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#### Abstract

The notions of weakly symmetric and weakly projective symmetric spaces were introduced by Tamássy and Binh [1] and an example of the modified form of weakly symmetric Riemannian spaces was constructed by U. C. De and S. Bandyopadhyay, [2]. The object of this paper is to introduce the modified form of weakly symmetric spaces with semi-symmetric metric connection with an illustrative example.


## 1. Introduction

A non-flat Riemannian space $V_{n}(n>2)$ is called weakly symmetric if its Riemannian curvature tensor $R_{h i j k}$ satisfies the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{l} R_{h i j k}=a_{l} R_{h i j k}+b_{h} R_{l i j k}+c_{i} R_{h l j k}+d_{j} R_{h i l k}+e_{k} R_{h i j l} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a b, c, d$, $e$ are 1 -forms (non-zero simultaneously) and ' $\nabla_{l}$ ' denotes the covariant differentiation with respect to the Riemannian connection $\nabla$.

The one-forms $a, b, c, d, e$ are called associated one-forms of the space and an $n$-dimensional space of this kind is denoted by $(W S)_{n}$. In [2], a reduction in the defining equation of a $(W S)_{n}$ is obtained in the following simpler form:

$$
\nabla_{l} R_{h i j k}=a_{l} R_{h i j k}+b_{h} R_{l i j k}+b_{i} R_{h l j k}+d_{j} R_{h i l k}+d_{k} R_{h i j l}
$$
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Let $D$ denote the semi-symmetric metric connection over $V_{n}$ with coefficients

$$
\Gamma_{j k}^{i}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
i  \tag{1.2}\\
j k
\end{array}\right\}+w_{j} \delta_{k}^{i}-w_{k} \delta_{j}^{i}, \quad D_{k} g_{i j}=0
$$

where ' $D_{k}$ ' denotes the covariant differentiation with respect to the semisymmetric metric connection.

The curvature tensor $L_{i j k}^{h}$ of the manifold $V_{n}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{i j k}^{h}=\partial_{j} \Gamma_{i k}^{h}-\partial_{k} \Gamma_{i j}^{h}+\Gamma_{i k}^{a} \Gamma_{a j}^{h}-\Gamma_{i j}^{a} \Gamma_{a k}^{h}, \quad\left(\partial_{k}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{k}}\right) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (1.2) in (1.3) we obtain the following equation for the curvature tensor $L_{i j k}^{h}$ of $V_{n}$ with semi-symmetric metric connection:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{i j k}^{h}=R_{i j k}^{h}-\delta_{i}^{h}\left(P_{j k}-P_{k j}\right)+\delta_{k}^{h} P_{j i}-\delta_{j}^{h} P_{k i} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{j k}=\nabla_{j} w_{k}-w_{j} w_{k}$ and $R_{i j k}^{h}$ is the Riemannian curvature tensor of the space.

Multiplying (1.4) by $g_{a h}$ and interchanging the indices $a$ and $h$, the above equation can be converted into the covariant form

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{h i j k}=R_{h i j k}-g_{i h}\left(P_{j k}-P_{k j}\right)+g_{k h} P_{j i}-g_{j h} P_{k i} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Interchanging the indices $j$ and $k$ and taking $k=j$ in (1.5) leads to the identities

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{h i j k}=-L_{h i k j}, \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{h i j j}=0 \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively. An $n$-dimensional, $(n>2)$, weakly symmetric space with semi-symmetric metric connection, $\left((W S)_{n}, D\right)$ for short, is a non-flat space satisfying the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{l} L_{h i j k}=a_{l} L_{h i j k}+b_{h} L_{l i j k}+c_{i} L_{h l j k}+d_{j} L_{h i l k}+e_{k} L_{h i j l} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and it has the coefficients (1.2), where $L_{h i j k}$ is the curvature tensor of the space and $a, b, c, d, e$ are 1-forms (non-zero simultaneously). By using the following method, which is also used in [2], we come to the conclusion that
the five associated 1-forms cannot be all different. Moreover, we can state that the associated one forms $d$ and $e$ are identically equal to each other.

Interchanging the indices $j$ and $k$ in (1.8) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{l} L_{h i k j}=a_{l} L_{h i k j}+b_{h} L_{l i k j}+c_{i} L_{h l k j}+d_{k} L_{h i l j}+e_{j} L_{h i k l} \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, adding (1.8) and (1.9) and using (1.6), we get

$$
\left(d_{j}-e_{j}\right) L_{h i l k}+\left(d_{k}-e_{k}\right) L_{h i l j}=0
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{j} L_{h i l k}+A_{k} L_{h i l j}=0 \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{j}=d_{j}-e_{j}$. We want to show that $A_{j}=0(j=1,2, \ldots, n)$. Suppose on the contrary there exists a fixed index $q$ for which $A_{q} \neq 0$. Putting $j=l=q$ in (1.10), with the help of (1.7) we have $A_{q} L_{h i q k}=0$, which implies that $L_{h i q k}=0$ for all $h, i, k$. Next, putting $k=q$ in (1.10) we obtain $A_{j} L_{h i l q}+A_{q} L_{\text {hilj }}=0$ which means that $L_{h i l j}=0$ for all $h, i$, $j, l$, since $L_{h i q k}=0$ for all $h, i, k$ and $A_{q} \neq 0$. Then the space is flat, contradicting our hypothesis. Hence $A_{j}=0$ for all $j$, which implies that $d_{j}=e_{j}$ for all $j$. Now in virtue of the above process we can state that the condition (1.9) can always be expressed in the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{l} L_{h i j k}=a_{l} L_{h i j k}+b_{h} L_{l i j k}+c_{i} L_{h l j k}+d_{j} L_{h i l k}+d_{k} L_{h i j l} \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 2. An example of weakly symmetric spaces with semi-symmetric metric connection

In this section, we construct an example of weakly symmetric spaces with semi-symmetric metric connection.

We define the metric $g$ in $\left((W S)_{n}, D\right)$ by the formula, [2], [3]

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=\varphi\left(d x^{1}\right)^{2}+k_{\alpha \beta} d x^{\alpha} d x^{\beta}+2 d x^{1} d x^{n}, \quad(n \geq 4) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left[k_{\alpha \beta}\right]$ is a symmetric non-singular matrix consisting of constants. The function $\varphi$, which is independent of $x^{n}$, will be determined with some assumptions such that the condition (1.11) is satisfied. Here and throughout this section each Latin index runs over $1,2, \ldots, n$ and each Greek index runs over $2,3, \ldots, n-1$.

Also we define the vector components $w_{h}$, which are contained in the formula of coefficients of the connection $D$, as

$$
w_{h}= \begin{cases}\psi\left(x^{1}\right), & \text { for } h=1  \tag{2.2}\\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

where $\psi$ is a continuous function of $x^{1}$ defined on the interval $I=[a, b]$, [5]. The function $\psi$ will be determined precisely such that the condition (1.11) is satisfied for certain values of the associated 1-forms $a, b, c, d$ for the $\left((W S)_{n}, D\right)$ space, which has the metric (2.1). Since the above process is equivalent to determining the metric (2.1) and the coefficients of the connection completely, the construction of our example will be completed.

Due to the metric (2.1), the only non-vanishing components of the Christoffel symbols and the Riemannian curvature tensors $R_{h i j k}$ are the followings, [4]:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\beta \\
11
\end{array}\right\}=-\frac{1}{2} k^{\beta \alpha} \varphi_{. \alpha}, \quad\left\{\begin{array}{c}
n \\
11
\end{array}\right\}=\frac{1}{2} \varphi_{\cdot 1}, \quad\left\{\begin{array}{c}
n \\
1 \alpha
\end{array}\right\}=\frac{1}{2} \varphi_{\cdot \alpha}  \tag{2.3}\\
\text { and } \quad R_{1 \alpha \beta 1}=\frac{1}{2} \varphi_{. \alpha \beta}
\end{gather*}
$$

respectively, where (.) denotes the partial differentiation with respect to coordinates, and $\left[k^{\alpha \beta}\right]$ is the inverse matrix of $\left[k_{\alpha \beta}\right]$.

With the assumption (2.2) we obtain the non-zero components of $\Gamma_{i j}^{h}$ and $P_{i j}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Gamma_{11}^{\beta}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\beta \\
11
\end{array}\right\}+w_{1} \delta_{1}^{\beta}-w_{1} \delta_{1}^{\beta}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\beta \\
11
\end{array}\right\} \\
& \Gamma_{1 \alpha}^{\beta}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\beta \\
1 \alpha
\end{array}\right\}+w_{1} \delta_{\alpha}^{\beta}-w_{\alpha} \delta_{1}^{\beta}= \begin{cases}\psi\left(x^{1}\right), & \text { for } \alpha=\beta \\
0, & \alpha \neq \beta\end{cases} \\
& \Gamma_{\alpha 1}^{\beta}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\beta \\
\alpha 1
\end{array}\right\}+w_{\alpha} \delta_{1}^{\beta}-w_{1} \delta_{\alpha}^{\beta}= \begin{cases}-\psi\left(x^{1}\right), & \text { for } \alpha=\beta \\
0, & \alpha \neq \beta\end{cases} \\
& \Gamma_{11}^{n}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
n \\
11
\end{array}\right\}+w_{1} \delta_{1}^{n}-w_{1} \delta_{1}^{n}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
n \\
11
\end{array}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$
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$$
\begin{gather*}
\Gamma_{1 \alpha}^{n}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
n \\
1 \alpha
\end{array}\right\}+w_{1} \delta_{\alpha}^{n}-w_{\alpha} \delta_{1}^{n}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
n \\
1 \alpha
\end{array}\right\} \\
\Gamma_{1 n}^{n}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
n \\
1 n
\end{array}\right\}+w_{1} \delta_{n}^{n}-w_{n} \delta_{1}^{n}=\psi\left(x^{1}\right) \\
\Gamma_{\alpha 1}^{n}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
n \\
\alpha 1
\end{array}\right\}+w_{\alpha} \delta_{1}^{n}-w_{1} \delta_{\alpha}^{n}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
n \\
\alpha 1
\end{array}\right\} \\
\Gamma_{n 1}^{n}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
n \\
n 1
\end{array}\right\}+w_{n} \delta_{1}^{n}-w_{1} \delta_{n}^{n}=-\psi\left(x^{1}\right)  \tag{2.4}\\
P_{11}=\nabla_{1} w_{1}-w_{1}^{2}=\frac{\partial w_{1}}{\partial x^{1}}-w_{a}\left\{\begin{array}{c}
a \\
11
\end{array}\right\}-w_{1}^{2}=\psi^{\prime}\left(x^{1}\right)-\psi^{2}\left(x^{1}\right) . \tag{2.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

Also one can easily show that the only non-zero component of $D_{l} P_{11}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{1} P_{11}=\psi^{\prime \prime}\left(x^{1}\right)-2 \psi \psi^{\prime}\left(x^{1}\right) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the metric (2.1), if we consider $k_{\alpha \beta}$ as $\delta_{\alpha \beta}$ and $\varphi=k_{\alpha \beta} x^{\alpha} x^{\beta} e^{x^{1}} e^{-\int_{a}^{x^{1}} 2 \psi(t) d t}, x^{1} \in I=[a, b]$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi=\sum_{\alpha=2}^{n-1} x^{\alpha} x^{\alpha} e^{x^{1}} e^{-\int_{a}^{x^{1}} 2 \psi(t) d t}, \quad x^{1} \in I \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{. \alpha \alpha}=2 e^{x^{1}} e^{-\int_{a}^{x^{1}} 2 \psi(t) d t} \quad \text { and } \quad \varphi_{. \alpha \beta}=0 \ldots \quad \text { for } \alpha \neq \beta \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (2.3) and (2.8) that the only non-zero components of $R_{h i j k}$ are

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{1 \alpha \alpha 1}=e^{x^{1}} e^{-\int_{a}^{x^{1}} 2 \psi(t) d t} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, by means of (2.4) and (2.9) it can be easily shown that the only non-zero components of $D_{l} R_{h i j k}$ are

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{1} R_{1 \alpha \alpha 1}=e^{x^{1}} e^{-\int_{a}^{x^{1}} 2 \psi(t) d t} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are equal to $R_{1 \alpha \alpha 1}$.

We get the non-zero components of the curvature tensor $L_{h i j k}$ and their covariant derivatives with respect to the connection $D$ as follows, [5]:

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{111 n}=R_{111 n}-g_{11}\left(P_{1 n}-P_{n 1}\right)+g_{n 1} P_{11}-g_{11} P_{n 1}=P_{11} \\
& L_{11 n 1}=-L_{111 n}=-P_{11} \\
& L_{1 \alpha 1 \alpha}=R_{1 \alpha 1 \alpha}-g_{\alpha 1}\left(P_{1 \alpha}-P_{\alpha 1}\right)+g_{\alpha 1} P_{1 \alpha}-g_{11} P_{\alpha \alpha}=R_{1 \alpha 1 \alpha} \\
& L_{1 \alpha \alpha 1}=-L_{1 \alpha 1 \alpha}=-R_{1 \alpha 1 \alpha}=R_{1 \alpha \alpha 1} \\
& L_{\alpha 11 \alpha}=R_{\alpha 11 \alpha}-g_{1 \alpha}\left(P_{1 \alpha}-P_{\alpha 1}\right)+g_{\alpha \alpha} P_{11}-g_{1 \alpha} P_{\alpha 1}=R_{\alpha 11 \alpha}+P_{11} \\
& L_{\alpha 1 \alpha 1}=-L_{\alpha 11 \alpha}=-R_{\alpha 11 \alpha}-g_{\alpha \alpha} P_{11}=R_{\alpha 1 \alpha 1}-P_{11}, \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{k} L_{111 n}=D_{k} P_{11}= \begin{cases}D_{1} P_{11}, & k=1 \\
0, & k \neq 1\end{cases} \\
& D_{k} L_{11 n 1}=-D_{k} P_{11}= \begin{cases}-D_{1} P_{11}, & k=1 \\
0, & k \neq 1\end{cases} \\
& D_{k} L_{1 \alpha 1 \alpha}=D_{k} R_{1 \alpha 1 \alpha}= \begin{cases}D_{1} R_{1 \alpha 1 \alpha}, & k=1 \\
0, & k \neq 1\end{cases} \\
& D_{k} L_{1 \alpha \alpha 1}=D_{k} R_{1 \alpha \alpha 1}= \begin{cases}D_{1} R_{1 \alpha \alpha 1}, & k=1 \\
0, & k \neq 1\end{cases} \\
& D_{k} L_{\alpha 11 \alpha}=D_{k} R_{\alpha 11 \alpha}+D_{k} P_{11}= \begin{cases}D_{1} R_{\alpha 11 \alpha}+D_{1} P_{11}, & k=1 \\
0, & k \neq 1\end{cases} \\
& D_{k} L_{\alpha 1 \alpha 1}=D_{k} R_{\alpha 1 \alpha 1}-D_{k} P_{11}= \begin{cases}D_{1} R_{\alpha 1 \alpha 1}-D_{1} P_{11}, & k=1 \\
0, & k \neq 1 .\end{cases} \tag{2.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $D_{l} R_{1 \alpha \alpha 1}=0$ and $D_{l} P_{11}=0$ for $l \neq 1$, we observe that $D_{l} L_{h i j k}=0$ for $l \neq 1$.

Let $\quad a_{i}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}\frac{1}{3}, & i=1 \\ 0, & i \neq 1\end{array}, \quad b_{i}=c_{i}=0, \quad \forall i, \quad d_{i}= \begin{cases}\frac{2}{3}, & i=1 \\ 0, & i \neq 1 .\end{cases}\right.$
In order to verify the condition (1.8), it is sufficient to check the relations:
(A) $\quad D_{n} L_{1111}=a_{n} L_{1111}+b_{1} L_{n 111}+c_{1} L_{1 n 11}+d_{1} L_{11 n 1}+d_{1} L_{111 n}$
(B) $D_{\alpha} L_{111 \alpha}=a_{\alpha} L_{111 \alpha}+b_{1} L_{\alpha 11 \alpha}+c_{1} L_{1 \alpha 1 \alpha}+d_{1} L_{11 \alpha \alpha}+d_{\alpha} L_{111 \alpha}$
(C) $\quad D_{1} L_{111 n}=a_{1} L_{111 n}+b_{1} L_{111 n}+c_{1} L_{111 n}+d_{1} L_{111 n}+d_{n} L_{1111}$
(D) $\quad D_{\alpha} L_{11 \alpha 1}=a_{\alpha} L_{11 \alpha 1}+b_{1} L_{\alpha 1 \alpha 1}+c_{1} L_{1 \alpha \alpha 1}+d_{\alpha} L_{11 \alpha 1}+d_{1} L_{11 \alpha \alpha}$
(E) $\quad D_{1} L_{11 n 1}=a_{1} L_{11 n 1}+b_{1} L_{11 n 1}+c_{1} L_{11 n 1}+d_{n} L_{1111}+d_{1} L_{11 n 1}$
(F) $\quad D_{1} L_{11 n n}=a_{1} L_{11 n n}+b_{1} L_{11 n n}+c_{1} L_{11 n n}+d_{n} L_{111 n}+d_{n} L_{11 n 1}$
(G) $D_{\alpha} L_{1 \alpha 11}=a_{\alpha} L_{1 \alpha 11}+b_{1} L_{\alpha \alpha 11}+c_{\alpha} L_{1 \alpha 11}+d_{1} L_{1 \alpha \alpha 1}+d_{1} L_{1 \alpha 1 \alpha}$
(H) $\quad D_{1} L_{1 \alpha 1 \alpha}=a_{1} L_{1 \alpha 1 \alpha}+b_{1} L_{1 \alpha 1 \alpha}+c_{\alpha} L_{111 \alpha}+d_{1} L_{1 \alpha 1 \alpha}+d_{\alpha} L_{1 \alpha 11}$
(I) $\quad D_{1} L_{1 \alpha \alpha 1}=a_{1} L_{1 \alpha \alpha 1}+b_{1} L_{1 \alpha \alpha 1}+c_{\alpha} L_{11 \alpha 1}+d_{\alpha} L_{1 \alpha 11}+d_{1} L_{1 \alpha \alpha 1}$
(J) $\quad D_{\alpha} L_{\alpha 111}=a_{\alpha} L_{\alpha 111}+b_{\alpha} L_{\alpha 111}+c_{1} L_{\alpha \alpha 11}+d_{1} L_{\alpha 1 \alpha 1}+d_{1} L_{\alpha 11 \alpha}$
(K) $D_{1} L_{\alpha 11 \alpha}=a_{1} L_{\alpha 11 \alpha}+b_{\alpha} L_{111 \alpha}+c_{1} L_{\alpha 11 \alpha}+d_{1} L_{\alpha 11 \alpha}+d_{\alpha} L_{\alpha 111}$
(L) $\quad D_{1} L_{\alpha 1 \alpha 1}=a_{1} L_{\alpha 1 \alpha 1}+b_{\alpha} L_{11 \alpha 1}+c_{1} L_{\alpha 1 \alpha 1}+d_{\alpha} L_{\alpha 111}+d_{1} L_{\alpha 1 \alpha 1}$.

With these choices of $a_{i}, b_{i}, c_{i}$ and $d_{i}$ as in any other case, the components of $L_{h i j k}$ and $D_{l} L_{h i j k}$ vanish identically, and the relation (1.11) holds trivially. From the equations (C) and (E) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{1} P_{11}=\left(a_{1}+b_{1}+c_{1}+d_{1}\right) P_{11} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

from (H) and (I) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{1} R_{1 \alpha 1 \alpha}=\left(a_{1}+b_{1}+d_{1}\right) R_{1 \alpha 1 \alpha} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and from (K) and (L) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{1}\left(R_{\alpha 11 \alpha}+P_{11}\right)=\left(a_{1}+c_{1}+d_{1}\right)\left(R_{1 \alpha 1 \alpha}+P_{11}\right) \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a result of (1.6) and (2.13) other relations hold trivially. With the help of (2.9), (2.10) and (2.13), we conclude that (2.14), (2.15) and (2.16) are equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{1} P_{11}=P_{11} . \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (2.5) and (2.6) in (2.17), we obtain the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{\prime \prime}-2 \psi \psi^{\prime}=\psi^{\prime}-\psi^{2} \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left({ }^{\prime}\right)$ denotes the derivation with respect to $x^{1}$. By integration with respect to $x^{1},(2.18)$ reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{\prime}-\psi^{2}=c e^{x^{1}} \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c$ is an arbitrary constant. If we take $c=1$ and use the transformation $\psi=-\frac{U^{\prime}}{U}$, (2.19) turns into the linear differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
U^{\prime \prime}+e^{x^{1}} U=0 \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

By a further transformation $e^{x^{1}}=t^{2}(2.20)$ can be converted into the form

$$
t^{2} \ddot{U}+t \dot{U}+4 t^{2} U=0
$$

which is a Bessel differential equation, where $\dot{U}=\frac{\partial U}{\partial t}$ and $\ddot{U}=\frac{\partial^{2} U}{\partial t^{2}}$. Solving this equation, we obtain the general solution of $U$ in terms of Bessel functions as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=A J_{o}(2 t)+B Y_{o}(2 t) \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ and $B$ are arbitrary constants.
Substituting (2.21) in $\psi=-\frac{U^{\prime}}{U}$ and remembering that $t=e^{x^{1} / 2}$ and $J_{m}^{\prime}(z)=\frac{z^{\prime}}{2}\left[J_{m-1}(z)+J_{m+1}(z)\right], Y_{m}^{\prime}(z)=\frac{z^{\prime}}{2}\left[J_{m-1}(z)+Y_{m+1}(z)\right]$, we have

$$
\psi\left(x^{1}\right)=\frac{e^{\frac{x^{1}}{2}}\left[J_{1}\left(2 e^{\frac{x^{1}}{2}}\right)+c_{1} Y_{1}\left(2 e^{\frac{x^{1}}{2}}\right)\right]}{J_{o}\left(2 e^{\frac{x^{1}}{2}}\right)+c_{1} Y_{o}\left(2 e^{\frac{x^{1}}{2}}\right)}, \quad\left(x^{1} \in I\right)
$$

where $c_{1}=\frac{B}{A}, J_{o}$ and $J_{1}$ are Bessel $J$ functions and $Y_{o}$ and $Y_{1}$ are Bessel $Y$-functions.

By determining $\psi\left(x^{1}\right)$ precisely we have completely determined the $\varphi$ and $w$, therefore the coefficients of the connection and the metric (2.1), and relatively the whole space, such that the condition of being a $\left((W S)_{n}, D\right)$ space is satisfied. Hence the construction of our example of a weakly symmetric spaces with semi-symmetric metric connection is completed.

Although we achieved our aim, as a special case we will investigate the particular solution $\psi_{0}\left(x^{1}\right)$ satisfying the initial condition $\psi(0)=0$, in order to give an example for the choice of the continuity interval of the function $\psi\left(x^{1}\right)$.

We can easily see that the particular solution which satisfies the initial condition $\psi(0)=0$ is obtained with $c_{1}=-\frac{J_{1}(2)}{Y_{1}(2)}$. This particular solution $\psi_{0}\left(x^{1}\right)$ has the following graph:


We see the apparent vertical asymptotes near $x^{1}=-2$ and $x^{1}=1$. These vertical asymptotes, which are $x^{1} \approx-2.0253$ and $x^{1} \approx 1.27081$, correspond to the zeros of denominator of $\psi_{0}\left(x^{1}\right)$. Then, for this particular solution the interval $I$, which is the interval of continuity of the function $\psi\left(x^{1}\right)$, can be chosen to be any closed interval such that $\psi_{0}\left(x^{1}\right)$ is continuous on it, for example $[-2,1]$ or $[a, b]$, such that $a<b<d$ where $d=x^{1}$ is the smallest asymptote of $\psi\left(x^{1}\right)$.

Finally, we have determined the function $\psi\left(x^{1}\right)$, and by the way the coefficients of the connection, such that the defining equation of weakly symmetric spaces is satisfied for the space $\left((W S)_{n}, D\right)$, which has the metric (2.1).
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