

On the torsion-free connections on higher order frame bundles

By IVAN KOLÁŘ (Brno)

Abstract. Using the r -jets of flows of vector fields, we show that every torsion-free linear r -th order connection Γ on the tangent bundle of a manifold M determines a reduction of the $(r + 1)$ -st order frame bundle of M to the general linear group. We deduce that this reduction coincides with the well known reduction determined by the principal connection induced by Γ on the r -th order frame bundle of M .

Introduction

Our starting point is the fact that the principal connections on the r -th order frame bundle $P^r M$ of a manifold M are in bijection with the linear r -th order connections on the tangent bundle TM , i.e. with the linear splittings

$$\Gamma : TM \rightarrow J^r TM \tag{1}$$

of the jet projection $J^r TM \rightarrow TM$. We shall write

$$\tilde{\Gamma} : P^r M \rightarrow J^1 P^r M \tag{2}$$

Mathematics Subject Classification: 53C05, 58A20.

Key words and phrases: linear r -th order connection on the tangent bundle, principal connection on the r -th order frame bundle, torsion.

The author was supported by the Ministry of Education of the Czech Republic under the project MSM 143100009.

for the principal connection corresponding to (1). Its lifting map, denoted by the same symbol,

$$\tilde{\Gamma} : P^r M \times_M TM \rightarrow TP^r M$$

is determined by

$$\tilde{\Gamma}(u, A) = \mathcal{P}^r X(u), \quad u \in P^r M, A \in T_x M, x \in M, \quad (3)$$

where $\mathcal{P}^r X$ is the flow prolongation of a vector field $X : M \rightarrow TM$ satisfying $j_x^r X = \Gamma(A)$, [3].

The structure group of $P^r M$ is G_m^r , $m = \dim M$. There is a canonical $\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathfrak{g}_m^{r-1}$ -valued one-form Θ_r on $P^r M$. P. C. YUEN, [5], introduced the torsion of $\tilde{\Gamma}$ as the exterior covariant differential

$$D_{\tilde{\Gamma}} \Theta_r, \quad (4)$$

see also [1]. On the other hand, the $(r-1)$ -jet at x of the bracket $[X, Y]$ of two vector fields X, Y on M depends on $j_x^r X$ and $j_x^r Y$ only. This defines a map

$$[\ ,]_{r-1} : J^r TM \times_M J^r TM \rightarrow J^{r-1} TM. \quad (5)$$

The torsion of Γ can be introduced, [6], as a map

$$\tau_\Gamma : TM \times_M TM \rightarrow J^{r-1} TM$$

defined by

$$\tau_\Gamma(A, B) = [\Gamma(A), \Gamma(B)]_{r-1}, \quad A, B \in T_x M. \quad (6)$$

In [3] we deduced that the torsions $D_{\tilde{\Gamma}} \Theta_r$ and τ_Γ coincide in a natural way.

There is a canonical injection $i_{r+1} : P^{r+1} M \rightarrow J^1 P^r M$, see formula (13) below. If $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is torsion-free, there is a well-known map $\varrho(\tilde{\Gamma}) : P^1 M \rightarrow P^{r+1} M$ defined by the induction

$$i_{r+1} \circ \varrho(\tilde{\Gamma}) = \tilde{\Gamma} \circ \varrho(\tilde{\Gamma}_{r-1}), \quad (7)$$

where $\tilde{\Gamma}_{r-1}$ is the underlying connection on $P^{r-1} M$, so that $\varrho(\tilde{\Gamma}_{r-1}) : P^1 M \rightarrow P^r M$ by the induction hypothesis. If we consider the canonical injection $GL(m, \mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow G_m^{r+1}$, [4], p. 130, then $\varrho(\tilde{\Gamma})(P^1 M)$ is a reduction of

$P^{r+1}M$ to $GL(m, \mathbb{R})$. This establishes the well-known bijection between the torsion-free connections on P^rM and the reductions of $P^{r+1}M$ to $GL(m, \mathbb{R})$, [2], [3].

In Section 1 of the present paper we use the r -jets of flows of vector fields to construct a map $\sigma(\Gamma) : P^1M \rightarrow P^{r+1}M$ for every torsion-free linear r -th order connection Γ on TM . Our proof of the fact that $\sigma(\Gamma)(P^1M)$ is a reduction of $P^{r+1}M$ to $GL(m, \mathbb{R})$ is based on two interesting lemmas concerning the r -jets of the bracket of vector fields, the proofs of which we postpone to Section 3. In Section 2 we deduce $\sigma(\Gamma) = \varrho(\tilde{\Gamma})$, i.e. both constructions of a reduction of $P^{r+1}M$ to $GL(m, \mathbb{R})$ coincide.

All manifolds and maps are assumed to be infinitely differentiable. Unless otherwise specified, we use the terminology and notation from the book [4].

1. The reduction $\sigma(\Gamma)$

Consider $\Gamma : TM \rightarrow J^rTM$. For a linear frame $u \in P_x^1M$, $u = (A_1, \dots, A_m)$, $A_i \in T_xM$, we take vector fields X_i satisfying $j_x^r X_i = \Gamma(A_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, m$. Then

$$(Fl_{t^1}^{X_1} \circ \dots \circ Fl_{t^m}^{X_m})(x)$$

is a local map $\mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow M$ and we define

$$\sigma(\Gamma)(u) = j_0^{r+1}(Fl_{t^1}^{X_1} \circ \dots \circ Fl_{t^m}^{X_m})(x) \in P_x^{r+1}M, \tag{8}$$

where $0 \in \mathbb{R}^m$. One verifies easily that $\sigma(\Gamma)(u)$ depends on u and Γ only.

Proposition 1. *If Γ is torsion-free, then $\sigma(\Gamma)(P^1M)$ is a reduction of $P^{r+1}M$ to $GL(m, \mathbb{R})$.*

The proof will be based on the following two lemmas, the proofs of which we postpone to the last section.

Consider two vector fields X and Y on M . Then $(Fl_t^X \circ Fl_\tau^Y)(x)$ is a local map $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow M$, so that $j_{0,0}^{r+1}(Fl_t^X \circ Fl_\tau^Y)(x) \in (T_2^{r+1}M)_x$ is a $(2, r + 1)$ -velocity on M .

Lemma 1. *If $j_x^{r-1}[X, Y] = 0$, then*

$$j_{0,0}^{r+1}(Fl_t^X \circ Fl_\tau^Y)(x) = j_{0,0}^{r+1}(Fl_\tau^Y \circ Fl_t^X)(x). \tag{9}$$

Further, $(Fl_t^X \circ Fl_t^Y)(x)$ is a local map $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$, so that $j_0^{r+1}(Fl_t^X \circ Fl_t^Y)(x) \in (T_1^{r+1}M)_x$ is a $(1, r + 1)$ -velocity on M .

Lemma 2. *If $j_x^{r-1}[X, Y] = 0$, then*

$$j_0^{r+1}(Fl_t^X \circ Fl_t^Y)(x) = j_0^{r+1}(Fl_t^{X+Y})(x). \tag{10}$$

Now we prove Proposition 1 by using Lemmas 1 and 2. We shall also use the well known formula

$$Fl_{at}^X = Fl_t^{aX}, \quad a \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{11}$$

Take $g = (a_j^i) \in GL(m, \mathbb{R})$, $u = (A_i) \in P_x^1M$ and consider $ug = (a_i^j A_j)$. Write $\Gamma(A_i) = j_x^r X_i$. Since Γ is torsion-free, by (10), (11) and (9) we obtain gradually

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(\Gamma)(ug) &= j_0^{r+1}(Fl_{t^1}^{a_1^1 X_1 + \dots + a_1^m X_m} \circ \dots \circ Fl_{t^m}^{a_m^1 X_1 + \dots + a_m^m X_m}) \\ &= j_0^{r+1}(Fl_{t^1}^{a_1^1 X_1} \circ \dots \circ Fl_{t^1}^{a_1^m X_m} \circ \dots \circ Fl_{t^m}^{a_m^1 X_1} \circ \dots \circ Fl_{t^m}^{a_m^m X_m}) \\ &= j_0^{r+1}(Fl_{a_1^1 t^1}^{X_1} \circ \dots \circ Fl_{a_1^m t^1}^{X_m} \circ \dots \circ Fl_{a_m^1 t^m}^{X_1} \circ \dots \circ Fl_{a_m^m t^m}^{X_m}) \\ &= j_0^{r+1}(Fl_{a_1^1 t^1 + \dots + a_1^m t^m}^{X_1} \circ \dots \circ Fl_{a_m^1 t^1 + \dots + a_m^m t^m}^{X_m}). \end{aligned}$$

This proves Proposition 1.

2. The main result

We shall use the following form of the canonical injection $i_{r+1} : P^{r+1}M \rightarrow J^1 P^r M$. We have $P^r M \subset T_m^r M$, where T_m^r is the functor of (m, r) -velocities. Clearly, $j_0^r f \in T_m^r M$, $f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow M$, can be expressed in the form

$$j_0^r f = (T_m^r f)(e), \quad e = j_0^r \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^m}. \tag{12}$$

Write $E_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{t}^i} \Big|_0 j_0^r \tau_t^i \in T_e T_m^r \mathbb{R}^m$, where $\tau_t^i : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is the translation $\bar{t}^1 = t^1, \dots, \bar{t}^i = t^i + t, \dots, \bar{t}^m = t^m$. If we consider $j_0^{r+1} \psi \in P^{r+1}M$, then

$$(TT_m^r \psi)(E_i) \tag{13}$$

is an m -tuple of tangent vectors at $j_0^r \psi \in P^r M$. The linear span of these vectors defines $i_{r+1}(j_0^{r+1} \psi) \in J^1 P^r M$.

Proposition 2. *If Γ is a torsion-free linear r -th order connection on TM and $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is the corresponding principal connection on P^rM , then $\sigma(\Gamma) = \varrho(\tilde{\Gamma})$.*

PROOF. We proceed by induction. If Γ_{r-1} and $\tilde{\Gamma}_{r-1}$ are the underlying connections in the order $r - 1$, then

$$\sigma(\Gamma_{r-1}) = \varrho(\tilde{\Gamma}_{r-1}) \tag{14}$$

by the induction hypothesis. Consider $u = (A_1, \dots, A_m) \in P_x^1M$ and write

$$v = \sigma(\Gamma_{r-1})(u) = \varrho(\tilde{\Gamma}_{r-1})(u).$$

By (13), $i_{r+1}(j_0^{r+1}(Fl_{t^1}^{X_1} \circ \dots \circ Fl_{t^m}^{X_m})(x))$ is the linear span of the vectors

$$TT_m^r(Fl_{t^1}^{X_1} \circ \dots \circ Fl_{t^m}^{X_m})(E_i). \tag{15}$$

Using the basic properties of flows, Lemma 1 and (12), we deduce that (15) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Big|_0 TT_m^r(Fl_{t^1}^{X_1} \circ \dots \circ Fl_{t+t^i}^{X_i} \circ \dots \circ Fl_{t^m}^{X_m})(e) \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Big|_0 (Fl_t^{\mathcal{T}_m^r X_i} \circ Fl_{t^1}^{\mathcal{T}_m^r X_1} \circ \dots \circ Fl_{t^m}^{\mathcal{T}_m^r X_m})(e) \\ &= \mathcal{T}_m^r X_i(T_m^r(Fl_{t^1}^{X_1} \circ \dots \circ Fl_{t^m}^{X_m})(e)) = \mathcal{T}_m^r X_i(v), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{T}_m^r X_i$ denotes the flow prolongation of X_i . By (3) and by the induction hypothesis, this m -tuple spans $\varrho(\tilde{\Gamma})(v)$. □

3. The proofs of Lemmas 1 and 2

In general, if we have two maps $f, g : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, it suffices to verify the condition $j_0^r f = j_0^r g$ on all curves of the form $x^i = a^i t$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, [4]. By the flow property (11), Lemma 1 follows from the fact that $j_x^{r-1}[X, Y] = 0$ implies

$$j_0^{r+1}(Fl_t^X \circ Fl_t^Y)(x) = j_0^{r+1}(Fl_t^Y \circ Fl_t^X)(x) \in T_1^{r+1}M. \tag{16}$$

But this is a direct consequence of Lemma 2. So it suffices to prove Lemma 2. We have the following 3 cases.

I. If $X(x) = Y(x) = 0$, then the $(r + 1)$ -jets of the flows of X and Y are in the group of all invertible $(r + 1)$ -jets of M into M with source x and target x and we have a well known result concerning Lie groups.

II. If $X(x) \neq 0$, we can consider such local coordinates on M that $X = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1}$. Then $j_x^{r-1}[\frac{\partial}{\partial x^1}, Y] = 0$ means

$$D_\alpha \frac{\partial Y^i(x)}{\partial x^1} = 0, \quad 0 \leq \|\alpha\| \leq r - 1, \quad (17)$$

where Y^i are the coordinate components of Y and D_α denotes the partial derivative with respect to a multiindex α of the range m .

The flow $\psi^i(t, x)$ of the vector field $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} + Y$ satisfies

$$\frac{\partial \psi^i(t, x)}{\partial t} = \delta_1^i + Y^i(\psi(t, x)). \quad (18)$$

If $\eta^i(t, x)$ denotes the flow of Y , then the coordinate expression of $Fl_t^X \circ Fl_t^Y$ is

$$\mu^i(t, x) = \delta_1^i t + \eta^i(t, x). \quad (19)$$

Hence

$$\frac{\partial \mu^i(t, x)}{\partial t} = \delta_1^i + \frac{\partial \eta^i(t, x)}{\partial t} = \delta_1^i + Y^i(\eta(t, x)). \quad (20)$$

From (19) we obtain

$$\frac{\partial^k \mu^i(t, x)}{\partial t^k} = \frac{\partial^k \eta^i(t, x)}{\partial t^k}, \quad k \geq 2. \quad (21)$$

For $t = 0$, (18) and (20) yield directly $\frac{\partial \psi^i(0, x)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial \mu^i(0, x)}{\partial t}$. Then we find by direct evaluation

$$\frac{\partial^2 \psi^i(t, x)}{\partial t^2} = \frac{\partial Y^i(\psi(t, x))}{\partial x^j} \frac{\partial \psi^j(t, x)}{\partial t}, \quad (22)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \mu^i(t, x)}{\partial t^2} = \frac{\partial Y^i(\eta(t, x))}{\partial x^j} \frac{\partial \eta^j(t, x)}{\partial t}. \quad (23)$$

Hence (17) implies

$$\frac{\partial^2 \psi^i(0, x)}{\partial t^2} = \frac{\partial^2 \mu^i(0, x)}{\partial t^2}. \quad (24)$$

By iteration we deduce (10) for every r .

III. The case $Y(x) \neq 0$ can be reduced to II by using $Fl_t^X \circ Fl_t^Y = (Fl_{-t}^Y \circ Fl_{-t}^X)^{-1}$.

This proves Lemmas 1 and 2.

References

- [1] M. ELŻANOWSKI and S. PRISHEPIONOK, Connections on higher order frame bundles, New Developments in Differential Geometry, Proceedings, *Kluwer*, 1996, 131–142.
- [2] I. KOLÁŘ, Torsion free connections on higher order frame bundles, New Developments in Differential Geometry, Proceedings, *Kluwer*, 1996, 233–241.
- [3] I. KOLÁŘ, On the torsion of linear higher order connections, *Central European Journal of Mathematics* **3** (2003), 360–366.
- [4] I. KOLÁŘ, P. W. MICHOR and J. SLOVÁK, Natural Operations in Differential Geometry, *Springer-Verlag*, 1993.
- [5] P. C. YUEN, Higher order frames and linear connections, *Cahiers Topol. Geom. Diff.* **12** (1971), 333–371.
- [6] A. ZAJTZ, Foundations of Differential Geometry of Natural Bundles, *Lecture Notes Univ. Caracas*, 1984.

IVAN KOLÁŘ
DEPARTMENT OF ALGEBRA AND GEOMETRY
FACULTY OF SCIENCE
MASARYK UNIVERSITY
JANÁČKOVO NÁM. 2A
662 95 BRNO
CZECH REPUBLIC
E-mail: kolar@math.muni.cz

(Received February 23, 2004)