

## A note on Euler's $\varphi$ -function

By WŁADYSŁAW NARKIEWICZ (Wrocław)

*This paper is dedicated to Kálmán Győry, János Pintz, Attila Pethő  
and András Sárközy on the occasion of their round birthdays*

**Abstract.** For a large class of multiplicative arithmetic functions  $f$  a method is given to determine the value of the leading coefficient in the asymptotical formula for the number of integers  $n \leq x$  with  $f(n)$  prime to a given integer. This is applied to Euler's  $\varphi$ -function, generalizing the result of [6].

### 1. Introduction

For an integer-valued arithmetic function  $f$  and an integer  $N \geq 2$  denote by  $F_N(f; x)$  the number of integers  $n \leq x$  satisfying  $(f(n), N) = 1$ . If  $N$  is a prime, then  $F_N(f; x)$  counts the integers  $n \leq x$  with  $N \nmid f(n)$ .

It has been shown in 1964 by E. J. SCOURFIELD ([4]) that for the function  $\varphi(n)$  and odd primes  $q$  one has

$$F_q(\varphi, x) = (c(q) + o(1)) \frac{x}{\log^{1/(q-1)} x} \quad (1)$$

with some positive constant  $c(q)$ . This has been later put in a more general context in [2], [3] (see also [5]). The value of the coefficient  $c(q)$  has been determined by B. K. SPEARMAN and K. S. WILLIAMS [6] in 2006. The purpose of this note is to show that the approach utilized in [2], [3], [5] can be used to obtain the

---

*Mathematics Subject Classification:* 11N37.

*Key words and phrases:* multiplicative functions, divisibility, Euler function.

value of the leading coefficient in a similar asymptotic formula for a large class of integer-valued multiplicative functions, without restricting the integer  $q$  to be a prime.

## 2. Notation

By  $\chi$  we shall denote multiplicative characters mod  $N$ ,  $L(s, \chi)$  will be the corresponding Dirichlet  $L$ -function and  $\chi_0$  the principal character. The letter  $p$  in formulas will be reserved for prime numbers. For  $(k, N) = 1$  and  $\Re s > 1$  put

$$g(N, k; s) = \sum_{p \equiv k \pmod{N}} \frac{1}{p^s} - \frac{1}{\varphi(N)} \log \frac{1}{s-1}. \quad (2)$$

We shall need a simple formula for the value of

$$g(N, k; 1) = \lim_{s \rightarrow 1+0} g(N, k; s).$$

It belongs to the folklore, but we sketch the proof for the convenience of the readers.

**Lemma 1.** *If  $(N, k) = 1$ , then*

$$g(N, k; 1) = \frac{1}{\varphi(N)} \sum_{\chi \neq \chi_0} \overline{\chi(k)} \log L(1, \chi) - \frac{\alpha(N)}{\varphi(N)} - \beta(N, k),$$

where

$$\alpha(N) = \log \frac{N}{\varphi(N)},$$

and

$$\beta(N, k) = \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j} \sum_{p^j \equiv k \pmod{N}} \frac{1}{p^j}.$$

PROOF. For characters  $\chi$  mod  $N$  and  $\Re s > 1$  one has

$$\log L(\chi, s) = \sum_p \frac{\chi(p)}{p^s} + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j} \sum_p \frac{\chi^j(p)}{p^{js}}, \quad \sum_p \frac{\chi_0(p)}{p^s} = \sum_p \frac{1}{p^s} - \sum_{p|N} \frac{1}{p^s},$$

and

$$\log \frac{1}{s-1} + r(s) = \log \zeta(s) = \sum_p \frac{1}{p^s} + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j} \sum_p \frac{1}{p^{js}},$$

where  $r(s)$  is regular for  $\Re s \geq 1$  and vanishes at  $s = 1$ .

Thus

$$\sum_p \frac{\chi_0(p)}{p^s} = \log \frac{1}{s-1} + r(s) - \sum_{p|N} \frac{1}{p^s} - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j} \sum_p \frac{1}{p^{js}}.$$

Applying Dirichlet's formula

$$\varphi(N) \sum_{p \equiv k \pmod N} p^{-s} = \sum_{\chi} \overline{\chi(k)} \sum_p \frac{\chi(p)}{p^s}$$

one arrives at

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(N) \sum_{p \equiv k \pmod N} p^{-s} &= \log \frac{1}{s-1} + r(s) - \sum_{p|N} \frac{1}{p^s} - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j} \sum_p \frac{1}{p^{js}} \\ &+ \sum_{\chi \neq \chi_0} \overline{\chi(k)} \log L(s, \chi) - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j} \sum_p \frac{1}{p^{js}} \sum_{\chi \neq \chi_0} \overline{\chi(k)} \chi(p^j). \end{aligned}$$

In view of

$$\sum_{\chi \neq \chi_0} \chi(a) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } a \not\equiv 1 \pmod N \text{ and } (a, N) = 1, \\ \varphi(N) - 1 & \text{if } a \equiv 1 \pmod N, \\ 0 & \text{if } (a, N) > 1, \end{cases}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(N) \sum_{p \equiv k \pmod N} p^{-s} &= \log \frac{1}{s-1} + r(s) - \sum_{p|N} \frac{1}{p^s} - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j} \sum_p \frac{1}{p^{js}} \\ &+ \sum_{\chi \neq \chi_0} \overline{\chi(k)} \log L(s, \chi) + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j} \sum_{p|N} \frac{1}{p^{js}} - \varphi(N) \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j} \sum_{p^j \equiv k \pmod N} \frac{1}{p^{js}}, \end{aligned}$$

and this leads to

$$\varphi(N)g(N, k; 1) = \sum_{\chi \neq \chi_0} \overline{\chi(k)} \log L(1, \chi) \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j} \sum_{p|N} \frac{1}{p^j} + \varphi(N) \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j} \sum_{p^j \equiv k \pmod N} \frac{1}{p^j} \right).$$

It remains to observe that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j} \sum_{p|N} \frac{1}{p^j} = \sum_{p|N} \log \frac{1}{1-1/p} = \log \prod_{p|N} \frac{1}{1-1/p} = \log \frac{N}{\varphi(N)}. \quad \square$$

We shall need also the following lemma:

**Lemma 2.** *If  $m \geq 2$  and  $(k, N) = 1$ , then for  $\Re s > 1/m$  one has*

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 1/m+0} \left( \sum_{p \equiv k \pmod N} \frac{1}{p^{sm}} - \frac{1}{\varphi(N)} \log \frac{1}{s - 1/m} \right) = g(N, k; 1) - \frac{\log m}{\varphi(N)}.$$

PROOF. Follows from (2) and the equality

$$\log(ms - 1) = \log(s - 1/m) + \log m. \quad \square$$

For shortness we shall write

$$T(y) = \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{j+1}}{j} y^{-j} = \log(1 + 1/y) - 1/y,$$

and

$$V(y) = \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{jy^j} = \log \frac{1}{1 - 1/y} - 1/y.$$

Moreover we put

$$\Phi(n) = \prod_{p|n} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p-1} \right),$$

and for  $(N, k) = 1$  and  $\Re s > 1$

$$Z(N, k; s) = \prod_{p \equiv k \pmod N} \frac{1}{1 - p^{-s}}.$$

### 3. Multiplicative functions

We shall deal with integer-valued multiplicative functions  $f(n)$  which are polynomial-like, i.e. have the property that for  $i = 1, 2, \dots$  and every prime  $p$  one has  $f(p^i) = V_i(p)$ , where  $V_1, V_2, \dots$  are polynomials with integral coefficients.

For a fixed integer  $N \geq 3$  and  $i = 1, 2, \dots$  put

$$R_i(f, N) = \{x \pmod N : (xV_i(x), N) = 1\}$$

and denote by  $m = m(f, N)$  the smallest integer  $i$  for which the set is nonempty, if such integer exists.

For  $\Re s > 1$  put

$$F(f, N; s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi_0(f(n))}{n^s}.$$

Expanding  $F(f, N; s)$  in an Euler product one gets

$$F(f, N; s) = g(s)G(s),$$

with

$$g(s) = \prod_{p|N} \left( 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi_0(f(p^j))}{p^{js}} \right) \tag{3}$$

being regular for  $\Re s > 0$  and

$$G(s) = \prod_{p \nmid N} \left( 1 + \sum_{j=m}^{\infty} \frac{\chi_0(f_j(p))}{p^{js}} \right).$$

Since

$$\left| 1 + \frac{\chi_0(f(p^m))}{p^{ms}} \right| \geq \frac{1}{2},$$

the product

$$H(s) = \prod_{p \nmid N} \frac{1 + \sum_{j=m}^{\infty} \chi_0(f(p^j))p^{-js}}{1 + \chi_0(f(p^m))p^{-ms}} \tag{4}$$

is regular for  $\Re s \geq 1/m$ .

Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{p \nmid N} \left( 1 + \frac{\chi_0(f(p^m))}{p^{ms}} \right) = \prod_{p \nmid N} \left( 1 + \frac{\chi_0(V_m(p))}{p^{ms}} \right) \\ & = \exp \left( \sum_{p \nmid N} \log \left( 1 + \frac{\chi_0(V_m(p))}{p^{ms}} \right) \right) = \exp \left( \sum_{p \nmid N} \frac{\chi_0(V_m(p))}{p^{ms}} + h(s) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$h(s) = \sum_{p \nmid N} \chi_0(V_m(p)) \sum_{r=2}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{r+1}}{r} \frac{1}{p^{mrs}} \tag{5}$$

is regular for  $\Re s \geq 1/m$ .

This implies the equality

$$G(s) = H(s) \exp \left( \sum_{p \nmid N} \frac{\chi_0(V_m(p))}{p^{ms}} + h(s) \right), \tag{6}$$

valid for  $\Re s > 1/m$ .

Now write  $R_m(f, N) = \{r_1 < r_2 < \dots < r_t\}$ , observe that

$$\sum_{p \nmid N} \frac{\chi_0(V_m(p))}{p^{ms}} = \sum_{j=1}^t \sum_{p \equiv r_j \pmod N} \frac{1}{p^{ms}},$$

and apply Lemma 2 to arrive at

$$\sum_{p \nmid N} \frac{\chi_0(V_m(p))}{p^{ms}} = \frac{t}{\varphi(N)} \log \frac{1}{s - 1/m} + \sum_{j=1}^t g(N, r_j; 1) - \frac{t \log m}{\varphi(N)} + \gamma(s),$$

where  $\gamma(s)$  is regular for  $\Re s \geq 1/m$  and  $\gamma(1/m) = 0$ .

The last equality and (6) give now

$$F(f, N; s) = A(s)/(s - 1/m)^B,$$

where

$$A(s) = g(s)H(s) \exp \left( h(s) + \sum_{j=1}^t g(N, r_j; 1) - \frac{t \log m}{\varphi(N)} + \gamma(s) \right) \tag{7}$$

and

$$B = t/\varphi(N). \tag{8}$$

Observe also that  $A(s)$  cannot vanish at  $s = 1/m$ . Indeed, otherwise one would have either  $1 \leq g(1/m) = 0$ , or  $H(1/m) = 0$ , thus for some prime  $p \nmid N$  one must have

$$\sum_{j=m}^{\infty} \frac{\chi_0(V_j(p))}{p^{j/m}} = -1,$$

which is obviously not possible.

Applying the TAUBERIAN theorem of DELANGE ([1], [7], p. 275) to the function  $F(f, N; s)$  one gets the following assertion:

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $f$  be a polynomial-like integral-valued multiplicative function and let  $N \geq 2$  be an integer. Then*

$$\#\{n \leq x : (f(n), N) = 1\} = \left( \frac{mA}{\Gamma(t/\varphi(N))} + o(1) \right) \frac{x^{1/m}}{\log^{1-t/\varphi(N)} x},$$

with

$$A = g(1/m)H(1/m) \exp \left( h(1/m) + \sum_{j=1}^t g(N, r_j; 1) - \frac{t \log m}{\varphi(N)} \right), \tag{9}$$

the functions  $g(N, k; s)$ ,  $g(s)$ ,  $H(s)$ ,  $h(s)$  being defined in (2), (3), (4) and (5), respectively, and  $m = m(f, N)$ .

**4. Euler's function**

We apply now Theorem 1 to Euler's function  $\varphi(n)$ .

**Theorem 2.** *If  $N \geq 3$  is an odd integer, then*

$$F_N(\varphi, x) = (c(N) + o(1)) \frac{x}{\log^a x},$$

where

$$a = 1 - \Phi(N)$$

and

$$c(N) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\Phi(N))} \left(\frac{\varphi(N)}{N}\right)^{\Phi(N)} \prod_{p|N, (p-1, N)=1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right) \cdot \prod_{\chi \neq \chi_0} L(1, \chi)^{-\Phi(f_\chi)} \left( \prod_{1 < d|N} \prod_{1 < \delta | \varphi(d)} \prod_{k \in T_d(\delta)} Z(N, k; \delta)^{\mu(d)/\delta} \right)^{-1},$$

where  $f_\chi$  is the conductor of  $\chi$  and  $T_d(\delta)$  denotes the set of residue classes mod  $N$  having order  $\delta \pmod d$ .

PROOF. Let  $N = \prod_{j=1}^s p_j^{\alpha_j} \geq 3$  be an odd integer, let  $R_1$  denote the set of residue classes  $k \pmod N$  satisfying  $(k(k-1), N) = 1$  and  $R$  let be their union. In our case we have  $2 \in R_1(\varphi, N) = R_1 \neq \emptyset$  hence  $m = m(\varphi, N) = 1$ , and since the Chinese remainder theorem implies

$$\#R_1(\varphi, N) = \prod_{j=1}^s \#R_1(\varphi, p_j^{\alpha_j}),$$

hence

$$t = \#R_1 = \prod_{j=1}^s (p_j - 2)p_j^{\alpha_j - 1} = N \prod_{p|N} \left(1 - \frac{2}{p}\right) \neq 0.$$

Since  $\varphi(p^j) = (p-1)p^{j-1}$ , the condition  $(\varphi(p^j), N) = 1$  is equivalent to either  $p \nmid N$  and  $(p-1, N) = 1$ , or  $p|N$ ,  $(p-1, N) = 1$  and  $j = 1$ . Therefore

$$g(1) = \prod_{p|N, (N, p-1)=1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right), \quad H(1) = \prod_{p \in R} \frac{1}{1 - 1/p^2}, \quad (10)$$

and

$$h(1) = \sum_{p \in R} T(p).$$

Moreover, by Lemma 1 we have

$$\sum_{k \in R} g(N, k; 1) = \frac{1}{\varphi(N)} \sum_{\chi \neq \chi_0} \sum_{k \in R} \overline{\chi(k)} \log L(1, \chi) - \frac{t}{\varphi(N)} \log \frac{N}{\varphi(N)} - S \quad (11)$$

with

$$S = \sum_{k \in R} \beta(N, k). \quad (12)$$

Write  $P_d$  for the set of primes  $p \nmid N$ , congruent to 1 mod  $d$ . We can write  $S$  in the form

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \sum_{j \geq 2} \frac{1}{j} \sum_{p^j \in R} \frac{1}{p^j} = \sum_{j \geq 2} \frac{1}{j} \sum_{p \nmid N} \frac{1}{p^j} - \sum_{j \geq 2} \frac{1}{j} \sum_{p \nmid N, p^j \notin R} \frac{1}{p^j} \\ &= \sum_{p \nmid N} V(p) + \sum_{d|N, d > 1} \mu(d) \sum_{j \geq 2} \sum_{\substack{p \nmid N \\ p^j \equiv 1 \pmod{d}}} \frac{1}{j p^j} \\ &= \sum_{p \nmid N} V(p) + \sum_{d|N, d > 1} \mu(d) \sum_{j \geq 2} \left( \sum_{p^j \equiv 1 \pmod{d}} d \frac{1}{j p^j} - \sum_{\substack{p|N \\ p^j \equiv 1 \pmod{d}}} \frac{1}{j p^j} \right) \\ &= \sum_{p \nmid N} V(p) + \sum_{d|N, d > 1} \mu(d) \sum_{j \geq 2} \frac{1}{j} \left( \sum_{p \in P_d} \frac{1}{p^j} + \sum_{\substack{p \nmid N, p \notin P_d \\ p^j \equiv 1 \pmod{d}}} \frac{1}{p^j} \right) \\ &= \sum_{p \nmid N} V(p) + \sum_{d|N, d > 1} \mu(d) \sum_{p \in P_d} V(p) \\ &\quad + \sum_{d|N, d > 1} \mu(d) \sum_{j \geq 2} \frac{1}{j} \sum_{\substack{p \nmid N, p \notin P_d \\ p^j \equiv 1 \pmod{d}}} \frac{1}{p^j} = A + B + C, \end{aligned}$$

say. Since

$$B = \sum_{p \nmid N} V(p) \left( \sum_{d|(N, p-1)} \mu(d) - 1 \right) = - \sum_{p \nmid N, (N, p-1) > 1} V(p),$$

we get

$$A + B = \sum_{p \in R} V(p).$$



Now observe that if  $o_d(p)$  denote the multiplicative order of  $p \bmod d$ , then  $p^j \equiv 1 \pmod d$  holds if and only if  $o_d(p)$  divides  $j$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} C &= \sum_{1 < d|N} \mu(d) \sum_{1 < \delta|\varphi(d)} \sum_{\substack{p|N \\ o_d(p)=\delta}} \frac{1}{\delta} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{mp^{m\delta}} \\ &= \sum_{1 < d|N} \sum_{1 < \delta|\varphi(d)} \frac{1}{\delta} \sum_{\substack{p|N \\ o_d(p)=\delta}} \left( V(p^\delta) + \frac{1}{p^\delta} \right) \\ &= \sum_{1 < d|N} \mu(d) \sum_{1 < \delta|\varphi(d)} \frac{1}{\delta} \sum_{p, o_d(p)=\delta} \log \frac{1}{1 - p^{-\delta}}, \end{aligned}$$

and finally we arrive at

$$S = A + B + C = \sum_{p \in R} V(p) + \log \left( \prod_{1 < d|N} \prod_{1 < \delta|\varphi(d)} \prod_{k \in T_d(\delta)} Z(N, k; \delta)^{\mu(d)/\delta} \right), \tag{13}$$

where  $T_d(\delta)$  denotes the set of residue classes mod  $N$  having order  $\delta \bmod d$ .

Moreover for  $\chi \neq \chi_0$  we have

$$\sum_{k \in R_1} \overline{\chi(k)} = \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \overline{\chi(k)} - \sum_{k \notin R_1} \overline{\chi(k)} = - \sum_{\substack{d|N \\ k \equiv 1 \pmod d, (k, N)=1}} \mu(d) \sum_{k \equiv 1 \pmod d, (k, N)=1} \overline{\chi(k)}.$$

Let  $H_d$  be the subgroup of the multiplicative group mod  $N$  consisting of residue classes congruent to  $1 \pmod d$ . In view of

$$\sum_{k \equiv 1 \pmod d, (k, N)=1} \overline{\chi(k)} = \begin{cases} \varphi(N)/\varphi(d) & \text{if } d|f_\chi, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

we get

$$\sum_{k \in R_1} \overline{\chi(k)} = -\varphi(N) \sum_{d|f_\chi} \frac{\mu(d)}{\varphi(d)} = -\varphi(N)\Phi(f_\chi),$$

hence

$$\exp \left( \frac{1}{\varphi(N)} \sum_{\chi \neq \chi_0} \sum_{k \in R_1} \overline{\chi(k)} \log L(1, \chi) \right) = \prod_{\chi \neq \chi_0} L(1, \chi)^{-\Phi(f_\chi)}. \tag{14}$$

Observe finally that one has

$$H(1) \exp \left( h(1) - \sum_{p \in R} V(p) \right) = 1. \tag{15}$$

The assertion follows now from (10), (11), (12), (13), (14), (15) and Theorem 1. □

### References

- [1] H. DELANGE, Généralisation du théorème de Ikehara, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* (3) **78** (1954), 213–242.
- [2] W. NARKIEWICZ, Divisibility properties of a class of multiplicative functions, *Colloq. Math.* **18** (1967), 219–232.
- [3] W. NARKIEWICZ, Divisibility properties of some multiplicative functions, in: Number Theory, 1970, *Coll. Math. Soc. János Bolyai Debrecen* (1968), 147–159, North-Holland, Amsterdam.
- [4] E. J. SCOURFIELD, On the divisibility of  $\sigma_\nu(n)$ , *Acta Arithmetica* **10** (1964), 245–288.
- [5] J. P. SERRE, Divisibilité de certaines fonctions arithmétiques, *l'Enseignement Math.* **22** (1976), 227–260.
- [6] B. K. SPEARMAN and K. S. WILLIAMS, Values of the Euler phi function not divisible by a given odd prime, *Arkiv Mat.* **44** (2006), 166–181.
- [7] G. TENENBAUM, Introduction à la théorie analytique et probabiliste des nombres., *Université de Nancy*, 1991, English translation: Introduction to Analytic and Probabilistic Number Theory, *Cambridge*, 1995.

WŁADYSŁAW NARKIEWICZ  
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS  
WROCLAW UNIVERSITY  
PLAC GRUNWALDZKI 2-4  
PL-50-384, WROCLAW  
POLAND

*E-mail:* narkiew@math.uni.wroc.pl

*(Received January 24, 2011; revised August 16, 2011)*