_{1,-4} & =a-16 d+4 e+4 f-2 g-2 h, \\
x_{1,1} & =-a-8 d-4 e+4 f+2 g, \\
x_{1,8} & =-a+32 d-8 f-4 g,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h \in \mathbb{C}_{2}$ are integers with $a$ odd.
Proof. The congruence in the hypothesis of Theorem 4 is valid modulo $2^{\nu+5}$ if and only if

$$
\begin{gather*}
s_{0}=32 b, s_{1}=32 c, s_{2}=32 d, s_{3}=32 e, \\
s_{4}=32 f, s_{5}=32 g, s_{6}=32 h \tag{10.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

for some integers $b, c, d, e, f, g, h \in \mathbb{C}_{2}$. Taking $x_{0,-8}=a$ we obtain a system of seven linear equations with seven unknowns $x_{0,-4}, x_{0,1}, x_{0,8}$, $x_{1,-8}, x_{1,-4}, x_{1,1}, x_{1,8}$ and determinant -8 . An easy computation gives the formulas of Corollary 1 at once.

Corollary 2. If the congruence in the hypothesis of Theorem 4 is optimal then all the $x_{0, e}, x_{1, e}\left(e \in \mathcal{T}_{8}\right)$ are odd. None of these coefficients can vanish in particular.

$$
\text { 11. The case } L=\{-1,0\}
$$

In this case the obtained congruences extend those of [7] for the orders of $K_{2}$-groups of the integers of real quadratic fields and class numbers of imaginary quadratic fields. We leave it to the reader to show that Theorem 5 implies the Theorem in [7]. In the case when $L=\{-1,0\}$ we have $c(L)=5$ and the congruences are valid modulo $2^{\nu+\lambda+1}$, where $\lambda \leq 5$.

Theorem 5. Let $m>1$ be an odd square-free integer having $\nu$ prime factors, and let $\Theta, \Psi: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{2}$ be multiplicative functions such that $\Psi(s) \equiv$
$\Theta(s) \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$ for any divisor $s \mid m$. In the notation of Theorem 3, for any 2 -adic integers $x_{-1, e}, x_{0, e}\left(e \in \mathcal{T}_{8}\right)$ not all even we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{e \in \mathcal{T}_{8}} x_{-1, e} \sum_{\substack{d \in \mathcal{T}_{m} \\
e d>1}} \Psi(|d|)\left(\prod_{\substack{p \mid m \\
p \text { prime }}}\left(1-\chi_{e d}(p) \Theta(p) p^{2}\right)-\delta_{d, 1} \prod_{\substack{p \mid m \\
p \text { prime }}}(1-\Theta(p))\right) K_{2}(e d) \\
& +2 \sum_{e \in \mathcal{T}_{8}} x_{0, e} \sum_{\substack{d \in \mathcal{T}_{m}}} \Psi(|d|)\left(\prod_{\substack{p \mid m \\
e d<0}}\left(1-\chi_{e d}(p) \Theta(p) p\right)-\delta_{d, 1} \prod_{\substack{p \mid m \\
p \text { prime }}}(1-\Theta(p))\right) H(e d) \\
& +\frac{1}{6} x_{-1,1}\left(\prod_{\substack{p \mid m \\
p \text { prime }}}\left(1-\Theta(p) p^{2}\right)-\prod_{\substack{p \mid m \\
p \text { prime }}}(1-\Theta(p))\right) \equiv 0\left(\bmod 2^{\nu+\lambda+1}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $2^{\lambda}$ is the greatest common divisor of the eight integers $s_{i}(0 \leq i \leq 7)$ defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{0}=x_{-1,-8}+x_{-1,-4}+x_{-1,1}+x_{-1,8}+x_{0,-8}+x_{0,-4}+x_{0,1}+x_{0,8}, \\
& s_{1}=2\left(x_{-1,-8}+x_{-1,-4}+x_{0,1}+x_{0,8}\right), \\
& s_{2}=2\left(-x_{-1,-8}+2 x_{-1,-4}+2 x_{-1,1}-x_{-1,8}+x_{0,-8}+x_{0,8}\right), \\
& s_{3}=4\left(-x_{-1,-8}+2 x_{-1,-4}+x_{0,8}\right), \\
& s_{4}=4\left(-3 x_{-1,-8}+4 x_{-1,-4}+4 x_{-1,1}-3 x_{-1,8}+x_{0,-8}+x_{0,8}\right), \\
& s_{5}=8\left(x_{-1,-8}+x_{0,8}\right), \\
& s_{6}=8\left(-x_{-1,-8}-x_{-1,8}+x_{0,-8}+x_{0,8}\right), \\
& s_{7}=32 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. The proof is immediate. We apply (1.1) and (1.2) again.

Corollary 1. The congruence in the hypothesis of Theorem 5 is optimal if and only if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{-1,-8}=a, \\
& x_{-1,-4}=a+4 e-2 g,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{-1,1} & =-a+8 d-4 e+2 g-2 h \\
x_{-1,8} & =-a+16 d-4 f-2 h \\
x_{1,-8} & =a+16 d-4 f-4 g+2 h \\
x_{1,-4} & =a+32 b-16 c-40 d+4 e+8 f+2 g+2 h \\
x_{1,1} & =-a+16 c-4 e-2 g \\
x_{1,8} & =-a+4 g
\end{aligned}
$$

where $a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h \in \mathbb{C}_{2}$ are integers with odd $a$.

Proof. The congruence in the hypothesis of Theorem 5 is valid modulo $2^{\nu+5}$ if and only if $s_{0}, s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}, s_{4}, s_{5}, s_{6}$ satisfy (10.7) for some integers $b, c, d, e, f, g, h \in \mathbb{C}_{2}$. Taking $x_{-1,-8}=a$ we obtain a system of seven linear equations with seven unknowns $x_{-1,-4}, x_{-1,1}, x_{-1,8}, x_{0,-8}$, $x_{0,-4}, x_{0,1}, x_{0,8}$ and determinant -8 . A standard computation gives the formulas of Corollary 1 at once.

Corollary 2. If the congruence in the hypothesis of Theorem 5 is optimal then all the $x_{-1, e}, x_{0, e}\left(e \in \mathcal{T}_{8}\right)$ are odd. None of these coefficients can vanish in particular.
12. The case $L=\{-1,2\}$

In the case when $L=\{-1,2\}$ we derive linear congruences among the conjectured orders of $K_{2}$-groups of the integers of quadratic fields. In this case the obtained congruence provides an analogue of the Gras and Uehara congruence in $K_{2}$-theory. Here $c(L)=5$ and the congruences are valid modulo $2^{\nu+\lambda+1}$, where $\lambda \leq 5$.

Theorem 6. Let $m>1$ be an odd square-free integer having $\nu$ prime factors, and let $\Theta, \Psi: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{2}$ be multiplicative functions such that $\Psi(s) \equiv$ $\Theta(s) \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$ for any divisor $s \mid m$. In the notation of Theorem 3, for
any 2 -adic integers $x_{-1, e}, x_{2, e}\left(e \in \mathcal{T}_{8}\right)$ not all even we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{e \in \mathcal{T}_{8}} x_{-1, e} \sum_{\substack{d \in \mathcal{T}_{m} \\
e d>1}} \Psi(|d|)\left(\prod_{\substack{p \mid m \\
p \text { prime }}}\left(1-\chi_{e d}(p) \Theta(p) p^{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\delta_{d, 1} \prod_{\substack{p \mid m \\
p \text { prime }}}(1-\Theta(p))\right) K_{2}(e d) \\
& +\sum_{e \in \mathcal{T}_{8}} x_{2, e} \sum_{\substack{d \in \mathcal{T}_{m} \\
e d<0}} \Psi(|d|)\left(\prod_{\substack{p \mid m \\
p \text { prime }}}\left(1-\chi_{e d}(p) \Theta(p) p^{-1}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\delta_{d, 1} \prod_{\substack{p \mid m \\
p \text { prime }}}(1-\Theta(p))\right) K_{2}(e d), \\
& +\frac{1}{6} x_{-1,1}\left(\prod_{\substack{p \mid m \\
p \text { prime }}}\left(1-\Theta(p) p^{2}\right)-\prod_{\substack{p \mid m \\
p \text { prime }}}(1-\Theta(p))\right) \equiv 0\left(\bmod 2^{\nu+\lambda+1}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $2^{\lambda}$ is the greatest common divisor of the eight integers $s_{i}(0 \leq i \leq 7)$ defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{0}= & x_{-1,-8}+x_{-1,-4}+x_{-1,1}+x_{-1,8}+x_{2,-8}+x_{2,-4}+x_{2,1}+x_{2,8} \\
s_{1}= & 2\left(x_{-1,-8}+x_{-1,-4}+x_{2,1}+x_{2,8}\right) \\
s_{2}= & 2\left(7 x_{-1,-8}+2 x_{-1,-4}+2 x_{-1,1}+7 x_{-1,8}+5 x_{2,-8}\right. \\
& \left.+4 x_{2,-4}+4 x_{2,1}+5 x_{2,8}\right) \\
s_{3}= & 4\left(-x_{-1,-8}+2 x_{-1,-4}+4 x_{2,1}+5 x_{2,8}\right) \\
s_{4}= & 4\left(5 x_{-1,-8}+4 x_{-1,-4}+4 x_{-1,1}+5 x_{-1,8}+x_{2,-8}+x_{2,8}\right) \\
s_{5}= & 8\left(x_{-1,-8}+x_{2,8}\right) \\
s_{6}= & 8\left(3 x_{-1,-8}+3 x_{-1,8}+x_{2,-8}+x_{2,8}\right) \\
s_{7}= & 32
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. In order to obtain the above formulas for $s_{i}, 0 \leq i \leq 6$ we make use of (1.1) and (1.2).

Corollary 1. The congruence in the hypothesis of Theorem 6 is optimal if and only if

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{-1,-8} & =a \\
x_{-1,-4} & =-3 a+32 c-4 e+2 g \\
x_{-1,1} & =3 a+64 b-32 c-8 d+4 e-2 g+2 h \\
x_{-1,8} & =-a-128 b+16 d+4 f-6 h \\
x_{2,-8} & =a+384 b-48 d-12 f-4 g+22 h \\
x_{2,-4} & =-3 a-288 b+16 c+40 d-4 e+8 f+6 g-18 h \\
x_{2,1} & =3 a-16 c+4 e-6 g \\
x_{2,8} & =-a+4 g
\end{aligned}
$$

where $a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h \in \mathbb{C}_{2}$ are integers with $a$ odd.
Proof. We proceed in the same way as in the proof of Corollary 1 to Theorem 5. Taking $x_{-1,-8}=a$ we obtain a system of seven linear equations with seven unknowns $x_{-1,-4}, x_{-1,1}, x_{-1,8}, x_{2,-8}, x_{2,-4}, x_{2,1}$, $x_{2,8}$ and determinant 8. An easy verification gives the above formulas immediately.

Corollary 2. If the congruence in the hypothesis of Theorem 6 is optimal then all the $x_{-1, e}, x_{2, e}\left(e \in \mathcal{T}_{8}\right)$ are odd. None of these coefficients can vanish in particular.
13. The case $L=\{1,2\}$

In the case when $L=\{1,2\}$ we obtain linear congruences for class numbers of real quadratic fields and the orders of $K_{2}$-groups of the integers of imaginary quadratic fields. In this case $c(L)=5$ and the obtained congruences are valid modulo $2^{\nu+\lambda+1}$, where $\lambda \leq 5$.

Theorem 7. Let $m>1$ be an odd square-free integer having $\nu$ prime factors, and let $\Theta, \Psi: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{2}$ be multiplicative functions such that $\Psi(s) \equiv$
$\Theta(s) \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$ for any divisor $s \mid m$. In the notation of Theorem 3, for any 2-adic integers $x_{1, e}, x_{2, e}\left(e \in \mathcal{T}_{8}\right)$ not all even we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \sum_{e \in \mathcal{T}_{8}} x_{1, e} \sum_{\substack{d \in \mathcal{T}_{m} \\
e d>1}} \Psi(|d|)\left(\prod_{\substack{p \mid m \\
p \text { prime }}}\left(1-\chi_{e d}(p) \Theta(p)\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\delta_{d, 1} \prod_{\substack{p \mid m \\
p \text { prime }}}(1-\Theta(p))\right) H(e d) \\
& +\sum_{\substack{ \\
e \in \mathcal{T}_{8}}} x_{2, e} \sum_{\substack{d \in \mathcal{T}_{m} \\
e d<0}} \Psi(|d|)\left(\prod_{\substack{p \mid m \\
p \text { prime }}}\left(1-\chi_{e d}(p) \Theta(p) p^{-1}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\delta_{d, 1} \prod_{\substack{p| | m \\
p \text { prime }}}(1-\Theta(p))\right) K_{2}(e d), \\
& -x_{1,1} \sum_{\substack{p \mid m \\
p \text { prime }}} \Theta(p) \log _{2} p \prod_{\substack{q \mid(m / p) \\
q \text { prime }}}(1-\Theta(q)) \equiv 0\left(\bmod 2^{\nu+\lambda+1}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $2^{\lambda}$ is the greatest common divisor of the eight integers $s_{i}(0 \leq i \leq 7)$ defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{0}=x_{1,-8}+x_{1,-4}+x_{1,1}+x_{1,8}+x_{2,-8}+x_{2,-4}+x_{2,1}+x_{2,8}, \\
& s_{1}=2\left(x_{1,-8}+x_{1,-4}+x_{2,1}+x_{2,8}\right), \\
& s_{2}=2\left(3 x_{1,-8}+6 x_{1,-4}+6 x_{1,1}+3 x_{1,8}+5 x_{2,-8}+4 x_{2,-4}+4 x_{2,1}+5 x_{2,8}\right), \\
& s_{3}=4\left(x_{1,-8}+2 x_{1,-4}+4 x_{2,1}-x_{2,8}\right), \\
& s_{4}=4\left(-3 x_{1,-8}+4 x_{1,-4}+4 x_{1,1}-3 x_{1,8}+x_{2,-8}+x_{2,8}\right), \\
& s_{5}=8\left(x_{1,-8}+x_{2,8}\right), \\
& s_{6}=8\left(3 x_{1,-8}+3 x_{1,8}+x_{2,-8}+x_{2,8}\right), \\
& s_{7}=32 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. It follows from (1.1) and (1.2) that

$$
\operatorname{gcd}\left(z_{3}, 32\right)=\operatorname{gcd}\left(4\left(3 x_{1,-8}+6 x_{1,-4}+4 x_{2,1}+5 x_{2,8}\right), 32\right)=\operatorname{gcd}\left(s_{3}, 32\right)
$$

and the corollary follows easily from Theorem 7.
Corollary 1. The congruence in the hypothesis of Theorem 7 is optimal if and only if

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{1,-8} & =a, \\
x_{1,-4} & =a+32 c-4 e-10 g, \\
x_{1,1} & =-a+192 b-32 c-24 d+4 e+8 f+10 g+2 h, \\
x_{1,8} & =-a+128 b-16 d+4 f+2 h, \\
x_{2,-8} & =a-384 b+48 d-12 f-4 g-2 h, \\
x_{2,-4} & =a+96 b+16 c-8 d-4 e-6 g-2 h, \\
x_{2,1} & =-a-16 c+4 e+6 g, \\
x_{2,8} & =-a+4 g,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h \in \mathbb{C}_{2}$ are integers with $a$ odd.
Proof. The proof is standard. We proceed in the same way as in the proof of Corollary 1 to Theorem 5. Taking $x_{1,-8}=a$ we obtain a system of seven linear equations with seven unknowns $x_{-1,-4}, x_{1,1}, x_{1,8}, x_{2,-8}$, $x_{2,-4}, x_{2,1}, x_{2,8}$ and determinant -8 . The details are left to the reader.

Corollary 2. If the congruence in the hypothesis of Theorem 7 is optimal then all the $x_{1, e}, x_{2, e}\left(e \in \mathcal{T}_{8}\right)$ are odd. None of these coefficients can vanish in particular.
14. The cases $L=\{-1,1\}$ and $L=\{0,2\}$

In the case when $L=\{-1,1\}$ (resp. $L=\{0,2\}$ ) we obtain linear congruences between class numbers and the orders of $K_{2}$-groups of the integers of real (resp. imaginary) quadratic fields. In both the cases $c(L)=$ 6 and the obtained congruences are valid modulo $2^{\nu+\lambda+1}$, where $\lambda \leq 6$.

Theorem 8. Let $m>1$ be an odd square-free integer having $\nu$ prime factors, and let $\Theta, \Psi: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{2}$ be multiplicative functions such that $\Psi(s) \equiv$ $\Theta(s) \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$ for any divisor $s \mid m$. In the notation of Theorem 3, for any 2-adic integers $x_{-1, e}, x_{1, e}\left(e \in \mathcal{I}_{8}\right)$ not all even we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{e \in \mathcal{T}_{8}} x_{-1, e} \sum_{\substack{d \in \mathcal{T}_{m} \\
e d>1}} \Psi(|d|)\left(\prod_{\substack{p \mid m \\
p \text { prime }}}\left(1-\chi_{e d}(p) \Theta(p) p^{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\delta_{d, 1} \prod_{\substack{p \mid m \\
p \text { prime }}}(1-\Theta(p))\right) K_{2}(e d) \\
& +2 \sum_{e \in \mathcal{T}_{8}} x_{1, e} \sum_{\substack{d \in \mathcal{T}_{m} \\
e d>1}} \Psi(|d|)\left(\prod_{\substack{p \mid m \\
p \text { prime }}}\left(1-\chi_{e d}(p) \Theta(p)\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\delta_{d, 1} \prod_{\substack{p \mid m \\
p \text { prime }}}(1-\Theta(p))\right) H(e d) \\
& +\frac{1}{6} x_{-1,1}\left(\prod_{\substack{p \mid m \\
p \text { prime }}}\left(1-\Theta(p) p^{2}\right)-\prod_{\substack{p \mid m \\
p \text { prime }}}(1-\Theta(p))\right) \\
& -x_{1,1} \sum_{\substack{p \mid m \\
p \text { prime }}} \Theta(p) \log _{2} p \prod_{\substack{q \mid(m / p) \\
q \text { prime }}}(1-\Theta(q)) \equiv 0\left(\bmod 2^{\nu+\lambda+1}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $2^{\lambda}$ is the greatest common divisor of the eight integers $s_{i}(0 \leq i \leq 7)$ defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{0}= & x_{-1,-8}+x_{-1,-4}+x_{-1,1}+x_{-1,8}+x_{1,-8}+x_{1,-4}+x_{1,1}+x_{1,8} \\
s_{1}= & 2\left(x_{-1,-8}+x_{-1,-4}+x_{1,-8}+x_{1,-4}\right) \\
s_{2}= & 2\left(-3 x_{-1,-8}+6 x_{-1,-4}+6 x_{-1,1}-3 x_{-1,8}\right. \\
& \left.+x_{1,-8}+2 x_{1,-4}+2 x_{1,1}+x_{1,8}\right) \\
s_{3}= & 4\left(-3 x_{-1,-8}+6 x_{-1,-4}+x_{1,-8}+2 x_{1,-4}\right) \\
s_{4}= & 4\left(5 x_{-1,-8}+4 x_{-1,-4}+4 x_{-1,1}+5 x_{-1,8}+5 x_{1,-8}\right. \\
& \left.+4 x_{1,-4}+4 x_{1,1}+5 x_{1,8}\right) \\
s_{5}= & 8\left(5 x_{-1,-8}+4 x_{-1,-4}+5 x_{1,-8}+4 x_{1,-4}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$s_{6}=8\left(3 x_{-1,-8}+3 x_{-1,8}-x_{1,-8}-x_{1,8}\right)$,
$s_{7}=64$.

Proof. Note that in the case when $L=\{-1,1\}$ we have

$$
z_{8} \equiv-2 z_{6} \quad(\bmod 64), \quad z_{7} \equiv-2 z_{5} \quad(\bmod 64)
$$

and in consequence we may ignore $z_{8}$ and $z_{7}$ (the $z_{n}$ with $n=2 c(L)-4$, $2 c(L)-5)$. In order to obtain formulas for $s_{i}, 0 \leq i \leq 6$ we use (1.1) and (1.2). For example, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{gcd}\left(z_{3}, 64\right) & =\operatorname{gcd}\left(4\left(-9 x_{-1,-8}+2 x_{-1,-4}+3 x_{1,-8}+6 x_{1,-4}\right), 64\right) \\
& =\operatorname{gcd}\left(s_{3}, 64\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The corollary follows easily from Theorem 8.
Corollary 1. The congruence in the hypothesis of Theorem 8 is optimal if and only if

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{-1,-8} & =a \\
x_{-1,-4} & =a-48 c+4 e+2 g, \\
x_{-1,1} & =-a-160 b+48 c+8 d-4 e+8 f-2 g+2 h, \\
x_{-1,8} & =-a-64 b+4 f+2 h, \\
x_{1,-8} & =-a-128 c+8 g, \\
x_{1,-4} & =-a+208 c-4 e-10 g \\
x_{1,1} & =a+480 b-208 c-8 d+4 e-24 f+10 g-2 h, \\
x_{1,8} & =a-192 b+128 c+12 f-8 g-2 h,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h \in \mathbb{C}_{2}$ are integers with $a$ odd.
Proof. The congruence in the hypothesis of Theorem 8 is valid modulo $2^{\nu+6}$ if and only if

$$
\begin{gather*}
s_{0}=64 b, s_{1}=64 c, s_{2}=64 d, s_{3}=64 e \\
s_{4}=64 f, s_{5}=64 g, s_{6}=64 h \tag{14.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

for some integers $b, c, d, e, f, g, h \in \mathbb{C}_{2}$. Taking $x_{0,-8}=a$ we obtain a system of seven linear equations with seven unknowns $x_{-1,-4}, x_{-1,1}, x_{-1,8}$, $x_{1,-8}, x_{1,-4}, x_{1,1}, x_{1,8}$ and determinant -64 . A standard computation gives the formulas of Corollary 1 at once.

Corollary 2. If the congruence in the hypothesis of Theorem 8 is optimal then all the $x_{k, e}$ are odd. None of these coefficients can vanish in particular.

Theorem 9. Let $m>1$ be an odd square-free integer having $\nu$ prime factors, and let $\Theta, \Psi: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{2}$ be multiplicative functions such that $\Psi(s) \equiv$ $\Theta(s) \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$ for any divisor $s \mid m$. In the notation of Theorem 3, for any 2-adic integers $x_{0, e}, x_{2, e}\left(e \in \mathcal{T}_{8}\right)$ not all even we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \sum_{e \in \mathcal{T}_{8}} x_{0, e} \sum_{\substack{d \in \mathcal{T}_{m} \\
e d<0}} \Psi(|d|)\left(\prod_{\substack{p \mid m \\
p \text { prime }}}\left(1-\chi_{e d}(p) \Theta(p) p\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\delta_{d, 1} \prod_{\substack{p \mid m \\
p \text { prime }}}(1-\Theta(p))\right) H(e d) \\
& +\sum_{e \in \mathcal{T}_{8}} x_{2, e} \sum_{\substack{d \in \mathcal{T}_{m} \\
e d<0}} \Psi(|d|)\left(\prod_{\substack{p \mid m \\
p \text { prime }}}\left(1-\chi_{e d}(p) \Theta(p) p^{-1}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad-\delta_{d, 1} \prod_{\substack{p \mid m \\
p \text { prime }}}(1-\Theta(p))\right) K_{2}(e d), \\
& \equiv 0\left(\bmod 2^{\nu+\lambda+1}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $2^{\lambda}$ is the greatest common divisor of the eight integers $s_{i}(0 \leq i \leq 7)$ defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{0}=x_{0,-8}+x_{0,-4}+x_{0,1}+x_{0,8}+x_{2,-8}+x_{2,-4}+x_{2,1}+x_{2,8}, \\
& s_{1}=2\left(x_{0,1}+x_{0,8}+x_{2,1}+x_{2,8}\right), \\
& s_{2}=2\left(9 x_{0,-8}+9 x_{0,8}+5 x_{2,-8}+4 x_{2,-4}+4 x_{2,1}+5 x_{2,8}\right), \\
& s_{3}=4\left(9 x_{0,8}+4 x_{2,1}+5 x_{2,8}\right), \\
& s_{4}=4\left(x_{0,-8}+x_{0,8}+x_{2,-8}+x_{2,8}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{5}=8\left(x_{0,8}+x_{2,8}\right), \\
& s_{6}=8\left(5 x_{0,-8}+5 x_{0,8}+x_{2,-8}+x_{2,8}\right), \\
& s_{7}=64 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Note that in the case when $L=\{0,2\}$ we have

$$
z_{8} \equiv 2 z_{6} \quad(\bmod 64), \quad z_{7} \equiv 2 z_{5} \quad(\bmod 64),
$$

and in consequence we may ignore the $z_{8}$ and $z_{7}$ (the $z_{n}$ with $n=2 c(L)-4$, $2 c(L)-5)$. We apply (1.1) and (1.2) again.

Corollary 1. The congruence in the hypothesis of Theorem 9 is optimal if and only if

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{0,-8} & =a, \\
x_{0,-4} & =a+64 b-32 c-8 d+4 e+4 f-2 g, \\
x_{0,1} & =-a+32 c-4 e-4 f+2 g+2 h, \\
x_{0,8} & =-a-4 f+2 h, \\
x_{2,-8} & =-a+16 f-8 g, \\
x_{2,-4} & =-a+8 d-4 e-20 f+10 g, \\
x_{2,1} & =a+4 e+4 f-10 g-2 h, \\
x_{2,8} & =a+4 f+8 g-2 h,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h \in \mathbb{C}_{2}$ are integers with a odd.
Proof. The congruence in the hypothesis of Theorem 9 is valid modulo $2^{\nu+6}$ if and only if $s_{0}, s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}, s_{4}, s_{5}, s_{6}$ satisfy (14.8) for some integers $b, c, d, e, f, g, h \in \mathbb{C}_{2}$. Taking $x_{0,-8}=a$ we obtain a system of seven linear equations with seven unknowns $x_{0,-4}, x_{0,1}, x_{0,8}, x_{2,-8}, x_{2,-4}, x_{2,1}, x_{2,8}$ and determinant 64. An easy verification gives the formulas of Corollary 1 at once.

Corollary 2. If the congruence in the hypothesis of Theorem 9 is optimal then all the $x_{0, e}, x_{2, e}\left(e \in \mathcal{T}_{8}\right)$ are odd. None of these coefficients can vanish in particular.

## 15. Concluding remarks

Uehara's approach used in [8] and [10] gives a method of producing linear congruences. It would be interesting to use this method to find for given $\lambda$ explicit formulas for the $x_{k, e}$ such that the linear congruences are valid modulo $2^{\nu+\lambda}$. This approach should yield many new congruences between class numbers and the orders of $K_{2}$-groups of the rings of integers of quadratic fields. In the case of the orders of $K_{2}$-groups for imaginary quadratic fields such congruences would be completely new. The detailed results will appear in forthcoming publications.

Another direction for further investigation would be to extend WóJCIK's congruence [10] by giving a congruence for a linear combination of the values $L_{2}\left(k, \chi \omega^{1-k}\right)$, where the numbers $k$ are taken from any finite subset of the integers. Wójcik's congruence involved the case when this subset consisted of consecutive integers. Urbanowicz and WóJcik [8] found such a congruence for any subset of the set $\{-1,0,1,2\}$.
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