# On the geometry of generalized metric spaces III. Spaces with special forms of curvature tensors 

By MAMORU YOSHIDA (Fujisawa), HIDEO IZUMI (Fujisawa)<br>and TOSHIO SAKAGUCHI (Yokosuka)

Dedicated to Professor Lajos Tamássy on his 70th birthday

## §0. Introduction

Let $M$ be an $n$-dimensional differentiable manifold and $T(M)$ its tangent bundle. We consider the bundle $M_{T}$ which does not contain zero vectors of $T(M)$, that is, $M_{T}:=T(M)-\{0\}$. A generalized metric space $M_{n}$ is a pair $\left(M_{T}, g_{i j}(x, y)\right)$, where $g_{i j}(x, y)$ is a metric tensor satisfying the following assumptions:
(a) $g_{i j}(x, y)$ is positively homogeneous of degree 0 in $y^{i}$,
(b) $g_{i j}$ is positive definite,
(c) $g^{*}{ }_{i j}:=\dot{\partial}_{i} \dot{\partial}_{j} F^{2} / 2$ is regular, where $\dot{\partial}_{i}:=\partial / \partial y^{i}$ and $F^{2}:=g_{i j} y^{i} y^{j}$.

In the previous papers $([1],[2])$, we introduced three types of connections in $M_{n}$ : (a) metrical connection $C \Gamma(N)=\left(F_{j}{ }^{i}{ }_{k}, C_{j}{ }^{i}{ }_{k}\right)$, (b) hmetrical connection $R \Gamma(N)=\left(F_{j}{ }^{i}{ }_{k}, 0\right)$ and (c) non-metrical connection $B \Gamma(G)=\left(G_{j}{ }^{i}{ }_{k}, 0\right)($ see $[1])$.

Two metric tensors $g_{i j}, g^{*}{ }_{i j}$ are related as

$$
g^{*}{ }_{i j}=g_{i j}+C_{i j}, \quad C_{i j}:=y^{h} \dot{\partial}_{j} g_{i h} \quad([1],(2.8)),
$$

which satisfies $C_{i j}=C_{i}{ }^{0}{ }_{j}=C_{j i}$ and $C_{i 0}=0$, where the index 0 denotes transvection by $y$.

From the assumption that geodesics introduced from the Finsler metric $g^{*}{ }_{i j}$ are coincident with those from the generalized metric $g_{i j}$, that is,
$2 G^{i}=N_{0}^{i}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{k}^{i}=G_{k}^{i}-P_{k}^{i}, \quad G_{k}^{i}:=\dot{\partial}_{k} G^{i} \\
& 2 G^{i}:=g^{* i h}\left(y^{j} \dot{\partial}_{h} \partial_{j} F^{2}-\partial_{h} F^{2}\right) / 2
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\partial_{j}:=\partial / \partial x^{j}$ and the tensor $P^{i}{ }_{j}$ is arbitrary but $P^{i}{ }_{0}=0$.
In [2], we defined the curvature tensors $R, K, H$ and the generalized metric spaces of $R-K$ - and $H$-isotropic (sectional) curvature and obtained the following results.

Theorem A. ([2]) A generalized metric space of $R$-isotropic curvature is characterized by

$$
\begin{align*}
6\left\{R_{h i j k}-R\right. & \left.\left(g_{h j} g_{i k}-g_{h k} g_{i j}\right)\right\} \\
= & \left\{\left(C_{i k r}+2 C_{k i r}\right) R^{r}{ }_{h j}+\left(C_{h j r}+2 C_{j h r}\right) R_{i k}^{r}-j \mid k\right\}  \tag{0.1}\\
& +2\left(C_{h i r}-C_{i h r}\right) R_{j k}^{r}-\left(C_{j k r}-C_{k j r}\right) R_{h i}^{r}
\end{align*}
$$

where $j \mid k$ means the interchange of indices $j, k$ in the foregoing terms.
Theorem B. ([2]) In a generalized metric space of $R$-isotropic curvature, if the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{i}{ }_{j k}=R\left(y_{j} \delta_{k}^{i}-y_{k} \delta_{j}^{i}\right) \tag{0.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is satisfied, then the following equation holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{h}{ }^{i}{ }_{j k}=R\left(g_{h j} \delta_{k}^{i}-g_{h k} \delta_{j}^{i}\right) . \tag{0.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem C. ([2]) A generalized metric space of $K$-isotropic curvature is a Riemannian space of constant curvature, that is, $C_{i j k}=0$ and the following equation holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{h}{ }^{i}{ }_{j k}=K\left(g_{h j} \delta_{k}^{i}-g_{h k} \delta_{j}^{i}\right) . \tag{0.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem D. ([2]) A generalized metric space of $H$-isotropic curvature is a Finsler space of constant curvature, that is, $g^{*}{ }_{i j}=g_{i j}\left(C_{i j}=0\right)$ and the following equation holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{h}{ }^{i}{ }_{j k}=H\left(g_{h j} \delta_{k}^{i}-g_{h k} \delta_{j}^{i}\right) . \tag{0.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The purpose of the present paper is to consider the inverse problems of the above results. That is, when a generalized metric space has the special forms of curvature tensors: (0.3), (0.4) and (0.5), respectively, we investigate the corresponding properties of the space. These are expressed in Theorems 1.2, 2.1 and 2.5.

We raise or lower the indices by means of $g_{i j}$ only.
Notations and terminologies are those of [1] and [2].

## §1. Curvature tensor $H_{h}{ }^{i}{ }_{j k}$

First we shall show
Theorem 1.1. If a generalized metric space $M_{n}(n>2)$ satisfies the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{j k}^{i}=H y_{j} \delta_{k}^{i}-j \mid k \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the scalar $H$ is a constant, and (1.1) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{h}{ }^{i}{ }_{j k}=H\left(g_{h j}+C_{h j}\right) \delta_{k}^{i}-j \mid k \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. From (1.1), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (a) } \quad H^{i}{ }_{j k}=H y_{j} h_{k}^{i}-j \mid k, \quad \text { (b) } \quad H^{i}{ }_{k}=F^{2} H h_{k}^{i}, \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h_{k}^{i}:=\delta_{k}^{i}-l^{i} l_{k}, \quad l^{i}:=y^{i} / F$ and $H^{i}{ }_{k}:=H^{i}{ }_{0 k}$.
Substitution of $(1.3)(b)$ into the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 H^{i}{ }_{j k}=H_{k(j)}^{i}-H_{j(k)}^{i} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.H_{j k}^{i}=\left(H y_{j}+\frac{1}{3} F^{2} H_{(j)}\right) h_{k}^{i}-j \right\rvert\, k . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing (1.5) with $(1.3)(a)$, we get $H_{(j)} h_{k}^{i}-j \mid k=0$, from which we have $(n-2) H_{(j)}=0$. Hence, $H$ is independent of $y^{i}$.

Next, if we apply the Bianchi identity

$$
H_{j k / / l}^{i}+j|k| l=0 \quad([1],(3.10)(b))
$$

we have

$$
H_{/ / l}\left(y_{j} h_{k}^{i}-j \mid k\right)+H_{/ / j}\left(y_{k} h_{l}^{i}-k \mid l\right)+H_{/ / k}\left(y_{l} h_{j}^{i}-j \mid l\right)=0
$$

Contracting $i$ with $l$, we get

$$
y_{j} H_{/ / i} h_{k}^{i}-y_{k} H_{/ / i} h_{j}^{i}=0
$$

Transvection of this equation by $y^{j}$ yields $H_{/ / i} h_{k}^{i}=0$. Since $H$ is independent of $y^{i}$, the last equation means

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{, k}-\left(H_{, i} i^{i}\right) l_{k}=0, \quad H_{, k}:=\partial_{k} H \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating (1.6) by $y^{j}$ and using $F l^{i}{ }_{(j)}=h_{j}^{i}, F l_{i(j)}=h_{i j}+C_{i j}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(H_{, i} l^{i}\right)\left(g_{j k}^{*}-l_{j} l_{k}\right)=0 \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Transvecting (1.7) by $g^{* j k}$ and noting $g^{* j k} l_{k}=l^{j}$, we obtain $(n-1)\left(H_{, i} l^{i}\right)=$ 0. Making use of this result, we see $H_{, k}=0$ from (1.6). Hence $H$ is a constant. The last assertion of the theorem is easily derived from $y_{j(h)}=g_{h j}+C_{h j}$.
Q.E.D.

Theorem 1.2. If a generalized metric space $M_{n}(n>2)$ satisfies the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{h}{ }^{i}{ }_{j k}=H\left(g_{h j} \delta_{k}^{i}-g_{h k} \delta_{j}^{i}\right), \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the space is a Finsler space of constant curvature $H$.
Proof. It is sufficient to prove $C_{j k}=0$, which means that the space is a Finsler space. Transvecting (1.8) by $y^{h}$ and using $H_{0}{ }^{i}{ }_{j k}=H^{i}{ }_{j k}$, we get (1.1). Hence, from Theorem 1.1, we have (1.2). Comparing (1.2) with (1.8), we have $C_{h j} \delta_{k}^{i}-j \mid k=0$, from which $(n-1) C_{j k}=0$ is derived.
Q.E.D.
§2. Curvature tensors $R_{h}{ }^{i}{ }_{j k}$ and $K_{h}{ }^{i}{ }_{j k}$
Theorem 2.1. In a generalized metric space $M_{n}(n>2)$, if the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{h}{ }^{i}{ }_{j k}=R\left(g_{h j} \delta_{k}^{i}-g_{h k} \delta_{j}^{i}\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is satisfied, then the space is one of $R$-isotropic curvature.
Proof. (2.1) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{j k}^{i}=R\left(y_{j} \delta_{k}^{i}-y_{k} \delta_{j}^{i}\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is proved that (2.1) means vanishing of the left-hand side of (0.1) in Theorem A and using (2.2), the right-hand side of (0.1) vanishes. Q.E.D.

It is not yet proved that the scalar $R$ of (2.1) is a constant. Now we shall prepare the following

Proposition 2.2. In a generalized metric space, the following relations are valid:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
E^{i}{ }_{k(j)}-E_{j(k)}^{i}=3 E_{j k}^{i}-J_{j}{ }^{i}{ }_{k}, & E_{j}^{i}:=E^{i}{ }_{0 j} ; \\
R_{k(j)}^{i}-R_{j(k)}^{i}=3 R_{j k}^{i}+J_{j}{ }^{i}{ }_{k}, & R_{j}^{i}:=R_{0 j}^{i}, \tag{2.4}
\end{array}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (a) } J_{j}{ }^{i} k:=P_{j k / 0}^{i}+2\left(P_{j / k}^{i}+P_{k r}^{i} P_{j}^{r}+P_{r}^{i} P_{j k}^{r}\right)-j \mid k . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. After some calculations, (2.3) follows from

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{j k(h)}^{i}= E_{h}{ }^{i}{ }_{j k}-\left(P^{i}{ }_{j h / k}+P^{r}{ }_{j h} P^{i}{ }_{k r}-j \mid k\right) \quad([1],(3.9)(c)), \\
& E_{h}{ }^{i}{ }_{j k}+E_{j}{ }^{i}{ }_{k h}+E_{k}{ }^{i}{ }_{h j}=0  \tag{2.6}\\
&([1],(3.10)(a)) .
\end{align*}
$$

Next, (2.4) follows from (2.3), (1.4) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{j k}^{i}=R_{j k}^{i}+E_{j k}^{i}, \quad H^{i}{ }_{k}=R^{i}{ }_{k}+E^{i}{ }_{k} \quad([2],(1.9)(c),(d)) . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Q.E.D.

Remark. Using the relation $D_{j}{ }^{i}{ }_{k}=P^{i}{ }_{j k}+P^{i}{ }_{j(k)}=D_{k}{ }^{i}{ }_{j}([1],(3.2)(a))$, we can rewrite (2.5) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (b) } \quad J_{j}{ }^{i}{ }_{k}=-P_{j(k) / 0}^{i}+2\left(P_{j / k}^{i}+P_{k r}^{i} P_{j}^{r}+P_{r}^{i} P_{j k}^{r}\right)-j \mid k . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2.3. In a generalized metric space $M_{n}(n>2)$ with (2.1), if the tensor $J_{j}{ }^{i}{ }_{k}$ vanishes, then the scalar $R$ is independent of $y^{i}$.

Proof. Substituting (2.1) and (2.2) into the relation

$$
H_{h}{ }^{i}{ }_{j k}=R_{h}{ }^{i}{ }_{j k}-C_{h}{ }^{i}{ }_{r} R^{r}{ }_{j k}+E_{h}{ }^{i}{ }_{j k} \quad([2],(1.7)(a),(1.9)(b)),
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{h}{ }^{i}{ }_{j k}=R\left(g_{h j} \delta_{k}^{i}-y_{j} C_{h}{ }^{i}{ }_{k}-j \mid k\right)+E_{h}{ }^{i}{ }_{j k} . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Transvection of (2.8) by $y^{h}$ gives

$$
H^{i}{ }_{j k}=R\left(y_{j} \delta_{k}^{i}-j \mid k\right)+E^{i}{ }_{j k} .
$$

Differentiating this equation by $y^{h}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{h}{ }^{i}{ }_{j k}=\left\{R_{(h)} y_{j} \delta_{k}^{i}+R\left(g_{j h}+C_{j h}\right) \delta_{k}^{i}-j \mid k\right\}+E_{j k(h)}^{i} . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.8), (2.9) and the identities (2.6), we have

$$
R\left(y_{j} C_{h}{ }^{i}{ }_{k}+C_{h j} \delta_{k}^{i}\right)+R_{(h)} y_{j} \delta_{k}^{i}-P_{j h / k}^{i}-P_{j h}^{r} P_{k r}^{i}-j \mid k=0 .
$$

Transvection of this equation by $y^{j}$ yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
R\left(F^{2} C_{h}{ }^{i}{ }_{k}-C_{h k} y^{i}\right)+F^{2} R_{(h)} h_{k}^{i}-2 P^{i}{ }_{h / k}+ \\
P^{i}{ }_{k h / 0}-2 P^{r}{ }_{h} P^{i}{ }_{k r}+2 P^{r}{ }_{k h} P^{i}{ }_{r}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Making $-h \mid k$ in the above equation, we obtain

$$
F^{2} R_{(j)} h_{k}^{i}-j \mid k=J_{j}{ }^{i}{ }_{k}
$$

Therefore, by our assumption, we get $R_{(j)} h_{k}^{i}-j \mid k=0$. Contracting $i$ and $k$, we have $(n-2) R_{(j)}=0$. Hence $R$ is independent of $y^{i}$.
Q.E.D.
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Theorem 2.4. In a generalized metric space $M_{n}(n>2)$ with (2.1), if the tensor $P^{i}{ }_{k}$ vanishes, then the scalar $R$ is a constant.

Proof. From (2.5)(b), we see that if $P^{i}{ }_{k}=0$, then $J_{j}{ }^{i}{ }_{k}=0$ holds good. On the other hand, by the definition

$$
E^{i}{ }_{j k}=E_{0}{ }^{i}{ }_{j k}=P^{i}{ }_{j / k}+P^{r}{ }_{j} D_{r}{ }^{i}{ }_{k}-j \mid k \quad([1],(3.9)(a)),
$$

we see that if $P^{i}{ }_{k}=0$, then we have $E^{i}{ }_{j k}=0$. Hence, from (2.7), we have $H^{i}{ }_{j k}=R^{i}{ }_{j k}$, which means $H^{i}{ }_{j k}=R y_{j} \delta_{k}^{i}-j \mid k$ from (2.2). Consequently, noting Theorem 1.1, we have that $R$ is a constant.
Q.E.D.

Theorem 2.5. A generalized metric space $M_{n}(n>2)$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{h}{ }^{i}{ }_{j k}=K\left(g_{h j} \delta_{k}^{i}-g_{h k} \delta_{j}^{i}\right) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a Riemannian space of constant curvature.
Proof. (2.10) is equivalent to $K_{h i j k}=K\left(g_{h j} g_{i k}-g_{h k} g_{i j}\right)$. Consequently, making use of the identity

$$
K_{h i j k}+K_{i h j k}=-g_{h i(r)} R_{j k}^{r} \quad([1],(3.14)(b)),
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{h i(r)} R^{r}{ }_{j k}=0 \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, from (2.10), we see

$$
K_{h}{ }^{i}{ }_{j k} y^{h}=R^{i}{ }_{j k}=K\left(y_{j} \delta_{k}^{i}-y_{k} \delta_{j}^{i}\right) .
$$

Substituting this equation into (2.11), we have $g_{h i(k)} y_{j}-j \mid k=0$. Hence, transvection of this equation by $y^{j}$ gives $g_{h i(k)}=0$, which means that the space is a Riemannian space of constant curvature.
Q.E.D.
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