

## An order result for the exponential divisor function

By LÁSZLÓ TÓTH (Pécs)

**Abstract.** The integer  $d = \prod_{i=1}^s p_i^{b_i}$  is called an exponential divisor of  $n = \prod_{i=1}^s p_i^{a_i} > 1$  if  $b_i \mid a_i$  for every  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$ . Let  $\tau^{(e)}(n)$  denote the number of exponential divisors of  $n$ , where  $\tau^{(e)}(1) = 1$  by convention. The aim of the present paper is to establish an asymptotic formula with remainder term for the  $r$ -th power of the function  $\tau^{(e)}$ , where  $r \geq 1$  is an integer. This improves an earlier result of M. V. SUBBARAO [5].

### 1. Introduction

Let  $n > 1$  be an integer of canonical form  $n = \prod_{i=1}^s p_i^{a_i}$ . The integer  $d$  is called an *exponential divisor* of  $n$  if  $d = \prod_{i=1}^s p_i^{b_i}$ , where  $b_i \mid a_i$  for every  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$ , notation:  $d \mid_e n$ . By convention  $1 \mid_e 1$ .

Let  $\tau^{(e)}(n)$  denote the number of exponential divisors of  $n$ . The function  $\tau^{(e)}$  is called the *exponential divisor function*. J. WU [7] showed, improving an earlier result of M. V. SUBBARAO [5], that

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \tau^{(e)}(n) = Ax + Bx^{1/2} + O(x^{2/9} \log x), \quad (1)$$

where

$$A := \prod_p \left( 1 + \sum_{a=2}^{\infty} \frac{\tau(a) - \tau(a-1)}{p^a} \right),$$

---

*Mathematics Subject Classification:* 11A25, 11N37.

*Key words and phrases:* exponential divisor function, generalized divisor function.

Research supported by the fund of Applied Number Theory Research Group of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences.

$$B := \prod_p \left( 1 + \sum_{a=5}^{\infty} \frac{\tau(a) - \tau(a-1) - \tau(a-2) + \tau(a-3)}{p^{a/2}} \right),$$

$\tau$  denoting the usual divisor function. The  $O$ -term can further be improved.

Other properties of the function  $\tau^{(e)}$ , compared with those of the divisor function  $\tau$  were investigated in papers [1], [2], [4], [5].

M. V. SUBBARAO [5] remarked that for every positive integer  $r$ ,

$$\sum_{n \leq x} (\tau^{(e)}(n))^r \sim A_r x, \tag{2}$$

where

$$A_r := \prod_p \left( 1 + \sum_{a=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\tau(a))^r - (\tau(a-1))^r}{p^a} \right). \tag{3}$$

It is the aim of the present paper to establish the following more precise asymptotic formula for the  $r$ -th power of the function  $\tau^{(e)}$ , where  $r \geq 1$  is an integer:

$$\sum_{n \leq x} (\tau^{(e)}(n))^r = A_r x + x^{1/2} P_{2r-2}(\log x) + O(x^{u_r+\varepsilon}), \tag{4}$$

for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , where  $A_r$  is given by (3),  $P_{2r-2}$  is a polynomial of degree  $2^r - 2$  and  $u_r := \frac{2^{r+1}-1}{2^{r+2}+1}$ .

Note that a similar formula is known for the divisor function  $\tau$ , namely for any integer  $r \geq 2$ ,

$$\sum_{n \leq x} (\tau(n))^r = x Q_{2r-1}(\log x) + O(x^{v_r+\varepsilon}), \tag{5}$$

valid for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , where  $v_r := \frac{2^r-1}{2^{r+2}}$  and  $Q_{2r-1}$  is a polynomial of degree  $2^r - 1$ , this goes back to the work of S. RAMANUJAN, cf. [8].

Formula (4) is a direct consequence of a simple general result, given in Section 2 as Theorem, regarding certain multiplicative functions  $f$  such that  $f(n)$  depends only on the  $\ell$ -full kernel of  $n$ , where  $\ell \geq 2$  is a fixed integer.

We also consider a generalization of the exponential divisor function, see Section 4.

Let  $\phi^{(e)}(n)$  denote the number of divisors  $d$  of  $n$  such that  $d$  and  $n$  have no common exponential divisors. The function  $\phi^{(e)}$  is multiplicative and for every prime power  $p^a$  ( $a \geq 1$ ),  $\phi^{(e)}(p^a) = \phi(a)$ , where  $\phi$  is the Euler function.

As another consequence of our Theorem we obtain for every integer  $r \geq 1$  that

$$\sum_{n \leq x} (\phi^{(e)}(n))^r = B_r x + x^{1/3} R_{2r-2}(\log x) + O(x^{t_r+\varepsilon}), \tag{6}$$

for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , where  $t_r := \frac{2^{r+1}-1}{3 \cdot 2^{r+1}}$ ,  $R_{2^r-2}$  is a polynomial of degree  $2^r - 2$  and

$$B_r := \prod_p \left( 1 + \sum_{a=3}^{\infty} \frac{(\phi(a))^r - (\phi(a-1))^r}{p^a} \right). \tag{7}$$

In the case  $r = 1$  formula (6) was proved in [6] with a better error term. Our error terms depend on estimates for

$$D(\underbrace{1, \ell, \ell, \dots, \ell}_{k-1}; x) := \sum_{ab_1^\ell b_2^\ell \dots b_{k-1}^\ell \leq x} 1,$$

where  $k, \ell \geq 2$  are fixed and  $a, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{k-1} \geq 1$  are integers.

### 2. A general result

We prove the following general result.

**Theorem.** *Let  $f$  be a complex valued multiplicative arithmetic function such that*

a)  $f(p) = f(p^2) = \dots = f(p^{\ell-1}) = 1$ ,  $f(p^\ell) = f(p^{\ell+1}) = k$  for every prime  $p$ , where  $\ell, k \geq 2$  are fixed integers and

b) there exist constants  $C, m > 0$  such that  $|f(p^a)| \leq Ca^m$  for every prime  $p$  and every  $a \geq \ell + 2$ .

Then for  $s \in \mathbb{C}$

i) 
$$F(s) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{f(n)}{n^s} = \zeta(s)\zeta^{k-1}(\ell s)V(s), \quad \text{Re } s > 1,$$

where the Dirichlet series  $V(s) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{v(n)}{n^s}$  is absolutely convergent for  $\text{Re } s > \frac{1}{\ell+2}$ ,

ii) 
$$\sum_{n \leq x} f(n) = C_f x + x^{1/\ell} P_{f,k-2}(\log x) + O(x^{u_{k,\ell} + \varepsilon}),$$

for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , where  $P_{f,k-2}$  is a polynomial of degree  $k - 2$ ,  $u_{k,\ell} := \frac{2k-1}{3+(2k-1)\ell}$  and

$$C_f := \prod_p \left( 1 + \sum_{a=\ell}^{\infty} \frac{f(p^a) - f(p^{a-1})}{p^a} \right).$$

iii) *The error term can be improved for certain values of  $k$  and  $\ell$ . For example in the case  $k = 3, \ell = 2$  it is  $O(x^{8/25} \log^3 x)$ .*

### 3. Proofs

The proof of the Theorem is based on the following lemma. For an integer  $\ell \geq 1$  let  $\mu_\ell(n) = \mu(m)$  or 0, according as  $n = m^\ell$  or not, where  $\mu$  is the Möbius function. Note that function  $\mu_\ell$  is multiplicative and for any prime power  $p^a$  ( $a \geq 1$ ),

$$\mu_\ell(p^a) = \begin{cases} -1, & \text{if } a = \ell, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

Furthermore, for an integer  $h \geq 1$  let the function  $\mu_\ell^{(h)}$  be defined in terms of the Dirichlet convolution by

$$\mu_\ell^{(h)} = \underbrace{\mu_\ell * \mu_\ell * \cdots * \mu_\ell}_h.$$

The function  $\mu_\ell^{(h)}$  is also multiplicative.

**Lemma.** For any integers  $h, \ell \geq 1$  and any prime power  $p^a$  ( $a \geq 1$ ),

$$\mu_\ell^{(h)}(p^a) = \begin{cases} (-1)^j \binom{h}{j}, & \text{if } a = j\ell, 1 \leq j \leq h, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

**PROOF OF THE LEMMA.** By induction on  $h$ . For  $h = 1$  this follows from (8). We suppose that formula (9) is valid for  $h$  and prove it for  $h + 1$ . Using the relation  $\mu_\ell^{(h+1)} = \mu_\ell^{(h)} * \mu_\ell$  and (8) we obtain for  $a < \ell$ ,

$$\mu_\ell^{h+1}(p^a) = \mu_\ell^{(h)}(p^a) = 0$$

and for  $a \geq \ell$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_\ell^{(h+1)}(p^a) &= \mu_\ell^{(h)}(p^a) - \mu_\ell^h(p^{a-\ell}) \\ &= \begin{cases} \mu_\ell^{(h)}(p^\ell) - 1 = -\binom{h}{1} - 1 = -\binom{h+1}{1}, & \text{if } a = \ell, \\ (-1)^j \binom{h}{j} - (-1)^{j-1} \binom{h}{j-1} = (-1)^j \binom{h+1}{j}, & \text{if } a = \ell j, 2 \leq j \leq h, \\ -\mu_\ell^{(h)}(p^{h\ell}) = -(-1)^h \binom{h}{h} = (-1)^{h+1} \binom{h+1}{h+1}, & \text{if } a = (h+1)\ell, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

which proves the Lemma.  $\square$

PROOF OF THE THEOREM. i) We can formally obtain the desired expression by taking  $v = f * \mu * \mu_\ell^{(k-1)}$ . Here  $v$  is multiplicative and easy computations show that  $v(p^a) = 0$  for any  $1 \leq a \leq \ell + 1$  and for  $a \geq \ell + 2$ ,

$$v(p^a) = \sum_{j \geq 0} (-1)^j \binom{k-1}{j} (f(p^{a-j\ell}) - f(p^{a-j\ell-1})),$$

where, according to the Lemma, the number of nonzero terms is at most  $k$ .

Let  $M_k = \max_{0 \leq j \leq k-1} \binom{k-1}{j}$ . We obtain that for every prime  $p$  and every  $a \geq \ell + 2$ ,

$$|v(p^a)| \leq 2kM_k C a^m.$$

For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $a^m \leq 2^{a\varepsilon}$  for sufficiently large  $a$ ,  $a \geq a_0$  say, where  $a_0 \geq \ell + 2$ . For  $\text{Re } s > 1/(\ell + 2)$  choose  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\text{Re } s - \varepsilon > 1/(\ell + 2)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_p \sum_{a \geq a_0} \frac{|v(p^a)|}{p^{as}} &\leq 2kM_k C \sum_p \sum_{a \geq a_0} \frac{2^{a\varepsilon}}{p^{as}} \leq 2kM_k C \sum_p \sum_{a \geq a_0} \frac{1}{p^{a(s-\varepsilon)}} \\ &= 2kM_k C \sum_p \frac{1}{p^{a_0(s-\varepsilon)}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{s-\varepsilon}}\right)^{-1} \leq 2kM_k C \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{1/(\ell+2)}}\right)^{-1} \sum_p \frac{1}{p^{a_0(s-\varepsilon)}}, \end{aligned}$$

and obtain that  $V(s)$  is absolutely convergent for  $\text{Re } s > 1/(\ell + 2)$ .

Note that  $v(p^{\ell+2}) = f(p^{\ell+2}) - k$  for every  $\ell \geq 3$ ,  $k \geq 2$  and for  $\ell = 2$ ,  $k \geq 2$  it is  $v(p^4) = f(p^4) - \binom{k+1}{2}$ .

ii) Consider the  $k$ -dimensional generalized divisor function

$$d(1, \underbrace{\ell, \ell, \dots, \ell}_{k-1}; n) = \sum_{ab_1^\ell b_2^\ell \dots b_{k-1}^\ell = n} 1.$$

According to i),

$$f(n) = \sum_{ab=n} d(1, \underbrace{\ell, \ell, \dots, \ell}_{k-1}; a)v(b).$$

One has, see [3], Ch. 6,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \leq x} d(1, \underbrace{\ell, \ell, \dots, \ell}_{k-1}; n) &= K_1 x + x^{1/\ell} \left( K_2 \log^{k-2} x + K_3 \log^{k-3} x + \dots + K_{k-1} \log x + K_k \right) \\ &\quad + O(x^{u_{k,\ell} + \varepsilon}), \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , where  $u_{k,\ell} = \frac{2k-1}{3+(2k-1)\ell}$  (see [3], Theorem 6.10),  $K_1, K_2, \dots, K_{k-1}, K_k$  are absolute constants depending on  $k$  and  $\ell$  and  $K_1 = \zeta^{k-1}(\ell)$ . For example for  $k = 2$  one has  $K_2 = \zeta(\frac{1}{\ell})$ , and for  $k = 3$ :  $K_2 = \frac{1}{\ell}\zeta(\frac{1}{\ell})$ ,  $K_3 = (2\gamma - 1)\zeta(\frac{1}{\ell}) + \frac{1}{\ell}\zeta'(\frac{1}{\ell})$ , where  $\gamma$  is Euler's constant.

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \leq x} f(n) &= \sum_{ab \leq x} d(\underbrace{1, \ell, \ell, \dots, \ell}_{k-1}; a)v(b) = \sum_{b \leq x} v(b) \sum_{a \leq x/b} d(\underbrace{1, \ell, \ell, \dots, \ell}_{k-1}; a) \\ &= \sum_{b \leq x} v(b) \left( K_1(x/b) + (x/b)^{1/\ell} (K_2 \log^{k-2}(x/b) + K_3 \log^{k-3}(x/b) + \dots \right. \\ &\quad \left. + K_{k-1} \log(x/b) + K_k) + O((x/b)^{u+\varepsilon}) \right), \end{aligned}$$

and obtain the desired result by partial summation and by noting that  $u_{k,\ell} > 1/(\ell + 2)$ .

iii) For  $k = 3, \ell = 2$  the error term of (10) is  $O(x^{8/25} \log^3 x)$ , cf. [3], Theorem 6.4. □

### 4. Applications

1. In case  $f(n) = (\tau^{(e)}(n))^r$ , where  $r \geq 1$  is an integer, we obtain formula (4) applying the Theorem for  $\ell = 2, k = 2^r$ .

2. For  $k \geq 2$  consider the multiplicative function  $f(n) = \tau_k^{(e)}(n)$ , where for every prime power  $p^a$  ( $a \geq 1$ ),  $\tau_k^{(e)}(p^a) := \tau_k(a)$  representing the number of ordered  $k$ -tuples of positive integers  $(x_1, \dots, x_k)$  such that  $a = x_1 \cdot \dots \cdot x_k$ . Here  $\tau_k(p^b) = \binom{b+k-1}{k-1}$  for every prime power  $p^b$  ( $b \geq 1$ ). In case  $k = 2, \tau_2^{(e)}(n) = \tau^{(e)}(n)$ .

Taking  $\ell = 2$  and  $k := k$  we obtain that  $v(p^4) = \tau_k(4) - k(k+1)/2 = 0$  and  $V(s)$  is absolutely convergent for  $\text{Re } s > \frac{1}{5}$  (and not only for  $\text{Re } s > \frac{1}{4}$  given by the Theorem),

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \tau_k^{(e)}(n) = C_k x + x^{1/2} S_{k-2}(\log x) + O(x^{w_k+\varepsilon}), \tag{11}$$

for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , where  $S_{k-2}$  is a polynomial of degree  $k - 2, w_k := \frac{2k-1}{4k+1}$  and

$$C_k = \prod_p \left( 1 + \sum_{a=2}^{\infty} \frac{\tau_k(a) - \tau_k(a-1)}{p^a} \right).$$

For  $k = 3$  the error term of (11) can be improved into  $O(x^{8/25} \log^3 x)$ .

A similar formula can be obtained for  $\sum_{n \leq x} (\tau_k^{(e)}(n))^r$ .

3. For the function  $\phi^{(e)}(n)$  defined in the Introduction we obtain formula (6) by choosing  $\ell = 3$ ,  $k = 2^r$ .

### References

- [1] I. KÁTAI and M. V. SUBBARAO, On the distribution of exponential divisors, *Annales Univ. Sci. Budapest., Sect. Comp.* **22** (2003), 161–180.
- [2] J.-M. DE KONINCK and A. IVIĆ, An asymptotic formula for reciprocals of logarithms of certain multiplicative functions, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **21** (1978), 409–413.
- [3] E. KRÄTZEL, Lattice points, *Kluwer, Dordrecht – Boston – London*, 1988.
- [4] A. SMATI and J. WU, On the exponential divisor function, *Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N. S.)* **61** (1997), 21–32.
- [5] M. V. SUBBARAO, On some arithmetic convolutions, in *The Theory of Arithmetic Functions*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, No. **251**, Springer, 1972, 247–271.
- [6] L. TÓTH, On certain arithmetic functions involving exponential divisors, *Annales Univ. Sci. Budapest., Sect. Comp.* **24** (2004), 285–294.
- [7] J. WU, Problème de diviseurs exponentiels et entiers exponentiellement sans facteur carré, *J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux* **7** (1995), 133–141.
- [8] B. M. WILSON, Proofs of some formulae enunciated by Ramanujan, *Proc. London Math. Soc. (2)*, **21** (1922), 235–255.

LÁSZLÓ TÓTH  
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS  
UNIVERSITY OF PÉCS  
IFJÚSÁG U. 6  
7624 PÉCS  
HUNGARY

*E-mail:* ltoth@ttk.pte.hu

*(Received January 23, 2006; revised March 27, 2006)*