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#### Abstract

In the present paper we give the full description of the Lie nilpotent modular group algebras which have maximal Lie nilpotency indices.


## 1. Introduction

Let $R$ be an associative algebra with identity. The algebra $R$ can be regarded as a Lie algebra, called the associated Lie algebra of $R$, via the Lie commutator $[x, y]=x y-y x$, for every $x, y \in R$. Set $\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right]=$ $\left[\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right], x_{n}\right]$, where $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in R$. The $n$-th lower Lie power $R^{[n]}$ of $R$ is the associative ideal generated by all Lie commutators $\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$, where $R^{[1]}=R$ and $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in R$. By induction, we define the $n$-th upper Lie power $R^{(n)}$ of $R$ as the associative ideal generated by all Lie commutators $[x, y]$, where $R^{(1)}=R$ and $x \in R^{(n-1)}, y \in R$.

An algebra $R$ is called Lie nilpotent if there exists $m$ such that $R^{[m]}=$ 0 . The minimal integers $m, n$ such that $R^{[m]}=0$ and $R^{(n)}=0$ are called the Lie nilpotency index and the upper Lie nilpotency index of $R$ and they are denoted by $t_{L}(R)$ and $t^{L}(R)$, respectively.
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An algebra $R$ is called Lie hypercentral if for every sequence $\left\{a_{i}\right\}$ of elements of $R$ there exists some $n$ such that $\left[a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right]=0$.

Let $K G$ be the group algebra of a group $G$ over a field $K$ of characteristic $\operatorname{char}(K)=p>0$. According to [2], [9] for the noncommutative group algebras $K G$, the following statements are equivalent: (a) $K G$ is Lie nilpotent; (b) $K G$ is Lie hypercentral; (c) $G$ is nilpotent and its commutator subgroup $G^{\prime}$ is a finite $p$-group. It is well known $([8,13])$ that if $K G$ is Lie nilpotent then $t_{L}(K G) \leq t^{L}(K G) \leq\left|G^{\prime}\right|+1$. Moreover, according to [1], if $\operatorname{char}(K)>3$ then $t_{L}(K G)=t^{L}(K G)$. But the question of when $t_{L}(K G)=t^{L}(K G)$ for $\operatorname{char}(K)=2,3$ is still open.

In the present paper we investigate the group algebras $K G$ for which $t_{L}(K G)$ is maximal, i.e. $t_{L}(K G)=\left|G^{\prime}\right|+1$. In particular, if $G$ is a finite $p$-group and $\operatorname{char}(K) \geq 5$, then, as Shalev proved in [12], $\mathrm{t}_{L}(K G)$ is maximal if and only if $G^{\prime}$ is cyclic. We give a complete characterization by proving the following:

Theorem 1. Let $K G$ be a Lie nilpotent group algebra with $\operatorname{char}(K)=p>0$. Then $t_{L}(K G)=\left|G^{\prime}\right|+1$ if and only if one of the following conditions holds:
(1) $G^{\prime}$ is cyclic;
(2) $p=2$ and $G^{\prime}$ is the noncyclic of order 4 and $\gamma_{3}(G) \neq 1$.

Corollary 1. Let $K G$ be a Lie nilpotent group algebra with $\operatorname{char}(K)=p>0$. If $t^{L}(K G)=\left|G^{\prime}\right|+1$, then $t_{L}(K G)=t^{L}(K G)$.

By Du's Theorem ([4]), the previous result lists also the group algebras $K G$ whose group of units $U(K G)$ has maximal nilpotency class under the assumption that $G$ is a finite $p$-group. Note that for $G$ a 2 -group of maximal class and $K$ a field with $\operatorname{char}(K)=2$, Konovalov in ([7]) proved that $U(K G)$ has maximal nilpotency class.

We use the standard notation for a group $G: \Phi(G)$ denotes the Frattini subgroup of $G ; g^{h}=h^{-1} g h$ and $(g, h)=g^{-1} h^{-1} g h,(g, h \in G) ; \gamma_{i}(G)$ means the $i$-th term of the lower central series of $G$, i.e.

$$
\gamma_{1}(G)=G, \quad \gamma_{i+1}(G)=\left(\gamma_{i}(G), G\right) \quad(i \geq 1)
$$

Moreover, $C_{n}$ is the cyclic group of order $n$ and set

$$
\mathrm{Q}_{2^{n}}=\left\langle a, b \mid a^{2^{n-1}}=1, b^{2}=a^{2^{n-2}}, a^{b}=a^{-1}\right\rangle, \quad \text { with } n \geq 3 ;
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{D}_{2^{n}} & =\left\langle a, b \mid a^{2^{n-1}}=b^{2}=1, a^{b}=a^{-1}\right\rangle, & & \text { with } n \geq 3 \\
\mathrm{SD}_{2^{n}} & =\left\langle a, b \mid a^{2^{n-1}}=b^{2}=1, a^{b}=a^{-1+2^{n-2}}\right\rangle, & & \text { with } n \geq 4 \\
\mathrm{MD}_{2^{n}} & =\left\langle a, b \mid a^{2^{n-1}}=b^{2}=1, a^{b}=a^{1+2^{n-2}}\right\rangle, & & \text { with } n \geq 4
\end{aligned}
$$

## 2. Preliminaries

Let $K$ be a field of characteristic $p>0$ and $G$ a group. We consider a sequence of subgroups of $G$ setting

$$
\mathfrak{D}_{(m)}(G)=G \cap\left(1+K G^{(m)}\right), \quad(m \geq 1)
$$

The subgroup $\mathfrak{D}_{(m)}(G)$ is called the $m$-th Lie dimension subgroup of $K G$. It is possible to describe the $\mathfrak{D}_{(m)}(G)$ 's in terms of the lower central series of $G$ in the following manner ([8], p. 44)

$$
\mathfrak{D}_{(m+1)}(G)= \begin{cases}G & \text { if } m=0  \tag{1}\\ G^{\prime} & \text { if } m=1 \\ \left(\mathfrak{D}_{(m)}(G), G\right)\left(\mathfrak{D}_{\left(\left\lceil\frac{m}{p}\right\rceil+1\right)}(G)\right)^{p} & \text { if } m \geq 2\end{cases}
$$

where $\left\lceil\frac{m}{p}\right\rceil$ is the smallest integer greater than $\frac{m}{p}$.
Put $p^{d_{(m)}}:=\left[\mathfrak{D}_{(m)}(G): \mathfrak{D}_{(m+1)}(G)\right]$. If $K G$ is Lie nilpotent, according to Jennings' theory ([10]) for the Lie dimension subgroups, we get that

$$
t^{L}(K G)=2+(p-1) \sum_{m \geq 1} m d_{(m+1)}
$$

Lemma 1 ([11], [12]). Let $K$ be a field with $\operatorname{char}(K)=p>0$ and $G$ a nilpotent group such that $G^{\prime}$ is a finite $p$-group with $\exp \left(G^{\prime}\right)=p^{l}$.
(1) If $d_{(m+1)}=0$ and $m$ is a power of $p$, then $\mathfrak{D}_{(m+1)}(G)=1$.
(2) If $d_{(m+1)}=0$ and $p^{l-1}$ divides $m$, then $\mathfrak{D}_{(m+1)}(G)=1$.

Lemma 2. Let $p, s, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m_{0}, \ldots, m_{s-1}$ the non-negative integers such that $s<n$ and $\sum_{i=0}^{s-1} m_{i}=n$. Then $\sum_{i=0}^{s-1} m_{i} p^{i}<\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} p^{i}$.

Proof. By the assumptions, there exist integers $0 \leq j_{1}<\cdots<j_{k} \leq$ $s-1$ such that $m_{j_{l}}>1$ for every $1 \leq l \leq k$. Since $p^{s}>p^{j_{k}}$, we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=0}^{s-1} m_{i} p^{i} & =\sum_{i=0}^{s-1} p^{i}+\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(m_{j_{i}}-1\right) p^{j_{i}} \\
& \leq \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} p^{i}+p^{j_{k}}(n-s)<\sum_{i=0}^{s-1} p^{i}+\sum_{i=s}^{n-1} p^{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 3. Let $K$ be a field with $\operatorname{char}(K)=p>0$ and $G$ a nilpotent group such that $\left|G^{\prime}\right|=p^{n}$. Then $t^{L}(K G)=\left|G^{\prime}\right|+1$ if and only if $d_{\left(p^{i}+1\right)}=1$ and $d_{(j)}=0$, where $0 \leq i \leq n-1, j \neq p^{i}+1$ and $j>1$.

Proof. If $d_{\left(p^{i}+1\right)}=1$ for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $d_{(j)}=0$ for $j>1$, then

$$
t^{L}(K G)=2+(p-1) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} p^{i}=1+p^{n}=\left|G^{\prime}\right|+1
$$

In order to prove the other implication, we preliminarily remark that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{m \geq 2} d_{(m)}=n \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is an immediate consequence of the definition of $d_{(j)}$ 's. Now we suppose that there exists $0 \leq j \leq n-1$ such that $d_{\left(p^{j}+1\right)}=0$. Let $s$ be the minimal integer for which $d_{\left(p^{s}+1\right)}=0$. From (1) it follows at once that $s \neq 0$ and by (1) of Lemma 1 we have that $\mathfrak{D}_{\left(p^{s}+1\right)}(G)=1$ and so $d_{(r)}=0$ for every $r \geq p^{s}+1$. It is immediate by (2) that $\alpha=\sum_{i=0}^{s-1} d_{\left(p^{i}+1\right)} \leq n$. Let us consider the following two cases: $\alpha=n$ and $\alpha<n$. If $\alpha=n$, then, according to Lemma 2, we have that

$$
t^{L}(K G)=2+(p-1) \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} p^{i} d_{\left(p^{i}+1\right)}<2+(p-1) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} p^{i}=\left|G^{\prime}\right|+1
$$

If $\alpha<n$ by (2) there exists at least one $j>1$ such that $d_{(j)} \neq 0$ and $j \neq p^{i}+1$. Suppose that $d_{\left(j_{1}\right)}, \ldots, d_{\left(j_{k}\right)}$ are all of such $d_{(j)}$ 's, where $j_{1}<$ $\cdots<j_{k}$. Clearly, $j_{k} \leq p^{s}$. According to Lemma 2 for the case $\alpha>s$, we obtain that

$$
t^{L}(K G)=2+(p-1) \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} p^{i} d_{\left(p^{i}+1\right)}+(p-1) \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(j_{i}-1\right) d_{\left(j_{i}\right)}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq 2+(p-1) \sum_{i=0}^{\alpha-1} p^{i}+(p-1)\left(j_{k}-1\right)(n-\alpha) \\
& <2+(p-1) \sum_{i=0}^{\alpha-1} p^{i}+(p-1) p^{s}(n-\alpha) \\
& <2+(p-1) \sum_{i=0}^{\alpha-1} p^{i}+(p-1) \sum_{i=\alpha}^{n-1} p^{i}=\left|G^{\prime}\right|+1
\end{aligned}
$$

So, if $t^{L}(K G)$ is maximal, then $d_{\left(p^{j}+1\right)}>0$ for each $0 \leq j \leq n-1$ and, by $(2)$, the lemma is proved.

Corollary 2. Let $K$ be a field with $\operatorname{char}(K)=p>0$ and $G$ a nilpotent group with $\left|G^{\prime}\right|=p^{n}$. If $t^{L}(K G)=\left|G^{\prime}\right|+1$, then $\left|\mathfrak{D}_{\left(p^{i}+1\right)}(G)\right|=p^{n-i}$, for $0 \leq i \leq n$.

Proof. By Lemma 3, it is easy to check that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{D}_{\left(p^{0}+1\right)}(G) & \supset \mathfrak{D}_{\left(p^{1}+1\right)}(G) \supset \mathfrak{D}_{\left(p^{1}+2\right)}(G)=\cdots \\
\cdots & =\mathfrak{D}_{\left(p^{i}+1\right)}(G) \supset \mathfrak{D}_{\left(p^{i}+2\right)}(G)=\cdots \\
\cdots & =\mathfrak{D}_{\left(p^{s}+1\right)}(G) \supset \mathfrak{D}_{\left(p^{s}+2\right)}(G)=1
\end{aligned}
$$

for some $s \in \mathbb{N}$. Clearly, $\left|\mathfrak{D}_{\left(p^{s}+1\right)}(G)\right|=p,\left|\mathfrak{D}_{\left(p^{s-1}+1\right)}(G)\right|=p^{2}$ and $\left|\mathfrak{D}_{\left(p^{i}+1\right)}(G)\right|=p^{s-i+1}$, so $\left|\mathfrak{D}_{\left(p^{0}+1\right)}(G)\right|=p^{s+1}=p^{n}$ and $s=n-1$.

Lemma 4. Let $K$ be a field with $\operatorname{char}(K)=p>0$ and $G$ a nilpotent group with $G^{\prime}$ a finite $p$-group such that $t^{L}(K G)=\left|G^{\prime}\right|+1$.
(1) If $p>2$ then $G^{\prime}$ is cyclic.
(2) If $p=2$ then $G^{\prime}$ has at most two generators.

Proof. Assume that $\left|G^{\prime}\right|=p^{n}$. Let us prove that

$$
\left|\Phi\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right| \geq \begin{cases}p^{n-1} & \text { if } p \neq 2  \tag{3}\\ 2^{n-2} & \text { if } p=2\end{cases}
$$

First, set $p \neq 2,1<a<p$ and suppose that $\left|\Phi\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq p^{n-2}$, where $n \geq 2$. Since $\exp \left(G^{\prime} / \Phi\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right)=p$, we have that $\exp \left(G^{\prime}\right)=p^{k} \leq p^{n-1}$ for some $k$. By Lemma 3, we get $d_{\left(a p^{n-2}+1\right)}=0$ and $p^{k-1}$ divides $p^{n-2}$. Then, by (2)
of Lemma 1, we obtain that $\mathfrak{D}_{\left(a p^{n-2}+1\right)}(G)=1$. But $a p^{n-2}<p^{n-1}$ and $\mathfrak{D}_{\left(p^{n-1}+1\right)}(G) \neq 1$, which is a contradiction.

Now, set $p=2$ and we suppose that $\left|\Phi\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq 2^{n-3}$, where $n \geq 3$. By Lemma 3 we have that $d_{\left(3 \cdot 2^{n-3}+1\right)}=0$. Since $3 \cdot 2^{n-3}<2^{n-1}$, by (2) of Lemma 1 we get that $\mathfrak{D}_{\left(3 \cdot 2^{n-3}+1\right)}(G)=1$ and $\mathfrak{D}_{\left(2^{n-1}+1\right)}(G) \neq 1$, which is a contradiction either.

Lemma 5. Let $K$ be a field with $\operatorname{char}(K)=2, G$ a nilpotent group such that $G^{\prime}$ is a 2-generated finite 2-group and let $t^{L}(K G)=\left|G^{\prime}\right|+1$. If either $\gamma_{2}(G)^{2} \subset \gamma_{3}(G)$ or $\gamma_{3}(G) \cap \gamma_{2}(G)^{2}=1$ then $\left|\gamma_{3}(G)\right|=2$ and $\gamma_{2}(G) \cong C_{2} \times C_{2}$.

Proof. Assume that $\left|G^{\prime}\right|=2^{n}$. Let $G$ be nilpotent of class $\operatorname{cl}(G)=$ $t \leq n+1$ and $\gamma_{2}(G)^{2} \subset \gamma_{3}(G)$. Then, by Theorem III.2.13 ([6], p. 266), we have that $\gamma_{k}(G)^{2} \subseteq \gamma_{k+1}(G)$ for every $k \geq 2$. Let us prove by induction on $i$ that $\mathfrak{D}_{\left(2^{i}+1\right)}(G)=\gamma_{i+2}(G)$. It follows at once that $\mathfrak{D}_{(2)}(G)=\gamma_{2}(G)$ and $\mathfrak{D}_{(3)}(G)=\gamma_{3}(G)$. According to Lemma 3 we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{D}_{\left(2^{i+1}+1\right)}(G) & =\mathfrak{D}_{\left(2^{i}+2\right)}(G) \\
& =\left(\mathfrak{D}_{\left(2^{i}+1\right)}(G), G\right) \cdot \mathfrak{D}_{\left(\left\lceil 2^{i-1}+\frac{1}{2}\right\rceil+1\right)}(G)^{2} \\
& =\left(\gamma_{i+2}(G), G\right) \cdot \mathfrak{D}_{\left(2^{i-1}+2\right)}(G)^{2} \\
& =\gamma_{i+3}(G) \cdot \mathfrak{D}_{\left(2^{i-1}+2\right)}(G)^{2} \\
& =\gamma_{i+3}(G) \cdot \mathfrak{D}_{\left(2^{i}+1\right)}(G)^{2} \\
& =\gamma_{i+3}(G) \cdot \gamma_{i+2}(G)^{2}=\gamma_{i+3}(G) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that $\mathfrak{D}_{\left(2^{t-1}+1\right)}(G)=\gamma_{t+1}(G)=1$, but by Lemma 3 we have that $\mathfrak{D}_{\left(2^{n-1}+1\right)}(G) \neq 1$, so $t>n$ and $t=n+1$.

Obviously, for $i \geq 1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{D}_{\left(2^{i}+2\right)}(G) & =\left(\mathfrak{D}_{\left(2^{i}+1\right)}(G), G\right) \cdot \mathfrak{D}_{\left(2^{i-1}+2\right)}(G)^{2} \\
& =\gamma_{i+3}(G) \cdot \mathfrak{D}_{\left(2^{i}+1\right)}(G)^{2} \\
& =\gamma_{i+3}(G) \cdot \gamma_{i+2}(G)^{2}=\gamma_{i+3}(G) ; \\
\mathfrak{D}_{\left(2^{i}+3\right)}(G) & =\left(\mathfrak{D}_{\left(2^{i}+2\right)}(G), G\right) \cdot \mathfrak{D}_{\left(2^{i-1}+2\right)}(G)^{2} \\
& =\gamma_{i+4}(G) \cdot \gamma_{i+2}(G)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\mathfrak{D}_{\left(2^{i}+2\right)}(G)=\mathfrak{D}_{\left(2^{i}+3\right)}(G)$ for $i \geq 1$ we get that

$$
\gamma_{i+3}(G)=\gamma_{i+4}(G) \cdot \gamma_{i+2}(G)^{2}
$$

According to $\gamma_{3}(G)^{2} \supset \gamma_{4}(G)^{2} \supset \cdots$ it follows that

$$
\gamma_{4}(G)=\gamma_{3}(G)^{2} \cdot \gamma_{4}(G)^{2} \cdot \gamma_{5}(G)^{2} \cdots \gamma_{t}(G)^{2}=\gamma_{3}(G)^{2}
$$

Since $\Phi\left(\gamma_{3}(G)\right)=\gamma_{3}(G)^{2}$ we have that

$$
\left[\gamma_{3}(G): \Phi\left(\gamma_{3}(G)\right)\right]=\left[\gamma_{3}(G): \gamma_{4}(G)\right]=2
$$

so $\gamma_{3}(G)$ is cyclic. According to Theorem 12.5.1 in [5], the 2-generated group $\gamma_{2}(G)$ with cyclic subgroup of index 2 is one of the following groups: $\mathrm{Q}_{2^{n}}, \mathrm{D}_{2^{n}}, \mathrm{SD}_{2^{n}}, \mathrm{MD}_{2^{n}}$, or $C_{2} \times C_{2^{n-1}}$, and therefore $\gamma_{2}(G)^{2}=\gamma_{4}(G)$.

Moreover, $\gamma_{3}(G)^{2} \subseteq \gamma_{5}(G)$. Indeed, the elements of the form $(x, y)$, where $x \in \gamma_{2}(G)$ and $y \in G$ are generators of $\gamma_{3}(G)$, so we have to prove that $(x, y)^{2} \in \gamma_{5}(G)$. Evidently,

$$
\left(x^{2}, y\right)=(x, y)(x, y, x)(x, y)=(x, y)^{2}(x, y, x)^{(x, y)}
$$

and $\left(x^{2}, y\right),(x, y, x)^{(x, y)} \in \gamma_{5}(G)$, so $(x, y)^{2} \in \gamma_{5}(G)$ and $\gamma_{3}(G)^{2} \subseteq \gamma_{5}(G)$. Using the fact that $\exp \left(\gamma_{3}(G) / \gamma_{5}(G)\right)=2$, since $\gamma_{3}(G)$ is cyclic, we obtain that $\left|\gamma_{3}(G)\right|=2$ and $\gamma_{2}(G) \cong C_{2} \times C_{2}$.

Now, let $\gamma_{3}(G) \cap \gamma_{2}(G)^{2}=1$. By (1) we have that $\mathfrak{D}_{(2)}(G)=\gamma_{2}(G)$, $\mathfrak{D}_{(3)}(G)=\gamma_{2}(G)^{2} \cdot \gamma_{3}(G)$ and

$$
\mathfrak{D}_{(2)}(G) / \mathfrak{D}_{(3)}(G)=\gamma_{2}(G) /\left[\gamma_{2}(G)^{2} \cdot \gamma_{3}(G)\right] \cong\left[\gamma_{2}(G) / \gamma_{2}(G)^{2}\right] / \gamma_{3}(G) .
$$

Since $\left|\mathfrak{D}_{(2)}(G) / \mathfrak{D}_{(3)}(G)\right|=2$ and $\left|\gamma_{2}(G) / \gamma_{2}(G)^{2}\right|=4$, from the last equality it follows that $\left|\gamma_{3}(G)\right|=2$ and $\gamma_{4}(G)=1$.

Obviously, $\left(\gamma_{2}(G), \gamma_{2}(G)\right) \subseteq \gamma_{4}(G)=1$, so $\gamma_{2}(G)$ is abelian and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{D}_{(4)}(G) & =\mathfrak{D}_{(5)}(G)=\left(\gamma_{2}(G)^{2} \cdot \gamma_{3}(G), G\right)\left(\gamma_{2}(G)^{2} \cdot \gamma_{3}(G)\right)^{2} \\
& =\gamma_{2}(G)^{4} \cdot \gamma_{3}(G)^{2}=\gamma_{2}(G)^{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to check that

$$
\Phi\left(\gamma_{2}(G)^{2} \cdot \gamma_{3}(G)\right)=\left(\gamma_{2}(G)^{2} \cdot \gamma_{3}(G)\right)^{2}=\gamma_{2}(G)^{4}=\mathfrak{D}_{(5)}(G)
$$

Therefore $\gamma_{2}(G)^{2} \cdot \gamma_{3}(G)$ is a cyclic subgroup of index 2 in $\gamma_{2}(G)$ and

$$
\gamma_{2}(G)=\langle a\rangle \times\langle b\rangle \cong C_{2^{n-1}} \times C_{2} \quad\left(|a|=2^{n-1},|b|=2\right) .
$$

Clearly, $\gamma_{2}(G)^{2}=\left\langle a^{2}\right\rangle$ and either $\gamma_{3}(G)=\langle b\rangle$ or $\gamma_{3}(G)=\left\langle a^{2^{n-2}} b\right\rangle$. Now, let us compute the weak complement of $\gamma_{3}(G)$ in $G^{\prime}$ (see [3], p. 34). It is easy to see that $\nu(b)=\nu\left(a^{2^{n-2}} b\right)=2$ and the weak complement will be $A=\langle a\rangle$. Since $G$ is of class 3, by (ii) of Theorem 3.3 of [3] we have that

$$
t_{L}(K G)=t^{L}(K G)=2^{n}+1=t\left(\gamma_{2}(G)\right)+t\left(\gamma_{2}(G) / A\right)=2^{n-1}+3,
$$

so $n=2$ and $\gamma_{2}(G) \cong C_{2} \times C_{2}$.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1

Let $K G$ be a Lie nilpotent group algebra with $\operatorname{char}(K)=p>0$ and let $t^{L}(K G)=\left|G^{\prime}\right|+1$. By Lemma 4 is either $p>2$ and $\gamma_{2}(G)$ is cyclic or $p=2$ and $\gamma_{2}(G)$ has at most 2 generators.

Now, let $p=2$ and $\gamma_{2}(G)$ a 2-generated group. Let us prove that either $\gamma_{2}(G)^{2} \subset \gamma_{3}(G)$ or $\gamma_{3}(G) \cap \gamma_{2}(G)^{2}=1$.

First, suppose that $\gamma_{3}(G) \subseteq \gamma_{2}(G)^{2}$. It is easy to see that

$$
\mathfrak{D}_{(2)}(G)=\gamma_{2}(G), \quad \mathfrak{D}_{(3)}(G)=\gamma_{2}(G)^{2}
$$

and

$$
\mathfrak{D}_{(2)}(G) / \mathfrak{D}_{(3)}(G)=\gamma_{2}(G) / \gamma_{2}(G)^{2} \cong \gamma_{2}(G) / \Phi\left(\gamma_{2}(G)\right) \cong C_{2}
$$

which contradicts to the fact that $\gamma_{2}(G)$ is a 2 -generated group.
Finally, suppose $\gamma_{3}(G) \cap \gamma_{2}(G)^{2} \neq 1$ and $\gamma_{2}(G)^{2} \not \subset \gamma_{3}(G)$. Clearly,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathfrak{D}_{(2)}(G)=\gamma_{2}(G) ; \quad \mathfrak{D}_{(3)}(G)=\gamma_{2}(G)^{2} \cdot \gamma_{3}(G) ; \\
\mathfrak{D}_{\left(2^{i}+1\right)}(G) \equiv \gamma_{3}(G)^{2^{i-1}} \cdot \gamma_{2}(G)^{2^{i}} \quad\left(\bmod \gamma_{4}(G)\right) \quad(i \geq 2) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $\mathfrak{D}_{(4)}(G) \equiv \mathfrak{D}_{(5)}(G)\left(\bmod \gamma_{4}(G)\right)$, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 & =\left[\mathfrak{D}_{(3)}(G): \mathfrak{D}_{(4)}(G)\right] \\
& \equiv\left[\gamma_{2}(G)^{2} \cdot \gamma_{3}(G):\left(\gamma_{2}(G)^{2} \cdot \gamma_{3}(G)\right)^{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\equiv\left[\left(\gamma_{2}(G)^{2} \cdot \gamma_{3}(G)\right): \Phi\left(\gamma_{2}(G)^{2} \cdot \gamma_{3}(G)\right)\right] \quad\left(\bmod \gamma_{4}(G)\right)
$$

and $L \equiv \gamma_{2}(G)^{2} \cdot \gamma_{3}(G)\left(\bmod \gamma_{4}(G)\right)$ is a cyclic group. Set $L=\langle a\rangle$. Since $\left[\gamma_{2}(G): \gamma_{2}(G)^{2}\right]=4$, we get that $L$ is a subgroup of index 2 in $\gamma_{2}(G) / \gamma_{4}(G)$. Thus, by Theorem 12.5 .1 of [5], we have that

$$
\gamma_{2}(G) / \gamma_{4}(G)=\left\langle a, b \mid a^{2^{n-1}}=1, b^{2} \in\langle a\rangle\right\rangle
$$

is one of the following groups: $C_{2^{n}}, C_{2^{n-1}} \times C_{2}, \mathrm{Q}_{2^{n}}, \mathrm{D}_{2^{n}}, \mathrm{SD}_{2^{n}}$ or $\mathrm{MD}_{2^{n}}$. But in these cases $\gamma_{2}(G)^{2} / \gamma_{4}(G)=\left\langle a^{2}\right\rangle$ and from

$$
L \equiv \gamma_{2}(G)^{2} \cdot \gamma_{3}(G) \quad\left(\bmod \gamma_{4}(G)\right)
$$

it follows that $\langle a\rangle \equiv\left\langle a^{2}\right\rangle \cdot \gamma_{3}(G)\left(\bmod \gamma_{4}(G)\right)$, so $a \in \gamma_{3}(G) / \gamma_{4}(G)$ and $\gamma_{2}(G)^{2} \subset \gamma_{3}(G)\left(\bmod \gamma_{4}(G)\right)$, a contradiction. Therefore, by Lemma 5 , we have that $\left|\gamma_{3}(G)\right|=2$ and $\gamma_{2}(G) \cong C_{2} \times C_{2}$.

Conversely, let $p \geq 2$ and $\gamma_{2}(G)$ a cyclic group. By (ii) of Theorem 3.1 of [3] we get that $t_{L}(K G)=t^{L}(K G)=\left|G^{\prime}\right|+1$. Now, let $p=2$ and $G^{\prime}$ the noncyclic group of order 4 and $\gamma_{3}(G) \neq 1$. Again, by (ii) of Theorem 3.3 of [3], we obtain that

$$
t_{L}(K G)=t^{L}(K G)=t\left(G^{\prime}\right)+t\left(G^{\prime} / A\right)=\left|G^{\prime}\right|+1
$$

where $A$ is the weak complement of $\gamma_{3}(G)$ in $G^{\prime}$ and the proof is complete.
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