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Abstract. Using formulas for quadratic mean values of $L$-functions at $s = 1$, we recover previously known explicit upper bounds on relative class numbers of cyclotomic fields. We also obtain new better bounds.

1. Introduction

Various authors have given elementary proofs of upper bounds on relative class numbers $h_f^-$ of cyclotomic fields $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_f)$ of conductors $f \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. For example, we have

$$h_{2m}^- \leq 2^m \left(2^{m-1}/32\right)^{2m-3} \quad (m \geq 2)$$

(1)

(see [Met3]) and

$$h_p^- \leq 2p (p/32)^{(p-1)/4} \quad (p \geq 3 \text{ a prime number})$$

(2)

(see [Feng]). H. Walum broached this question by studying mean values of $L$-functions of prime conductors. In [Lou93] (and [Lou01]) we extended H. Walum’s result on mean values of $L$-functions and obtained new and better bounds on relative class numbers. Here, in Lemmas 3 and 6, we obtain a general result for mean values of $L$-functions. By using Lemma 2, we recover these bounds on
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relative class numbers and improve upon them (see (16), (17), (18), (19) and (20) below). We show that for \( p \) or \( m \) large enough, we can replace the constant 32 by any given constant less than \( 4\pi^2 = 39.47841 \ldots \):

**Proposition 1.** Fix \( C < 4\pi^2 = 39.47841 \ldots \). For \( p \) effectively large enough we have

\[
h_p^- \leq 2p(p/C)^{(p-1)/4}.
\]

For \( m \) effectively large enough we have

\[
h_{2m}^- \leq 2m\sqrt{2(2^{m-1}/C)^{2m-3}}.
\]

Using more sophisticated results, a better bound is known (see [MM]):

\[
h_p^- \leq p^{31/4} \left( \frac{p}{4\pi^2} \right)^{p/4}.
\]

2. The method

Let \( K_f = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_f) \) be a cyclotomic field of prime power conductor \( f = p^m > 2 \), \( p \geq 2 \) a prime, and of degree \( 2n = \phi(f) = p^{m-1}(p-1) \). Let \( K_f^+ \) be the maximal real subfield of \( K_f \), of degree \( n \). Let \( d_f \) and \( d_f^+ \) be the absolute values of the discriminants of \( K_f \) and \( K_f^+ \). Hence,

\[
d_f / d_f^+ = \begin{cases} \sqrt{pd_f} = p^{1+p^{m-1}(p-1)/2} & \text{if } p \geq 3 \\ \sqrt{4d_f} = 2^{1+2^{m-2}(m-1)} & \text{if } p = 2 \end{cases}
\]

(see [Was, Lemma 4.19 and Proposition 2.1]). Let

\[
w_f = \begin{cases} 2f = 2p^m & \text{if } p \geq 3 \\ f = 2^m & \text{if } p = 2 \end{cases}
\]

be the number of complex roots of unity in \( K_f \). In particular,

\[
w_f \sqrt{d_f / d_f^+} = \begin{cases} 2p \cdot p^{\phi(f)/4} & \text{if } f = p \geq 3 \\ 2^{1+2^m} \cdot (2^{m-1})^{\phi(f)/4} & \text{if } f = 2^m \geq 4. \end{cases} \tag{3}
\]

Let \( X_f^- \) be the set of the \( \phi(f)/2 \) odd Dirichlet characters mod \( f > 2 \). Then,

\[
h_f^- = w_f \sqrt{d_f / d_f^+} \prod_{\chi \in X_f^-} \frac{1}{2\pi L(1, \chi)}
\]
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(Use [Was, Corollary 4.13 and page 42]). Now, we fix $f_0 \geq 1$, a product of small distinct prime numbers $q \geq 2$. We let $\chi_0$ be the trivial character mod $f_0$. We assume that $f$ run over integers coprime with $f_0$, and for $\chi \in X_f^-$, we let $\chi_0 \chi$ be the odd character mod $f_0 f$ induced by $\chi$. We have

$$\prod_{\chi \in X_f^-} L(1, \chi) = \left( \prod_{q \mid f_0} \Pi(q, f) \right)^{-1} \prod_{\chi \in X_f^-} L(1, \chi_0 \chi),$$

where

$$\Pi(q, f) := \prod_{\chi \in X_f^-} \left( 1 - \frac{\chi(q)}{q} \right)$$

(throughout the paper, $q$ is a prime divisor of $f_0$, and $p$ a prime divisor of $f$).

The geometric mean being less than or equal to the arithmetic mean, we obtain:

**Lemma 2.** If $\gcd(f_0, f) = 1$, then

$$h_f^- \leq \frac{w_f \sqrt{df/d_f^+}}{\prod_{q \mid f_0} \Pi(q, f)} S(f_0, f)^{\phi(f)/4},$$

where

$$S(f_0, f) := \frac{2}{\phi(f)} \sum_{\chi \in X_f^-} \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} L(1, \chi_0 \chi) \right|^2.$$

To use Lemma 2, we need formulae for the sums $S(f_0, f)$. If $F$ is an $n$-periodic function, we let $\sum_{a \mod^* n} F(a)$ denote a summation over any set of representatives of $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^*$. Recall from [Lou93] that if $\chi$ is an odd Dirichlet character mod $n \geq 3$ (we do not assume that $\chi$ is primitive), then

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} L(1, \chi) = \frac{1}{4n} \sum_{a \mod^* n} \chi(a) \cot \left( \frac{\pi a}{n} \right)$$

(5)

and that, for $n \geq 2$, we have

$$\tilde{S}(n) := \sum_{a \mod^* n} \cot^2 \left( \frac{\pi a}{n} \right) = \frac{n^2}{3} \prod_{p \mid n} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p^2} \right) - \phi(n).$$

(6)

By (5), we have

$$S(f_0, f) = \frac{1}{16 f_0^2 f_f} \sum_{a \mod^* f_0 f} \sum_{b \mod^* f_0 f} \frac{2}{\phi(f)} \left( \sum_{\chi \in X_f^-} \chi(a) \chi(b) \right) \cot \left( \frac{\pi a}{f_0 f} \right) \cot \left( \frac{\pi b}{f_0 f} \right).$$
Changing $b$ into $ab$ and using $|\chi(a)| = 1$ for $\gcd(a, f) = 1$ and

$$
\sum_{\chi \in \chi_f} \chi(b) = \begin{cases} 
\phi(f)/2 & \text{if } b \equiv 1 \pmod{f} \\
-\phi(f)/2 & \text{if } b \equiv -1 \pmod{f} \\
0 & \text{otherwise},
\end{cases}
$$

we obtain

$$
S(f_0, f) = \frac{1}{8f_0^2f^2} \sum_{a \mod^* f_0f} \sum_{b \mod^* f_0f \atop b \equiv 1 \pmod{f}} \cot\left(\frac{\pi a}{f_0f}\right) \cot\left(\frac{\pi ab}{f_0f}\right).
$$

Using (6) with $n = f_0f$, we obtain:

**Lemma 3.** If $\gcd(f_0, f) = 1$, then

$$
S(f_0, f) = \frac{1}{24} \left\{ \prod_{q \mid f_0} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q^2}\right) \right\} \left\{ \prod_{p \mid f} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^2}\right) \right\} - \frac{\phi(f_0)^2\phi(f)}{8f_0^2f^2} + \frac{T(f_0, f)}{8f_0^2f^2},
$$

where

$$
T(f_0, f) = \sum_{a \mod^* f_0f} \sum_{b \mod^* f_0f \atop b \equiv 1 \pmod{f}} \left(1 + \cot\left(\frac{\pi a}{f_0f}\right) \cot\left(\frac{\pi ab}{f_0f}\right)\right).
$$

Since $T(f_0, f) = 0$ for $f_0 = 1$ and $f_0 = 2$ (the sum over $b$ is empty), from Lemma 3, we deduce explicit formulae for $S(1, f)$ and $S(2, f)$:

**Proposition 4.** We have

$$
S(1, f) = \frac{1}{24} \prod_{p \mid f} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^2}\right) - \frac{\phi(f)}{8f^2}.
$$

In particular,

$$
S(1, p) = \frac{1}{24} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \left(1 - \frac{2}{p}\right) \quad (p \geq 3 \text{ a prime}) \quad (7)
$$

and

$$
S(1, 2^m) = \frac{1}{32} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{m-1}}\right) \quad (m \geq 1). \quad (8)
$$
Proposition 5. We have

\[ S(2, f) = \frac{1}{32} \prod_{p|f} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p^2} \right) - \frac{\phi(f)}{32f^2}. \]

In particular,

\[ S(2, p) = \frac{1}{32} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) \quad (p \geq 3 \text{ a prime}). \]  

Now, assume that \( f_0 > 2 \). We will not be able to give explicit formulae for \( T(f_0, f) \) (see also [Lou99]), but Lemma 6 below will enable us to compute such formulae for any given \( f_0 \). Set \( \xi_l = \exp(2\pi i/l) \). Write \( a = A + kf_0 \equiv A \pmod{f_0} \) and \( b = 1 + Bf \equiv 1 \pmod{f} \). We have

\[ 1 + \cot \left( \frac{\pi a}{f_0 f} \right) \cot \left( \frac{\pi ab}{f_0 f} \right) = 2i \cot \left( \frac{\pi AB}{f_0} \right) \left( \frac{1}{\zeta_f^{kC_A} f_0^A} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{1}{\zeta_f^{kC_A} f_0^A} - 1 \right) \]

and

\[ T(f_0, f) = 2i \sum_{A \mod^* f_0} \frac{f_0 - 1}{\gcd(1 + Bf, f_0) = 1} \cot \left( \frac{\pi AB}{f_0} \right) \]

\[ \times \sum_{k=0}^{f-1} \left( \frac{1}{\zeta_f^{kC_A} f_0^A} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{1}{\zeta_f^{kC_A} f_0^A} - 1 \right). \]

Now, if \( \lambda^l \neq 1 \), then

\[ \sum_{k=0}^{l-1} \frac{1}{\zeta^k \lambda - 1} = \frac{l}{\lambda^l - 1} \]

(evaluate the logarithmic derivative of \( x^l - 1 \) at \( x = \lambda^{-1} \), if \( \lambda \neq 0 \)). Hence, if \( \gcd(f_0, f) = 1 \) and \( \omega = \zeta_{f_0} \) or \( \omega = \zeta_{f_0}^{1+fB} \), then

\[ \sum_{A \mod^* f_0} \cot \left( \frac{\pi AB}{f_0} \right) \sum_{k=0}^{f-1} \frac{1}{\zeta_f^{kA} - 1} \]

\[ = \sum_{d|f} \mu(d) \sum_{A \mod^* f_0} \cot \left( \frac{\pi dA}{f_0} \right) \sum_{k=0}^{f-1} \frac{1}{\zeta_f^{kdA} - 1} \]

\[ = \sum_{d|f} \mu(d) \sum_{A \mod^* f_0} \cot \left( \frac{\pi dAB}{f_0} \right) \sum_{k=0}^{f-1} \frac{1}{\zeta_f^{kdA} - 1} \]
\[
\begin{align*}
    &= \sum_{d \mid f} \mu(d) \sum_{A \mod^* f_0} \cot \left( \frac{\pi dAB}{f_0} \right) \left( \frac{f/f^d - 1}{\omega dA - 1} \right) \\
    &= f \sum_{d \mid f} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} \sum_{A \mod^* f_0} \cot \left( \frac{\pi dAB}{f_0} \right) \frac{1}{\omega dA - 1}
\end{align*}
\]

and

\[
T(f_0, f) = f \sum_{d \mid f} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} \sum_{A \mod^* f_0} \sum_{0 \neq B \mod f_0, \gcd(1 + Bf, f_0) = 1} \cot \left( \frac{\pi dAB}{f_0} \right) \\
\times \left( \cot \left( \frac{\pi A}{f_0} \right) - \cot \left( \frac{\pi A(1 + Bf)}{f_0} \right) \right).
\]

If \( ff^* \equiv d^*d \equiv A^*A \equiv 1 \pmod{f_0} \), changing \( B \) into \( f^*(A^*B - 1) \) and \( A \) into \( fA \) we change \((dAB, A, A(1 + Bf))\) into \((d(B - A), fA, fB)\) with \( B \neq A \). Finally, changing \( A \) into \( d^*A \) and \( B \) into \( d^*B \) we obtain:

**Lemma 6.** Let \( f_0 > 2 \) be given. Assume that \( \gcd(f_0, f) = 1 \). We have

\[
T(f_0, f) = f \sum_{d \mid f} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} A(f_0, f/d),
\]

where the coefficients

\[
A(f_0, d) = \sum_{A \mod^* f_0} \sum_{B \mod^* f_0 \neq A} \cot \left( \frac{\pi (B - A)}{f_0} \right) \left( \cot \left( \frac{\pi dA}{f_0} \right) - \cot \left( \frac{\pi dB}{f_0} \right) \right)
\]

are rational numbers which depend on \( d \mod f_0 \) only. Moreover,

\[
A(f_0, 1) = \phi(f_0)^2 - \frac{f_0^2}{3} \prod_{q \mid f_0} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{q^2} \right).
\]

**Proof.** Using \( \cot(y - x)/(\cot x - \cot y) = (\cot x)(\cot y) + 1 \) we obtain

\[
A(f_0, 1) = \sum_{A \mod^* f_0} \sum_{B \mod^* f_0 \neq A} \left( 1 + \cot \left( \frac{\pi A}{f_0} \right) \cot \left( \frac{\pi B}{f_0} \right) \right).
\]

Since \( \sum_{B \mod^* f_0} \cot \left( \frac{\pi B}{f_0} \right) = 0 \) (change \( B \) into \( f_0 - B \)), we obtain

\[
A(f_0, 1) = \phi(f_0)(\phi(f_0) - 1) - \sum_{A \mod^* f_0} \cot^2 \left( \frac{\pi A}{f_0} \right) = \phi(f_0)(\phi(f_0) - 1) - \tilde{S}(f_0)
\]
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and the desired result, by (6).

Finally, if $a$ and $b$ are rational integers, then \( \cot(\pi a/f_0) \cot(\pi b/f_0) \) is in \( \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{f_0}) \), and such that \( \sigma_t(\cot(\pi a/f_0) \cot(\pi b/f_0)) = \cot(\pi at/f_0) \cot(\pi bt/f_0) \) whenever \( \gcd(t, f_0) = 1 \), where \( \sigma_t \) is the automorphism of \( \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{f_0}) \) which sends \( \zeta_{f_0} \) to \( \zeta_{tf_0} \).

It follows that the \( A(f_0, d) \)'s are in \( \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{f_0}) \) and are invariant under the actions of the Galois group of \( \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{f_0})/\mathbb{Q} \). Hence, they are rational numbers. \( \square \)

3. Some explicit formulae for \( S(f_0, f) \)

Lemma 3 yields explicit formulae for \( S(1, f) \) and \( S(2, f) \), in which cases \( T(1, f) = T(2, f) = 0 \). We have not been able to come up with a fully explicit formula for \( S(f_0, f) \) for \( f_0 > 2 \). If \( f_0 > 2 \) is given, Lemma 6 shows that

\[
T(f_0, p) = pA(f_0, p) - A(f_0, 1),
\]

where \( A(f_0, p) \) depends on \( p \mod f_0 \) only. (In the same way, \( T(f_0, p^m) = p^m A(f_0, p^m) - p^{m-1} A(f_0, p^{m-1}) \) depends only on \( p \mod f_0 \) and of \( m \mod \) the order of \( p \) in \( \mathbb{Z}/f_0\mathbb{Z}^{*} \)). Therefore, for a given \( f_0 \) we can compute all the possible \( A(f_0, p) \) depending only on \( p \mod f_0 \) and we end up with an explicit formula for \( T(f_0, p) \) and \( S(f_0, p) \) which will depend on \( p \mod f_0 \).

For example, for \( p > 5 \) and \( f_0 = 30 \) we have \( A(30, 1) = -128 \) and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( p \mod 30 )</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>13</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( A(30, p) )</td>
<td>-128</td>
<td>-112</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( T(30, p) )</td>
<td>-128(p - 1)</td>
<td>-16(7p - 8)</td>
<td>32(5p + 4)</td>
<td>64(p + 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( S(30, p) )</td>
<td>( \frac{1}{12} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) )</td>
<td>( \frac{7}{12} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{12p} \right) )</td>
<td>( \frac{7}{12} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{12p} \right) )</td>
<td>( \frac{7}{12} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( f \mod 30 )</th>
<th>17</th>
<th>19</th>
<th>23</th>
<th>29</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( A(30, p) )</td>
<td>-64</td>
<td>-160</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( T(30, p) )</td>
<td>-64(p - 2)</td>
<td>-32(5p - 4)</td>
<td>16(7p + 8)</td>
<td>128(p + 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( S(30, p) )</td>
<td>( \frac{1}{12} \left( 1 - \frac{2}{3p} \right) )</td>
<td>( \frac{7}{12} \left( 1 - \frac{2}{3p} \right) )</td>
<td>( \frac{7}{12} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{3p} \right) )</td>
<td>( \frac{7}{12} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{3p} \right) )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1.

In fact, if \( f = p \) is a prime, we have the following rather nice formula:

**Theorem 7.** Assume that \( f_0 > 2 \) and set

\[
C(f_0) := \frac{1}{24} \prod_{q|f_0} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{q^2} \right).
\]
If \(\gcd(f_0, f) = 1\), set
\[
B(f_0, f) := A(f_0, f) - \frac{\phi(f_0)^2}{8f_0^2},
\]
which depends on \(f \mod f_0\) only. Then,
\[
S(f_0, p) = C(f_0) + \frac{B(f_0, p)}{p}.
\]
In particular, if \(p \equiv 1 \pmod{f_0}\), then
\[
S(f_0, p) = C(f_0) \times \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right).
\]
and if \(p \equiv -1 \pmod{f_0}\), then
\[
S(f_0, p) = C(f_0) \times \left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right) - \frac{\phi(f_0)^2}{4f_0^2}.
\]

**Proof.** For the first assertion, use Lemma 3, Lemma 6 and (10). For the other assertions, notice that \(A(f_0, f) = A(f_0, 1) = A(f_0, 1)\) if \(f \equiv 1 \pmod{f_0}\) and \(A(f_0, f) = -A(f_0, 1) = -A(f_0, 1)\) if \(f \equiv -1 \pmod{f_0}\). \(\square\)

**Proposition 8.** If 3 does not divide \(f\), then
\[
S(3, f) = \frac{1}{27} \prod_{p\mid f} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^2}\right) - \frac{\phi(f)^2}{18f^2} + \frac{T(3, f)}{72f^2},
\]
with
\[
T(3, f) = \frac{4f}{3} \left(\frac{f}{3}\right) \prod_{p\mid f} (1 - \left(\frac{p}{3}\right) \frac{1}{p}).
\]
In particular,
\[
S(3, p) = \frac{1}{27} \left(1 - \frac{3 - \frac{f}{3}}{2p}\right) \quad (p \neq 3)
\]
and
\[
S(3, 2^m) = \frac{1}{36} \left(1 - \frac{1 - (-1)^m}{2^m}\right).
\]
If \(\gcd(f, 6) = 1\), then
\[
S(6, f) = \frac{1}{36} \prod_{p\mid f} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^2}\right) - \frac{\phi(f)^2}{72f^2} + \frac{T(6, f)}{288f^2},
\]
Assume that \( 1 + 3 \) Using 3

We have:

\[
T(6, f) = -4f \left( \frac{j}{3} \right) \prod_{p \mid f} \left( 1 - \left( \frac{p}{3} \right) \frac{1}{p} \right).
\]

In particular,

\[
S(6, p) = \frac{1}{36} \left( 1 - \frac{1 + (\frac{2}{3})}{2p} \right) \quad (p > 3).
\]

PROOF. Assume that \( f_0 = 3 \) or \( f_0 = 6 \). Then, \( f \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{f_0} \) and \( \phi(f_0) = 2 \). In Lemma 6, \( A \) must be equal to \(+1\) or \(-1\) mod \( f_0 \) and \( B \) which can take only one value mod \( f_0 \) must be equal to \(-A\) mod \( f_0 \). Hence, we obtain

\[
A(f_0, d) = 4 \cot \left( \frac{-2\pi}{f_0} \right) \cot \left( \frac{\pi d}{f_0} \right) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{4}{3} \left( \frac{d}{3} \right) & \text{if } f_0 = 3 \\
-4 \left( \frac{d}{3} \right) & \text{if } f_0 = 6.
\end{cases}
\]

The desired result follows. \( \square \)

**Lemma 9** (E.g., see [Lou93, Lemme (c)]). Let \( l \) be the order of \( q \) mod \( f \). Then,

\[
\Pi(q, f) = \begin{cases} 
(1 + q^{-l/2})^{\phi(f)/l} & \text{if } l \text{ is even and } q^{l/2} \equiv -1 \pmod{f} \\
(1 - q^{-l})^{\phi(f)/l} & \text{otherwise},
\end{cases}
\]

Moreover, if \( f = p^k \) with \( p \geq 3 \) and \( l \) is even, then \( q^{l/2} \equiv -1 \pmod{f} \). Finally, \( e^{-1/2l} \leq \Pi(q, f) \leq e^{1/l} \), hence \( \Pi(q, f) = 1 + O \left( \frac{\log q}{\log f} \right) \).

**Proof.** To prove the lower bound on \( \Pi(q, f) \), notice that \( q^l \geq f + 1 \) and \( \phi(f) \log(1 - 1/(f + 1)) \geq (f - 1) \log(1 - 1/(f + 1)) \geq -1 \) for \( f > 0 \). To prove the upper bound, notice that in the first case we have \( q^{l/2} \geq f - 1 \) and \( (1 + 1/(f - 1))^{\phi(f)/l} \leq (1 + 1/(f - 1))^{1/l} \leq \exp(1) \) for \( f \geq 2 \). \( \square \)

**Lemma 10.** We have:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( m )</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>≥ 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \Pi(3, 2^m) )</td>
<td>( 1 + 3^{-1} )</td>
<td>( 1 - 3^{-2m-2} )</td>
<td>( 1 - 3^{-2m-2} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Pi(5, 2^m) )</td>
<td>( 1 - 5^{-1} )</td>
<td>( 1 - 5^{-2m-2} )</td>
<td>( 1 - 5^{-2m-2} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

and \( \Pi(2, 3^m) = 1 + 2^{3m-1} \) for \( m \geq 1 \).

**Proof.** Using \( 3^{2k-3} \equiv 1 + 2^{k-1} \pmod{2^k} \) for \( k \geq 4 \), and \( 5^{2k-3} \equiv 1 + 2^{k-1} \pmod{2^k} \) for \( k \geq 3 \), we obtain that the order \( l \) of 3 mod \( 2^m \) is equal to \( 2^{m-2} \) and \( 3^{l/2} \equiv -1 \pmod{2^m} \) for \( m \geq 3 \), and that the order \( l \) of 5 mod \( 2^m \) is equal to \( 2^{m-2} \) and \( 5^{l/2} \equiv -1 \pmod{2^m} \) for \( m \geq 3 \). Using \( 2^{3k-2} \equiv -1 + 3^{k-1} \pmod{3^k} \) for \( k \geq 3 \), we obtain that the order \( l \) of 2 mod \( 3^m \) is equal to \( 2 \cdot 3^{m-1} \) and \( 2^{l/2} \equiv -1 \pmod{2^m} \) for \( m \geq 1 \). \( \square \)
4. Proof of Proposition 1

Clearly, $A(f_0, f) = O(f_0^4)$, and $T(f_0, f) = O(f_0^4 f \sum_{d|f} \frac{1}{d}) = O(f_0^4 f \log f)$. Therefore,

$$S(f_0, f) = \frac{1}{24} \left( \prod_{q|f_0} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{q^2} \right) \right) \left( \prod_{p|f} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p^2} \right) \right) + O \left( \frac{f_0^2 \log f}{f} \right)$$

can be made less than $1/4\pi^2$ by putting enough prime factors in $f_0$. By Lemma 9, the desired result follows.

5. Upper bounds on relative class numbers

We are now in a position to obtain explicit upper bounds on relative class numbers of cyclotomic fields. To simplify, we restrict ourselves to cyclotomic fields of prime conductors $p \geq 3$ or of 2-power conductors $f = 2^m \geq 4$.

5.1. The case $f_0 = 1$. Using (3), (4) and (7), which yields $S(1, p) \leq 1/24$, we obtain

$$h_p^- \leq 2p \left( \frac{p}{24} \right)^{(p-1)/4} \quad (p \geq 3 \text{ a prime})$$

(see also [Lep], [Met1] and [Met2]). Using (3), (4) and (8), which yields $S(1, 2^m) \leq 1/32$, we obtain $h_{2^{2m}}^- \leq 2^m \sqrt{2} (2^{m-1}/32)^{2^{m-3}}$, a bound slightly weaker than (1).

5.2. The case $f_0 = 2$. Using (3), (4) and (9), and $\Pi(2, p) \geq (1 - 2^{-1})^{(p-1)/6} \geq (1 - 1/p)^{(p-1)/4}$, we obtain:

$$h_p^- \leq \frac{2p}{\Pi(2, p)} \left( \frac{p}{32} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) \right)^{(p-1)/4},$$

which implies (2), a better bound than (14) (see also [Feng], and the recent worse bound in [Jak]).

5.3. The cases $f_0 = 3$. Using (3), (4), (12) and Lemma 10, we obtain

$$h_{2m}^- \leq \frac{2^m \sqrt{2}}{1 - 3 \cdot 2^{m-2}} \left( \frac{2^{m-1}}{36} \right)^{2^{m-3}} \quad (m \geq 2),$$

which is a better bound than all the previously known ones quoted in [Met3].
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5.4. The cases $f_0 = 6$. Using (3), (4) and (13), we obtain the following improvement on (2):

$$h_p^{-} \leq \frac{2p}{\Pi(2,p)\Pi(3,p)} \left( \frac{p}{36} \right)^{(p-1)/4} \quad (p \geq 5 \text{ a prime}).$$

(17)

5.5. The cases $f_0 = 15$.

Proposition 11. We have

$$T(15, 2^m) = 2^{m+3} \times \begin{cases} 7 & \text{if } m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \\ -8 & \text{if } m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ -4 & \text{if } m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, \\ -10 & \text{if } m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

Hence,

$$S(15, 2^m) = \frac{2}{75} \left(1 - \frac{2}{3 \cdot 2^m} + \frac{T(15, 2^m)}{48 \cdot 2^{2m}}\right) \leq \frac{2}{75} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2^{m+1}}\right).$$

Using (4), and Lemma 10, we obtain a better bound than (16):

$$h_p^{-} \leq \frac{2^{m+\sqrt{2}}}{(1 - 3^{-2m-2})(1 - 5^{-2m-2})} \left(\frac{2^{m+1} + 1}{150}\right)^{2m-3} \quad (m \geq 2).$$

(18)

5.6. The case $f_0 = 30$. According to Table 1, $S(30, p) \leq \frac{2}{75} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2^p}\right)$ and we obtain a better bound than (17):

$$h_p^{-} \leq \frac{2p}{\Pi(2,p)\Pi(3,p)\Pi(5,p)} \left(\frac{2p + 1}{75}\right)^{(p-1)/4} \quad (p \geq 7 \text{ a prime}).$$

(19)

5.7. The case $p \equiv 1 \pmod{f_0}$. Using (3), (4) and (11), we obtain

$$h_p^{-} \leq \frac{2p}{\Pi_{q \mid f_0} \Pi(q, p)} \left(\frac{p}{24} \left(\prod_{q \mid f_0} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q^2}\right)\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{p-1/4}\right).$$

By Lemma 9, we deduce that if $p \geq p_0(f_0)$ is large enough, then

$$h_p^{-} \leq 2p \left(\frac{p}{24} \prod_{q \mid f_0} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q^2}\right)\right)^{(p-1)/4} \quad (p \geq 7 \text{ a prime}).$$

(20)

(more explicitly, by Lemma 9 we have $\Pi(q, p) \geq \exp\left(-\frac{\log q}{2 \log p}\right)$, which yields $\Pi_{q \mid f_0} \Pi(q, p) \geq \exp\left(-\frac{\log f_0}{2 \log p}\right)$, and using $(1 - 1/p)^{(p-1)/4} \leq \exp(-1/8)$ for $p \geq 3$ we see that is suffices to have $p \geq p_0(f_0) := f_0^4$).
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