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# On some iterative roots on the circle 

By PAWEŁ SOLARZ (Kraków)


#### Abstract

The aim of this paper is to investigate the problem of the existence of continuous iterative roots of a homeomorphism $F: S^{1} \longrightarrow S^{1}$ such that $F^{n}=\operatorname{id}_{S^{1}}$, where $n \geq 2$ is a fixed integer.


Let $S^{1}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|=1\}$ be the unit circle with the positive orientation. Let $u, w, z \in S^{1}$, then there exist unique $t_{1}, t_{2} \in[0,1)$ such that $w e^{2 \pi i t_{1}}=z, w e^{2 \pi i t_{2}}=u$. Define

$$
u \prec w \prec z \quad \text { if and only if } \quad 0<t_{1}<t_{2}
$$

(see [1]). Moreover, if $u, w \in S^{1}$ and $u \neq w$, then there exist $t_{w}, t_{u} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $t_{u}<t_{w}<t_{u}+1$ and $e^{2 \pi i t_{u}}=u, e^{2 \pi i t_{w}}=w$. Put $\overrightarrow{(u, w)}:=$ $\left\{e^{2 \pi i t}: t \in\left(t_{u}, t_{w}\right)\right\}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\overrightarrow{\langle u, w\rangle}:=\left\{e^{2 \pi i t}: t \in\left\langle t_{u}, t_{w}\right\rangle\right\}\right)$. This set is said to be an open arc (resp. a closed arc). Let $F: S^{1} \longrightarrow S^{1}$ be a continuous mapping, then there exist a continuous function $f: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ called a lift of $F$ and an integer $k$ such that $F\left(e^{2 \pi i x}\right)=e^{2 \pi i f(x)}$ and $f(x+1)=$ $f(x)+k$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, if $F$ is a homeomorphism, then so is $f$ and $k=1$ if $f$ increases, $k=-1$ if $f$ decreases (see [4] Chapter 2). We say that a homeomorphism $F$ preserves orientation if $f$ is increasing (reverses orientation if $f$ is decreasing) (see for example [7]). Let $u, w, z \in S^{1}$ and $w \in \overrightarrow{(u, z)}$, then if $F$ preserves orientation $F(w) \in \overrightarrow{(F(u), F(z))}$. However, if $F$ reverses orientation, then we have $F(w) \in \overrightarrow{(F(z), F(u))}$.

First we prove some properties of a homeomorphism $F: S^{1} \longrightarrow S^{1}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{n}=\operatorname{id}_{S^{1}}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n$ is a positive integer number.
Theorem 1. Let $F: S^{1} \longrightarrow S^{1}$ be an orientation-preserving homeomorphism satisfying (1) for an integer $n>0$. If $F$ has a fixed point, then $F(z)=z$ for all $z \in S^{1}$.

Proof. To obtain a contradiction, suppose that $z_{0}$ is a fixed point of $F$ and there exist $z \in S^{1}$ and an integer $r, 1<r \leq n$ such that $z \neq F(z) \neq$ $F^{2}(z) \neq \cdots \neq F^{r-1}(z)$ and $F^{r}(z)=z$. Define $a_{i} \in\left\{z, F(z), \ldots, F^{r-1}(z)\right\}$ for $i \in\{0,1, \ldots, r-1\}$ in the following manner $a_{0}=z$ and

$$
0<\operatorname{Arg} \frac{a_{1}}{a_{0}}<\operatorname{Arg} \frac{a_{2}}{a_{0}}<\cdots<\operatorname{Arg} \frac{a_{r-1}}{a_{0}} .
$$

Note that $F^{i}(z) \neq z_{0}$ for every $i \in\{0,1, \ldots, r-1\}$. Set $a_{r}:=a_{0}$, therefore $z_{0} \in \overrightarrow{\left(a_{i}, a_{i+1}\right)}$ for some $i \in\{0,1, \ldots, r-1\}$. Because $F$ preserves orientation we have

$$
z_{0} \in \overrightarrow{\left(F\left(a_{i}\right), F\left(a_{i+1}\right)\right)} .
$$

Thus

$$
\overrightarrow{\left(a_{i}, a_{i+1}\right)} \cap \overrightarrow{\left(F\left(a_{i}\right), F\left(a_{i+1}\right)\right)} \neq \emptyset .
$$

As $F\left(a_{i}\right) \neq a_{i}$ we obtain

$$
\overrightarrow{\left(a_{i}, a_{i+1}\right)} \neq \overrightarrow{\left(F\left(a_{i}\right), F\left(a_{i+1}\right)\right)}
$$

and consequently by the definition of $a_{i}$,

$$
\overrightarrow{\left(a_{i}, a_{i+1}\right)} \subset \overrightarrow{\left(F\left(a_{i+1}\right), F\left(a_{i}\right)\right)} .
$$

Hence $a_{i} \in \overrightarrow{\left(F\left(a_{i}\right), F\left(a_{i+1}\right)\right)}$, so $F^{-1}\left(a_{i}\right) \in \overrightarrow{\left(a_{i}, a_{i+1}\right)}$, but $F^{-1}\left(a_{i}\right)=a_{j}$ for an $j \in\{0,1, \ldots, r-1\}$ and we have the contradiction.

As an immediate consequence of above theorem we have
Corollary 1. If $F: S^{1} \longrightarrow S^{1}$ is an orientation-preserving homeomorphism such that (1) holds for an integer $n \geq 2$ and $F \neq \mathrm{id}_{S^{1}}$, then
for every integer $m \geq 2$ there is no orientation-reversing homeomorphisms $\Phi: S^{1} \longrightarrow S^{1}$ satisfying equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi^{m}=F . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Suppose, contrary to our claim, that $\Phi^{m}(z)=F(z)$ for all $z \in S^{1}$. Hence $m=2 l$, where $l$ is a positive integer. Let us observe that $\Phi^{2}: S^{1} \longrightarrow S^{1}$ preserves orientation and $\left(\Phi^{2}\right)^{l n}=\mathrm{id}_{S^{1}}$. Moreover, $\Phi$ has a fixed point since its lift $\varphi: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a decreasing homeomorphism, thus $\Phi^{2}$ has a fixed point and by Theorem $1 \Phi^{2}=\operatorname{id}_{S^{1}}$, which is impossible.

Corollary 2. Let $F: S^{1} \longrightarrow S^{1}$ and $\Phi: S^{1} \longrightarrow S^{1}$ be orientationreversing homeomorphisms. Assume that (1) holds for some $n \geq 2$. If there exists $m \geq 2$ such that $\Phi$ satisfies (2), then $\Phi(z)=F(z)$ for all $z \in S^{1}$.

Proof. Since $\Phi^{m}=F$ and $F, \Phi$ reverse orientation we get $m=2 l+1$ for some integer $l$. On the other hand, there exists an integer $k$ such that $n=2 k$. Thus

$$
\left(\Phi^{2}\right)^{k(2 l+1)}=\operatorname{id}_{S^{1}},
$$

so by Theorem $1 \Phi^{2}=\mathrm{id}_{S^{1}}$, since similary as in the previous proof $\Phi$ has a fixed point. Therefore, $F=\Phi^{2 l+1}=\Phi^{2 l} \circ \Phi=\Phi$.

We are left with the task of determining orientation-preserving solutions of the equation (2), where $F$ is an orientation-preserving homeomorphism. The following remark is well known.

Remark 1. Let positive integers $m, n$ fulfil $m<n$ and $\operatorname{gcd}(m, n)=1$. Then there exists a unique $k \in\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ such that $1=k m(\bmod n)$.

Definition 1. Let integers $q, n$ satisfy $1 \leq q<n$. By $\mathcal{M}_{q, n}$ define the set of all orientation-preserving homeomorphisms $F: S^{1} \longrightarrow S^{1}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z)=\Psi^{-1}\left(e^{2 \pi i \frac{g}{n}} \Psi(z)\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z \in S^{1}$ and $\Psi: S^{1} \longrightarrow S^{1}$ is an orientation-preserving homeomorphism.

Remark 2. Suppose that $F \in \mathcal{M}_{q, n}$ and $F \in \mathcal{M}_{q^{\prime}, n}$, than $q=q^{\prime}$.

Proof. By Definition 1 we have

$$
F(z)=\Psi^{-1}\left(e^{2 \pi i \frac{q}{n}} \Psi(z)\right)=\Lambda^{-1}\left(e^{2 \pi i \frac{q^{\prime}}{n}} \Lambda(z)\right), \quad z \in S^{1}
$$

where $\Psi, \Lambda: S^{1} \longrightarrow S^{1}$ are orientation-preserving homeomorphisms. Thus $q=q^{\prime}+j n$ for some integer $j$. But $0<q<n$, so $q=q^{\prime}$.

Remark 3. Let $F \in \mathcal{M}_{q, n}$, then $n$ is the minimal number such that $F^{n}=\mathrm{id}_{S^{1}}$ if and only if $\operatorname{gcd}(q, n)=1$.

Proof. Assume that $\operatorname{gcd}(q, n)=p>1$. By Definition 1 we have

$$
F(z)=\Psi^{-1}\left(e^{2 \pi i \frac{q_{1} p}{n_{1} p}} \Psi(z)\right)=\Psi^{-1}\left(e^{2 \pi i \frac{q_{1}}{n_{1}}} \Psi(z)\right), \quad z \in S^{1}
$$

where $\Psi: S^{1} \longrightarrow S^{1}$ is an orientation-preserving homeomorphism and $q=$ $q_{1} p, n=n_{1} p$. Thus $F^{n_{1}}=\mathrm{id}_{S^{1}}$ and $n_{1}<n$. Conversely, let $\operatorname{gcd}(q, n)=1$ and $F^{k}=\mathrm{id}_{S^{1}}$ for some positive integer $k<n$. Hence

$$
F^{k}(z)=\Psi^{-1}\left(e^{2 \pi i \frac{q k}{n}} \Psi(z)\right)=z, \quad z \in S^{1}
$$

so the factor $\frac{k q}{n}$ is integer, which is impossible.
Proposition 1 (see [3]). Assume that $n \geq 2$ is an integer. For every homeomorphism $F: S^{1} \longrightarrow S^{1}$ without fixed points satisfying (1) there exists an integer $q, 1 \leq q<n$ such that $F \in \mathcal{M}_{q, n}$.

Suppose that $F: S^{1} \longrightarrow S^{1}$ satisfies (1), where $n \geq 2$ is the minimal such a number. Then by Theorem 1 and Proposition $1 F \in \mathcal{M}_{q, n}$ for some integer $q$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{gcd}(q, n)=1 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fix a $b_{0} \in S^{1}$, then from (3) $F^{i}\left(b_{0}\right) \neq F^{j}\left(b_{0}\right)$ for $i \neq j, i, j \in\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$. By (4) and Remark 1 we know that there exists a unique $d \in\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ such that $1=q d(\bmod n)$. Define $b_{k}:=F^{k d}\left(b_{0}\right)$ for $k \in\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$. Using (3) we have

$$
b_{k}=F^{k d}\left(b_{0}\right)=\Psi^{-1}\left(e^{2 \pi i \frac{q k d}{n}} \Psi\left(b_{0}\right)\right)=\Psi^{-1}\left(e^{2 \pi i \frac{k}{n}} \Psi\left(b_{0}\right)\right)
$$

and, in consequence, since $\Psi$ preserves orientation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Arg} \frac{b_{k}}{b_{0}}<\operatorname{Arg} \frac{b_{k+1}}{b_{0}}, \quad k \in\{0,1, \ldots, n-2\} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $u:\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\} \longrightarrow\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$ be defined by

$$
u(k)=(k+q) \quad(\bmod n) .
$$

Function $u$ is a bijection. Moreover, $u(k+1)=u(k)+1$ for $u(k) \neq n-1$ and $u(k+1)=0$ for $u(k)=n-1$. Now, note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(b_{k}\right)=\Psi^{-1}\left(e^{2 \pi i \frac{k+q}{n}} \Psi\left(b_{0}\right)\right)=b_{u(k)}, \quad k \in\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and since $F$ preserves orientation

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left[\overrightarrow{\left(b_{k}, b_{k+1}\right)}\right]=\overrightarrow{\left(F\left(b_{k}\right), F\left(b_{k+1}\right)\right)}=\overrightarrow{\left(b_{u(k)}, b_{u(k+1)}\right)} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $k \in\{0,1, \ldots, n-2\}$. Thus we have proved the following
Lemma 1. Let integers $1 \leq q<n$ be relatively prime and $F \in \mathcal{M}_{q, n}$. Then for every $b_{0} \in S^{1}$ there exist unique $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n-1} \in S^{1}$ satisfying (5) and (6).

Now note a few simple facts about an orientation-preserving homeomorphism $\Phi: S^{1} \longrightarrow S^{1}$ satisfying (2), where $F \in \mathcal{M}_{q, n}$ and $m$ is a positive integer.

Lemma 2. Assume that $F \in \mathcal{M}_{q, n}$, where $1 \leq q<n$ are relatively prime. If an orientation-preserving homeomorphism $\Phi: S^{1} \longrightarrow S^{1}$ satisfies (2) for some integer $m \geq 2$, then there exists a unique $j=j(\Phi) \in$ $\{0,1, \ldots, m-1\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi \in \mathcal{M}_{q+j n, m n} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, $m$ is the minimal number for which (2) holds if and only if $n>\operatorname{gcd}(q+j n, m)$.

Proof. Note that $\Phi^{m n}=\mathrm{id}_{S^{1}}$. Hence by Proposition 1 and Remark 2 $\Phi \in \mathcal{M}_{q^{\prime}, m n}$ for some unique $q^{\prime} \in\{1, \ldots, m n-1\}$. By Definition 1 and (2) we have

$$
\Phi^{m}(z)=\Gamma^{-1}\left(e^{2 \pi i \frac{q^{\prime}}{n}} \Gamma(z)\right), \quad z \in S^{1}
$$

and by (2) since $F \in \mathcal{M}_{q, n}$

$$
e^{2 \pi i \frac{q^{\prime}}{n}} z=\Gamma \circ \Psi^{-1}\left(e^{2 \pi i \frac{q}{n}} \Psi \circ \Gamma^{-1}(z)\right), \quad z \in S^{1}
$$

where $\Psi, \Gamma: S^{1} \longrightarrow S^{1}$ are orientation-preserving homeomorphisms. Thus $q^{\prime}=q+j n$, for some $j \in\{0, \ldots, m-1\}$. Hence, since $q^{\prime}$ is unique, we get that $j$ is also unique.

Since $\operatorname{gcd}(q, n)=1$ we have $\operatorname{gcd}(q+j n, n)=1$ taking

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{\Phi}=\frac{m}{\operatorname{gcd}(q+j n, m)} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{\Phi}=\frac{q+j n}{\operatorname{gcd}(q+j n, m)} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain

$$
\Phi \in \mathcal{M}_{q+j n, m n}=\mathcal{M}_{q_{\Phi}, k_{\Phi} n} \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{gcd}\left(q_{\Phi}, k_{\Phi} n\right)=1
$$

From this and Remark 3 we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi^{k_{\Phi} n}=\mathrm{id}_{S^{1}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $k_{\Phi} n$ is the minimal number such that (11) holds.
Note that $q_{\Phi}, k_{\Phi}$ do not depend on $j$ and $m$. Indeed, if $\Phi \in \mathcal{M}_{q+j^{\prime} n, m^{\prime} n}$ for some $j^{\prime} \in\left\{0, \ldots, m^{\prime}-1\right\}, j^{\prime} \neq j$ and $m^{\prime} \neq m$, then

$$
\Phi \in \mathcal{M}_{q_{\Phi}^{\prime}, k_{\Phi}^{\prime} n} \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{gcd}\left(q_{\Phi}^{\prime}, k_{\Phi}^{\prime} n\right)=1
$$

where

$$
q_{\Phi}^{\prime}=\frac{q+j^{\prime} n}{\operatorname{gcd}\left(q+j^{\prime} n, m^{\prime},\right)}, \quad k_{\Phi}^{\prime}=\frac{m^{\prime}}{\operatorname{gcd}\left(q+j^{\prime} n, m^{\prime}\right)}
$$

It follows that $k_{\Phi}^{\prime} n$ is the minimal number such that

$$
\Phi^{k_{\Phi}^{\prime} n}=\mathrm{id}_{S^{1}}
$$

thus $k_{\Phi}^{\prime}=k_{\Phi}$ and by Remark $2 q_{\Phi}^{\prime}=q_{\Phi}$.
Now we can prove the second assertion. Suppose that $n \leq \operatorname{gcd}(q+$ $j n, m)$. Of course, $n \neq \operatorname{gcd}(q+j n, m)$, since $\operatorname{gcd}(q+j n, n)=1$. Consequently, from (9) $k_{\Phi} n<m$. On the other hand, by (11) and (2) we obtain

$$
F(z)=\Phi^{m}(z)=\Phi^{k_{\Phi} n} \circ \Phi^{m-k_{\Phi} n}(z)=\Phi^{m-k_{\Phi} n}(z), \quad z \in S^{1}
$$

Conversely, suppose that there exists a positive integer $m^{\prime}<m$ such that $\Phi^{m^{\prime}}(z)=F(z)$ for $z \in S^{1}$. Consequently, by (2) we get

$$
\Phi^{m-m^{\prime}}=\mathrm{id}_{S^{1}}
$$

Since $k_{\Phi} n$ is the minimal number such that (11) holds we get $m-m^{\prime} \geq k_{\Phi} n$, so $m>k_{\Phi} n$. Hence by (9) $n<\operatorname{gcd}(q+j n, m)$.

Corollary 3. Let $F, \Phi$ satisfy the assumptions of Lemma 2 and $k_{\Phi}$ be defined by (9), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
m^{\prime}:=m-t k_{\Phi} n, \quad t=\left[\frac{m}{k_{\Phi} n}\right], \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $[x]$ denotes the entire part of $x$, is the minimal number such that (2) holds.

Proof. From (8) and (9) we obtain that $\Phi$ satisfies (11). Thus

$$
F(z)=\Phi^{m}(z)=\Phi^{m^{\prime}+t k_{\Phi} n}(z)=\Phi^{m^{\prime}}(z), \quad z \in S^{1}
$$

Hence by Lemma 2 there exists $j^{\prime} \in\left\{0, \ldots, m^{\prime}-1\right\}$ such that $\Phi \in$ $\mathcal{M}_{q+j^{\prime} n, m^{\prime} n}$. Similary as in the previous proof we get $k_{\Phi}=\frac{m^{\prime}}{\operatorname{gcd}\left(q+j^{\prime} n, m^{\prime}\right)}$. Moreover, by (12) $k_{\Phi} n>m^{\prime}$, therefore $n>\operatorname{gcd}\left(q+j^{\prime} n, m^{\prime}\right)$ and by Lemma 2 we get our claim.

The factor $\operatorname{gcd}(q+j n, m)$ has another property, it determines the number of solutions of the equation (2). Indeed, when $\operatorname{gcd}(q+j n, m)=m$ for some $j \in\{0, \ldots, m-1\}$, then there is exactly one solution of (2). To show this fact we first prove the following

Lemma 3. Let $(G, *)$ be a group, $a, b \in G$ be elements of order $n$, $n \geq 2$. If $a^{m}=b$ for some positive integer $m$, then there exists a unique $l \in\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ such that $b^{l}=a$.

Proof. Since $b$ is an element of order $n$ we have

$$
b^{i} \neq b^{j}, \quad 1 \leq i<j \leq n-1 .
$$

Thus

$$
a^{m i} \neq a^{m j}, \quad 1 \leq i<j \leq n-1,
$$

but the order of $a$ is $n$, so there exists $l \in\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ such that $a^{l m}=a$.

As a simple consequence of above lemma we have
Corollary 4. Let $F \in \mathcal{M}_{q, n}$ and $\Phi \in \mathcal{M}_{q^{\prime}, n}$, where $\operatorname{gcd}(q, n)=$ $\operatorname{gcd}\left(q^{\prime}, n\right)=1$. Let (2) holds for some integer $m>0$, then there exists an integer $l>0$ such that

$$
\Phi(z)=F^{l}(z), \quad z \in S^{1}
$$

To avoid solutions described in Corollary 4 from now on we define the following set. Let integer $q, n$ be such that $0<q<n, \operatorname{gcd}(q, n)=1$. For every $m \geq 2$ put

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{m}:=\{j \in\{0, \ldots, m-1\}: \operatorname{gcd}(q+j n, m) \neq m\} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\operatorname{gcd}(n, q)=1$, we get $\operatorname{gcd}(q+j n, m)<m$ for at least one $j \in$ $\{0, \ldots, m-1\}$, so $A_{m} \neq \emptyset$.

Let $F \in \mathcal{M}_{q, n}, \operatorname{gcd}(q, n)=1$ and $j \in A_{m}$. By Remark 1 there exists a unique $d \in\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ such that $q d=1(\bmod n)$. Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{j}=\frac{m}{\operatorname{gcd}(q+j n, m)} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define the following sequence $\left(c_{i}\right)_{i \in\left\{0, \ldots, k_{j} n-1\right\}}$ satisfying two conditions
$\left(\mathrm{G}_{1}\right) \quad c_{0}, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{k_{j}-1} \in S^{1}$ are arbitrary fixed and such that

$$
c_{0} \prec c_{1} \prec \cdots \prec c_{k_{j}-1} \text { and } c_{1}, \ldots, c_{k_{j}-1} \in \overline{\left(c_{0}, F^{d}\left(c_{0}\right)\right)},
$$

$\left(\mathrm{G}_{2}\right) \quad c_{i+k_{j}}=F^{d}\left(c_{i}\right)$ for $i \in\left\{0, \ldots, k_{j}(n-1)-1\right\}$.
Let $\left\{I_{i}\right\}_{i \in\left\{0, \ldots, k_{j} n-1\right\}}$ be a family of arcs such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{i}:=\overrightarrow{\left\langle c_{v^{i}(0)}, c_{v^{i}(1)}\right\rangle} \tag{H}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v(l)=\left(l+q_{j}\right)\left(\bmod k_{j} n\right), l \in\left\{0, \ldots, k_{j} n-1\right\}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{j}=\frac{q+j n}{\operatorname{gcd}(q+j n, m)} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we can prove our main result.
Theorem 2. Assume that $F \in \mathcal{M}_{q, n}, \operatorname{gcd}(q, n)=1, m \geq 2$ and $j \in$ $A_{m}$. Let $\left(c_{i}\right)_{i \in\left\{0, \ldots, k_{j} n-1\right\}}$ satisfies $\left(\mathrm{G}_{1}\right),\left(\mathrm{G}_{2}\right),\left\{I_{i}\right\}_{i \in\left\{0, \ldots, k_{j} n-1\right\}}$ fulfils $(\mathrm{H})$
and $\Phi_{i}: I_{i} \longrightarrow I_{i+1}$ for $i \in\left\{0, \ldots, k_{j}-2\right\}$ be orientation-preserving homeomorphisms. Then there exists a unique orientation-preserving homeomorphism $\Phi: S^{1} \longrightarrow S^{1}$ satisfying (2) and such that

$$
\Phi_{\mid I_{i}}=\Phi_{i} \quad \text { for } i \in\left\{0, \ldots, k_{j}-2\right\} .
$$

Moreover, $j=j(\Phi)$.
Proof. Since $j \in A_{m}$, we have $k_{j} \neq 1$. Of course, by (14) and (15) $\operatorname{gcd}\left(k_{j} n, q_{j}\right)=1$. It follows by (14) and (15) that

$$
\frac{q_{j}}{q+j n}=\frac{k_{j}}{m},
$$

thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{j} q=m q_{j} \quad\left(\bmod k_{j} n\right) . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
m^{\prime}:=m-t_{j} k_{j} n, \quad t_{j}=\left[\frac{m}{k_{j} n}\right], \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

than $k_{j} n>m^{\prime}$. By (17) and (16) we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{j} q=m^{\prime} q_{j} \quad\left(\bmod k_{j} n\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $d \in\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ and $q d=1(\bmod n)$. From $\left(\mathrm{G}_{2}\right)$ and (1) we have

$$
F^{d}\left(c_{i+k_{j}(n-1)}\right)=F^{d} \circ F^{d(n-1)}\left(c_{i}\right)=F^{d n}\left(c_{i}\right)=c_{i}
$$

for $i \in\left\{0, \ldots, k_{j}-1\right\}$. From this and $\left(\mathrm{G}_{2}\right)$ it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{d}\left(c_{i}\right)=c_{\left(i+k_{j}\right)} \quad\left(\bmod k_{j} n\right), \quad i \in\left\{0, \ldots, k_{j} n-1\right\} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence since $q d=1(\bmod n)$ and $F^{n}=\mathrm{id}_{S^{1}}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(c_{i}\right)=\left(F^{d}\right)^{q}\left(c_{i}\right)=c_{\left(i+k_{j} q\right)}\left(\bmod k_{j} n\right), \quad i \in\left\{0, \ldots, k_{j} n-1\right\} . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, by (19), ( $\mathrm{G}_{1}$ ) and $\left(\mathrm{G}_{2}\right)$, since $F^{d}$ preserves orientation, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{0} \prec c_{1} \prec \cdots \prec c_{k_{j}-1} \prec F^{d}\left(c_{0}\right)=c_{k_{j}} \prec F^{d}\left(c_{1}\right)=c_{k_{j}+1} \\
& \prec \cdots \prec F^{d}\left(c_{k_{j}(n-1)-1}\right)=c_{k_{j} n-1} \prec F^{d}\left(c_{k_{j}(n-1)}\right)=c_{0},
\end{aligned}
$$

thus

$$
\operatorname{Arg} \frac{c_{i}}{c_{0}}<\operatorname{Arg} \frac{c_{i+1}}{c_{0}}, \quad i \in\left\{0,1, \ldots, k_{j} n-2\right\} .
$$

From (14) and (17) follows that there exists an integer $h$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m^{\prime}=h k_{j} . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $k_{j} n>m^{\prime}$ we conclude that $h k_{j} n>h m^{\prime}$ and $n>h$. Put $h^{\prime}:=$ $\operatorname{gcd}(m, q+j n)$. As $\operatorname{gcd}(n, q+j n)=1$ we must have $\operatorname{gcd}\left(n, h^{\prime}\right)=1$. On the other hand, by (17) $h=h^{\prime}-t_{j} n$, so we see that $\operatorname{gcd}(h, n)=1$. Thus from Remark 1 there exists a unique pair of integers $a, a^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a h=a^{\prime} n+1 \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{i}:=F^{a} \circ \Phi_{i-k_{j}+1}^{-1} \circ \cdots \circ \Phi_{i-2}^{-1} \circ \Phi_{i-1}^{-1} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $i \in\left\{k_{j}-1, k_{j}, \ldots, k_{j} n-1\right\}$. It is easy to see that $\Phi_{i}$, defined above, preserve orientation. Next observe that, by (23) for $i \in\left\{k_{j}-1, \ldots, k_{j} n-2\right\}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{i}\left[I_{i}\right] & =F^{a}\left[I_{i-k_{j}+1}\right]=F^{a}\left[\overrightarrow{\left\langle c_{v^{i-k_{j}+1}(0)}, c_{v^{i-k_{j}+1}(1)}\right\rangle}\right] \\
& =\overrightarrow{\left\langle F^{a}\left(c_{v^{i-k_{j}+1}(0)}\right), F^{a}\left(c_{v^{i-k_{j}+1}(1)}\right)\right\rangle},
\end{aligned}
$$

but from the definition of $v$ and (20) we get

$$
F^{a}\left(c_{v^{i-k_{j}+1}(0)}\right)=c_{\left(\left(i-k_{j}+1\right) q_{j}+a k_{j} q\right)}\left(\bmod k_{j} n\right)
$$

Applying (18) we see that
$\left(\left(i-k_{j}+1\right) q_{j}+a k_{j} q\right)\left(\bmod k_{j} n\right)=\left(\left(i-k_{j}+1\right) q_{j}+a m^{\prime} q_{j}\right)\left(\bmod k_{j} n\right)$.
Hence by (21) and (22)

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\left(i-k_{j}+1\right) q_{j}+a m^{\prime} q_{j}\right)\left(\bmod k_{j} n\right) \\
=\left(\left(i-k_{j}+1\right) q_{j}+k_{j} q_{j}+a^{\prime} q_{j} k_{j} n\right)\left(\bmod k_{j} n\right)=\left((i+1) q_{j}\right)\left(\bmod k_{j} n\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus

$$
F^{a}\left(c_{v^{i-k_{j}+1}(0)}\right)=c_{v^{i+1}(0)} .
$$

Similary $F^{a}\left(c_{v^{i-k_{j}+1}(1)}\right)=c_{v^{i+1}(1)}$, so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{i}\left[I_{i}\right]=I_{i+1}, \quad i \in\left\{k_{j}-1, \ldots, k_{j} n-2\right\} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the same manner we can show that, if $i=k_{j} n-1$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{k_{j} n-1}\left[I_{k_{j} n-1}\right]=I_{0} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (23) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\mid I_{i+1}}^{-a}=\Phi_{i-k_{j}+1}^{-1} \circ \cdots \circ \Phi_{i-2}^{-1} \circ \Phi_{i-1}^{-1} \circ \Phi_{i}^{-1} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $i \in\left\{k_{j}-1, \ldots, k_{j} n-1\right\}$. Fix an $i \in\left\{k_{j}, \ldots, k_{j} n-1\right\}$, thus combining (23) with (26) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi_{i} & =F^{a} \circ \Phi_{i-k_{j}} \circ \Phi_{i-k_{j}}^{-1} \circ \Phi_{i-k_{j}+1}^{-1} \circ \cdots \circ \Phi_{i-2}^{-1} \circ \Phi_{i-1}^{-1} \\
& =F^{a} \circ \Phi_{i-k_{j}} \circ F_{\mid I_{i}}^{-a} \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

We may write the index $i$ in the form $i=p k_{j}+r$, where $p \geq 1$ is an integer and $r \in\left\{0,1, \ldots, k_{j}-1\right\}$. Using (27) $p$ times we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{i}=F^{p a} \circ \Phi_{r} \circ F_{\mid I_{i}}^{-p a} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $i \in\left\{k_{j}, \ldots, k_{j} n-1\right\}$.
Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(z):=\Phi_{i}(z) \quad z \in I_{i}, \quad i \in\left\{0, \ldots, k_{j} n-1\right\} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from the properties of $\Phi_{i}, i \in\left\{0, \ldots, k_{j} n-1\right\}$ and the definition of $I_{i}$ for $i \in\left\{0, \ldots, k_{j} n-1\right\}$ that $\Phi$ is an orientation-preserving homeomorphism. We next prove that $\Phi^{m}=F$. For this purpose note that from (26) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\mid I_{i}}^{a}=\Phi_{i+k_{j}-1} \circ \cdots \circ \Phi_{i+2} \circ \Phi_{i+1} \circ \Phi_{i} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $i \in\left\{0, \ldots, k_{j}(n-1)\right\}$. Now fix a $z \in I_{l}, l \in\left\{0, \ldots, n k_{j}-m^{\prime}\right\}$, then by $(29),(30),(22)$ and (21) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi^{m^{\prime}}(z)= & \left(\Phi_{l+m^{\prime}-1} \circ \cdots \circ \Phi_{l+m^{\prime}-k_{j}}\right) \circ\left(\Phi_{l+m^{\prime}-k_{j}-1} \circ \cdots \circ \Phi_{l+m^{\prime}-2 k_{j}}\right) \\
& \circ \cdots \circ\left(\Phi_{l+k_{j}-1} \circ \cdots \circ \Phi_{l+1} \circ \Phi_{l}\right)(z)=F^{a h}(z)=F^{a^{\prime} n+1}(z) \\
= & F(z)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $l \in\left\{n k_{j}-m^{\prime}+1, \ldots, k_{j} n-1\right\}$ and $z \in I_{l}$, then by (24) and (25) we can get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi^{m^{\prime}}(z)= & \left(\Phi_{m^{\prime}-k_{j} n+l-1} \circ \cdots \circ \Phi_{m^{\prime}-k_{j}(n+1)+l}\right) \circ \cdots \circ\left(\Phi_{k_{j}-1} \circ \cdots \circ \Phi_{0}\right) \\
& \circ\left(\Phi_{k_{j} n-1} \circ \cdots \circ \Phi_{k_{j}(n-1)}\right) \circ \cdots \circ\left(\Phi_{l+k_{j}-1} \circ \cdots \circ \Phi_{l}\right)(z)
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi^{m^{\prime}}(z)= & \left(\Phi_{m^{\prime}-k_{j} n+l-1} \circ \cdots \circ \Phi_{m^{\prime}-k_{j}(n+1)+l}\right) \\
& \circ \cdots \circ\left(\Phi_{r-1} \circ \cdots \circ \Phi_{0} \circ \Phi_{k_{j} n-1} \circ \cdots \circ \Phi_{k_{j}(n-1)+r}\right)  \tag{31}\\
& \circ \cdots \circ\left(\Phi_{l+k_{j}-1} \circ \cdots \circ \Phi_{l}\right)(z)
\end{align*}
$$

where $r \in\left\{1,2, \ldots, k_{j}-1\right\}$. In the first case, similary as above, we have $\Phi^{m^{\prime}}(z)=F(z), z \in I_{l}$, straight from (30), (22) and (21). In the second case it suffices to show that $\Phi^{k_{j}}(z)=F^{a}(z)$, where $z \in I_{(n-1) k_{j}+r}$ for $r \in\left\{1, \ldots, k_{j}-1\right\}$, thus

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Phi^{k_{j}}(z)=\Phi_{r-1} \circ \Phi_{r-2} \circ \cdots \circ \Phi_{1} \circ \Phi_{0} \circ \Phi_{k_{j} n-1} \circ \cdots \circ \Phi_{k_{j}(n-1)+r}(z) \\
z \in I_{k_{j}(n-1)+r}
\end{gathered}
$$

Replacing all $\Phi_{i}$ for $i \in\left\{k_{j}(n-1)+r, \ldots, k_{j} n-1\right\}$ by (28) with $p=n-1$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi^{k_{j}}(z)= & \Phi_{r-1} \circ \Phi_{r-2} \circ \ldots \Phi_{1} \circ \Phi_{0} \circ F^{(n-1) a} \circ \Phi_{k_{j}-1} \circ F^{-(n-1) a} \\
& \circ F^{(n-1) a} \circ \Phi_{k_{j}-2} \circ F^{-(n-1) a} \circ \cdots \circ F^{(n-1) a} \circ \Phi_{r} \circ F^{-(n-1) a}(z) \\
= & \Phi_{r-1} \circ \Phi_{r-2} \circ \cdots \circ \Phi_{1} \circ \Phi_{0} \circ F^{n a} \circ F^{-a} \circ \Phi_{k_{j}-1} \circ \Phi_{k_{j}-2} \\
& \circ \cdots \circ \Phi_{r-1} \circ \Phi_{r} \circ F^{-(n-1) a}(z), \quad z \in I_{k_{j}(n-1)+r}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now using (1) and (26) for $i=k_{j}-1$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi^{k_{j}}(z)= & \left(\Phi_{r-1} \circ \Phi_{r-2} \circ \ldots \Phi_{1} \circ \Phi_{0} \circ \Phi_{0}^{-1} \circ \Phi_{1}^{-1} \circ \cdots \circ \Phi_{r-1}^{-1}\right) \\
& \circ\left(\Phi_{r}^{-1} \circ \cdots \circ \Phi_{k_{j}-1}^{-1} \circ \Phi_{k_{j}-1} \circ \Phi_{k_{j}-2} \circ \cdots \circ \Phi_{r}\right) \circ F^{-(n-1) a}(z) \\
= & F^{-(n-1) a}(z)=F^{-n a} \circ F^{a}(z)=F^{a}(z), \quad z \in I_{k_{j}(n-1)+r} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying this and (30) to (31) in view of (21) and (22) we get $\Phi^{m^{\prime}}=F$. From (30) and (29) we see that $F^{a}=\Phi^{k_{j}}$. Hence by (1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi^{k_{j} n}=F^{a n}=\operatorname{id}_{S^{1}} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, using (17) we have $\Phi^{m}=\Phi^{m^{\prime}+k_{j} t_{j} n}=F$.
The proof is completed by showing that $j=j(\Phi)$. To do this note that (H), the definition of the function $v$ and (31) give $\Phi \in \mathcal{M}_{q_{j}, k_{j} n}$ but according to (14) and (15) we obtain $\mathcal{M}_{q_{j}, k_{j} n}=\mathcal{M}_{q+j n, m n}$, so $j=j(\Phi)$.

Theorem 3. Let $F \in \mathcal{M}_{q, n}, \operatorname{gcd}(q, n)=1, d \in\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ be such that $q d=1(\bmod n)$ and $\Phi: S^{1} \longrightarrow S^{1}$ be an orientation-preserving homeomorphism satisfying (2), where integer $m \geq 2$ is the minimal such a number and such that $\Phi^{n} \neq \mathrm{id}_{S^{1}}$ or $\Phi^{k}=\mathrm{id}_{S^{1}}$ for an integer $k<n$. Then for every $c_{0} \in S^{1}$ there exists a sequence $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{k_{\Phi} n-1} \in S^{1}$ fulfils $c_{0} \prec c_{1} \prec \cdots \prec c_{k_{\Phi} n-1}$ and $\left(\mathrm{G}_{2}\right)$, where $k_{j}=k_{\Phi}$ and $k_{\Phi}$ is given by (9) for some $j=j(\Phi) \notin A_{m}$. Moreover, if $\left\{I_{i}\right\}_{i \in\left\{0, \ldots, k_{\Phi} n-1\right\}}$ fulfils (H) with $q_{j}=q_{\Phi}$, then $\Phi\left[I_{i}\right]=I_{(i+1)}, i \in\left\{0, \ldots, k_{\Phi} n-2\right\}, \Phi\left[I_{k_{\Phi} n-1}\right]=I_{0}$, and taking $\Phi_{i}:=\Phi_{\mid I_{i}}$ we get

$$
\Phi_{i}=F^{a} \circ \Phi_{i-k_{\Phi}+1}^{-1} \circ \cdots \circ \Phi_{i-1}^{-1}
$$

for $i \in\left\{k_{\Phi}-1, \ldots, k_{\Phi} n-1\right\}$ and some integer $a$.
Proof. From Lemma 2 we get $\Phi \in \mathcal{M}_{q+j n, m n}$ for some $j \in\{0, \ldots, m-1\}$. Thus $\Phi \in \mathcal{M}_{q_{\Phi}, k_{\Phi} n}$, where $\operatorname{gcd}\left(q_{\Phi}, k_{\Phi} n\right)=1$ and $k_{\Phi}, q_{\Phi}$ are defined in (9) and (10). Fix a $c_{0} \in S^{1}$. From Lemma 1 we get $c_{0} \prec c_{1} \prec \cdots \prec c_{k_{\Phi} n-1} \prec c_{0}$ and

$$
\Phi\left(c_{i}\right)=c_{\left(i+q_{\Phi}\right)}\left(\bmod k_{\Phi} n\right) \quad i \in\left\{0, \ldots, k_{\Phi} n-1\right\} .
$$

It follows from (9) and (10) that $\left(m q_{\Phi}=k_{\Phi} q\right)\left(\bmod k_{\Phi} n\right)$, thus

$$
F\left(c_{i}\right)=\Phi^{m}\left(c_{i}\right)=c_{\left(i+m q_{\Phi}\right)}\left(\bmod k_{\Phi} n\right)=c_{\left(i+k_{\Phi} q\right)}\left(\bmod k_{\Phi} n\right)
$$

for $i \in\left\{0, \ldots, k_{\Phi} n-1\right\}$. Hence

$$
F^{d}\left(c_{i}\right)=c_{\left(i+k_{\Phi} d q\right)\left(\bmod k_{\Phi} n\right)}=c_{\left(i+k_{\Phi}\right)}\left(\bmod k_{\Phi} n\right), \quad i \in\left\{0, \ldots, k_{\Phi} n-1\right\}
$$

as $k_{\Phi} d q=k_{\Phi}\left(\bmod k_{\Phi} n\right)$, so $\left(\mathrm{G}_{2}\right)$ holds. Moreover, by (7)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi\left[I_{i}\right] & =\overrightarrow{\left\langle\Phi\left(c_{v^{i}(0)}\right), \Phi\left(c_{v^{i}(1)}\right)\right\rangle}=\overrightarrow{\left\langle\Phi\left(c_{v^{i+1}(0)}\right), \Phi\left(c_{v^{i+1}(1)}\right)\right\rangle} \\
& =I_{(i+1)} \quad\left(\bmod k_{\Phi} n\right), \quad i \in\left\{0, \ldots, k_{\Phi} n-1\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now let us observe that, since $\Phi^{n} \neq \mathrm{id}_{S^{1}}$ we get $k_{\Phi}>1$. On the other hand, from Lemma 2, as $m$ is the minimal number such that (2) holds we have $k_{\Phi} n>m$. Thus, symilary as in the proof of Theorem 2, we know that $a h=a^{\prime} n+1$ for some unique integer $a, a^{\prime}$ and $h=\frac{m}{k_{\Phi}}$. It follows from (2) that

$$
\Phi^{k_{\Phi} h}(z)=F(z), \quad z \in S^{1}
$$

But $\Phi^{k_{\Phi} a^{\prime} n}=\operatorname{id}_{S^{1}}$ since $\Phi \in \mathcal{M}_{q_{\Phi}, k_{\Phi} n}$, thus

$$
F^{a}(z)=\Phi^{k_{\Phi} h a}(z)=\Phi^{k_{\Phi} a^{\prime} n+k_{\Phi}}(z)=\Phi^{k_{\Phi}}(z), \quad z \in S^{1} .
$$

Using the definition of $\Phi_{i}$ we get

$$
F^{a}(z)=\Phi_{i+k_{\Phi}-1} \circ \Phi_{i+k_{\Phi}-2} \circ \cdots \circ \Phi_{i}(z),
$$

where $z \in I_{i}, i \in\left\{0, \ldots,(n-1) k_{\Phi}\right\}$. Put $l:=i+k_{\Phi}-1$, then

$$
\Phi_{l}(z)=F^{a} \circ \Phi_{l-k_{\Phi}+1}^{-1} \circ \cdots \circ \Phi_{l-2}^{-1} \circ \Phi_{l-1}^{-1}(z)
$$

for $z \in I_{l}$ and $l \in\left\{k_{\Phi}-1, \ldots, k_{\Phi} n-1\right\}$. This ends the proof.
Corollary 5. Every orientation-preserving homeomorphic solution of (2) may be obtained in the manner described in proof of Theorem 2 or by Corollary 4.

Theorem 4. Let $F \in \mathcal{M}_{q, n}$ and $\operatorname{gcd}(q, n)=1$. A homeomorphism $\Phi: S^{1} \longrightarrow S^{1}$ satisfies (2) for some integer $m \geq 2$ if and only if there exist $j \in\{0, \ldots, m-1\}$ and an orientation-preserving homeomorphism $\gamma: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi\left(e^{2 \pi i x}\right)=e^{2 \pi i \gamma^{-1}\left(\frac{q+j n}{m}+\gamma(x)\right)}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\gamma$ is an increasing solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma\left(f^{p}(x)-\frac{p q-1}{n}\right)=\gamma(x)+1, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f$ is the lift of $F$ such that $0 \leq f(0)<1, p<n$ and $p q=1(\bmod n)$.
Proof. Since $\Phi$ fulfils (2), then by Lemma 2 there exists a unique $j \in\{0, \ldots, m-1\}$ such that $\Phi \in \mathcal{M}_{q+j n, m n}$. Hence and from Definition 1

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(z)=\Psi^{-1}\left(e^{2 \pi i \frac{q+j n}{m n}} \Psi(z)\right), \quad z \in S^{1} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Psi: S^{1} \longrightarrow S^{1}$ is an orientation-preserving homeomorphism. Using (2) once more we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z)=\Psi^{-1}\left(e^{2 \pi i \frac{q}{n}} \Psi(z)\right), \quad z \in S^{1} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $f: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the lift of $F$ such that $0 \leq f(0)<1$, than by (36) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{2 \pi i \psi(f(x))}=e^{2 \pi i \psi(x)+\frac{q}{n}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(f(x))=\psi(x)+\frac{q}{n}+k, \quad x \in \mathbb{R} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k$ is an integer and $\psi: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an increasing lift of $\Psi$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(x+1)=\psi(x)+1, \quad x \in \mathbb{R} . \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $0 \leq f(0)<1$ from the properties of $\psi$ follows that $\psi(0) \leq \psi(f(0))<$ $\psi(1)=\psi(0)+1$, thus

$$
0 \leq \psi(f(0))-\psi(0)<1
$$

We conclude from this and (38) that $k=0$. Therefore (38) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(f(x))=\psi(x)+\frac{q}{n}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma:=n \psi, \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

then by (39) and (40) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \gamma(x+1)=\gamma(x)+n, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \\
& \gamma(f(x))=\gamma(x)+q, \quad x \in \mathbb{R} \tag{42}
\end{align*}
$$

According to Lemma 7 in [8] the above system of equations is equivalent to the equation (34), where $p<n$ is such that $p q=1(\bmod n)$. It follows from (35) and (41) that $\Phi$ satisfies (33). Let us note that if $j \notin A_{m}$ i.e. $\operatorname{gcd}(q+j n, m)=m$, than $q+j n=m h$ for some integer $h$ and (33) gives

$$
\Phi\left(e^{2 \pi i x}\right)=e^{2 \pi i \gamma^{-1}(h+\gamma(x))}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}
$$

Now suppose that $\Phi$ satisfies (33) and $\gamma$ fulfils (34). Thus

$$
\Phi^{m}\left(e^{2 \pi i x}\right)=e^{2 \pi i \gamma^{-1}(q+j n+\gamma(x))}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R} .
$$

But (34) and (42) are equivalent, so using (42) we get

$$
\Phi^{m}\left(e^{2 \pi i x}\right)=e^{2 \pi i \gamma^{-1}(q+\gamma(x))}=e^{2 \pi i f(x)}=F\left(e^{2 \pi i x}\right), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}
$$

which proves the thorem.
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