Publ. Math. Debrecen 61 / 3-4 (2002), 613–622

On the second variation formula for biharmonic maps to a sphere

By C. ONICIUC (Iași)

Abstract. We compute the nullity for the following weakly stable biharmonic maps: the identity map $\mathbf{1}: \mathbb{S}^n \to \mathbb{S}^n$ and the canonical inclusion $\mathbf{i}: \mathbb{S}^m \to \mathbb{S}^n$.

1. Introduction

A map $\phi : (M,g) \to (N,h)$ between two Riemannian manifolds is harmonic if it is a critical point of the energy $E(\phi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M |d\phi|^2 v_g$. The map ϕ is harmonic if and only if its tension field $\tau(\phi) = \text{trace } \nabla d\phi$ vanishes. In the same way, as suggested by J. EELLS and J. H. SAMPSON in [6], a map ϕ is biharmonic if it is a critical point of the bienergy $E_2(\phi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M |\tau(\phi)|^2 v_g$. G. Y. JIANG has obtained in [7], [8] the first and second variation formula. He has proved that the map ϕ is biharmonic if and only if

$$\tau_2(\phi) = J(\tau(\phi)) = 0,$$

where J is the Jacobi operator of ϕ . Of course, any harmonic map is biharmonic.

B. Y. CHEN and S. ISHIKAWA have shown in [3] that there are no nonharmonic biharmonic submanifolds of \mathbb{R}^3 . In the same way, in [2], the authors have proved that there are no such submanifolds in $N^3(-1)$, where $N^3(-1)$ is a 3-dimensional manifold with negative constant sectional curvature -1.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 58E20.

Key words and phrases: harmonic and biharmonic maps, Jacobi operator. Partially supported by the Grant 545/2002 C.N.C.S.I.S, România.

C. Oniciuc

In [1] the authors have given the classification of nonharmonic biharmonic submanifolds of \mathbb{S}^3 . They are: circles, spherical helices and parallel spheres. Then, in [2], the authors have given some methods to construct examples of nonharmonic biharmonic submanifolds of the unit *n*-dimensional sphere \mathbb{S}^n , for n > 3. In this case the family of such submanifolds is much larger.

A harmonic map is an absolute minimum of the bienergy and hence stable. The goal of this paper is to find the second variation formula for biharmonic maps $\phi : (M,g) \to \mathbb{S}^n$ and then to compute the nullity for the simplest two biharmonic maps: the identity map $\mathbf{1} : \mathbb{S}^n \to \mathbb{S}^n$ and the canonical inclusion $\mathbf{i} : \mathbb{S}^m \to \mathbb{S}^n$ (Theorem 2.4 and Theorem 2.5).

Notation. We shall work in the C^{∞} category, i.e. manifolds, metrics, connections, maps will be assumed to be smooth. By (M^m, g) we shall indicate a connected manifold of dimension m, without boundary, endowed with a Riemannian metric g. We shall denote by ∇ the Levi–Civita connection of (M, g). For vector fields X, Y, Z on M we define the Riemann curvature operator by $R(X, Y)Z = [\nabla_X, \nabla_Y]Z - \nabla_{[X,Y]}Z$. The indices i, j, k, l take the values $1, 2, \ldots, m$.

2. The second variation formula of the bienergy

Let $\phi : (M,g) \to (N,h)$ be a smooth map between two Riemannian manifolds. Assume that M is compact and orientable. The tension field of ϕ is given by $\tau(\phi) = \text{trace } \nabla d\phi$ and the *bienergy* is defined by

$$E_2(\phi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M |\tau(\phi)|^2 v_g.$$

The map ϕ is called *biharmonic* if it is a critical point of the bienergy. As we said in the introduction, the first variation formula is given by

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} E_2(\phi_t) = \int_M \langle \tau_2(\phi), V \rangle v_g,$$

where v_g is the volume element, V is the variational vector field corresponding to the variation $\{\phi_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ of ϕ , and

(2.1)
$$\tau_2(\phi) = -\Delta \tau(\phi) - \operatorname{trace} R^N(d\phi, \tau(\phi)) d\phi.$$

Now, let $\phi:(M,g)\to \mathbb{S}^n$ be a biharmonic map. We consider a smooth variation $\{\phi_{s,t}\}_{s,t\in\mathbb{R}}$ of ϕ with two parameters s and t, i.e. we consider the smooth map Φ given by

$$\Phi: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times M \to \mathbb{S}^n, \quad \Phi(s, t, p) = \phi_{s, t}(p),$$

where $\Phi(0, 0, p) = \phi_{0,0}(p) = \phi(p), \forall p \in M.$

The corresponding variational vector fields V and W are given by

$$V(p) = \frac{d}{ds}\Big|_{s=0} \phi_{s,0}(p) = d\Phi_{(0,0,p)}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right) \in T_{\phi(p)} \mathbb{S}^n,$$

and

$$W(p) = \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} \phi_{0,t}(p) = d\Phi_{(0,0,p)}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) \in T_{\phi(p)} \mathbb{S}^n.$$

V and W are sections of $\phi^{-1}T\mathbb{S}^n$, i.e. $V, W \in C(\phi^{-1}T\mathbb{S}^n)$.

The Hessian of E_2 at its critical point ϕ is defined by

$$H(E_2)_{\phi}(V,W) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s \partial t} \Big|_{(s,t)=(0,0)} E_2(\phi_{s,t}).$$

Theorem 2.1. Let $\phi : (M,g) \to \mathbb{S}^n$ be a biharmonic map. Then the Hessian of the bienergy E_2 at ϕ is given by

$$H(E_2)_{\phi}(V,W) = \int_M \langle I(V),W \rangle v_g,$$

where

$$(2.2) I(V) = \Delta(\Delta V) + \Delta\{\operatorname{trace}\langle V, d\phi \rangle d\phi \cdot - |d\phi|^2 V\} + 2\langle d\tau(\phi), d\phi \rangle V + |\tau(\phi)|^2 V - 2 \operatorname{trace}\langle V, d\tau(\phi) \rangle d\phi \cdot - 2 \operatorname{trace}\langle \tau(\phi), dV \rangle d\phi \cdot - \langle \tau(\phi), V \rangle \tau(\phi) + \operatorname{trace}\langle d\phi \cdot, \Delta V \rangle d\phi \cdot + \operatorname{trace}\langle d\phi \cdot, \operatorname{trace}\langle V, d\phi \rangle d\phi \cdot \rangle d\phi \cdot - 2|d\phi|^2 \operatorname{trace}\langle d\phi \cdot, V \rangle d\phi \cdot + 2\langle dV, d\phi \rangle \tau(\phi) - |d\phi|^2 \Delta V + |d\phi|^4 V.$$

C. Oniciuc

PROOF. We start by computing $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big|_{t=0}E_2(\phi_{s,t})$. We have

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big|_{t=0} E_2(\phi_{s,t}) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big|_{t=0} \frac{1}{2} \int_M |\tau(\phi_{s,t})|^2 v_g \\ &= \int_M \langle \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} \tau(\phi_{s,t}), \tau(\phi_{s,t}) \rangle \Big|_{t=0} v_g. \end{split}$$

In order to obtain $\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} \tau(\phi_{s,t})$, let $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^m$ be a geodesic frame field around an arbitrary point $p \in M$. We obtain

$$\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}}\tau(\phi_{s,t}) = \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}}\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{m} (\nabla_{X_i} d\phi_{s,t}(X_i) - d\phi_{s,t}(\nabla_{X_i} X_i))\right\}$$
$$= \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}}\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{m} (\nabla_{X_i} d\Phi_s(X_i) - d\Phi_s(\nabla_{X_i} X_i))\right\},$$

where $\Phi_s(t,p) = \Phi(s,t,p)$. Using the formula

$$\nabla_{\widetilde{X}} d\Phi_s(\widetilde{Y}) - \nabla_{\widetilde{Y}} d\Phi_s(\widetilde{X}) = d\Phi_s([\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{Y}]), \ \forall \widetilde{X}, \widetilde{Y} \in C(\Phi_s^{-1}T\mathbb{S}^n),$$

we obtain, at p and for t = 0, the following

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} \tau(\phi_{s,t}) &= \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left\{ \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} \nabla_{X_{i}} d\Phi_{s}(X_{i}) - \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} d\Phi_{s}(\nabla_{X_{i}}X_{i}) \right\} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left\{ \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} \nabla_{X_{i}} d\Phi_{s}(X_{i}) - \nabla_{\nabla_{X_{i}}X_{i}} d\Phi_{s}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) - d\Phi_{s}\left(\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \nabla_{X_{i}}X_{i}\right]\right) \right\} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{m} \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} \nabla_{X_{i}} d\Phi_{s}(X_{i}) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left\{ R^{\mathbb{S}^{n}} (d\Phi_{s}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right), d\Phi_{s}(X_{i})) d\Phi_{s}(X_{i}) \right. \\ &+ \nabla_{X_{i}} \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} d\Phi_{s}(X_{i}) + \nabla_{\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, X_{i}\right]} d\Phi_{s}(X_{i}) \right\} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left\{ R^{\mathbb{S}^{n}} (W_{s}, d\Phi_{s}(X_{i})) d\Phi_{s}(X_{i}) \right. \\ &+ \nabla_{X_{i}} \left(\nabla_{X_{i}} d\Phi_{s}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) + d\Phi_{s}\left(\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, X_{i}\right]\right) \right) \right\} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{m} R^{\mathbb{S}^{n}} (W_{s}, d\Phi_{s}(X_{i})) d\Phi_{s}(X_{i}) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \nabla_{X_{i}} \nabla_{X_{i}} W_{s} \end{aligned}$$

On the second variation formula for biharmonic maps to a sphere

$$= -\Delta W_s - \sum_{i=1}^m R^{\mathbb{S}^n} (d\Phi_s(X_i), W_s) d\Phi_s(X_i),$$

where

$$W_s(p) = \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} \phi_{s,t}(p) = d\Phi_{s,(0,p)}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right), \quad W_s \in C(\phi_{s,0}^{-1}T\mathbb{S}^n), \ W_0 = W.$$

Thus $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big|_{t=0}E_2(\phi_{s,t})$ is given by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big|_{t=0} E_2(\phi_{s,t}) = \int_M \langle -\Delta W_s - \operatorname{trace} R^{\mathbb{S}^n}(d\phi_{s,0}, W_s)d\phi_{s,0}, \tau(\phi_{s,0})\rangle v_g$$
$$= \int_M \langle -\Delta \tau(\phi_{s,0}) - \operatorname{trace} R^{\mathbb{S}^n}(d\phi_{s,0}, \tau(\phi_{s,0}))d\phi_{s,0}, W_s\rangle v_g.$$

Since ϕ is biharmonic, from (2.1) we obtain

$$\begin{split} H(E_2)_{\phi}(V,W) \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \Big|_{s=0} \int_M \langle -\Delta \tau(\phi_{s,0}) - \operatorname{trace} R^{\mathbb{S}^n}(d\phi_{s,0}\cdot,\tau(\phi_{s,0}))d\phi_{s,0}\cdot,W_s \rangle v_g \\ &= \int_M \langle \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial s}} \left\{ -\Delta \tau(\phi_{s,0}) - \operatorname{trace} R^{\mathbb{S}^n}(d\phi_{s,0}\cdot,\tau(\phi_{s,0}))d\phi_{s,0}\cdot \right\} \Big|_{s=0},W \rangle v_g \\ &= \int_M \langle I(V),W \rangle v_g, \end{split}$$

where

(2.3)
$$I(V) = \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial s}} \left\{ -\Delta \tau(\phi_{s,0}) - \operatorname{trace} R^{\mathbb{S}^n}(d\phi_{s,0}, \tau(\phi_{s,0})) d\phi_{s,0} \cdot \right\} \Big|_{s=0}.$$
Next since

Next, since

$$\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial s}}\tau(\phi_{s,0})\big|_{s=0} = -\Delta V - \operatorname{trace} R^{\mathbb{S}^n}(d\phi, V)d\phi$$

and

trace
$$R^{\mathbb{S}^n}(d\phi, V)d\phi$$
 = trace $\langle V, d\phi \rangle d\phi - |d\phi|^2 V$,

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial s}} \left\{ -\Delta \tau(\phi_{s,0}) \right\} \Big|_{s=0} &= \Delta(\Delta V) + \Delta \{ \operatorname{trace} \langle V, d\phi \cdot \rangle d\phi \cdot - |d\phi|^2 V \} \\ (2.4) &+ 2 \langle d\tau(\phi), d\phi \rangle V + |\tau(\phi)|^2 V + \operatorname{trace} \langle \tau(\phi), d\phi \cdot \rangle dV \cdot \\ &- 2 \operatorname{trace} \langle V, d\tau(\phi) \cdot \rangle d\phi \cdot - \operatorname{trace} \langle \tau(\phi), dV \cdot \rangle d\phi \cdot - \langle \tau(\phi), V \rangle \tau(\phi), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial s}} \left\{ -\operatorname{trace} R^{\mathbb{S}^n} (d\phi_{s,0} \cdot, \tau(\phi_{s,0})) d\phi_{s,0} \cdot \right\} \Big|_{s=0} \\ &= -\operatorname{trace} \langle \tau(\phi), dV \cdot \rangle d\phi \cdot + \operatorname{trace} \langle d\phi \cdot, \Delta V \rangle d\phi \cdot \\ (2.5) &+ \operatorname{trace} \langle d\phi \cdot, \operatorname{trace} \langle V, d\phi \cdot \rangle d\phi \cdot \rangle d\phi \cdot \\ &- |d\phi|^2 \operatorname{trace} \langle d\phi \cdot, V \rangle d\phi \cdot - \operatorname{trace} \langle \tau(\phi), d\phi \cdot \rangle dV \cdot \\ &+ 2 \langle dV, d\phi \rangle \tau(\phi) - |d\phi|^2 \Delta V - |d\phi|^2 \operatorname{trace} \langle V, d\phi \cdot \rangle d\phi \cdot + |d\phi|^4 V. \end{aligned}$$

Now, replacing (2.4) and (2.5) in (2.3), we obtain (2.2).

Remark 2.2. We note that formula (2.2) can be also deduced from formula (5.8) in [8].

Corollary 2.3. Let $\phi : (M,g) \to \mathbb{S}^n$ be a harmonic Riemannian immersion. Then the operator I of ϕ is symmetric, positive semi-definite and

(2.6)
$$\ker I = \{ V \in C(\phi^{-1}T\mathbb{S}^n) \mid \Delta V = mV - V^T \},$$

where $V = V^T + V^N$, $V^T \in C(TM)$ and $V^N \in C(NM)$.

PROOF. From (2.2) it follows

$$I(V) = \Delta(\Delta V) - 2m\Delta V + m^{2}V + \Delta V^{T} + (\Delta V)^{T} + (1 - 2m)V^{T}.$$

First we shall prove that I is symmetric, i.e. (I(V), W) = (V, I(W)), $\forall V, W \in C(\phi^{-1}T\mathbb{S}^n)$, where $(V, W) = \int_M \langle V, W \rangle v_g$ is the usual inner product on the real vector space $C(\phi^{-1}T\mathbb{S}^n)$. Since Δ is a symmetric operator and $\langle V^T, W \rangle = \langle W^T, V \rangle$, in order to prove that I is symmetric we must show that

$$\int_{M} \langle \Delta V^{T} + (\Delta V)^{T}, W \rangle v_{g} = \int_{M} \langle \Delta W^{T} + (\Delta W)^{T}, V \rangle v_{g}.$$

But

$$\begin{split} \int_{M} \langle \Delta V^{T}, W \rangle v_{g} &= \int_{M} \langle V^{T}, \Delta W \rangle v_{g} = \int_{M} \langle V^{T}, (\Delta W)^{T} \rangle v_{g} \\ &= \int_{M} \langle V, (\Delta W)^{T} \rangle v_{g}, \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} \int_{M} \langle (\Delta V)^{T}, W \rangle v_{g} &= \int_{M} \langle (\Delta V)^{T}, W^{T} \rangle v_{g} = \int_{M} \langle \Delta V, W^{T} \rangle v_{g} \\ &= \int_{M} \langle V, \Delta W^{T} \rangle v_{g}. \end{split}$$

So I is a symmetric operator.

In order to prove that J is positive semi-definite, i.e. $(I(V), V) \ge 0$, we start with the following remarks

$$\int_{M} \langle \Delta V^{T}, V \rangle v_{g} = \int_{M} \langle (\Delta V)^{T}, V \rangle v_{g},$$

and

$$\begin{split} I(V) &= \Delta \Delta V^T + \Delta \Delta V^N - 2m\Delta V^T - 2m\Delta V^N + m^2 V^T + m^2 V^N \\ &+ \Delta V^T + (\Delta V)^T + (1-2m)V^T. \end{split}$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{split} (I(V),V) &= \int_{M} \{ \langle \Delta(\Delta V^{T}), V \rangle + 2(1-m) \langle \Delta V^{T}, V \rangle + (m-1)^{2} \langle V^{T}, V \rangle \\ &+ \langle \Delta(\Delta V^{N}), V \rangle - 2m \langle \Delta V^{N}, V \rangle + m^{2} \langle V^{N}, V \rangle \} v_{g} \\ &= \int_{M} \{ \langle \Delta(\Delta V^{T}), V^{T} \rangle + 2(1-m) \langle \Delta V^{T}, V^{T} \rangle + (m-1)^{2} |V^{T}|^{2} \\ &+ \langle \Delta(\Delta V^{N}), V^{N} \rangle - 2m \langle \Delta V^{N}, V^{N} \rangle + m^{2} |V^{N}|^{2} \\ &+ \langle \Delta(\Delta V^{T}), V^{N} \rangle + 2(1-m) \langle \Delta V^{T}, V^{N} \rangle \\ &+ \langle \Delta(\Delta V^{N}), V^{T} \rangle - 2m \langle \Delta V^{N}, V^{T} \rangle \} v_{g} \\ &= \int_{M} \{ |\Delta V^{T} + (1-m) V^{T}|^{2} + |\Delta V^{N} - mV^{N}|^{2} \\ &+ 2(\langle \Delta V^{T}, \Delta V^{N} \rangle + (1-2m) \langle \Delta V^{T}, V^{N} \rangle) \} v_{g} \\ &= \int_{M} |\Delta V^{T} + (1-m) V^{T} + \Delta V^{N} - mV^{N}|^{2} v_{g} \\ &= \int_{M} |\Delta V - mV + V^{T}|^{2} v_{g}. \end{split}$$

C. Oniciuc

From the above relation it follows that I is positive semi-definite and ker I is given by (2.6).

In the following we shall consider the simplest two cases of biharmonic maps $\phi : (M, g) \to \mathbb{S}^n$. These maps are harmonic Riemannian immersions, so they are weakly-stable, i.e. the operator I is positive semi-definite.

Theorem 2.4. The identity map $\mathbf{1}: \mathbb{S}^n \to \mathbb{S}^n$ is weakly-stable and

a) if n = 2 then nullity(1) = 6,

b) if n > 2 then $\operatorname{nullity}(\mathbf{1}) = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$.

PROOF. In this case $C(\mathbf{1}^{-1}T\mathbb{S}^n) = C(T\mathbb{S}^n)$ and $\Delta V = -\operatorname{trace} \nabla^2 V$. We shall use X to denote a tangent vector field on \mathbb{S}^n . By Corollary 2.3, the operator I is given by

$$I(X) = \Delta(\Delta X) - 2(n-1)\Delta X + (n-1)^2 X,$$

and

$$I(X) = 0 \iff \Delta X = (n-1)X.$$

The Hodge decomposition theorem for $C(T\mathbb{S}^n)$ states that

$$C(T\mathbb{S}^n) = \{ X \in C(T\mathbb{S}^n) \mid \operatorname{div} X = 0 \} \oplus \{ \operatorname{grad} f \mid f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{S}^n) \}.$$

This decomposition of $C(T\mathbb{S}^n)$ is orthogonal with respect to the scalar product on the real vector space $C(T\mathbb{S}^n)$, and Δ_H preserves invariantly these subspaces, where, using the musical isomorphisms,

$$\Delta_H(X) = (\overline{\Delta}X^{\flat})^{\sharp},$$

 $\overline{\Delta}$ being the Laplacian which acts on $\Lambda^1(\mathbb{S}^n)$.

It is known that

$$\Delta X = \Delta_H(X) - (n-1)X$$

(see [5], [11]), so

$$I(X) = 0 \iff \Delta_H(X) = 2(n-1)X.$$

From the Hodge decomposition theorem we write $X = Y + \operatorname{grad} f$, div Y = 0and we obtain

$$\Delta_H(X) = 2(n-1)X \iff \begin{cases} \Delta_H(Y) = 2(n-1)Y\\ \Delta_H \operatorname{grad} f = 2(n-1)\operatorname{grad} f. \end{cases}$$

Consequently

$$I(X) = 0 \iff \begin{cases} Y \text{ is a Killing vector field} \\ \Delta f = 2(n-1)f. \end{cases}$$

It is known that the first eigenvalues of Δ which acts on $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{S}^n)$ are 0, n, 2(n+1), and the eigenvalue n has the multiplicity n+1. So 2(n-1) is an eigenvalue if and only if n = 2, and in this case its multiplicity is 3.

It is well known too that

dim{
$$Y \in C(T\mathbb{S}^n)$$
 | Y is a Killing vector field} = $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$.

Now, the theorem follows.

Theorem 2.5. The canonical inclusion $i : \mathbb{S}^m \to \mathbb{S}^n$ is weakly-stable and

- a) if m = 2 then nullity(i) = 3n,
- b) if m > 2 then nullity $(i) = (n m)(m + 1) + \frac{m(m+1)}{2}$.

PROOF. Let $V \in C(N\mathbb{S}^m)$ and $X, Y \in C(T\mathbb{S}^m)$. As i is a totally geodesic map, it results that

$$\nabla_X V = \nabla_X^{\perp} V, \ \Delta V = \Delta^{\perp} V, \ \nabla_X Y = \overset{\mathbb{S}^m}{=} \nabla_X Y, \ \Delta X = -\operatorname{trace}^{\mathbb{S}^m} \nabla^2 X.$$

Again, by Corollary 2.3, the operator I is given by

$$\begin{cases} I(V) = \Delta^{\perp}(\Delta^{\perp}V) - 2m\Delta^{\perp}V + m^2V \in C(N\mathbb{S}^m) \\ I(X) = \Delta(\Delta X) - 2(m-1)\Delta X + (m-1)^2 X \in C(T\mathbb{S}^m), \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} I(V) = 0 \iff \Delta^{\perp} V = mV\\ I(X) = 0 \iff \Delta X = (m-1)X. \end{cases}$$

Now, let $\{E_{m+1}, \ldots, E_n\}$ be the vector fields which give the trivialisation of $N\mathbb{S}^m$. We have

(2.7)
$$\nabla_X E_{m+1} = \ldots = \nabla_X E_n = 0, \quad \forall X \in C(T\mathbb{S}^m)$$

(see [10]). Since any $V \in C(N\mathbb{S}^m)$ can be written as

$$V = f^{1} E_{m+1} + \dots + f^{n-m} E_{n},$$

where $f^1, \ldots, f^{n-m} \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{S}^m)$, from (2.7) we obtain

$$\Delta^{\perp}V = mV \iff \Delta f^1 = mf^1, \ \dots, \ \Delta f^{n-m} = mf^{n-m}.$$

So we have

$$\dim\{V \in C(N\mathbb{S}^m) \mid I(V) = 0\} = (n-m)(m+1).$$

Now, using Theorem 2.4 and the fact that the kernel of I splits in the direct sum of the kernel of I restricted to $C(N\mathbb{S}^m)$ and the kernel of I restricted to $C(T\mathbb{S}^m)$, we conclude.

Acknowledgement. Thanks are due to the referee for helpful remarks and suggestions.

References

- R. CADDEO, S. MONTALDO and C. ONICIUC, Biharmonic submanifolds of S³, Internat. J. Math. 12 no. 8 (2001), 867–876.
- [2] R. CADDEO, S. MONTALDO and C. ONICIUC, Biharmonic submanifolds in spheres, Israel Journal of Mathematics (to appear).
- [3] B. Y. CHEN and S. ISHIKAWA, Biharmonic pseudo-Riemannian submanifolds in pseudo-Euclidean spaces, Kyushu J. Math. 52 (1998), 167–185.
- [4] D. M. DUC and J. EELLS, On the regularity of biharmonic maps, International Centre for Theoretical Physics, 1993, 410.
- [5] J. EELLS and L. LEMAIRE, Selected topics in harmonic maps, Conf. Board Math. Sci. 50 (1983).
- [6] J. EELLS and J. H. SAMPSON, Harmonic mappings of Riemannian manifolds, Amer. J. Math. 86 (1964), 109–160.
- [7] G. Y. JIANG, 2-harmonic isometric immersions between Riemannian manifolds, *Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. A* 7 no. 2 (1986), 130–144.
- [8] G. Y. JIANG, 2-harmonic maps and their first and second variational formulas, *Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. A* 7 no. 4 (1986), 389–402.
- [9] C. ONICIUC, Biharmonic maps between Riemannian manifolds, An. Stiint. Univ. "Al. I. Cuza" Iasi. Mat. (N.S.) (to appear).
- [10] J. SIMONS, Minimal varieties in Riemannian manifolds, Ann. of Math. 88 (1968), 62–105.
- [11] H. URAKAWA, Calculus of Variations and Harmonic Maps, Translations of Mathematical Monographs 132 (1993).

C. ONICIUC FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS UNIVERSITY "AL.I.CUZA" IAŞI BD. COPOU NR. 11 6600 IAŞI ROMANIA *E-mail*: oniciucc@uaic.ro

(Received November 9, 2001; revised March 20, 2002)