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#### Abstract

Let $F(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ be a polynomial of degree $n \geq 2$, and let $x$, $b, y, m$ be non-zero integers with $m \geq 2,|y| \geq 2$ and $F(x) \neq b y^{m}$. Under some natural assumptions on $F$, we give explicit lower bounds for $\left|F(x)-b y^{m}\right|$, depending only on $n, m, b, H(F)$ and $n, b, F(x), H(F)$, respectively. These results generalize Theorems 1 and 2 of Bugeaud and Hajdu [8]. To prove our results, we slightly improve and make completely explicit the upper bound obtained in [3] for the unknown exponent $m$ in the superelliptic equation (1).


## 1. Introduction

Let $a, b, x, y, n, m$ be non-zero integers with $n, m \geq 2,|y| \geq 2$ and $a x^{n} \neq b y^{m}$. The first effective lower bound for $\left|a x^{n}-b y^{m}\right|$ which is independent of $x$ and $y$ was proved by Turk [16], in case of $a=b=1$. A result of similar strength valid for arbitrary $a$ and $b$, however not completely explicit, can also be deduced from the work of Shorey [14]. Later,

[^0]Bugeaud [5] considerably sharpened Turk's estimate for $\left|x^{n}-y^{m}\right|$. Recently, thanks to some refined arguments, Bugeaud and Hajdu [8] improved and extended Bugeaud's result to arbitrary $a$ and $b$. The purpose of this paper is to generalize the results of Bugeaud and Hajdu [8] to differences of the form $\left|F(x)-b y^{m}\right|$, where $F(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ is a polynomial of degree $n \geq 2$.

Under certain assumptions on $F$, we derive explicit lower bounds for $\left|F(x)-b y^{m}\right|$ (cf. Theorem 2) from our Theorem 1 which provides an explicit upper bound for the exponent $m$ in the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=b y^{m} \text { in } x, y, m \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad \text { with }|y| \geq 2, m \geq 1, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in terms of $b$ and the height of $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$. The first results proving that $m$ is bounded were given by Tijdeman [15] and Schinzel and Tijdeman [13]. Later, several effective but not completely explicit upper bounds were obtained for $m$; see [2], [4], [3] and the references given there. Our Theorem 1 slightly improves and makes explicit in each parameter the previously best known bound (cf. [3]) on $m$. In our proof we will follow the approach of Brindza, Evertse and Győry [4]. They gave an estimate for $m$ from above in terms of the discriminant of $f$.

## 2. New results

Throughout the paper, we use the following notation. For every positive real number $s$, we put $\log _{*} s=\max \{1, \log s\}$. Let

$$
f(x)=a_{0} x^{n}+a_{1} x^{n-1}+\ldots+a_{n}=a_{0} \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(x-\alpha_{i}\right), \quad a_{0} \neq 0,
$$

be a polynomial with integer coefficients. We write

$$
H(f)=\max _{0 \leq i \leq n}\left|a_{i}\right| \quad \text { and } \quad M(f)=\left|a_{0}\right| \prod_{i=1}^{n} \max \left(1,\left|\alpha_{i}\right|\right)
$$

for the "classical" height and the Mahler-height of $f$, respectively.

Theorem 1. Let $f(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ be a polynomial of degree $n \geq 2$ and $b$ a non-zero integer. If $f$ has at least two distinct roots, then equation (1) with $x, y, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $|y| \geq 2, m \geq 1$ implies

$$
m \leq 2^{24 n+56} n^{7 n+17} M(f)^{3 n-3}\left(\log _{*} M(f)\right)^{3 n}\left(\log _{*}|b|\right)^{\frac{5}{2}}
$$

As was mentioned above, our Theorem 1 slightly improves and makes completely explicit the previously best known result of this type, estabilished in [3]. In the special case $f(x)=a x^{n}+c$, a similar result was proved in [8]. Our Theorem 1 is also related to Theorem 5 of Brindza, Evertse and Győry [4], where it is assumed that $b=1$ and $f$ is irreducible and monic, but the bound given for $m$ depends only on $n$ and the discriminant of $f$.

In the proof of Theorem 1 we will follow the approach of [4]. We obtain the following result as a consequence of Theorem 1.

Theorem 2. Let $F(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ be a polynomial of degree $n \geq 2$, and let $b, x, y, m$ be integers with $b \neq 0, m \geq 1,|y| \geq 2$. Suppose that $F(x) \neq b y^{m}$, and if $F(X)$ is of the special form $F(X)=t_{1}\left(X-t_{2}\right)^{n}+t_{3}$ with $t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3} \in \mathbb{Z}$, then also assume that $F(x) \neq b y^{m}+t_{3}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|F(x)-b y^{m}\right| \geq m^{\frac{1}{3 n}} 2^{-8-\frac{56}{3 n}} n^{-\frac{23}{6}-\frac{17}{3 n}}\left(H(F) \log _{*}^{\frac{5}{6 n}}|b|\right)^{-1} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that to give a lower bound for $\left|F(x)-b y^{m}\right|$, we need to use the classical height instead of the Mahler-height. The reason is that for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, plainly $H(F-k) \leq H(F)+|k|$, but $M(f)$ does not have a similar nice property. However, the use of the classical height already in Theorem 1 would result in a worse estimate for $\left|F(x)-b y^{m}\right|$.

As in [8], by combining Theorem 2 with an estimate for the size of the solutions of superelliptic equations, we derive a lower bound for $\mid F(x)-$ $b y^{m} \mid$ in terms of $|F(x)|$.

Theorem 3. Let $F(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ be a polynomial of degree $n \geq 2$, and let $b, x, y, m$ be integers with $b \neq 0, m \geq 3,|y| \geq 2$. Suppose that $F(x) \neq b y^{m}$, and if $F(X)$ is of the special form $F(X)=t_{1}\left(X-t_{2}\right)^{n}+t_{3}$
with $t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3} \in \mathbb{Z}$, then also assume that $F(x) \neq b y^{m}+t_{3}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|F(x)-b y^{m}\right| \geq c_{1} n^{-\frac{23}{6}} H(F)^{-1}\left(\log _{*}|b|\right)^{-\frac{4}{3 n+1}}\left(\log _{*} \log _{*}|F(x)|\right)^{\frac{1}{3 n+1}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{1}$ denotes an effectively computable absolute constant.
Theorem 2 generalizes the estimate

$$
\left|a x^{n}-b y^{m}\right| \geq m^{2 / 5 n}(20 n)^{-2-11 / n}\left(|a| \log _{*}^{\frac{1}{n}}|b|\right)^{-1}
$$

of Bugeaud and Hajdu [8]. Similarly, our Theorem 3 is an extension of Theorem 2 of $[8]$. Observe that our bound in (2) in the special case $F(x)=a x^{n}$ yields an estimate of similar strength as in [8], up to the exponent of $m$. This difference comes from the fact that $\Delta\left(a x^{n}+k\right) \leq$ $c_{2}|k|^{n}$, while in general we only have $\Delta(F(x)+k) \leq c_{3}|k|^{2 n}$. Here $\Delta(g(x))$ denotes the discriminant of $g(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ and $c_{2}, c_{3}$ are constants depending on $a, n$ and $F$, respectively.

## 3. Some lemmas

For a non-zero algebraic number $\alpha$ of degree $l$ over $\mathbb{Q}$, whose minimal polynomial over $\mathbb{Z}$ is $a \prod_{i=1}^{l}\left(X-\alpha_{i}\right)$, let

$$
h(\alpha)=\frac{1}{l}\left(\log |a|+\sum_{i=1}^{l} \log \max \left(1,\left|\alpha_{i}\right|\right)\right)
$$

denote the absolute logarithmic height of $\alpha$. Let $\mathbb{K}$ be a number field of degree $d_{\mathbb{K}}$, with unit rank $r_{\mathbb{K}}$ and regulator $R_{\mathbb{K}}$. In the course of our proof, we use an independent system of units in $\mathbb{K}$ with small height, provided by the following lemma.

Lemma 1. There exists an independent system $\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{r_{\mathrm{K}}}$ of units in $\mathbb{K}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{i=1}^{r_{\mathbb{K}}} h\left(\varepsilon_{i}\right) \leq d_{\mathbb{K}}{ }^{-r_{\mathbb{K}}} r_{\mathbb{K}}!R_{\mathbb{K}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
h\left(\varepsilon_{i}\right) \leq r_{\mathbb{K}}!d_{\mathbb{K}}-1\left(9\left(\log 3 d_{\mathbb{K}}\right)^{3} / 8\right)^{r_{\mathbb{K}}-1} R_{\mathbb{K}}, \quad i=1, \ldots, r_{\mathbb{K}} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, for every non-zero algebraic integer $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}$, there exists a unit $\varepsilon$ in the multiplicative subgroup generated by $\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{r_{\mathbb{K}}}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(\varepsilon \alpha) \leq\left(\log N_{\mathbb{K} / \mathbb{Q}}(\alpha)\right) /\left(2 d_{\mathbb{K}}\right)+\left(r_{\mathbb{K}}+1\right)^{r_{\mathbb{K}}+1} \log ^{3 r_{\mathbb{K}}+3}\left(3 d_{\mathbb{K}}\right) R_{\mathbb{K}} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. This is a reformulation of Lemme 1 and Lemme 2 of [6].
Our proof ultimately depends on Baker's estimate for linear forms in logarithms. We use the following version due to Matveev [12], which is a sharpening of an estimate given by Baker and Wüstholz [1].

Lemma 2. Let $\mathbb{K}$ be an algebraic number field of degree $D$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. Let $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n} \in \mathbb{K}^{*}$ with absolute logarithmic heights $h\left(\alpha_{j}\right)(1 \leq j \leq n)$, and $\log \alpha_{1}, \ldots, \log \alpha_{n}$ arbitrary fixed non-zero values of the logarithms. Suppose that

$$
A_{j} \geq \max \left\{D h\left(\alpha_{j}\right),\left|\log \alpha_{j}\right|, 0.16\right\} \quad(1 \leq j \leq n) .
$$

Consider the linear form

$$
\Lambda=b_{1} \log \alpha_{1}+\ldots+b_{n} \log \alpha_{n},
$$

with $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and put $B=\max \left\{\left|b_{1}\right|, \ldots,\left|b_{n}\right|\right\}$. If $\Lambda \neq 0$, then

$$
\log |\Lambda|>-C(n) \log (e D) \log (e B) D^{2} \Omega,
$$

where $\Omega=A_{1} \cdots A_{n}$ and $C(n)=2^{6 n+20}$.
Proof. This is a reformulation of Corollary 2.3 of Matveev [12].
We deduce Theorem 3 from Theorem 2 by using an explicit upper bound for the size of the solutions of superelliptic equations.

Lemma 3. Let $a$ and $m$ be non-zero integers with $m \geq 3$ and $Q(X)=$ $\prod_{i=1}^{r}\left(X-\alpha_{i}\right)^{e_{i}} \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ be a monic polynomial of degree $n \geq 2$ with distinct roots $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{r}$. Put $\Delta(Q)=\prod_{i \neq j}\left(\alpha_{i}-\alpha_{j}\right)$ and let $m_{i}=m / \operatorname{gcd}\left(m, e_{i}\right)$ for $i=1, \ldots, r$. Suppose that for some $i, j$ with $1 \leq i \neq j \leq r$, we have $\operatorname{gcd}\left(m_{i}, m_{j}\right) \geq 3$. Then all the solutions $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}$ of

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(x)=a y^{m} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfy

$$
|x| \leq H(Q)^{m+1} \exp \left\{\left(c_{4} n m\right)^{c_{5} n^{2} m}|\Delta(Q)|^{5 n m}|a|^{n^{2} m}\left(\log _{*}|a \Delta(Q)|\right)^{2 n^{2} m}\right\}
$$

where $c_{4}$ and $c_{5}$ are effectively computable absolute constants.

Proof. This easily follows from the Proposition of Bugeaud [7].

## 4. Proof of the theorems

We follow the method of the proofs in [4] and [3], but with explicit constants.

Proof of Theorem 1. We have two cases to distinguish. First we assume that $f$ has an irreducible factor $P \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ of degree $\geq 2$. Let $\delta$ be a root of $P$, moreover, let $R_{\mathbb{K}}, h_{\mathbb{K}}, D_{\mathbb{K}}$ and $r_{\mathbb{K}}$ be the regulator, class number, discriminant and unit rank of the field $\mathbb{K}=\mathbb{Q}(\delta)$, respectively. Combining the inequality

$$
d_{\mathbb{K}} \leq \frac{2}{\log 3} \log \left|D_{\mathbb{K}}\right|
$$

due to GYŐRY [9] with a result of Lenstra [10], we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{\mathbb{K}} R_{\mathbb{K}} \leq \frac{1}{\left(d_{\mathbb{K}}-1\right)!}\left|D_{\mathbb{K}}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}} \log ^{d_{\mathbb{K}}-1}\left|D_{\mathbb{K}}\right| \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By an estimate of MAHLER [11] on the discriminant of $P$, we get

$$
\left|D_{\mathbb{K}}\right| \leq d_{\mathbb{K}}^{d_{\mathbb{K}}} M(P)^{2 d_{\mathbb{K}}-2}
$$

Since $P \mid f$ implies $M(P) \leq M(f)$, this yields

$$
\left|D_{\mathbb{K}}\right| \leq d_{\mathbb{K}}^{d_{\mathbb{K}}} M(f)^{2 d_{\mathbb{K}}-2}
$$

Combining the last inequality with (8) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{\mathbb{K}} R_{\mathbb{K}} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} d_{\mathbb{K}}^{d_{\mathbb{K}}-1} e^{2 d_{\mathbb{K}}-1} M(f)^{d_{\mathbb{K}}-1}\left(\log _{*} M(f)\right)^{d_{\mathbb{K}}-1} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $a_{0}$ denote the leading coefficient of $f$, and let $\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{n}$ be the zeros of $g(x)=a_{0}^{n-1} f\left(\frac{x}{a_{0}}\right)$. Set

$$
\Delta(g)=\prod_{\beta_{i} \neq \beta_{j}}\left(\beta_{i}-\beta_{j}\right)
$$

and write $g$ in the form $g(x)=P_{1}^{k_{1}}(x) P_{2}(x)$ where $P_{1}(x)=a_{0}^{d_{\mathrm{K}}} P\left(\frac{x}{a_{0}}\right)$ and $P_{2}$ are relatively prime polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}[X]$. Let $\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{d_{\mathbb{K}}}$ be the
zeros of $P_{1}$ with $\beta_{1}=\delta$ and $(x, y)$ be an arbitrary, however, fixed solution to (1). The greatest common divisor of the principal ideals $\left\langle a_{0} x-\beta_{1}\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle g\left(a_{0} x\right)\left(a_{0} x-\beta_{1}\right)^{-k_{1}}\right\rangle$ divides $\Delta^{n}(g)$. Therefore there are integral ideals $A, B, C$ in $\mathbb{K}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left\langle a_{0} x-\beta_{1}\right\rangle=B C^{w} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
w=\frac{m}{\operatorname{gcd}\left(m, k_{1}\right)} .
$$

Further,

$$
\max \left\{N_{\mathbb{K} / \mathbb{Q}}(A), N_{\mathbb{K} / \mathbb{Q}}(B)\right\} \leq\left|a_{0} \cdot b \cdot \Delta(g)\right|^{n^{2}} .
$$

Hence using Lemma 1, (6) and (9), by a simple calculation we obtain that the ideals $A^{h_{\mathbb{K}}}$ and $B^{h_{\mathbb{K}}}$ have generators $\alpha$ and $\beta$, respectively, with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \{h(\alpha), h(\beta)\} \leq c_{6} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{6}= & 0.12 n^{3}(n-1) d_{\mathbb{K}}^{d_{\mathbb{K}}-1} e^{2 d_{\mathbb{K}}-1}\left(r_{\mathbb{K}}+1\right)^{r_{\mathbb{K}}+1} \\
& \times\left(\log 3 d_{\mathbb{K}}\right)^{3 r_{\mathbb{K}}+3} M(f)^{d_{\mathbb{K}}-1}\left(\log _{*} M(f)\right)^{d_{\mathbb{K}}} \log _{*}|b| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Equation (10) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha\left(a_{0} x-\beta_{1}\right)^{h_{\mathbb{K}}}=\varepsilon \beta \gamma^{w}, \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma$ is a generator of $C^{h_{\mathrm{K}}}$ and $\varepsilon$ is a unit in $\mathbb{K}$. Let $\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{r_{\mathrm{K}}}$ be an independent system of units with the properties specified in Lemma 1. Then we can express $\varepsilon$ as $\varepsilon=\varepsilon^{\prime} \varepsilon_{1}^{l_{1}} \ldots \varepsilon r_{\mathbb{K}}^{r_{\mathrm{K}}}$, where $\varepsilon^{\prime}$ is a unit with

$$
h\left(\varepsilon^{\prime}\right) \leq\left(r_{\mathbb{K}}+1\right)^{r_{\mathbb{K}}+1}\left(\log \left(3 d_{\mathbb{K}}\right)\right)^{3 r_{\mathbb{K}}+3} R_{\mathbb{K}} .
$$

Modifying $\gamma$ if necessary, we may assume that $\max _{1 \leq i \leq r_{\mathrm{K}}}\left|l_{i}\right|<w$.
If $\left|a_{0} x\right| \leq M(g)+1$ then

$$
2^{m} \leq|y|^{m} \leq(2 M(g)+1)^{n},
$$

and Theorem 1 is proved.

Otherwise, from $\left|a_{0} x\right|>M(g)+1$ it follows that $\left|a_{0} x-\beta_{i}\right|>1$ for $i=1, \ldots, d_{\mathbb{K}}$. Thus we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|a_{0}^{n-1} b y^{m}\right|^{h_{\mathrm{K}}} \geq \max _{1 \leq i \leq d_{\mathrm{K}}}\left|a_{0} x-\beta_{i}\right|^{h_{\mathrm{K}}} \\
& \geq\left|a_{0} x-\beta_{j}\right|^{h_{\mathrm{K}}} \geq\left|\varepsilon^{\prime(j)}\right| \prod_{i=1}^{r_{\mathrm{K}}}\left|\varepsilon_{i}^{(j)}\right|\left|\alpha^{(j)}\right|^{-1}\left|\beta^{(j)}\right|\left|\gamma^{(j)}\right| \\
& \geq\left.\left|\overline{\varepsilon^{\prime}}\right|^{-d_{\mathrm{K}}+1}\left|\overline{\varepsilon_{1}}\right|^{-w} \ldots\left|\overline{\varepsilon_{r_{\mathrm{K}}}}\right|^{-w}|\bar{\alpha}|^{-1}|\bar{\beta}|^{-d_{\mathrm{K}}+1} \bar{\gamma}\right|^{w} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $\bar{\nu} \mid$ denotes the house of the algebraic number $\nu$, i.e. the maximum of the absolute values of its conjugates, and $j$ is the appropriate index for which $\left|\gamma^{(j)}\right|=|\bar{\gamma}|$. Supposing $m \geq n+1$ (otherwise Theorem 1 follows), the last inequality yields

$$
h(\gamma) \leq 2.182 c_{6} d_{\mathbb{K}}^{2} \log _{*}|y|,
$$

with the same $c_{6}$ as above. We may assume that $\left|a_{0} x\right| \geq \frac{1}{2}|y|^{\frac{m}{n}}$, or else we obtain

$$
\left|a_{0} x\right|+M(g) \geq|y|^{\frac{m}{n}},
$$

and Theorem 1 follows. Thus we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{0} x-\beta_{i}\right| \geq \frac{1}{4}|y|^{\frac{m}{n}} \quad\left(1 \leq i \leq d_{\mathbb{K}}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

We may suppose that

$$
\frac{\left|\beta_{i}-\beta_{j}\right|}{\left|a_{0} x-\beta_{i}\right|} \geq \frac{\left|\beta_{2}-\beta_{1}\right|}{\left|a_{0} x-\beta_{2}\right|}, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq d_{\mathbb{K}}, i \neq j .
$$

Hence we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{\substack{1 \leq i, j \leq d_{\mathbb{K}} \\ \beta_{i} \neq \beta_{j}}} \frac{\left|\beta_{i}-\beta_{j}\right|}{\left|a_{0} x-\beta_{i}\right|} \leq \frac{4^{d_{\mathbb{K}}\left(d_{\mathbb{K}}-1\right)}|\Delta(g)|}{|y|^{\frac{m d_{\mathbb{K}}\left(d_{\mathbb{K}}-1\right)}{n}}} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\left(\frac{a_{0} x-\beta_{1}}{a_{0} x-\beta_{2}}\right)^{h_{\mathrm{K}}}=1$, then $\frac{\beta_{1}-\beta_{2}}{a_{0} x-\beta_{1}}$ is an algebraic integer. Thus

$$
\left|N_{\mathbb{L} / \mathbb{Q}}\left(\frac{\beta_{1}-\beta_{2}}{a_{0} x-\beta_{1}}\right)\right|=\left|\frac{N_{\mathbb{L} / \mathbb{Q}}\left(\beta_{1}-\beta_{2}\right)}{\left(N_{\mathbb{K} / \mathbb{Q}}\left(a_{0} x-\beta_{1}\right)\right)^{s}}\right| \geq 1,
$$

with $\mathbb{L}=\mathbb{Q}\left(\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}\right)$ and $[\mathbb{L}: \mathbb{K}]=s$. Combining this last inequality with (13), by $s \leq d_{\mathbb{K}}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\Delta(g)|^{n^{2}} & \geq\left|N_{\mathbb{L} / \mathbb{Q}}\left(\beta_{1}-\beta_{2}\right)\right| \geq\left|N_{\mathbb{K} / \mathbb{Q}}\left(a_{0} x-\beta_{1}\right)\right|^{s} \\
& \geq\left|\left(\frac{1}{4}|y|^{m / n}\right)^{d_{\mathbb{K}}}\right|^{s} \geq 2^{d_{\mathbb{K}} s(m / n-2)} \geq 2^{(2 m / n)-2 n^{2}},
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies Theorem 1.
If $\left(\frac{a_{0} x-\beta_{1}}{a_{0} x-\beta_{2}}\right)^{h_{\mathbb{K}}} \neq 1$, then we may assume that $|y|^{\frac{m}{2 n}} \geq 2|\Delta(g)| h_{\mathbb{K}}$ (otherwise we would obtain a much better estimate for $m$ ). So by (14) we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
\log \left|\left(\frac{a_{0} x-\beta_{1}}{a_{0} x-\beta_{2}}\right)^{h_{\mathbb{K}}}-1\right|  \tag{15}\\
\leq \log \left(h_{\mathbb{K}}\left|\frac{a_{0} x-\beta_{1}}{a_{0} x-\beta_{2}}-1\right|\right) \leq-\frac{m}{2 n} \log _{*}|y| .
\end{gather*}
$$

In the case $\left|\left(\frac{a_{0} x-\beta_{1}}{a_{0} x-\beta_{2}}\right)^{h_{\mathbb{K}}}-1\right|>\frac{1}{3}$ one can obtain a very good bound for $m$ by (15). Otherwise, using Lemma 2, (4) and (9), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\left(\frac{a_{0} x-\beta_{1}}{a_{0} x-\beta_{2}}\right)^{h_{\mathrm{K}}}-1\right| \\
& =\left|\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{1}}{\varepsilon_{1}^{(2)}}\right)^{l_{1}} \cdots\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{r_{\mathrm{K}}}}{\varepsilon_{r_{\mathrm{K}}}^{(2)}}\right)^{l_{r_{\mathrm{K}}}} \frac{\varepsilon^{\prime} \beta / \alpha}{\varepsilon^{\prime(2)} \beta^{(2)} / \alpha^{(2)}}\left(\frac{\gamma}{\gamma^{(2)}}\right)^{w}-1\right| \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2}\left|b_{0} \log (-1)+\sum_{i=1}^{r_{\mathrm{K}}} l_{i} \log \frac{\varepsilon_{i}}{\varepsilon_{i}^{(2)}}+\log \frac{\varepsilon^{\prime} \beta / \alpha}{\varepsilon^{\prime(2)} \beta^{(2)} / \alpha^{(2)}}+w \log \frac{\gamma}{\gamma^{(2)}}\right| \\
& \geq \exp \left\{-c_{7}(n) M(f)^{3 n-3}\left(\log _{*} M(f)\right)^{3 n-1} \log _{*}^{2}|b| \log _{*}|y| \log _{*} m\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $b_{0}$ is an integer with $\left|b_{0}\right| \leq w\left(r_{\mathbb{K}}+1\right)$ and

$$
c_{7}(n)=2^{23.1 n+48.418} n^{7 n+14} \log n .
$$

Here the superscript ${ }^{(2)}$ denotes the image under the isomorphism $\mathbb{Q}\left(\beta_{1}\right) \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{Q}\left(\beta_{2}\right)$. The comparison of this lower bound with (15) completes the proof in the first case.

In the second case $f$ has only rational roots. Hence, all the zeros of $g$ are integral. Let $\beta_{1}$ and $\beta_{2}$ be two distinct roots of $g$, of multiplicities $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$, respectively. Repeating the argument used in the first case one gets

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{i}\left(a_{0} x-\beta_{i}\right)=v_{i} y_{i}^{w}, \quad i=1,2 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w=\frac{m}{\left(m, k_{1} k_{2}\right)}, u_{i}, v_{i}, y_{i} \in \mathbb{Z},\left|y_{i}\right| \geq 2$ and $\left|u_{i}\right| \leq\left|\Delta(g)^{n}\right|,\left|v_{i}\right| \leq\left|a_{0}^{n-1} b\right|$ $(i=1,2)$. We may suppose that $\left|y_{2}\right| \geq\left|y_{1}\right|$. Set $\Lambda_{1}=\log \frac{v_{1} u_{2}}{v_{2} u_{1}}+w \log \left(\frac{y_{1}}{y_{2}}\right)$. From (16) we deduce

$$
\left|\frac{u_{2}\left(\beta_{2}-\beta_{1}\right)}{v_{2} y_{2}^{w}}\right|=\left|\frac{v_{1} u_{2}}{v_{2} u_{1}}\left(\frac{y_{1}}{y_{2}}\right)^{w}-1\right| \geq \frac{1}{2}\left|\Lambda_{1}\right|
$$

Using Lemma 2 again we have

$$
\frac{m}{\log m}<2^{41} n^{5} \log _{*} M(f) \log _{*}|b|
$$

and Theorem 1 is proved.
Proof of Theorem 2. Let $k=F(x)-b y^{m}$. We apply Theorem 1 with $f(x)=F(x)-k$. Combining

$$
M(f) \leq \sqrt{(n+1)} H(f)
$$

with

$$
H(f) \leq H(F)+|k| \leq 2 H(F)|k|
$$

and expressing $|k|$, we obtain the lower bound for $\left|F(x)-b y^{m}\right|$ stated in the theorem.

Proof of Theorem 3. Set $k=F(x)-b y^{m}$ and let $a_{0}$ be the leading coefficient of $F$. By applying Lemma 3 to the equation

$$
Q\left(a_{0} x\right)=a_{0}^{n-1} b y^{m}
$$

with $Q(x)=a_{0}^{n-1}\left(F\left(\frac{x}{a_{0}}\right)-k\right)$ and $a=a_{0}^{n-1} b$, and using the inequalities

$$
\left|a_{0}\right| \leq H(F-k) \leq 2 H(F)|k|
$$

we obtain a bound for $|x|$, hence for $|F(x)|$, in terms of $H(F), b, n, k$ and $m$. Namely, we get

$$
\log _{*} \log _{*}|F(x)| \leq c n^{3} m \log _{*} m \log _{*} H(F) \log _{*}|b| \log _{*}|k|
$$

where $c_{8}$ is an effectively computable absolute constant. Further, from Theorem 2 we have

$$
m^{\frac{1}{3 n}} \leq 2^{8+\frac{56}{3 n}} n^{\frac{23}{6}+\frac{17}{3 n}} H(F) \log _{*}^{\frac{5}{6 n}}|b||k|
$$

Combining these estimates, Theorem 3 easily follows.
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