

## The probability of generating the symmetric group when one of the generators is random

By LÁSZLÓ BABAI (Chicago) and THOMAS P. HAYES (Berkeley)

*To the memory of Edit Szabó*

**Abstract.** A classical result of JOHN DIXON (1969) asserts that a pair of random permutations of a set of  $n$  elements almost surely generates either the symmetric or the alternating group of degree  $n$ .

We answer the question, “For what permutation groups  $G \leq S_n$  do  $G$  and a random permutation  $\sigma \in S_n$  almost surely generate the symmetric or the alternating group?” Extending Dixon’s result, we prove that this is the case if and only if  $G$  fixes  $o(n)$  elements of the permutation domain.

The question arose in connection with the study of the diameter of Cayley graphs of the symmetric group.

Our proof is based on a result by Łuczak and Pyber on the structure of random permutations.

### 1. Introduction

By a *random* element of a nonempty finite set  $S$  we mean an element chosen uniformly from  $S$ . A *random permutation* is a random element of the symmetric group  $S_n$ . A random pair of permutations is a random

---

*Mathematics Subject Classification:* 20B30, 05A16.

*Key words and phrases:* permutation group, symmetric group, generators, random permutation, Dixon’s theorem.

The research of the second author was partially supported by an NSF Postdoctoral Fellowship.

element of the set  $S_n \times S_n$ . Our permutations always act on a domain of size  $n$ . We consider the asymptotic behavior of random permutations as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Let  $\{E_n\}$  be a sequence of events. We say that  $E_n$  holds *with high probability* if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(E_n) = 1$ . Synonymously, we say that  $E_n$  occurs *almost surely*.

Dixon's classical result states that with high probability, a random pair of permutations generates either  $A_n$  or  $S_n$  [Di1] (cf. [BW], [Ba]).

We strengthen this result, showing that one random permutation is enough as long as the other generators do not share more than  $o(n)$  fixed points (*i.e.*, the fraction of fixed points in the permutation domain tends to zero). By a *fixed point* of a permutation group  $G \leq S_n$  we mean an element of the permutation domain fixed by all elements of  $G$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $G \leq S_n$  be a given permutation group with  $o(n)$  fixed points. Let  $\sigma \in S_n$  be chosen at random. Then with high probability,  $G$  and  $\sigma$  generate either  $A_n$  or  $S_n$ .*

*Remark 2.* As usual, the precise meaning of such an asymptotic statement involving a  $o(n)$  bound is that for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  and a threshold  $n_0$  such that for every  $n \geq n_0$ , if  $G \leq S_n$  has fewer than  $\delta n$  fixed points then the probability that  $G$  and a random  $\sigma \in S_n$  generate  $A_n$  or  $S_n$  is at least  $1 - \epsilon$ .

Of course if  $G \not\leq A_n$  then the result means that  $G$  and  $\sigma$  almost surely generate  $S_n$ ; and if  $G \leq A_n$  then with probability approaching  $1/2$ , the group they generate is  $A_n$ , and also with probability approaching  $1/2$  they generate  $S_n$ .

This question arose in connection with the study of the diameter of Cayley graphs of the symmetric group [BH], [BBS], [BS]. It can also be viewed as a contribution to the "statistical group theory" initiated by ERDŐS and TURÁN in 1965 [ET].

We also observe that Theorem 1 is tight in the sense that the  $o(n)$  bound on the number of fixed points is necessary.

**Proposition 3.** *If  $G \leq S_n$  has  $f$  fixed points then the probability that the group generated by  $G$  and a random permutation has a fixed point is  $\geq f/2n$ .*

## 2. Relation to Dixon's Theorem

To see that Theorem 1 implies Dixon's result, we only need to note that with high probability, a random  $\sigma \in S_n$  has  $o(n)$  fixed points. In fact much more is true: the number of fixed points is "almost bounded" in the following sense:

*Observation 4.* If  $\omega_n \rightarrow \infty$  arbitrarily slowly, then with high probability, a random  $\sigma \in S_n$  has at most  $\omega_n$  fixed points.

This follows from the fact that the probability that  $\sigma$  has  $\geq k$  fixed points is at most  $1/k!$ . Indeed, let  $d_n$  denote the probability that  $\sigma \in S_n$  is fixed-point-free ( $\sigma$  is a "derangement"). It is well known that  $d_n \rightarrow 1/e$ .

*Observation 5.* The probability that a random permutation  $\sigma \in S_n$  has exactly  $k$  fixed points is  $\frac{d_{n-k}}{k!} \sim \frac{1}{ek!}$ . (The asymptotic equality holds uniformly for all  $k$  as long as  $n - k$  goes to infinity.)  $\square$

In other words, the distribution of the number of fixed points of a random permutation is asymptotically Poisson with expected value 1.

## 3. The fixed-point-free case

The proof of Theorem 1 will be based on the following powerful result by Luczak and Pyber.

**Theorem 6** ([LP]). *Let  $\sigma \in S_n$  be a random permutation. Then with high probability,  $\sigma$  does not belong to any transitive subgroup of  $S_n$  other than  $A_n$  or  $S_n$ .*

So to prove Theorem 1, we only need to show that  $G$  and  $\sigma$  generate a transitive subgroup with high probability. This will be established in Theorem 13 below. First we consider the case when  $G$  has no fixed point (Corollary 9).

We recall some terminology. Let us consider the symmetric group  $\text{Sym}(\Omega)$  acting on the permutation domain  $\Omega$ , where  $|\Omega| = n$ . Let  $G \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega)$  be a permutation group acting on  $\Omega$ . We say that  $x, y \in \Omega$  belong to the same orbit of  $G$  if  $x^\tau = y$  for some  $\tau \in G$ . The equivalence classes

of this relation are the *orbits* of  $G$  or  $G$ -*orbits*; they partition  $\Omega$ . If  $A \subseteq \Omega$  is an orbit then  $|A|$  is called the *length* of this orbit. We say that  $G$  is *transitive* if  $\Omega$  is a single orbit (of length  $n$ ). An element  $x \in G$  is a *fixed point* of  $G$  if  $\{x\}$  is an orbit (of length 1). We denote the set of fixed points of  $G$  by  $\text{fix}(G)$ .

**Lemma 7.** *Let  $G \leq S_n$  be a permutation group with  $t \geq 2$  orbits, each of length  $\geq k \geq 2$ . Let  $\sigma \in S_n$  be chosen at random. Then the probability that  $G$  and  $\sigma$  generate a transitive group is greater than*

$$1 - \frac{t}{\binom{n}{k}} - \delta(n, k, t), \tag{1}$$

where

$$\delta(n, k, t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k > n/4; \\ \frac{\binom{t}{2}(1 + O(1/n))}{\binom{n}{2k}} & \text{if } k \leq n/4. \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

Here the constant hidden in the  $O(1/n)$  term is absolute.

PROOF. Let  $|\Omega| = n$  and  $G \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega)$ . Observe that  $k \leq n/2$  and  $t \leq n/k$ .

Let  $q(G)$  denote the probability that  $G$  and  $\sigma$  do not generate a transitive group.

Let  $\Pi = \Pi(G) = (A_1, \dots, A_t)$  be the partition of  $\Omega$  into  $G$ -orbits. We refer to the  $A_i$  as the *blocks* of the partition  $\Pi$ .

Let  $B \subset \Omega$ . Let  $p_B$  denote the probability that  $B$  is invariant under  $\sigma$ . Clearly,  $p_B = \frac{1}{\binom{n}{|B|}}$ . Using the union bound,

$$q(G) \leq \sum_{r=1}^{t-1} \sum_{B \in \mathcal{I}_r} p_B, \tag{3}$$

where  $\mathcal{I}_r$  denotes the set of those unions  $B$  of  $r$  blocks of  $\Pi$  which satisfy  $|B| \leq n/2$ . So  $|\mathcal{I}_r| \leq \binom{t}{r}$ . Moreover, for  $B \in \mathcal{I}_r$ , we have  $rk \leq n/2$ . Therefore

$$q(G) \leq \sum_{r=1}^{\lfloor n/2k \rfloor} \frac{\binom{t}{r}}{\binom{n}{rk}} \leq \frac{t}{\binom{n}{k}} + \delta(n, k, t). \tag{4}$$

The last inequality is vacuously true if  $k > n/6$ ; the case  $k \leq n/6$  is the content of the next proposition. □

**Proposition 8.** *Suppose  $2 \leq k \leq n/6$  and  $tk \leq n$ . Then*

$$\sum_{r=3}^{\lfloor n/2k \rfloor} \frac{\binom{t}{r}}{\binom{n}{rk}} = O\left(\frac{\binom{t}{2}}{n\binom{n}{2k}}\right). \tag{5}$$

PROOF. Let  $a_r = \binom{t}{r}$  and  $b_r = \binom{n}{rk}$  and let  $S(n, k, t) := \sum_{r=3}^{\lfloor n/2k \rfloor} (b_2 a_r) / (a_2 b_r)$ . Our claim is that  $nS(n, k, t)$  is bounded (for all  $n, k, t$  satisfying the given constraints).

We observe that

$$\left(\binom{t}{r}\right)^k \leq \binom{tk}{rk} \leq \binom{n}{rk}. \tag{6}$$

Further we observe that for  $r \geq 64$  and  $rk \leq n/2$  we have

$$\binom{n}{rk} > \left(\binom{n}{2k}\right)^4. \tag{7}$$

Indeed,

$$\binom{n}{64k} > \left(\frac{n}{64k}\right)^{64k} > \left(\frac{en}{2k}\right)^{8k} > \left(\binom{n}{2k}\right)^4. \tag{8}$$

Combining inequalities (6) and (7) we obtain, for  $r \geq 64$ , that

$$\frac{b_2 a_r}{b_r} < \frac{1}{b_2} \leq \frac{1}{\binom{n}{4}} < \frac{1}{n^2}. \tag{9}$$

It follows that

$$S_1(n, k, t) := \sum_{r=64}^{\lfloor n/2k \rfloor} \frac{b_2 a_r}{a_2 b_r} < \frac{1}{n}. \tag{10}$$

It remains to bound the sum

$$S_2(n, k, t) := \sum_{r=3}^m \frac{b_2 a_r}{a_2 b_r}, \tag{11}$$

where  $m = \min\{63, \lfloor n/2k \rfloor\}$ .

Obviously,

$$S_2(n, k, t) \leq \sum_{r=3}^m \frac{b_2 a_m}{b_3} < \frac{n^{64} b_2}{b_3}. \tag{12}$$

Now

$$\frac{b_2}{b_3} < \left( \frac{3k}{n-2k} \right)^k. \tag{13}$$

Since  $k \leq n/6$ , the right hand side is less than  $(3/4)^k$ ; so we obtain the estimate  $S_2(n, k, t) < n^{64}/(3/4)^k \leq 1/n$  if  $k \geq 65 \log n / \log(4/3)$ .

Assume now that  $k < 65 \log n / \log(4/3)$ . It follows that for large enough  $n$  we have  $3k/(n-2k) < 1/\sqrt{n}$  and so  $S_2(n, k, t) < n^{64}b_2/b_3 < n^{64}n^{-k/2} \leq 1/n$  assuming  $k \geq 130$ .

Now let us assume  $k \leq 129$ . Then

$$(b_2a_r)/(a_2b_r) = \Theta(t^{r-2}/n^{k(r-2)}) = O(n^{-(k-1)(r-2)}) = O(1/n), \tag{14}$$

proving that  $S_2(n, k, t) = O(1/n)$ . □

**Corollary 9.** *Let  $G \leq S_n$  be a permutation group with no fixed points. Let  $\sigma \in S_n$  be chosen at random. Then the probability that  $G$  and  $\sigma$  do not generate a transitive group is less than  $1/n + O(1/n^2)$ .*

### 4. Projections

Next we define a projection operator, introduced in [BH], a useful tool for extending results about fixed-point-free groups to the general case. While a direct proof of Theorem 1 would be somewhat shorter, we find that separating the fixed-point-free case and then arriving at the general conclusion via the projection machinery provides greater insight and a general methodology.

We take a subset  $T$  of the permutation domain  $\Omega$  and a permutation  $\sigma \in \text{Sym}(\Omega)$  and assign to it a permutation  $\sigma_T \in \text{Sym}(T)$ . Informally,  $\sigma_T$  is obtained by deleting those orbits of  $\sigma$  which lie entirely outside  $T$  and contracting those segments of the remaining orbits which lie outside  $T$ . The formal definition follows.

*Definition 10.* For  $T \subseteq \Omega$ , we define the *projection*  $\text{pr}_T : \text{Sym}(\Omega) \rightarrow \text{Sym}(T)$ , as follows. Let  $\sigma \in \text{Sym}(\Omega)$ . We set  $\sigma_T = \text{pr}_T(\sigma)$  and define  $\sigma_T$ . For  $i \in T$ , let  $k$  denote the smallest positive integer such that  $i^{\sigma^k} \in T$ . Set  $i^{\sigma_T} = i^{\sigma^k}$ .

We now observe two basic facts about projections.

*Observation 11.* Let  $T \subseteq \Omega$ . The projection map  $\text{pr}_T : \text{Sym}(\Omega) \rightarrow \text{Sym}(T)$  is uniform, i.e., for all  $\tau \in \text{Sym}(T)$ , the size of  $\text{pr}_T^{-1}(\tau)$  is the same  $(|\Omega|!/|T|!)$ .

PROOF. Let  $\tau \in \text{Sym}(T)$ . Let  $\lambda : \Omega \setminus T \rightarrow \Omega$  be an injection. It is easy to see that there is a unique  $\sigma \in \text{Sym}(\Omega)$  such that  $\sigma|_{\Omega \setminus T} = \lambda$  and  $\sigma_T = \tau$ . Indeed, if  $i^\tau = j$  then (a) if  $j$  is not in the range of  $\lambda$  then let  $i^\sigma = i^\tau$ ; (b) if  $j = \ell^\lambda$  for some  $\ell \in \Omega \setminus T$  then let  $k$  be the largest integer such that  $j = m^{\lambda^k}$  for some  $m \in \Omega \setminus T$  and set  $i^\sigma = m$ . These are the only possible choices under the given constraints. We conclude that  $|\text{pr}_T^{-1}(\tau)|$  is equal to the number of injections  $\lambda$  regardless of the choice of  $\tau$ .  $\square$

*Observation 12.* Let  $\sigma \in \text{Sym}(\Omega)$  and let  $T \subseteq \Omega$ . Let  $G \leq \text{Sym}(T)$  where  $\text{Sym}(T)$  is viewed as a subgroup of  $\text{Sym}(\Omega)$ . Then the orbits of the subgroup of  $\text{Sym}(T)$  generated by  $G$  and  $\sigma_T$  are precisely the intersection of  $T$  with those orbits of the subgroup of  $\text{Sym}(\Omega)$  generated by  $G$  and  $\sigma$  which have non-empty intersection with  $T$ .

PROOF. Clear.  $\square$

**Theorem 13.** Let  $G \leq S_n$  be a given permutation group with  $f \leq n/2$  fixed points. Let  $\sigma \in S_n$  be chosen at random. Then the probability that  $G$  and  $\sigma$  do not generate a transitive group is less than  $(f+1)(1/n+O(1/n^2))$ . In particular, if  $G$  has  $o(n)$  fixed points then  $G$  and  $\sigma$  generate a transitive group with high probability.

PROOF. Let  $A = \text{fix}(G)$ ; so  $|A| = f$ . The probability that a subset  $B \subseteq A$  is invariant under  $\sigma$  is, as before,  $p_B = 1/\binom{n}{|B|}$ . Let  $i(A)$  denote the probability that such an invariant nonempty subset exists. By the union bound,

$$i(A) \leq \sum_{\emptyset \neq B \subseteq A} p_B = \sum_{r=1}^f \frac{\binom{f}{r}}{\binom{n}{r}} = \frac{f}{n} + O\left(\left(\frac{f}{n}\right)^2\right). \tag{15}$$

Let now  $H$  denote the group generated by  $G$  and  $\sigma$  and let  $R = \Omega \setminus A$  (the domain where  $G$  actually acts). Let  $\sigma_R$  be the projection of  $\sigma$  to  $R$  (see Definition 10). By Observation 12, two elements  $x, y \in R$  belong to the same orbit under  $H$  if and only if they belong to the same orbit of

the group generated by  $G$  and  $\sigma_R$ . Observing further that  $\sigma_R$  is uniformly distributed in  $\text{Sym}(R)$  (Observation 11) we conclude, using Corollary 9, that the probability that not all elements of  $R$  are in the same orbit under  $H$  is  $\leq 1/(n-f) + O(1/(n-f)^2) = 1/n + O((f+1)/n^2)$ .

Finally, the probability that  $H$  is not transitive is at most the sum of this quantity and  $i(A)$ , which in turn is  $(f+1)/n + O((f+1)/n^2)$ .  $\square$

### 5. Case: many fixed points

We now prove Proposition 3. Let  $A$  be a subset a size  $f$  of the permutation domain of size  $n$ . Let  $\sigma$  be a random permutation. Let  $p(f)$  denote the probability that  $\sigma$  fixes at least one element of  $A$ .

**Claim 14.**

$$p(f) \geq \frac{f}{2n}. \quad (16)$$

PROOF. The probability that a given point is fixed by  $\sigma$  is  $1/n$ ; the probability that a given pair of points is fixed by  $\sigma$  is  $1/n(n-1)$ . Hence, by Bonferroni's Inequalities (truncated Inclusion-Exclusion),

$$p(f) \geq \frac{f}{n} - \frac{\binom{f}{2}}{n(n-1)} = \frac{f}{n} \left(1 - \frac{f-1}{2(n-1)}\right) \geq \frac{f}{2n}. \quad (17)$$

$\square$

To prove Proposition 3, we apply the Claim to the set of fixed points of  $G$ .  $\square$

### 6. Open problems

LUCZAK and PYBER [LP] do not provide an explicit bound on the probability that a random permutation belongs to a transitive group other than  $S_n$  or  $A_n$  (Theorem 6); this probability presumably goes to zero rather slowly. The first problem we propose is to estimate this rate.

The second problem is to find a proof of Theorem 1 which is independent of the Łuczak–Pyber Theorem and provides a faster rate of convergence. Specifically, we propose the following



**Conjecture 15.** *There exists  $c > 0$  such that for all permutation groups  $G \leq S_n$  if  $G$  has no fixed point then the probability that  $G$  together with a random permutation does not generate  $A_n$  or  $S_n$  is  $O(n^{-c})$ .*

In this connection we should mention that the probability that a random pair of permutations does not generate  $S_n$  or  $A_n$  is  $1/n + O(1/n^2)$  [Ba]. The full asymptotic expansion of this probability was recently given by DIXON [Di2].

It is a long standing conjecture that all Cayley graphs of  $S_n$  and  $A_n$  have polynomially bounded diameters ([KMS], [BS]). In [BH], the authors prove that for almost all pairs of permutations  $\sigma, \tau \in S_n$ , the Cayley graph of the group  $G$  generated by  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  has polynomially bounded ( $O(n^c)$ ) diameter. (Note that by Dixon's result,  $G$  is almost surely  $S_n$  or  $A_n$ .) It is our hope that Theorem 1 will help extend this result to the case when only  $\sigma$  is random;  $\tau$  is a given permutation with few fixed points.<sup>1</sup>

## References

- [Ba] L. BABAI, The probability of generating the symmetric group, *J. Comb. Theory – A* **52** (1989), 148–153.
- [BBS] L. BABAI, R. BEALS and Á. SERESS, On the diameter of the symmetric group: polynomial bounds, In: Proceedings of the Fifteenth Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms, 2004, 1101–1105.
- [BH] L. BABAI and T. HAYES, Near-independence of permutations and an almost sure polynomial bound on the diameter of the symmetric group, In: Proceedings of the Sixteenth Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms, 2005, 1057–1066.
- [BS] L. BABAI and Á. SERESS, On the diameter of Cayley graphs of the symmetric group, *J. Combinatorial Theory-A* **49** (1988), 175–179.
- [BW] J. D. BOVEY and A. WILLIAMSON, The probability of generating the symmetric group, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **10** (1978), 91–96.
- [Di1] J. D. DIXON, The probability of generating the symmetric group, *Math. Z.* **110** (1969), 199–205.
- [Di2] J. D. DIXON, The probability of generating the symmetric group, *Electronic J. Combinatorics* **12** (2005), #R56, pp. 1–5. <http://www.combinatorics.org>.
- [ET] P. ERDŐS and P. TURÁN, On some problems of a statistical group theory I., *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Geb.* **4** (1965), 175–186.

---

<sup>1</sup>We can almost prove this already. The only case still eluding us is when  $\tau$  has few fixed points but has constant order.

- [KMS] D. KORNHAUSER, G. L. MILLER and P. SPIRAKIS, Coordinating pebble motion on graphs, the diameter of permutation groups, and applications, In: Proc. 25th FOCS, *IEEE Computer Society Press*, 1986, 292–302.
- [LP] T. ŁUCZAK, and L. PYBER, On random generation of the symmetric group, *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing* **2** (1993), 505–512.

LÁSZLÓ BABAI  
DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE  
UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO  
CHICAGO  
USA

*E-mail:* laci@cs.uchicago.edu

THOMAS P. HAYES  
COMPUTER SCIENCE DIVISION  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA BERKELEY  
BERKELEY  
USA

*E-mail:* hayest@cs.berkeley.edu

*(Received November 8, 2005; revised May 19, 2006)*