

## Lie derived lengths of restricted universal enveloping algebras

By SALVATORE SICILIANO (Lecce)

*Dedicated to A. A. Bovdi on his 70-th birthday*

**Abstract.** In this paper we examine the Lie derived length of a restricted universal enveloping algebra  $u(L)$ , where  $L$  is a restricted Lie algebra over a field  $F$  of characteristic  $p > 0$ . In particular, we prove that, if the Lie derived length of  $u(L)$  is at most  $n$  and  $p \geq 2^n$ , then  $L$  is abelian. Moreover, we establish when is a restricted universal enveloping algebra strongly Lie solvable and study its strong Lie derived length.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $R$  be an associative algebra with a unit over a field  $F$ .  $R$  can be regarded as a Lie algebra via the Lie commutator  $[x, y] = xy - yx$  for every  $x, y \in R$ . The *Lie derived series*  $\delta^{[n]}(R)$  and the *strong Lie derived series*  $\delta^{(n)}(R)$  of  $R$  are defined by induction as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\delta^{[0]}(R) &= \delta^{(0)}(R) = R, \\ \delta^{[n]}(R) &= [\delta^{[n-1]}(R), \delta^{[n-1]}(R)],\end{aligned}$$

---

*Mathematics Subject Classification:* 16S30, 17B50, 17B60.

*Key words and phrases:* restricted universal enveloping algebra, Lie solvable, strongly Lie solvable.

The author was partially supported by the National Research Project “Group Theory and Application”.

$$\delta^{(n)}(R) = [\delta^{(n-1)}(R), \delta^{(n-1)}(R)]R.$$

$R$  is said to be *Lie solvable* (resp. *strongly Lie solvable*) if  $\delta^{[n]}(R) = 0$  ( $\delta^{(n)}(R) = 0$ ) for some  $n$ . The minimum  $n$  such that  $\delta^{[n]}(R) = 0$  (resp.  $\delta^{(n)}(R) = 0$ ) is called the *Lie derived length* (*strong Lie derived length*) of  $R$  and denoted by  $\text{dl}_{\text{Lie}}(R)$  ( $\text{dl}^{\text{Lie}}(R)$ ). As  $\delta^{[n]}(R) \subseteq \delta^{(n)}(R)$  for all  $n$ , it is clear that if  $R$  is strongly Lie solvable then  $R$  is Lie solvable (and  $\text{dl}_{\text{Lie}}(R) \leq \text{dl}^{\text{Lie}}(R)$ ), but the converse is in general not true.

Let  $u(L)$  be the restricted universal enveloping algebra of a restricted Lie algebra  $L$  with  $p$ -map  $[p]$  over a field  $F$  of characteristic  $p > 0$ . Some questions concerning the Lie structure of  $u(L)$  were examined by D. RILEY and A. SHALEV in [2]. In particular, under the assumption of characteristic odd, they characterized the restricted Lie algebras  $L$  whose restricted enveloping algebra  $u(L)$  is Lie solvable. While the Lie nilpotency indices can be computed using some specific methods (cf. [3]), there are very few results in the literature concerning the Lie derived lengths of  $u(L)$ . In that direction, in [5] the author and E. SPINELLI have recently established when is  $u(L)$  Lie metabelian.

In this paper, we describe some results about the Lie derived length and the strong Lie derived length of a restricted universal enveloping algebra. Similar questions for group rings was considered by A. SHALEV in [4].

For a subset  $S$  of a restricted Lie algebra  $L$ , we denote by  $S_p$  the restricted subalgebra generated by  $S$ . Also,  $S$  is said to be  $p$ -nilpotent if there exists a positive integer  $m$  such that  $S^{[p]^m} = \{x^{[p]^m} \mid x \in S\} = 0$ . In Section 2, we show that  $u(L)$  is strongly Lie solvable if and only if  $L'_p$  is finite-dimensional and  $p$ -nilpotent. As a consequence, for characteristic odd, the Lie solvability of  $u(L)$  is equivalent to the strong Lie solvability. This is no longer true if  $\text{char } F = 2$ .

An upper bound for the strong Lie derived length of  $u(L)$  will be established in the following result:

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $L$  be a restricted Lie algebra over a field  $F$  of characteristic  $p > 0$ . If  $u(L)$  is strongly Lie solvable then  $\text{dl}^{\text{Lie}}(u(L)) \leq 1 + \lceil \log_2 p^{\dim_F L'_p} \rceil$ .*

In the last section, we prove the main theorem of this paper: it determines the minimal Lie derived length of  $u(L)$ , where  $L$  is a non-abelian

restricted Lie algebra over a field of characteristic  $p > 0$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $L$  be a non-abelian restricted Lie algebra over a field of characteristic  $p > 0$ . Then  $dl_{\text{Lie}}(u(L)) \geq \lceil \log_2(p + 1) \rceil$ .*

In fact, the lower bound expressed in Theorem 1 is the best possible. Indeed, we provide a class of restricted Lie algebras in which this value is actually reached.

## 2. Strong Lie solvability

Let  $L$  be a restricted Lie algebra over a field of characteristic  $p > 0$ . We denote by  $\omega(L)$  the *augmentation ideal* of  $u(L)$ , that is, the associative ideal generated by  $L$  in  $u(L)$ . It is well known that for every restricted ideal  $I$  of  $L$  the kernel of the canonical map

$$\phi : u(L) \longrightarrow u(L/I)$$

is given by  $\omega(I)u(L)$ . In particular, as  $u(L/L'_p)$  is commutative it follows that

$$\delta^{(1)}(u(L)) = [u(L), u(L)]u(L) \subseteq \omega(L'_p)u(L). \tag{1}$$

Also, if  $I$  is finite-dimensional and  $p$ -nilpotent then  $\omega(I)$  is nilpotent (see [2], Lemma 2.4): in this case, the minimum integer  $m$  such that  $\omega(I)^m = 0$  is denoted by  $t(I)$ .

The following result characterizes the restricted Lie algebras  $L$  whose restricted enveloping algebra  $u(L)$  is strongly Lie solvable.

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $L$  be a restricted Lie algebra over a field of characteristic  $p > 0$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- 1)  $u(L)$  is strongly Lie solvable;
- 2)  $\omega(L'_p)$  is nilpotent;
- 3)  $L'_p$  is finite-dimensional and  $p$ -nilpotent.

PROOF. The equivalence of the conditions 2) and 3) was proved in Lemma 2.4 of [2]. Now, assume that  $u(L)$  is strongly Lie solvable. In view of a well known result of S. A. JENNINGS (cf. [1]), we have that  $\delta^{(1)}(u(L))$

is nilpotent. As  $\omega(L'_p) \subseteq \delta^{(1)}(u(L))$ , this implies the nilpotency of  $\omega(L'_p)$ . Finally, assume that  $\omega(L'_p)$  is nilpotent. We show by induction on  $n$  that

$$\delta^{(n)}(u(L)) \subseteq \omega(L'_p)^{2^{n-1}} u(L). \quad (2)$$

For  $n = 1$  the claim follows by (1). Assume then  $n > 1$ . By the inductive hypothesis we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^{(n)}(u(L)) &= [\delta^{(n-1)}(u(L)), \delta^{(n-1)}(u(L))]u(L) \\ &\subseteq [\omega(L'_p)^{2^{n-2}} u(L), \omega(L'_p)^{2^{n-2}} u(L)]u(L) \\ &= [\omega(L'_p)^{2^{n-2}}, \omega(L'_p)^{2^{n-2}} u(L)]u(L) \\ &\quad + \omega(L'_p)^{2^{n-2}} [u(L), \omega(L'_p)^{2^{n-2}} u(L)]u(L) \\ &= [\omega(L'_p)^{2^{n-2}}, \omega(L'_p)^{2^{n-2}}]u(L) \\ &\quad + \omega(L'_p)^{2^{n-2}} [\omega(L'_p)^{2^{n-2}}, u(L)]u(L) \\ &\quad + \omega(L'_p)^{2^{n-1}} [u(L), u(L)]u(L) \subseteq \omega(L'_p)^{2^{n-1}} u(L) \end{aligned}$$

completing the inductive step. As  $\omega(L'_p)$  is nilpotent, for a sufficiently large  $n$  we have that  $\omega(L'_p)^{2^{n-1}} u(L) = 0$ . It follows that  $u(L)$  is strongly Lie solvable.  $\square$

As a consequence of the previous result and Theorem 1.3 of [2], we have the following

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $L$  be a restricted Lie algebra over a field of characteristic  $p > 2$ . Then  $u(L)$  is Lie solvable if and only if  $u(L)$  is strongly Lie solvable.*

When  $p = 2$ , the complete characterization of Lie solvable restricted universal enveloping algebras still remains an open problem. The following simple example shows that Corollary 1 fails for this exceptional characteristic:

*Example 1.* Let  $H$  be the Heisenberg algebra over a field  $F$  of characteristic 2. Then  $H$  has a basis  $\{x, y, z\}$  such that

$$[x, y] = z, \quad [x, z] = [y, z] = 0.$$

Consider the  $p$ -map on  $H$  defined by the following conditions:

$$x^{[p]} = y^{[p]} = 0, \quad z^{[p]} = z.$$

We have that  $\delta^{[3]}(u(H)) = 0$  and then  $u(H)$  is Lie solvable. On the other hand, as  $H'_p = Fz$  is not  $p$ -nilpotent,  $u(H)$  is not strongly Lie solvable in view of Proposition 2.

Let us now establish an upper bound for the strong Lie derived length of  $u(L)$ .

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $L$  be a restricted Lie algebra over a field of characteristic  $p > 0$ . If  $u(L)$  is strongly Lie solvable then  $\text{dl}^{\text{Lie}}(u(L)) \leq \lceil \log_2(2t(L'_p)) \rceil$ .*

PROOF. By (2), for every positive integer  $n$  we have that

$$\delta^{(n)}(u(L)) \subseteq \omega(L'_p)^{2^{n-1}} u(L).$$

Consequently, if  $2^{n-1} \geq t(L'_p)$  then  $\omega(L'_p)^{2^{n-1}} = 0$  so that  $\delta^{(n)}(u(L)) = 0$ . Hence, we have that

$$\text{dl}^{\text{Lie}}(u(L)) \leq 1 + \log_2 t(L'_p) = \log_2(2t(L'_p))$$

and the claim follows. □

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 1. Since  $u(L)$  is strongly Lie solvable, by Proposition 2,  $L'_p$  is finite-dimensional and  $p$ -nilpotent. According to Proposition 3.4 of [3], we have that  $t(L'_p) \leq p^{\dim_F L'_p}$  and so the claim follows from Lemma 1. □

If  $L$  is nilpotent of class two, the upper bound for  $\text{dl}^{\text{Lie}}(u(L))$  established in Lemma 1 can be slightly improved.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $L$  be a restricted Lie algebra over a field of characteristic  $p > 0$ . If  $u(L)$  is strongly Lie solvable and  $L$  is nilpotent of class two, then  $\text{dl}^{\text{Lie}}(u(L)) \leq \lceil \log_2(t(L'_p) + 1) \rceil$ .*

PROOF. We show that for any positive integer  $n$  we have  $\delta^{(n)}(u(L)) \subseteq \omega(L'_p)^{2^{n-1}} u(L)$ . We proceed by induction on  $n$ . For  $n = 1$  the claim coincides with (1). Suppose then  $n > 1$ . As  $\omega(L'_p)$  is central in  $u(L)$ , by the inductive hypothesis and (1) we have

$$\delta^{(n)}(u(L)) = [\delta^{(n-1)}(u(L)), \delta^{(n-1)}(u(L))]u(L)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\subseteq [\omega(L'_p)^{2^{n-1}-1}u(L), \omega(L'_p)^{2^{n-1}-1}u(L)]u(L) \\ &\subseteq \omega(L'_p)^{2^n-2}[u(L), u(L)]u(L) \\ &= \omega(L'_p)^{2^n-2}\delta^{(1)}(u(L)) \\ &\subseteq \omega(L'_p)^{2^n-1}u(L) \end{aligned}$$

completing the inductive step. As  $\omega(L'_p)^{2^n-1} = 0$  whenever  $2^n - 1 \geq t(L'_p)$ , the assertion follows at once.  $\square$

A restricted Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{L}$  is said to be *cyclic* if there exists  $x \in \mathfrak{L}$  which generates  $\mathfrak{L}$  as a restricted subalgebra.

*Remark 1.* Let  $L$  be a restricted Lie algebra over a field of characteristic  $p > 0$  such that  $L'_p$  is cyclic and  $p$ -nilpotent. Using Proposition 1.3 in Chapter 2 of [6], it is easy to see that in this case  $L'_p$  can always be generated by a Lie commutator  $z = [x, y]$  for some  $x, y \in L$ . Also, if  $e(z)$  denotes the exponent of  $z$  (that is, the minimum positive integer  $n$  such that  $z^{[p]^n} = 0$ ), then the elements  $z, z^{[p]}, \dots, z^{[p]^{e(z)-1}}$  form a basis of  $L'_p$ . In particular, we have  $\dim_F L'_p = e(z)$ .

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $L$  be a restricted Lie algebra over a field  $F$  of characteristic  $p > 0$  such that  $u(L)$  is strongly Lie solvable. If  $L$  is nilpotent of class two and  $L'_p$  is cyclic, then  $\text{dl}^{\text{Lie}}(u(L)) = \lceil \log_2(p^{\dim_F L'_p} + 1) \rceil$ .*

PROOF. In view of Proposition 3.4 of [3] and Lemma 2, it is enough to show that  $\text{dl}^{\text{Lie}}(u(L)) \geq \lceil \log_2(p^{\dim_F L'_p} + 1) \rceil$ .

By Remark 1, there are  $x, y \in L$  such that  $z = [x, y]$  generates  $L'_p$  as a restricted subalgebra. Clearly, we have

$$\omega(L'_p)u(L) = zu(L) \subseteq \delta^{(1)}(u(L))$$

and then by (1) it follows that

$$\delta^{(1)}(u(L)) = zu(L). \tag{3}$$

We now show by induction on  $n$  that  $\delta^{(n)}(u(L)) = z^{2^n-1}u(L)$ . For  $n = 1$  the claim follows by (3). Assume then  $n > 1$ . Using (3) and the inductive hypothesis, by the centrality of  $z$  we obtain

$$\delta^{(n)}(u(L)) = [\delta^{(n-1)}(u(L)), \delta^{(n-1)}(u(L))]u(L)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= [z^{2^{n-1}-1}u(L), z^{2^{n-1}-1}u(L)]u(L) \\
 &= z^{2^n-2}[u(L), u(L)]u(L) \\
 &= z^{2^n-2}\delta^{(1)}(u(L)) \\
 &= z^{2^n-1}u(L)
 \end{aligned}$$

completing the inductive step. As a consequence, if  $\delta^{(n)}(u(L)) = 0$  then necessarily  $z^{2^n-1} = 0$  and so, by the PBW Theorem for restricted Lie algebras (see, e.g., [6], Chapter 2, Theorem 5.1), we have  $2^n - 1 \geq p^{e(z)} = p^{\dim_F L'_p}$ . Therefore, we have  $n \geq \log_2(1 + p^{\dim_F L'_p})$  and the claim follows.  $\square$

*Remark 2.* When  $p = 2$ , then under the assumption of Proposition 3 we have that  $dl^{\text{Lie}}(u(L)) = \lceil \log_2(p^{\dim_F L'_p} + 1) \rceil = 1 + \dim_F L'_p$ . Therefore, in some cases the upper bound of Proposition 1 can actually be reached.

In [5], it is proved that  $u(L)$  is Lie metabelian if and only if it is strongly Lie metabelian. In other words,  $dl_{\text{Lie}}(u(L)) = 2$  if and only if  $dl^{\text{Lie}}(u(L)) = 2$ . On the other hand, if the ground field has characteristic 2, Example 1 already shows that it is possible that  $dl_{\text{Lie}}(u(L)) = 3$  while  $dl^{\text{Lie}}(u(L)) = \infty$ . Furthermore, the derived lengths of  $u(L)$  can be different also when they are both finite. For this purpose, consider the following

*Example 2.* Let  $L$  be the Lie algebra over a field  $F$ ,  $\text{char } F = 2$ , with basis  $\{x, y, z, v, w\}$  such that  $[x, y] = z$  and  $z, v, w$  are central. Consider the  $p$ -map on  $L$  defined by the following conditions:

$$x^{[p]} = y^{[p]} = w^{[p]} = 0, \quad z^{[p]} = v, \quad v^{[p]} = w.$$

By construction, we have that  $L'_p = Fz + Fv + Fw$  is cyclic. Using the PBW Theorem for restricted Lie algebras and the centrality of  $z$ , we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta^{[1]}(u(L)) &= \left( \bigoplus_{i=1}^7 Fz^i \right) \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{j=1}^7 Fxz^j \right) \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{k=1}^7 Fyz^k \right); \\
 \delta^{[2]}(u(L)) &= \bigoplus_{i=3}^7 Fz^i; \\
 \delta^{[3]}(u(L)) &= 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by Proposition 3 it follows that  $dl^{\text{Lie}}(u(L)) = 4$ . Hence in this case we have  $dl_{\text{Lie}}(u(L)) \neq dl^{\text{Lie}}(u(L))$ .

### 3. Lower bound for the Lie derived length

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1. The proof consists of a series of reductive steps which enable us to consider some special cases where explicit calculations can be performed. Clearly, Theorem 1 will follow at once by the next result:

**Proposition 4.** *Let  $L$  be a restricted Lie algebra over a field  $F$  of characteristic  $p > 0$ . If  $\delta^{[n]}(u(L)) = 0$  and  $p \geq 2^n$ , then  $L$  is abelian.*

PROOF. Suppose, if possible,  $L$  not abelian. We distinguish the cases when  $L$  is nilpotent or not.

*Case I:  $L$  is nilpotent.* In this case, we can assume as well that  $L$  has nilpotency class two. In fact, if  $L$  has nilpotency class  $c > 2$ , consider the quotient  $\bar{L} = L/I$ , where  $I$  is the  $(c-2)$ -th term of the upper central series of  $L$  (note that  $I$  is a restricted ideal of  $L$ ). Then  $L$  has nilpotency class two and  $\text{dl}_{\text{Lie}}(u(\bar{L})) \leq \text{dl}_{\text{Lie}}(u(L))$ . Now replace  $L$  by  $\bar{L}$ .

Let  $a$  and  $b$  be two non-commuting elements of  $L$  and put  $z = [a, b]$ . By assumption on the nilpotency class of  $L$ , it is immediate to see that  $a$ ,  $b$  and  $z$  are linearly independent. We claim that:

for every nonnegative integer  $m$  and for every  $0 \leq h, k \leq p - m - 1$  the elements  $a^h z^{2^m - 1}$  and  $b^k z^{2^m - 1}$  are contained in  $\delta^{[m]}(u(L))$ .

We proceed by induction on  $m$ . The claim is trivial when  $m = 0$ . Now assume  $m > 0$ . By inductive hypothesis, we have that  $a^{h+1} z^{2^{m-1} - 1} \in \delta^{[m-1]}(u(L))$  and  $b z^{2^{m-1} - 1} \in \delta^{[m-1]}(u(L))$ . As  $z$  centralizes  $a$  and  $b$ , by a standard calculation we obtain:

$$[a^{h+1}, b] = \sum_{i=1}^{h+1} a^{i-1} [a, b] a^{h-i+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{h+1} a^h z = (h+1) a^h z.$$

It follows that

$$[a^{h+1} z^{2^{m-1} - 1}, b z^{2^{m-1} - 1}] = [a^{h+1}, b] z^{2^m - 2} = (h+1) a^h z^{2^m - 1}.$$

As  $0 < h+1 < p$ , the last relation implies that  $a^h z^{2^m - 1} \in \delta^{[m]}(u(L))$ . An analogue argument shows that  $b^k z^{2^m - 1} \in \delta^{[m]}(u(L))$ , completing the inductive step.

Now, by assumption, we have that  $n \leq 2^n - 1 \leq p - 1$ . Therefore, by what has been proved, it follows in particular that  $z^{2^n - 1} \in \delta^{[n]}(u(L)) = 0$ .



As  $2^n - 1 < p$ , this contradicts the PBW Theorem for restricted Lie algebras, completing the proof in the case where  $L$  is nilpotent.

*Case II:*  $L$  is not nilpotent. If  $p = 2$  the assertion is trivial. Assume then  $p \neq 2$ . Since any possible extension of the ground field preserves the Lie derived length of  $u(L)$ , we can also assume that  $F$  is algebraically closed.

Let  $u$  and  $v$  be two non-commuting elements of  $L$  and denote by  $H$  the subalgebra of  $L$  generated by  $u$  and  $v$ . If  $H$  is nilpotent then by [6] (Chapter 2, Proposition 1.3) the restricted subalgebra  $H_p$  generated by  $H$  is also nilpotent, therefore the assertion follows from the Case I. Suppose then  $H$  not nilpotent. In view of Theorem 1.3 of [2] the dimension of  $L'$  is finite, consequently we have that  $H$  is finite-dimensional. As  $H$  is not nilpotent, by the Engel Theorem there is an element  $w$  of  $H$  such that the adjoint map  $\text{ad } w$  is not a nilpotent linear transformation of  $H$ . Let  $\lambda$  be a non-zero eigenvalue of  $\text{ad } w$  and consider an eigenvector  $x$  relative to  $\lambda$ . Put  $y = \lambda^{-1}w$ . Then we have

$$[x, y] = \lambda^{-1}x \text{ad } w = x.$$

We want to establish an explicit expression for  $[x^{r_1}y^{s_1}, x^{r_2}y^{s_2}]$  in  $u(L)$ , for any nonnegative integers  $r_1, r_2, s_1, s_2$ . For this, we begin by showing that for every  $t \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$y^t x = x(y - 1)^t. \tag{4}$$

We proceed by induction on  $t$ . For  $t = 1$ ,

$$yx = xy - [x, y] = x(y - 1).$$

Now assume  $t > 1$ . By inductive hypothesis and the case  $t = 1$ , we have

$$y^t x = yy^{t-1}x = yx(y - 1)^{t-1} = x(y - 1)^t$$

as required.

The next step is showing that for every  $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$y^s x^r = x^r (y - r)^s. \tag{5}$$

We show (5) by induction on  $r$ . For  $r = 1$  the claim is just the rule (4). Assume then  $r > 1$ . Using (4) and the inductive hypothesis we obtain

$$y^s x^r = x(y - 1)^s x^{r-1} = x \left( \sum_{i=0}^s (-1)^{s-i} \binom{s}{i} y^i \right) x^{r-1}$$

$$= x^r \left( \sum_{i=0}^s (-1)^{s-i} \binom{s}{i} (y-r+1)^i \right) = x^r (y-r)^s$$

completing the inductive step.

Finally, using (5) and standard calculations we obtain

$$[x^{r_1} y^{s_1}, x^{r_2} y^{s_2}] = x^{r_1+r_2} ((y-r_2)^{s_1} y^{s_2} - (y-r_1)^{s_2} y^{s_1}). \quad (6)$$

Let us now prove that for any nonnegative integers  $h$  and  $k$  such that  $k < p-h$  the element  $x^{2^h} y^k$  is contained in  $\delta^{[h]}(u(L))$ . We proceed by induction on  $h$ . The claim is trivial for  $h=0$ . Assume then  $h > 0$ . By inductive hypothesis,  $\delta^{[h-1]}(u(L))$  contains all elements of the form  $x^{2^{h-1}} y^\nu$ , with  $0 \leq \nu \leq p-h$ . By (6) it follows that

$$[x^{2^{h-1}} y, x^{2^{h-1}}] = -2^{h-1} x^{2^h}$$

and so  $x^{2^h} \in \delta^{[h]}(u(L))$ , as  $p \neq 2$ . By (6) we have also that

$$[x^{2^{h-1}} y^2, x^{2^{h-1}}] = x^{2^h} (-2^h y + 2^{2(h-1)})$$

and then, as  $x^{2^h} \in \delta^{[h]}(u(L))$  and  $p \neq 2$ , it follows that  $x^{2^h} y \in \delta^{[h]}(u(L))$ . Suppose that, by proceeding in this way, we have already shown that  $x^{2^h} y^\mu \in \delta^{[h]}(u(L))$  for every  $0 \leq \mu < k$ . By (6), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} [x^{2^{h-1}} y^{k+1}, x^{2^{h-1}}] &= x^{2^h} ((y-2^{h-1})^{k+1} - y^{k+1}) \\ &= x^{2^h} \left( \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^{k+1-j} \binom{k+1}{j} 2^{(h-1)(k+1-j)} y^j \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\delta^{[h]}(u(L))$  contains the elements  $x^{2^h}, x^{2^h} y, \dots, x^{2^h} y^{k-1}$ , it follows that  $2^{h-1} \binom{k+1}{k} x^{2^h} y^k \in \delta^{[h]}(u(L))$ , as well. Since  $p \neq 2$  and, moreover,  $p$  does not divide  $\binom{k+1}{k} = k+1$ , we can conclude that  $x^{2^h} y^k \in \delta^{[h]}(u(L))$ , completing the inductive step.

Now, by assumption we have that  $p-n > p-2^n \geq 0$ . By what we have proved above, it follows that

$$x^{2^n} \in \delta^{[n]}(u(L)) = 0. \quad (7)$$

Since  $p \neq 2$ , the initial hypothesis forces  $2^n < p$ , therefore the relation (7) contradicts the PBW Theorem for restricted Lie algebras, and the proof is complete.  $\square$

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 1, we have the following

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $L$  be a restricted Lie algebra over a field of characteristic  $p > 0$ . If  $\text{dl}^{\text{Lie}}(u(L)) \leq \lceil \log_2(p+1) \rceil$  then  $\text{dl}_{\text{Lie}}(u(L)) = \text{dl}^{\text{Lie}}(u(L))$ .*

The upper bound for  $\text{dl}_{\text{Lie}}(u(L))$  stated in Theorem 1 cannot be improved. In order to see this, consider the following example:

*Example 3.* Let  $L$  be a restricted Lie algebra over a field  $F$  of characteristic  $p > 0$ . Suppose  $L$  nilpotent of class two,  $\dim_F L' = 1$  and  $L'^{[p]} = 0$ . According to Proposition 3 and Corollary 2, we have that  $\text{dl}_{\text{Lie}}(u(L)) = \lceil \log_2(p+1) \rceil$ .

## References

- [1] S. A. JENNINGS, Central chains of ideals in an associative ring, *Duke Math. J.* **9** (1942), 341–355.
- [2] D. M. RILEY and A. SHALEV, The Lie structure of enveloping algebras, *J. Algebra* **162** (1993), 46–61.
- [3] D. M. RILEY and A. SHALEV, Restricted Lie algebras and their envelopes, *Can. J. Math.* **47** (1995), 146–164.
- [4] A. SHALEV, The derived length of Lie soluble group ring I, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **78** (1992), 291–300.
- [5] S. SICILIANO and E. SPINELLI, Lie metabelian restricted universal enveloping algebra, *Arch. Math. (Basel)* (to appear).
- [6] H. STRADE and R. FARNSTEINER, Modular Lie algebras and their representations, *Marcel Dekker, New York*, 1988.

SALVATORE SICILIANO  
 DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA “E. DE GIORGI”  
 UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI LECCE  
 VIA PROVINCIALE LECCE-ARNESANO  
 73100, LECCE  
 ITALY

*E-mail:* salvatore.siciliano@unile.it

*(Received January 26, 2005; revised April 1, 2005)*