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#### Abstract

We deal with lattice circle arrangements which form a $k$-fold covering (with closed circles) and a ( $k+1$ )-fold packing (of open circles) for some suitable values of $k$. The centres of these special circle arrangements give the points of regular plane patterns yielding the least limiting variance in case of a special plane stochastic process.


## 1. Introduction

A system of congruent open circles forms a $k$-fold packing if each point of the plane belongs to at most $k$ circles of the system. Analogously, a system of congruent closed circles is a $k$-fold covering if each point of the plane belongs to at least $k$ circles of the system. The notion of multiple packing and covering was introduced by L. Fejes Tóth. A circle arrangement is called a lattice arrangement if the centers of the circles form a plane lattice.

The density of a multiple circle packing and covering can be defined analogously to the simple packing and covering. The densest simple lattice packing, the thinnest simple lattice covering, the densest 2 -fold lattice packing and one of the densest 4 -fold lattice packings (see Figure 1) have
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an interesting property. The circles in these circle arrangements form a $k$-fold covering (with closed circles) and a ( $k+1$ )-fold packing (of open circles) for some suitable values of $k$.


Figure 1

The centres of these special circle arrangements give the points of regular plane patterns yielding the least limiting variance in case of a special plane stochastic process [4].

In this paper we give the possible lattice circle arrangements with the above property for $0 \leq k \leq 8$ and $k \geq 2 \cdot 10^{5}-1$. We also give some non-lattice circle arrangements of the above type.

## 2. Notations, definitions

The origin of the plane lattice $\Gamma$ will be denoted by $O$ (Figure 2.a). Denote by $X$ the vector $\overrightarrow{O X}$ and its endpoint. The basis $\overrightarrow{O A}$ and $\overrightarrow{O B}$ of
$\Gamma$ is reduced by Minkowski, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
|A| \leq|B| \leq|B-A|, \quad 0 \leq \angle(A O B) \leq \frac{\pi}{2} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

With the notations $a=|A| b=|B| x=\frac{a}{b}$ and $\alpha=\angle(A O B)$ (1) goes over into

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<x \leq 1, \quad 0 \leq \cos \alpha \leq \frac{x}{2} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We consider a rectangular cartesian coordinate system $x, y=\cos \alpha$. A point of the triangle $O P Q$ with $O(0,0), P(1,0), Q\left(1, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ (Figure 2.b) can be ordered to the lattice $\Gamma$ because of the inequalities (2), and a lattice belongs to a point $(x, y) \neq(0,0)$ of the triangle $O P Q$ (up to a similarity).


Figure 2
Let $T(\Gamma)$ be the area of the fundamental parallelogram and $h$ its height perpendicular to $O A$.

Let $x, y, z$ be the sides of a triangle and $T$ its area. Then the radius $r$ of the circumcircle is

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=\frac{x y z}{4 T} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In what follows we denote by $L(\Gamma, R)$ the circle arrangement, where $\Gamma$ is the lattice of the centers of the circles, and $R$ is the radius of the circles. The lattice circle arrangement $L(\Gamma, R)$ is of type $L_{k}^{k+1}(\Gamma, R)$ if the circles form a $k$-fold covering (with closed circles) and a ( $k+1$ )-fold packing (of open circles).

We denote by $\delta^{p}\left(B_{2}\right)$ and $\delta_{\Gamma}^{p}\left(B_{2}\right)$ the densities of the densest $p$-fold packings of open congruent circles in the general case and in the lattice case. We use the notations $\vartheta^{q}\left(B_{2}\right)$ and $\vartheta_{\Gamma}^{q}\left(B_{2}\right)$ for the densities of the
thinnest $q$-fold coverings with closed congruent circles in the general case and in the lattice case.

Let $k[X Y Z]$ be the circumcircle of the triangle $X Y Z$ and $r[X Y Z]$ its radius. We denote by $k[X Y]$ the circle with the diameter $X Y$. The radius of $k[X Y]$ is $r[X Y]$.

## 3. Results

3.1. The lattice $\Gamma_{1}$ is reduced by Minkowski and

$$
2 \leq|A|
$$

The circle arrangements of unit circles $L\left(\Gamma_{1}, 1\right)$ are of type $L_{0}^{1}\left(\Gamma_{1}, 1\right)$ (Figure 3.a).
3.2. The lattice $\Gamma_{2}$ is reduced by Minkowski and

$$
1 \leq|A| \leq \sqrt{3} \quad \text { and } \quad r[O A B]=1
$$

The circle arrangements of unit circles $L\left(\Gamma_{2}, 1\right)$ are of type $L_{1}^{2}\left(\Gamma_{2}, 1\right)$ (Figure 3.b).
3.3. The lattice $\Gamma_{3}$ is reduced by Minkowski and

$$
|A|=|B|=|B-A|=1
$$

The circle arrangement of unit circles $L\left(\Gamma_{3}, 1\right)$ is of type $L_{3}^{4}\left(\Gamma_{3}, 1\right)$ (Figure 3.c).

Theorem 1. If the lattice arrangement of unit circles $L(\Gamma, 1)$ is of type $L_{k}^{k+1}(\Gamma, 1)$ and $k \leq 8$, then $\Gamma \equiv \Gamma_{1}$ or $\Gamma \equiv \Gamma_{2}$ or $\Gamma \equiv \Gamma_{3}$.

Proof. The densenst $k$-fold lattice packings of congruent open circles are known for $k \leq 10[5],[7],[2],[3],[18],[16],[17]$ and the thinnest $k$-fold lattice coverings with congruent closed circles were determined for $k \leq 8$ [10], [1], [11]-[13], [3], [6], [14], [15]. We have the inequality $\delta_{\Gamma}^{k+1}\left(B_{2}\right)<$ $\vartheta_{\Gamma}^{k}\left(B_{2}\right)$ for $6 \leq k \leq 8$ which shows that lattice arrangements of unit circles $L(\Gamma, 1)$ of type $L_{k}^{k+1}(\Gamma, 1)$ can exist for $k \leq 5$.

1. $k=0$. The statement is trivial.
2. $k=1$. The lattice $\Gamma$ of the circle arrangement is reduced by Minkowski. The lattice triangle $O A B$ is not obtuse angled and the open lattice circle $k[O A B]$ does not contain lattice points. If $1>|A|$ holds, then for example the neighbourhood of the lattice point $A$ is covered at least 3 times. In case of $r[O A B]<1$ the neighbourhood of the centre of $k[O A B]$ would be covered at least 3 times. If $r[O A B]>1$, then the neighbourhood of the centre of $k[O A B]$ is not covered. Our circle arrangement $L(\Gamma, 1)$ is of type $L_{1}^{2}(\Gamma, 1)$. Therefore we have $1 \leq|A|$ and $r[O A B]=1$. The regular triangle inscribed in the circle $k[O A B]$ with unit radius has maximal perimeter among the triangles inscribed in $k[O A B]$, namely the perimeter $3 \sqrt{3}$. It follows $|A| \leq \sqrt{3}$. Then we have $\Gamma \equiv \Gamma_{2}$ which was to be proved.
3. $k=2$. The lattice triangle $O(2 A)(A+B)$ is not obtuse angled and the open lattice circle $k[O(2 A)(A+B)]$ contains only one lattice point [8]. Our circle arrangement $L(\Gamma, 1)$ is of type $L_{2}^{3}(\Gamma, 1)$. Therefore we have $r[O(2 A)(A+B)]=1$ and $2 \leq|3 A|$. Let $F$ be the midpoint of $O(A+B)$. The neighbourhood of $F$ is covered at least 4 times if $|A+B|<2$. If $|A+B| \geq 2$ holds, then we have $r[O(2 A)(A+B)]>1$. This contradiction means that the circle arrangements $L(\Gamma, 1)$ can not be of type $L_{2}^{3}(\Gamma, 1)$.
4. $k=3$. We consider the circle arrangement $L(\Gamma, 1)$. The lattice $\Gamma$ is reduced by Minkowski. Therefore the lattice triangle $O(2 A)(B)$ is not obtuse angled and the open lattice circle $k[O(2 A)(A+B)]$ contains only two lattice points namely $A$ and $A+B$. Our circle arrangement $L(\Gamma, 1)$ is of type $L_{3}^{4}(\Gamma, 1)$. Therefore we have $r[O(2 A)(B)]=1,1 \leq|2 A|$ and $1 \leq|B|$.


Figure 3

The circle arrangement $L(\Gamma, 1)$ is a 3 -fold covering with closed unit circles. We distinguish three cases according to the lattice circles which contain two lattice points of $\Gamma$ in their interiors and are circumcircles of not obtuse angled lattice triangles [8].
4.1. Let $G$ be the midpoint of $A(2 A)$. If $|A+B-G| \geq \frac{3}{2}|A|$, then the lattice triangle $O(3 A)(A+B)$ is not obtuse angled and the open circle $k[O(3 A)(A+B)]$ contains the lattice points $A$ and $2 A$ (Figure 4.a). We have the inequality $r[O(3 A)(A+B)]>r[O(2 A)(B)]=1$. Thus the neighbourhood of the centre of $k[O(3 A)(A+B)]$ is covered at least five times, that means $L(\Gamma, 1)$ is not of type $L_{3}^{4}(\Gamma, 1)$.
4.2. In case of $|A+B-G| \leq \frac{3}{2}|A|$ we consider the lattice triangle $O(2 A-$ $B)(A+B)$ (Figure 4.b). This triangle is not obtuse angled and $A, 2 A \in$ $k[O(2 A-B)(A+B)]$. We assume that $A-B$ does not belong to $k[O(2 A-$ $B)(A+B)]$. Then $k[O(2 A-B)(A+B)]=r[O(2 A)(B)]=1$ holds $(L(\Gamma, 1)$ is of type $\left.L_{3}^{4}(\Gamma, 1)\right)$. Using (3) we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{4 a^{2} b^{2}\left(4 a^{2}+b^{2}-4 a b y\right)}{16 a^{2} b^{2}\left(1-y^{2}\right)} \\
=\frac{\left(a^{2}+b^{2}+2 a b y\right)\left(a^{2}+4 b^{2}-4 a b y\right)\left(4 a^{2}+b^{2}-4 a b y\right)}{36 a^{2} b^{2}\left(1-y^{2}\right)} \tag{4}
\end{gather*}
$$

A simple calculation shows that (4) holds only for $x=1$ and $y=\frac{1}{2}$. Then $\Gamma \equiv \Gamma_{3}$ (Figure 3.c).
4.3. If $|A+B-G| \leq \frac{3}{2}|A|$ and $A-B \in k[O(2 A-B)(A+B)]$, then we consider the lattice triangle $O A(2 B)$ (Figure 4.c). This triangle is not obtuse angled and $B, A+B \in k[O A(2 B)]$. The equality $r[O A(2 B)]=1=$ $r[O(2 A)(B)]$ holds $\left(L(\Gamma, 1)\right.$ is of type $\left.L_{3}^{4}(\Gamma, 1)\right)$. From this equality we get $\Gamma \equiv \Gamma_{3}$.
5. $k=4$. We consider the circle arrangement $L(\Gamma, 1)$. The lattice $\Gamma$ is reduced by Minkowski. The circle arrangement $L(\Gamma, 1)$ is of type $L_{4}^{5}(\Gamma, 1)$. Therefore we have $1 \leq\left|\frac{5}{2} A\right|,\left|\frac{3}{2} A\right| \leq 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \leq|2 B| \quad \text { and } \quad 2 \leq|2 A+B| \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following closed lattice circles contain six lattice points and are circumcircles of not obtuse angled lattice triangles [8]:


Figure 4
$k_{1}=k[O(4 A)(2 A+B)]$ for $x \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and $0 \leq y \leq \frac{x}{2} ; k_{2}=k[O(3 A)(2 A+B)]$ for $\frac{1}{2} \leq x \leq \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $0 \leq y \leq \frac{x}{2} ; k_{3}=k[O(2 A+B)(2 A-B)]$ for $\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} \leq x \leq 1$ and $\frac{2 x^{2}-1}{4 x} \leq y \leq \frac{1}{4 x} ; k_{4}=k[O(2 A)(2 B)]$ for $\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} \leq x \leq 1$ and $\frac{1}{4 x} \leq y \leq \frac{x}{2} ; k_{5}=k[O(2 A+B)(A+2 B)]$ for $\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} \leq x \leq 1$ and $0 \leq y \leq \frac{2 x^{2}-1}{4 x}$. Let $H_{i}(i=1,2,3,4,5)$ denote the set of the points in the triangle $O P Q$ corresponding to the lattices where the open circle ( $i=1,2,3,4,5$ ) contains three lattice points (Figure 5).


Figure 5

The circle arrangement $L(\Gamma, 1)$ is of type $L_{4}^{5}(\Gamma, 1)$. Therefore the radius $r_{i}$ of $k_{i}$ is the unit. It can be proved that $2>|2 A+B|$ for $i=1,2,3,5$ and $1>|2 B|$ for $i=4$ ( $\Gamma$ is reduced by Minkowski ). This is in contradiction to (5) which means that the circle arrangements $L(\Gamma, 1)$ cannot be of type $L_{4}^{5}(\Gamma, 1)$.
6. $k=5$. Let the circle arrangement $L(\Gamma, 1)$ be of type $L_{5}^{6}(\Gamma, 1) . L(\Gamma, 1)$ is a 5 -fold covering. Therefore we consider the closed lattice circles which are circumcircles of not obtuse angled lattice triangles and contain 7 lattice points. In [12] one can find these lattice circles and the division of the triangle $O P Q$ in the set $H_{i}$, similarly as in 5 . The circle arrangement $L(\Gamma, 1)$ is a 6 -fold packing. In this case we have two types of open lattice circles. The first type is the open circle $k[\Delta j]$ which is the circumcircle of the not obtuse angled lattice triangle $\Delta j$ and contains 4 lattice points. In case of the second type we have the open circle $k\left[O Z_{j}\right]$ which with lattice points $O, Z_{j}$ where 5 lattice points belong to $k\left[O Z_{j}\right]$. In [9] one can find the necessary lattice circles and the division of the triangle $O P Q$ in the set $\tilde{H}_{j}$.

By using the results in [12] and [9] we have the following cases:
6.1. The lattice circle $k_{8}=k[O(5 A)(2 A+B)]$ for $0<x \leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{13}}$ and $0 \leq$ $y \leq \frac{x}{2}$ or $\sqrt{\frac{2}{13}} \leq x \leq \sqrt{\frac{1}{6}}$ and $0 \leq y \leq \frac{1-6 x^{2}}{x}$ satisfies the conditions in 6 in case of 5 -fold covering. Clearly, the lattice circle $\tilde{k}_{2}=k[O(3 A) B]$ is proper for $0 \leq x \leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}$ and $0 \leq y \leq \frac{x}{2}$ or $\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \leq x \leq 1$ and $0 \leq y \leq \frac{1}{3 x}$ in case of a 6 -fold packing. We have $r_{8}=\tilde{r}_{2}=1$. (Otherwise the neighbourhood of the centres of $k_{8}$ and $\tilde{r}_{2}$ would be covered at least 7 times or at most 4 times.) It is clear that $\tilde{r}_{2}<r_{8}$. This is a contradiction.
6.2. The lattice circle $k_{7}=k[O(4 A)(2 A+B)]$ for $\sqrt{\frac{1}{6}} \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and $0 \leq y \leq \frac{6 x^{2}-1}{4 x}$ or $\frac{1}{2} \leq x \leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{7}}$ and $0 \leq y \leq \frac{2-7 x^{2}}{2 x}$ satisfies the conditions in 6 in case of a 5 -fold covering. The lattice circle $\tilde{k}_{2}=k[O(3 A) B]$ is proper for the above $x$ and $y$ in case of a 6 -fold packing. We have a contradiction as in 6.1.
6.3. For a 5 -fold covering we have the lattice circle $k_{6}=k[O(2 A+B)$ $(3 A-B)]$ in case $\sqrt{\frac{2}{13}} \leq x \leq \sqrt{\frac{1}{6}}$ and $\frac{1-6 x^{2}}{x} \leq y \leq \frac{x}{2}$ or $\sqrt{\frac{1}{6}} \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{6 x^{2}-1}{4 x} \leq y \leq \frac{x}{2}$ or $\frac{1}{2} \leq x \leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{7}}$ and $\frac{2-7 x^{2}}{6 x} \leq y \leq \frac{1-x^{2}}{6 x}$ or $\sqrt{\frac{2}{7}} \leq x \leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}}$ and $\frac{7 x^{2}-2}{8 x} \leq y \leq \frac{1-x^{2}}{6 x}$. The lattice circle $\tilde{k}_{2}=k[O(3 A) B]$ is proper for the above $x$ and $y$ in case of a 6 -fold packing (see 6.1). It follows $1=r_{6}>r[O(3 A)(3 A-B)]=\tilde{r}_{2}=1$ from $3 A \in k_{6}$. This contradiction means that $L(\Gamma, 1)$ cannot be a circle arrangement of type $L_{5}^{6}(\Gamma, 1)$.
6.4. The lattice circle $k_{2}=k[O(2 A)(A+2 B)]$ for $\sqrt{\frac{2}{7}} \leq x \leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}}$ and $0 \leq y \leq \frac{7 x^{2}-2}{8 x}$ or $\sqrt{\frac{2}{5}} \leq x \leq \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $0 \leq y \leq \frac{x}{4}$ satisfies the conditions in 6 in case of a 5 -fold covering. The lattice circle $\tilde{k}_{2}=k[O(3 A) B]$ is proper for $0 \leq x \leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}$ and $0 \leq y \leq \frac{x}{2}$ or $\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \leq x \leq 1$ and $0 \leq y \leq \frac{1}{3 x}$ in case of a 6 -fold packing. We have $r_{2}=\tilde{r}_{2}=1$. A simple calculation shows that $\tilde{r}_{2}<r_{2}$. This is a contradiction.
6.5. We consider the lattice circle $k_{3}=k[O(2 A)(2 B)]$ for $\sqrt{\frac{2}{5}} \leq x \leq \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $\frac{x}{4} \leq y \leq \frac{3 x^{2}-1}{2 x}$ or $\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} \leq x \leq \sqrt{\frac{6}{11}}$ and $\frac{x}{4} \leq y \leq \frac{3-5 x^{2}}{2 x}$. This circle satisfies the conditions in 6 in case of a 5 -fold covering. For a 6 -fold packing the open lattice circle $\tilde{k}_{5}=k[(B-A)(A-B)]$ contains 5 lattice points for $0<x \leq 1$ and $0 \leq y \leq \frac{x}{2} .(2 A)(2 B)$ can be equal to the diameter of $k_{3}$ only for $x=\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $y=\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}$. In this case the lattice circle $\tilde{k}_{4}=k[O(2 A+B)(2 A-B)]$ satisfies the conditions for a 6 -fold packing and $\tilde{r}_{4}<r_{3}$ holds. Therefore $\tilde{r}_{5}<r_{3}$ or $\tilde{r}_{4}<r_{3}$ which is in contradiction to $\tilde{r}_{5}=\tilde{r}_{4}=r_{3}=1$.
6.6. We prove that the lattice circle $k_{4}=k[O(A+B)(3 A-B)]$ for $\frac{1}{2} \leq$ $x \leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}}$ and $\frac{1-x^{2}}{6 x} \leq y \leq \frac{x}{2}$ or $\sqrt{\frac{2}{5}} \leq x \leq \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $\frac{3 x^{2}-1}{2 x} \leq y \leq \frac{x}{2}$ satisfies the conditions in 6 in case of the 5 -fold covering $\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} \leq x \leq 1$ and $\frac{1-x^{2}}{2 x} \leq y \leq \frac{x}{2}$. For a 6 -fold packing we have the open lattice circle $\tilde{k}_{5}=k[(B-A)(A-B)]$ as in 6.5. The side $(A+B)(3 A-B)$ cannot be equal to the diameter of $k_{4}$ (the scalar product $(A+B) \cdot(3 A-B)$ is positive). Then $1=\tilde{r}_{5}<r_{4}=1$ holds. This is a contradiction.
6.7. Let $\bar{H}_{1}=\left\{(x, y) \left\lvert\, \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} \leq x \leq 1\right.,0 \leq y \leq \frac{1-x^{2}}{2 x}\right\}$. The lattice circle $k_{1}=k[O(3 A) B]$ satisfies the conditions in 6 for a 5 -fold covering in $\bar{H}_{1}$. Now let $\tilde{H}_{42}=\left\{(x, y) \left\lvert\, \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} \leq x \leq 1\right.,0 \leq y \leq \frac{2 x^{2}-1}{4 x}\right\}, \quad \tilde{H}_{5}=$ $\left\{(x, y) \left\lvert\, \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} \leq x \leq \sqrt{\frac{7}{12}}\right., \frac{3-4 x^{2}}{4 x} \leq y \leq \frac{x}{2}\right.$ and $\left.\sqrt{\frac{7}{12}} \leq x \leq 1, \frac{4 x^{2}+1}{20 x} \leq y \leq \frac{x}{2}\right\}$, $\tilde{H}_{6}=\left\{(x, y) \left\lvert\, \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} \leq x \leq \sqrt{\frac{7}{12}}\right., \frac{2 x^{2}-1}{x} \leq y \leq \frac{3-4 x^{2}}{4 x}\right\}$,
$\tilde{H}_{7}=\left\{(x, y) \left\lvert\, \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} \leq x \leq \sqrt{\frac{7}{12}}\right., \frac{2 x^{2}-1}{4 x} \leq y \leq \frac{2 x^{2}-1}{x}\right.$ and $\sqrt{\frac{7}{12}} \leq x \leq 1$, $\left.\frac{2 x^{2}-1}{4 x} \leq y \leq \frac{4 x^{2}+1}{20 x}\right\}$. The following lattice circles are proper for a 6 -fold
packing: $\tilde{k}_{4}=k[O(2 A+B)(2 A-B O)]$ for $\tilde{H}_{42} \cap \bar{H}_{1}, \tilde{k}_{5}=k[(B-A)(A-B O)]$ for $\tilde{H}_{5} \cap \bar{H}_{1}, \tilde{k}_{6}=k[O(3 A)(2 A+B O)]$ for $\tilde{H}_{6} \cap \bar{H}_{1}, \tilde{k}_{7}=k[O(2 A+$ $B)(A-B O)]$ for $\tilde{H}_{7} \cap \bar{H}_{1}$. It holds $r_{1}=\tilde{r}_{i}=1(i=4,5,6,7)$ for $\tilde{H}_{i} \cap \bar{H}_{1}$ $(i=42,5,6,7)\left(L(\Gamma, 1)\right.$ is of type $\left.L_{5}^{6}(\Gamma, 1)\right)$. Calculations show that $\tilde{r}_{i}<r_{1}$ $(i=4,5,6,7)$. (The calculations are somewhat lengthy and thus they will not be presented here.) This is a contradiction.

The cases 6.1-6.7 show that the circle arrangements $L(\Gamma, 1)$ cannot be of type $L_{4}^{5}(\Gamma, 1)$. This completes the proof.


Figure 6
Remark. Using the lattice circle arrangements $L\left(\Gamma_{1}, 1\right)$ and $L\left(\Gamma_{2}, 1\right)$ we can construct an infinite number of non-lattice circle arrangements, for example using reflections on a lattice line which are of type $L_{0}^{1}(\Gamma, 1)$ and $L_{1}^{2}(\Gamma, 1)$ (Figure 6.a, 6.b). We place the centers of circles at the vertices of a regular $\{6,3\}$ tessellation, the radii of the circles being equal to the side of the hexagons. This circle arrangement is of type $L_{1}^{2}(\Gamma, 1)$ (Figure 6.c).

Theorem 2. Assume that $1<h<2$ for $\Gamma$. Then there are no lattice arrangements of unit circles $L(\Gamma, 1)$ of type $L_{k}^{k+1}(\Gamma, 1)$ for $k>3$.

Proof. Bolle proved in [3] the equalities $\delta_{\Gamma}^{k+1}\left(B_{2}\right)=(k+1) \delta_{\Gamma}^{1}\left(B_{2}\right)=$ $(k+1) \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{12}}$ and $\vartheta_{\Gamma}^{k}\left(B_{2}\right)=k \vartheta_{\Gamma}^{1}\left(B_{2}\right)=k \frac{2 \pi}{\sqrt{27}}$ for $\Gamma$ with $1<h<2$ and for $k \in N$. We obtain $\delta_{\Gamma}^{k+1}\left(B_{2}\right)<\vartheta_{\Gamma}^{k}\left(B_{2}\right)$ for $k>3$ which proves the statement.

Theorem 3. There are no lattice arrangements of unit circles $L(\Gamma, 1)$ of type $L_{k}^{k+1}(\Gamma, 1)$ for $k \geq 2 \cdot 10^{5}-1$.

Proof. Using the results in [3] we have the inequalities $\frac{\delta_{\Gamma}^{k+1}}{k+1}<1-$ $\frac{0.1}{(k+1)^{\frac{3}{4}}}$ for $k \geq 2 \cdot 10^{5}-1$ and $1+\frac{0.179}{k^{\frac{3}{4}}}<\frac{v_{\Gamma}^{k}}{k}$ for $k \geq 12000$. A simple calculation shows that $\delta_{\Gamma}^{k+1}\left(B_{2}\right)<\vartheta_{\Gamma}^{k}\left(B_{2}\right)$ for $k \geq 2 \cdot 10^{5}-1$.
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