

The influence of SNS-permutability of some subgroups on the structure of finite groups

By ZHENCAI SHEN (Suzhou) and WUJIE SHI (Suzhou)

Abstract. The following concept is introduced: a subgroup H of the group G is said to be SNS-permutable (Subnormal-Sylow-permutable) in G if there is a subnormal subgroup B of G such that $HB = G$ and H permutes with every Sylow subgroup of B . Groups with certain SNS-permutable subgroups of prime power order are studied.

1. Introduction

All groups considered in this paper will be finite; the notation and terminology used in this paper are standard, as in [8]–[10] or [16]. Given a group G , two subgroups H and K of G are said to permute if $HK = KH$, that is, HK is a subgroup of G . A subgroup H of G is said to be S-permutable in G if H permutes with every Sylow subgroup of G . This concept was introduced by KEGEL and DESKINS in 1962 and has been investigated by many authors, for example, see [1]–[7], [11]–[15], [17]–[25]. In 1998, BALLESTER-BOLINCHES and PEDRAZA-AGUILERA extended this concept to S-quasinormally embedded subgroups. A subgroup H of G is S-quasinormally embedded in G if for every Sylow subgroup P of H , there is a S-quasinormal subgroup K in G such that P is also a Sylow subgroup of K . Recently, in [21], SKIBA introduced the concept of weakly

Mathematics Subject Classification: 20D10, 20D20.

Key words and phrases: SNS-permutable subgroup; p -nilpotent group; supersolvable group; Formation.

This paper is supported by the NSF of China (No. 10871032), the NSF of Sichuan Provincial Education Department and the NSF of Sichuan University of Science and Engineering (No. 2009xjkRL011), and the Doctor Foundation of Suzhou University (No. 23320933).

S-permutable subgroup. In [12]–[13], LI, SHEN, and other other authors gave the following definition:

Definition 1.1. Let G be a group. A subgroup H of G is said to be an SS-quasinormal subgroup (supplement-Sylow-quasinormal subgroup) of G if there is a supplement B of H in G such that H permutes with every Sylow subgroup of B .

In this paper, we consider another generalization of S-permutable subgroup and give the following definition:

Definition 1.2. Let G be a group. A subgroup H of G is said to be an SNS-permutable subgroup (Subnormal-Sylow-permutable subgroup) of G if there is a subnormal subgroup B such that $HB = G$ and H permutes with every Sylow subgroup of B .

Obviously, every S-permutable subgroup of G is SNS-permutable and every SNS-permutable subgroup is SS-quasinormal. In general, an SNS-permutable subgroup need not be S-permutable. For instance, S_3 is an SNS-permutable subgroup of the symmetric group S_4 , but S_3 is not S-permutable. Moreover, an SS-quasinormal subgroup need not be SNS-permutable. For instance, S_4 is an SS-quasinormal subgroup of $PSL(2, 7)$, but S_4 is not SNS-permutable in $PSL(2, 7)$.

Recall that a formation is a class \mathcal{F} of groups satisfying the following conditions: (i) if $G \in \mathcal{F}$ and $N \trianglelefteq G$, then $G/N \in \mathcal{F}$, and (ii) if $N_1, N_2 \trianglelefteq G$ are such that $G/N_1, G/N_2 \in \mathcal{F}$, then $G/(N_1 \cap N_2) \in \mathcal{F}$. A formation \mathcal{F} is said to be saturated if $G/\Phi(G) \in \mathcal{F}$ implies that $G \in \mathcal{F}$.

We study the influence of the SNS-permutable subgroups on the structure of group G . The main results are as follows:

Theorem 1.1. *Let p be the smallest prime dividing the order of a group G and P a Sylow p -subgroup of G . If P has a subgroup D such that $1 < |D| < |P|$ and all subgroups H of P with order $|H| = |D|$ and with order $2|D|$ (if P is a non-abelian 2-group and $|P : D| > 2$) not having a supersolvable supplement in G are SNS-permutable in G , then G is p -nilpotent.*

Theorem 1.2. *Let \mathcal{F} be a saturated formation containing all supersolvable groups and G a group with a normal subgroup E such that $G/E \in \mathcal{F}$. Suppose that every non-cyclic Sylow subgroup P of $F^*(E)$ has a subgroup D such that $1 < |D| < |P|$ and all subgroups H of P with order $|H| = |D|$ and with order $2|D|$ (if P is a non-abelian 2-group and $|P : D| > 2$) are SNS-permutable in G . Then $G \in \mathcal{F}$.*

2. Preliminaries

Our first result is very useful in proofs using induction arguments. Its proof is a routine checking.

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose that H is SNS-permutable in a group G , $K \leq G$ and N a normal subgroup of G . We have:*

- (i) *If $H \leq K$, then H is SNS-permutable in K ;*
- (ii) *HN/N is SNS-permutable in G/N ;*
- (iii) *If $N \leq K$ and K/N is SNS-permutable in G/N , then K is SNS-permutable in G .*

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose that H is a p -subgroup for some prime p and H is not S -permutable in G . Assume that H is SNS-permutable in G . Then G has a normal subgroup M such that $|G : M| = p$ and $G = HM$.*

PROOF. By hypothesis G has a subnormal subgroup T such that $HT = G$ and $T \cap H < H$. Hence G has a proper normal subgroup K such that $T \leq K$. Since G/K is a p -group, G has a normal maximal subgroup M such that $HM = G$ and $|G : M| = p$. \square

Lemma 2.3. *Let H be a p -subgroup of G . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) *H is S -permutable in G ;*
- (ii) *$H \leq O_p(G)$ and H is SNS-permutable in G ;*
- (iii) *$H \leq O_p(G)$ and H is SS-quasinormal in G .*

PROOF. We only need to prove that (iii) implies (i). As $H \leq O_p(G)$, it is clear that H permutes with all Sylow p -subgroup of G . By the hypothesis, there is a subgroup $B \leq G$ such that $G = HB$ and $HX = XH$ for all $X \in \text{Syl}(B)$. In particular, if $X = Q \in \text{Syl}_q(B)$, $q \neq p$, then $HQ = QH$. Notice that Q is a Sylow q -subgroup of G . Assume T is another Sylow q -subgroup of G . Then $T = Q^g$ with $g \in G$. Moreover, $g = bh$ with $b \in B$; $h \in H$. Thus $T = Q^g = (Q^b)^h$. As Q^b is another Sylow q -subgroup of B , by the hypothesis, HQ^b is a subgroup of G and from here $H^h(Q^b)^h = HT$ is a subgroup of G . Consequently H permutes with all Sylow q -subgroups of G . Because this holds for all primes $q \neq p$, we have H is S -permutable in G . \square

Lemma 2.4. *Let N be an elementary abelian normal subgroup of a group G . Assume that N has a subgroup D such that $1 < |D| < |N|$ and every subgroup H*

of N satisfying $|H| = |D|$ is SNS-permutable in G . Then some maximal subgroup of N is normal in G .

PROOF. It follows from Lemma 2.11 of [21] and Lemma 2.3. \square

Lemma 2.5. *Let \mathcal{F} be a saturated formation containing all nilpotent groups and let G be a group with solvable \mathcal{F} -residual $P = G^{\mathcal{F}}$. Suppose that every maximal subgroup of G not containing P belongs to \mathcal{F} . Then P is a p -group for some prime p . In addition, if every cyclic subgroup of P with prime order or order 4 (if $p = 2$ and P is non-abelian) not having a supersolvable supplement in G is SNS-permutable in G , then $|P/\Phi(P)| = p$.*

PROOF. By Lemma 2.12 of [21] and Lemma 2.3. \square

Lemma 2.6 ([10]). *Let G be a group and M a subgroup of G .*

- (i) *If M is normal in G , then $F^*(M) \leq F^*(G)$.*
- (ii) *$F^*(G) \neq 1$ if $G \neq 1$; in fact, $F^*(G)/F(G) = \text{soc}(F(G)C_G(F(G)))/F(G)$.*
- (iii) *$F^*(F^*(G)) = F^*(G) \geq F(G)$; if $F^*(G)$ is solvable, then $F^*(G) = F(G)$.*
- (iv) *Suppose K is a subgroup of G contained in $Z(G)$, then $F^*(G/K) = F^*(G)/K$.*

3. Proofs of the main Theorems

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1. Assume that the theorem is not true and let G be a counterexample of minimal order. We prove the theorem by the following steps.

- (1) $O_{p'}(G) = 1$.

In fact, if $O_{p'}(G) \neq 1$, then we consider the quotient group $G/O_{p'}(G)$. By Lemma 2.1, $G/O_{p'}(G)$ satisfies the hypotheses of the theorem. Thus it follows that $G/O_{p'}(G)$ is p -nilpotent by the choice of G . Hence G is p -nilpotent, a contradiction.

- (2) $|D| > p$.

If $|D| = p$, then by Lemma 2.1, G is a minimal non- p -nilpotent group, so $G = [P]Q$, where P, Q are the Sylow p -subgroup and a Sylow q -subgroup of G , respectively. Set $\Phi = \Phi(P)$ and let X/Φ be a subgroup of P/Φ of order p , $x \in X \setminus \Phi$ and $L = \langle x \rangle$. Then L is order p or 4. By the hypotheses, L has a supersolvable supplement in G or is SNS-permutable in G . If L has a supersolvable supplement T in G , then $T \neq G$. So $|G/\Phi : T\Phi/\Phi| = p$. Hence $T\Phi/\Phi \trianglelefteq G/\Phi$

and $P/\Phi \cap T\Phi/\Phi = 1$, it follows that $|P/\Phi| = p$. Therefore P is cyclic and G is p -nilpotent, a contradiction. So L is SNS-permutable in G . By Lemma 2.3, L is S-permutable in G . Moreover, Lemma 2.5 implies that $|P/\Phi| = p$. Consequently, it follows that G is p -nilpotent.

(3) $|P : D| > p$.

If $|P : D| = p$, then by [14, Theorem 1.1], we have that G is p -nilpotent, a contradiction.

(4) All subgroups of P of order $|D|$ and $2|D|$ (if P is a non-abelian 2-group and $|P : D| > 2$) have supersolvable supplement subgroups in G or are S-permutable in G .

Let $H \leq P$ with $|H| = |D|$ or $2|D|$. Assume H has not a supersolvable supplement, therefore it is SNS-permutable in G and it is not S-permutable in G , by Lemma 2.2, there is a normal subgroup M of G such that $|G : M| = p$ and $G = HM$. By (3) and the minimality of G , M is p -nilpotent, and it follows that G is p -nilpotent, a contradiction.

(5) If $N \leq P$ and N is a minimal normal subgroup of G , then $|N| \leq |D|$.

Suppose $|N| > |D|$. Since $N \leq O_p(G)$, N is an elementary abelian group. If a subgroup H of N of order $|D|$ has a supersolvable supplement T in G , then $G = HT = NT$. Hence $N \cap T \leq G$. By minimality of N , we have that $N \cap T = 1$ or $N \cap T = N$. If $N \cap T = 1$, then $N = N \cap HT = H(N \cap T) = H$, a contradiction. Thus $N \cap T = N$ and $G = NT = T$, this is also a contradiction. Hence all subgroups of N of order $|D|$ are SNS-permutable. By Lemma 2.2, some maximal subgroup N_1 of N is normal in G . It follows from the minimality of N that $N_1 = 1$, thus $|N| = |D| = p$, a contradiction.

(6) If $N \leq P$ and N is a minimal normal subgroup of G , then G/N is p -nilpotent.

Suppose $|N| < |D|$. By Lemma 2.1 and the minimality of G , G/N is p -nilpotent. By (5), we have $|N| = |D|$. Let $N \leq K \leq P$ with $|K/N| = p$. By (2), N is non-cyclic, so K is also non-cyclic, it follows that K has a maximal subgroup $L \neq N$ and $K = LN$. If L has a supersolvable supplement in G , then K has a supersolvable supplement in G and G/N would be p -nilpotent. So we may assume that L is S-permutable in G , and then $K/N = LN/N$ is S-permutable in G/N . If P/N is abelian, then G/N satisfies the hypothesis. Next suppose that P/N is a non-abelian 2-group. Hence every subgroup of P of order $2|D|$ not having a supersolvable supplement in G is S-permutable in G . In this case one can show as above that every subgroup X of P containing N and such that $|X : N| = 4$

either has a supersolvable supplement in G or is S-permutable in G . Therefore G/N also satisfies the hypothesis.

(7) $O_p(G) = 1$.

If $O_p(G) \neq 1$, then we can find a minimal normal subgroup N of G contained in $O_p(G)$. By (6), there exists a unique minimal normal subgroup of G , N say (notice that p -nilpotent groups are a saturated formation). Moreover N is not contained in $\Phi(G)$. Therefore $N = O_p(G)$ and there is a maximal subgroup M of G such that $G = NM$, $M \cap N = 1$.

Then by (4) every subgroup H of P satisfying $|H| = |D|$ and not having a supersolvable supplement in G is S-permutable. Since every S-permutable subgroup of G is contained in $O_p(G) = N$, it follows that every subgroup H of P different from N satisfying $|H| = |D|$ has a supersolvable supplement in G . Therefore every maximal subgroup of P has a supersolvable supplement in G , which contradicts Lemma 2.2 of [21]. Thus we have (7).

(8) The final contradiction.

Let H be a subgroup of P of order $|D|$. If H is S-permutable, then $H \leq O_p(G) = 1$, a contradiction. Therefore all subgroups of P of order $|D|$ have supersolvable supplement in G and by Lemma 2.2 of [21], G is p -nilpotent, a contradiction. \square

Corollary 3.1. *Let G be a group. If, for every prime p dividing the order of G and $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$, P has a subgroup D such that $1 < |D| < |P|$ and all subgroups H of P with order $|H| = |D|$ and with order $2|D|$ (if P is a non-abelian 2-group and $|P : D| > 2$) not having a supersolvable supplement in G are SNS-permutable in G , then G has the Sylow tower property of supersolvable type.*

Corollary 3.2. *Let p be the smallest prime dividing the order of a group G and P a Sylow p -subgroup of G . If P has a subgroup D such that $1 < |D| < |P|$ and all subgroups H of P with order $|H| = |D|$ and with order $2|D|$ (if P is a non-abelian 2-group and $|P : D| > 2$) not having a supersolvable supplement in G are S-permutable in G , then G is p -nilpotent.*

Theorem 3.3. *Let \mathcal{F} be a saturated formation containing all supersolvable groups and G a group with a normal subgroup E such that $G/E \in \mathcal{F}$. Suppose that every non-cyclic Sylow subgroup P of E has a subgroup D such that $1 < |D| < |P|$ and all subgroups H of P with order $|H| = |D|$ and with order $2|D|$ (if P is a non-abelian 2-group and $|P : D| > 2$) not having a supersolvable supplement in G are SNS-permutable in G . Then $G \in \mathcal{F}$.*

PROOF. Suppose that the theorem is not true and let G be a counterexample of the smallest order. We have the following claims:

(1) $G/Q \in \mathcal{F}$, where Q is a Sylow q -subgroup of E and q is the largest prime dividing $|E|$.

By Lemma 2.1 and Corollary 3.1, E has the Sylow Tower property. Let q be the largest prime dividing $|E|$ and Q a Sylow q -subgroup of E . The fact that E possesses an order Sylow Tower property implies that Q is normal in E . Now Q is characteristic in E and $E \trianglelefteq G$, so $Q \trianglelefteq G$. Furthermore, $(G/Q)/(E/Q) \cong G/E \in \mathcal{F}$ and Lemma 2.1 shows that G/Q satisfies the conditions of the theorem, thus by the choice of G , $G/Q \in \mathcal{F}$.

(2) Every subgroup H of Q with order $|H| = |D|$ not having a supersolvable supplement in G is S-permutable in G .

By Lemma 2.3, we have (2).

(3) If $N \leq Q$ and N is minimal normal subgroup of G , then $G/N \in \mathcal{F}$.

If either $|N| < |D|$ or $|Q : D| = q$, it is clear. So let $|N| = |D|$ and $|Q : D| > q$. Let $N \leq K \leq Q$ where $|K/N| = q$. By Lemma 2.5, $|D| > q$, it follows that N is non-cyclic, so K is also non-cyclic. Hence K has a maximal subgroup $L \neq N$ and $K = LN$. If L has a supersolvable supplement in G then K has a supersolvable supplement in G and G/N would be supersolvable, therefore it would be an \mathcal{F} -group. So L is S-permutable in G . Therefore $K/N = LN/N$ is S-permutable in G/N . Consequently, G/N satisfies the hypothesis, as desired.

(4) Final contradiction.

Let N be a minimal normal subgroup of G contained in Q . Applying (3) and the fact that \mathcal{F} is a saturated formation, we obtain that N is the only minimal normal subgroup of G contained in Q and $\Phi(Q) = 1$. Moreover, $N \not\leq \Phi(G)$. Therefore, G has a maximal subgroup M such that $G = MN$ and $M \cap N = 1$. On the other hand, $\Phi(Q) = 1$ implies that $Q \cap M$ is normalized by N and M , hence the uniqueness of N yields $N = Q$. But by Lemma 2.4 it is impossible, because Q is a minimal normal subgroup of G . This contradiction completes the proof of this theorem. \square

By Theorem 1.3 of [21] and Lemma 2.3, we have:

Corollary 3.4. *Let \mathcal{F} be a saturated formation containing all supersoluble groups and G a group with a solvable normal subgroup E such that $G/E \in \mathcal{F}$. Suppose that every non-cyclic Sylow subgroup P of $F(E)$ has a subgroup D such that $1 < |D| < |P|$ and all subgroups H of P with order $|H| = |D|$ and with order $2|D|$ (if P is a non-abelian 2-group and $|P : D| > 2$) are SNS-permutable in G . Then $G \in \mathcal{F}$.*

Theorem 3.5. *Let G be a group with a normal subgroup E such that G/E is supersolvable, Suppose that every non-cyclic Sylow subgroup P of $F^*(E)$ has a subgroup D such that $1 < |D| < |P|$ and all subgroups H of P with order $|H| = |D|$ and with order $2|D|$ (if P is a non-abelian 2-group and $|P : D| > 2$) are SNS-permutable in G . Then G is supersolvable.*

PROOF. Suppose that the theorem is false and let G be a counterexample of smallest order, then we have:

(1) Every proper normal subgroup of G containing $F^*(E)$ is supersolvable.

If N is a proper normal subgroup of G containing $F^*(E)$, we have that $N/N \cap E \cong NE/E$ is supersolvable. By Lemma 2.6, $F^*(E) = F^*(F^*(E)) \leq F^*(E \cap N) \leq F^*(E)$, so $F^*(E \cap N) = F^*(E)$. By Lemma 2.1, $(N, N \cap E)$ satisfy the hypotheses of the theorem, thus the minimal choice of G implies that N is supersolvable.

(2) $E = G$, and $F^*(E) = F(G) < G$.

If $E < G$, then E is supersolvable by (1). In particular, E is solvable, so G is solvable and $F^*(E) = F(E)$. It follows that G is supersolvable by applying Corollary 3.4, a contradiction. If $F^*(G) = G$, then G is supersolvable by Theorem 3.3, a contradiction. Thus $F^*(G) < G$ and $F^*(G)$ is supersolvable by (1), it follows that $F^*(E) = F^*(G) = F(G)$ by Lemma 2.6.

(3) Final contradiction.

Let P be a Sylow p -subgroup of $F(G)$, for some prime p , and let P_1 be an arbitrary subgroup of P of order $|D|$. Then $P_1 \trianglelefteq P \trianglelefteq F(G) \trianglelefteq G$. By the hypotheses, P_1 is SNS-permutable in G . So P_1 is S-permutable in G by Lemma 2.3. Thus all subgroups of P of order $|D|$ are S-permutable in G . Applying Corollary 3.4, G is supersolvable, the final contradiction. \square

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2. By Lemma 2.1, we have that all subgroups of any Sylow subgroup of order $|D|$ of $F^*(E)$ are SNS-permutable in E , so Theorem 3.5 implies that E is supersolvable. Hence $F^*(E) = F(E)$. Let P be a Sylow p -subgroup of $F(E)$, for some prime p , and let H be an arbitrary subgroup of order $|D|$ of P . Since P is normal in G , it follows that H is subnormal in G . By the hypotheses, H is SNS-permutable in G . So H is S-permutable in G by Lemma 2.3. Thus all subgroups of P of order $|D|$ are S-permutable in G . Applying Corollary 3.4, G belongs to \mathcal{F} . \square

In connection with Theorem 1.1 and 1.2 the following natural questions arise:

Remark. Whether Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 remain true if we replace SNS-permutable by SS-quasinormal or S-quasinormally embedded.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. The authors are grateful to the referees and the editor who provided their valuable suggestions and detailed reports. In particular, the authors are grateful to the referees who provided the better proof of Lemma 2.3.

References

- [1] J. MANUEL, A. ALEJANDRE and JOHN COSSEY BALLESTER-BOLINCHES, Permutable products of supersoluble groups, *J. Algebra* **276** (2004), 453–461.
- [2] M. ASAAD, On maximal subgroups of Sylow subgroups of finite groups, *Comm. Algebra* **26** (1998), 3647–3652.
- [3] M. ASAAD and A. A. HELIEL, On S-quasinormally embedded subgroups of finite groups, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **165** (2001), 129–135.
- [4] A. BALLESTER-BOLINCHES, \mathcal{H} -normalizers and local definitions of saturated formations of finite groups, *Israel J. Math.* **67** (1989), 312–326.
- [5] A. BALLESTER-BOLINCHES and M.C. PEDRAZA-AGUILERA, On minimal subgroups of finite groups, *Acta Math. Hungar.* **73** (1996), 335–342.
- [6] A. BALLESTER-BOLINCHES and M. C. PEDRAZA-AGUILERA, Sufficient conditions for supersolubility of finite groups, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **127** (1998), 113–118.
- [7] W. E. DESKINS, On quasinormal subgroups of finite groups, *Math. Z.* **82** (1963), 125–132.
- [8] K. DOERK and T. O. HAWKES, Finite Soluble Groups, *de Gruyter, Berlin*, 1992.
- [9] D. GORENSTEIN, Finite Groups, *Harper & Row, Publishers, New York – London* 1968.
- [10] B. HUPPERT, Endliche Gruppen I, *Springer-Verlag, Berlin*, 1968.
- [11] O. KEGEL, Sylow-Gruppen und Subnormalteiler endlicher Gruppen, *Math. Z.* **78** (1962), 205–221.
- [12] SHIRONG LI, ZHENCAI SHEN, JIANJUN LIU and XIAOCHUN LIU, The influence of SS -quasinormality of some subgroups on the structure of finite groups, *J. Algebra* **319** (2008), 4275–4287.
- [13] SHIRONG LI, ZHENCAI SHEN and XIANGHONG KONG, On SS -quasinormal subgroups of finite groups, *Comm. Algebra* **36** (2008), 4436–4447.
- [14] YANGMING LI and YANMING WANG, On S -quasinormally embedded subgroups of finite group, *J. Algebra* **281** (2004), 109–123.
- [15] R. MAIER and P. SCHMID, The embedding of quasinormal subgroups in finite groups, *Math. Z.* **131** (1973), 269–272.
- [16] D. J. S. ROBINSON, A Course in the Theory of Groups, *Springer-Verlag, New York*, 1982.
- [17] P. SCHMID, Subgroups permutable with all Sylow subgroups, *J. Algebra* **207** (1998), 285–293.
- [18] A. SHAALAN, The influence of S-quasinormality of some subgroups on the structure of a finite group, *Acta Math. Hungar.* **56** (1990), 287–293.
- [19] ZHENCAI SHEN, SHIRONG LI and WUJIE SHI, Finite groups with normally embedded subgroups, *Journal of Group Theory* **13** (2010), 257–265.
- [20] ZHENCAI SHEN, SHIRONG LI and WUJIE SHI, S -quasinormality of finite groups, *Front. Math. China* **5** (2010), 329–339.
- [21] A. N. SKIBA, On weakly S -permutable subgroups of finite groups, *J. Algebra* **315** (2007), 192–209.

- [22] A. N. SKIBA and Q. V. TITOV, Finite groups with c -quasinormal subgroups, *Siberian Math. Journal* **48** (2007), 544–554.
- [23] S. SRINIVASAN, Two sufficient conditions for the supersolvability of finite groups, *Israel J. Math.* **35** (1980), 210–214.
- [24] Y. WANG, Finite groups with some subgroups of Sylow subgroups c -supplemented, *J. Algebra* **224** (2000), 464–478.
- [25] G. WALL, Groups with maximal subgroups of Sylow subgroups normal, *Israel J. Math.* **43** (1982), 166–168.

ZHENCAI SHEN
SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS
SUZHOU UNIVERSITY
SUZHOU, JIANGSU, 215006
P.R. CHINA

E-mail: zhencai688@sina.com

WUJIE SHI
SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS
SUZHOU UNIVERSITY
SUZHOU, JIANGSU, 215006
P.R. CHINA

E-mail: wjshi@suda.edu.cn

(Received July 6, 2009; revised March 31, 2010)