

## A note on two conjectures associated to Goldbach's problem

By MICHAEL COONS (Waterloo)

**Abstract.** Chen and Chen recently proposed two conjectures on the structure of multiplicative functions  $f$  for which  $f(p) + f(q) = f(p + q)$  for all odd primes  $p$  and  $q$ . In this note, we show that the second conjecture is either true unconditionally or follows from the first conjecture, depending on whether or not there is an odd prime  $p_0$  such that  $f(p_0) \neq 0$ .

Define  $g_1(n) := n$  for  $n \geq 1$  and define  $g_2(n)$  by

$$g_2(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \\ 2 & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Note that both  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  are multiplicative functions.

CHEN and CHEN [1] gave the following result.

**Theorem 1** (CHEN and CHEN [1]). *Let  $f$  be a multiplicative function for which*

$$f(p) + f(q) = f(p + q)$$

*for all odd primes  $p$  and  $q$ . If there is an odd prime  $p_0$  for which  $f(p_0) \neq 0$ , then either  $f = g_1$  or  $f = g_2$ . Moreover,  $f = g_1$  if and only if  $f(3) = 3$ .*

As probable extensions of this theorem, CHEN and CHEN gave the following two conjectures (see [1, Conjectures 1 and 2]).

---

*Mathematics Subject Classification:* Primary 11A25.

*Key words and phrases:* multiplicative function, recurrence sequences.

The research of M. Coons is supported by a Fields–Ontario Fellowship and NSERC.

**Conjecture 1** (CHEN and CHEN [1]). *If  $f$  is a multiplicative function such that  $f(2) \neq 0$ ,  $f(3) = 0$  and  $f(p) + f(q) = f(p + q)$  for all odd primes  $p$  and  $q$ , then  $f(n) = 0$  for all  $n \geq 5$ .*

**Conjecture 2** (CHEN and CHEN [1]). *If  $f$  is a multiplicative function such that  $f(2) = 2$  and  $f(p) + f(q) = f(p + q)$  for all odd primes  $p$  and  $q$ , then for  $n \geq 3$*

$$f(2n) = \frac{f(3)}{3} ((n-3)f(4) + 12 - 2n),$$

and

$$f(2n-1) = \frac{f(3)}{3} ((n-2)f(4) + 7 - 2n).$$

Concerning Conjecture 1, Chen and Chen remark that *if  $f$  satisfies the conditions of Conjecture 1, then  $f(p) = 0$  for all primes  $p \geq 5$ . Thus by induction on  $n$  we can prove that the Goldbach conjecture implies Conjecture 1. This implies that if Conjecture 1 is false, then the Goldbach conjecture is false.*

It seems that the use of the recurrence relations in Conjecture 2 may be a bit misleading. Indeed, Conjecture 2 can be considered following two cases: if  $f(p_0) \neq 0$  for some odd prime  $p_0$ , then Conjecture 2 is true by Theorem 1, and if  $f(p) = 0$  for all odd primes  $p$ , then Conjecture 2 is implied by Conjecture 1, which we will now show.

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $f$  be a function satisfying the assumptions of Conjecture 2 and suppose further that there is an odd prime  $p_0$  such that  $f(p_0) \neq 0$ . Then the conclusion of Conjecture 2 holds unconditionally.*

PROOF. If there is an odd prime  $p_0$  such that  $f(p_0) \neq 0$ , then by Theorem 1  $f$  is one of  $g_1$  or  $g_2$ . If  $f = g_1$ , then for  $n \geq 3$  we have that both

$$\frac{f(3)}{3} ((n-3)f(4) + 12 - 2n) = (n-3)4 + 12 - 2n = 2n = g_1(2n) = f(2n),$$

and

$$\frac{f(3)}{3} ((n-2)f(4) + 7 - 2n) = (n-2)4 + 7 - 2n = 2n - 1 = g_1(2n-1) = f(2n-1),$$

so that Conjecture 2 holds. Now if  $f = g_2$ , then for  $n \geq 3$ , we have that both

$$\frac{f(3)}{3} ((n-3)f(4) + 12 - 2n) = \frac{(n-3)2 + 12 - 2n}{3} = 2 = g_2(2n) = f(2n),$$

and

$$\frac{f(3)}{3} ((n-2)f(4) + 7 - 2n) = \frac{(n-2)2 + 7 - 2n}{3} = 1 = g_2(2n-1) = f(2n-1),$$

so that in either case since  $f(p_0) \neq 0$  for some odd prime  $p_0$ , Conjecture 2 holds.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $f$  be a function satisfying the assumptions of Conjecture 2 and suppose further that  $f(p) = 0$  for all odd primes  $p$ . Then the conclusion of Conjecture 2 follows from Conjecture 1.*

PROOF. Suppose that Conjecture 1 holds and let  $f$  be a multiplicative function such that  $f(2) = 2$  and  $f(p) + f(q) = f(p + q)$  for all odd primes  $p$  and  $q$ , and suppose further that  $f(p) = 0$  for all odd primes  $p$ .

Since 3 is an odd prime  $f(3) = 0$  and it is easy to see for  $n \geq 3$  that

$$\frac{f(3)}{3} ((n-3)f(4) + 12 - 2n) = 0 = f(2n),$$

and

$$\frac{f(3)}{3} ((n-2)f(4) + 7 - 2n) = 0 = f(2n-1),$$

where in each of these equations the last equals sign is given by Conjecture 1. This finishes the proof.  $\square$

## References

- [1] KANG-KANG CHEN and YONG-GAO CHEN, On  $f(p) + f(q) = f(p + q)$  for all odd primes  $p$  and  $q$ , *Publ. Math. Debrecen* **76** (2010), 425–430.

MICHAEL COONS  
UNIVERSITY OF WATERLOO  
DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS  
WATERLOO, ONTARIO, N2L 3G1  
CANADA

*E-mail:* mcoons@math.uwaterloo.ca

*(Received November 5, 2010; revised August 15, 2011)*