

On (m, n) -injectivity and coherence of rings

By QIONGLING LIU (Nanjing) and JIANLONG CHEN (Nanjing)

Abstract. Let R be a ring. For two positive integers m and n , R is said to be left (m, n) -injective if every left R -homomorphism from an n -generated submodule of ${}_R R^m$ to ${}_R R$ extends to one from ${}_R R^m$ to ${}_R R$. The ring R is called left coherent if each of its finitely generated left ideals is finitely presented. The aim of this article is to investigate (m, n) -injectivity and the coherence of the ring $R[x]/(x^k)$ ($k \geq 1$). Various sufficient and necessary conditions are obtained for $R[x]/(x^2)$ to be left (m, n) -injective and for $R[x]/(x^k)$ ($k > 2$) to be left P -injective. Moreover, it is proved that R is left coherent if and only if $R[x]/(x^k)$ is left coherent for every $k \geq 1$ if and only if $R[x]/(x^k)$ is left coherent for some $k \geq 1$.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, R is an associative ring with identity. For two positive integers m and n , we write $R^{m \times n}$ for the set of all $m \times n$ matrices over R , and let $R^n = R^{1 \times n}$, $R_n = R^{n \times 1}$ and $M_n(R) = R^{n \times n}$. In 2001, (m, n) -injective modules were introduced and discussed in [3]. A left R -module M is called (m, n) -injective if every left R -homomorphism from an n -generated submodule of R^m to M extends to one from R^m to M . The ring R is said to be left (m, n) -injective if ${}_R R$ is (m, n) -injective. Some related notions are recalled here. A ring R is called left FP -injective if R is left (m, n) -injective for all positive integers m and n . If R is left $(1, n)$ -injective (resp., left $(1, 1)$ -injective), then R is called left n -injective (resp., left P -injective). A ring R is called left f -injective if R is left n -injective for every positive integer n . Right versions of these injectivities are defined analogously.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 16D50, 16P70, 16S36.

Key words and phrases: (m, n) -injectivity, coherence, trivial extension.

The ring $R[x]/(x^k)$ ($k \geq 1$), as an important extension of R , has been discussed in many papers (see [5], [7], [8], [9] et al). In this paper, (m, n) -injectivity and coherence of $R[x]/(x^k)$ are studied. It is well known that $R[x]/(x^2)$ is isomorphic to the trivial extension of R by R , i.e., the ring $R \times R = \{(a, b) : a, b \in R\}$ with addition defined componentwise and multiplication defined by $(a, b)(c, d) = (ac, ad + bc)$. By [6], $R \times R$ is right self-injective if so is R . In [4], a sufficient but not necessary condition is given for $R \times R$ to be right (m, n) -injective. In Section 2, we consider the left (m, n) -injectivity of $R \times R$ and derive an equivalent condition for $R \times R$ to be left (m, n) -injective. Some known results on (m, n) -injective rings in [4] are obtained as corollaries. The left (m, n) -injectivity of $R[x]/(x^k)$ ($k > 2$) is investigated in Section 3. For simplicity, we only consider the left P -injectivity and a sufficient and necessary condition for $R[x]/(x^k)$ to be left P -injective is given. A similar argument can be used to obtain an analogous result about the left (m, n) -injectivity of $R[x]/(x^k)$.

Another question we considered is about the coherence of $R[x]/(x^k)$ ($k \geq 1$). A ring R is said to be left coherent if each of its finitely generated left ideals is finitely presented [1], or equivalently if $l(a)$ is a finitely generated left ideal of R for any $a \in R$ and the intersection of two finitely generated left ideals of R is again finitely generated [10]. A sufficient and necessary condition for $R \times R$ to be coherent was obtained by CHEN and ZHOU in [4] where they showed that $R \times R$ is left coherent if and only if so is R . In Section 4, we generalize the result by showing that R is left coherent if and only if $R[x]/(x^k)$ is left coherent for every $k \geq 1$ and only if $R[x]/(x^k)$ is left coherent for some $k \geq 1$.

In this paper, if $S \subseteq R^{m \times n}$, we set $l_{R^m}(S) = \{\alpha \in R^m : \alpha A = 0, \forall A \in S\}$ and $r_{R_n}(S) = \{\beta \in R_n : A\beta = 0, \forall A \in S\}$.

2. (m, n) -injectivity of $R \times R$

Let R be a ring and m, n be two positive integers. In this section, we investigate the (m, n) -injectivity of the ring $R \times R$, which is isomorphic to $R[x]/(x^2)$.

Recall that R is left (m, n) -injective [3] if and only if, for any $C \in R^{n \times m}$, every left R -homomorphism from $R^n C$ to R extends to one from R^m to R if and only if $r_{R_n} l_{R^n}(A) = AR_m$ for all $A \in R^{n \times m}$. For convenience, we fix some notations. Set $A = (a_{ij}), B = (b_{ij}) \in R^{m \times n}$. Denote $(R^m A : B) = \{\alpha \in R^m : \alpha B \in R^m A\}$, $(AR_n : B) = \{\alpha \in R_n : B\alpha \in AR_n\}$ and $A \times B = ((a_{ij}, b_{ij})) \in (R \times R)^{m \times n}$. By

calculation, it is clear that $A \times B = 0$ if and only if $A = 0$, $B = 0$ and $(A \times B)(C \times D) = AC \times (AD + BC)$ for any $A, B \in R^{m \times n}$ and any $C, D \in R^{n \times t}$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let m and n be two positive integers. The following are equivalent for a ring R :*

- (1) $R \times R$ is a left (m, n) -injective ring;
- (2) $r_{R_n}(l_{R^n}(A) \cap (R^n A : B)) = AR_m + Br_{R_m}(A)$ for any $A, B \in R^{n \times m}$.

PROOF. Denote $S = R \times R$.

(1) \Rightarrow (2). First we claim that $Br_{R_m}(A) \subseteq r_{R_n}((R^n A : B))$ for any $A, B \in R^{n \times m}$.

In fact, let $\alpha = B\bar{\alpha}$ with $\bar{\alpha} \in r_{R_m}(A)$. For any $\beta \in (R^n A : B)$, there exists $\gamma \in R^n$ such that $\beta B = \gamma A$. Then $\beta\alpha = \beta B\bar{\alpha} = \gamma A\bar{\alpha} = 0$, i.e., $\alpha \in r_{R_n}((R^n A : B))$. So

$$Br_{R_m}(A) \subseteq r_{R_n}((R^n A : B))$$

and

$$AR_m + Br_{R_m}(A) \subseteq AR_m + r_{R_n}((R^n A : B)) \subseteq r_{R_n}(l_{R^n}(A) \cap (R^n A : B)).$$

Next we show that $r_{R_n}(l_{R^n}(A) \cap (R^n A : B)) \subseteq AR_m + Br_{R_m}(A)$.

Set $A = (a_{ij}), B = (b_{ij}) \in R^{n \times m}$. Then $A \times B = ((a_{ij}, b_{ij})) \in S^{n \times m}$. Since S is left (m, n) -injective, $r_{S_n}(l_{S^n}(A \times B)) = (A \times B)S_m$. Assume $\alpha \in r_{R_n}(l_{R^n}(A) \cap (R^n A : B))$. For any $P \times Q \in l_{S^n}(A \times B)$,

$$PA \times (PB + QA) = (P \times Q)(A \times B) = 0.$$

So $PA = 0$ and $PB + QA = 0$, i.e., $P \in l_{R^n}(A) \cap (R^n A : B)$. Then $P\alpha = 0$. Thus $(P \times Q)(0 \times \alpha) = 0 \times P\alpha = 0$ and $0 \times \alpha \in r_{S_n}(l_{S^n}(A \times B)) = (A \times B)S_m$. So there exists $C \times D \in S_m$ such that

$$0 \times \alpha = (A \times B)(C \times D) = AC \times (AD + BC).$$

Hence $AC = 0$ and $\alpha = AD + BC \in AR_m + Br_{R_m}(A)$. Thus $r_{R_n}(l_{R^n}(A) \cap (R^n A : B)) \subseteq AR_m + Br_{R_m}(A)$. Therefore $r_{R_n}(l_{R^n}(A) \cap (R^n A : B)) = AR_m + Br_{R_m}(A)$.

(2) \Rightarrow (1). Assume (2). For any $A \in R^{n \times m}$, set $B = 0 \in R^{n \times m}$. Then $(R^n A : B) = R^n$. So the hypothesis implies that

$$r_{R_n} l_{R^n}(A) = AR_m.$$

Now for any $T = ((a_{ij}, b_{ij})) \in S^{n \times m}$, we shall show that $r_{S_n} l_{S^n}(T) = TS_m$.

Denote $A = (a_{ij}), B = (b_{ij})$. Then $A, B \in R^{n \times m}$ and $T = A \times B$. Let $X \times Y \in r_{S_n} l_{S^n}(T)$. For any $C \in l_{R^n}(A)$, we have $0 \times C \in l_{S^n}(T)$ and $0 \times CX = (0 \times C)(X \times Y) = 0$, so $CX = 0$. This implies that $X \in r_{R_n} l_{R^n}(A) = AR_m$. Write $X = AU$ with $U \in R_m$. For any $D \in l_{R^n}(A) \cap (R^n A : B)$, we have $DA = 0$ and $DB + HA = 0$ for some $H \in R^n$. Thus $(D \times H)T = (D \times H)(A \times B) = DA \times (DB + HA) = 0$. It follows that $DX \times (DY + HX) = (D \times H)(X \times Y) = 0$, i.e., $DX = 0$ and $DY + HX = 0$. Consequently,

$$D(Y - BU) = DY - DBU = DY + HAU = DY + HX = 0.$$

This shows that $Y - BU \in r_{R_n}(l_{R^n}(A) \cap (R^n A : B)) = AR_m + Br_{R_n}(A)$, so

$$Y = AV + BU + BW$$

for some $V \in R_m$ and $W \in r_{R_n}(A)$. It is easy to see that

$$X \times Y = AU \times (AV + BU + BW) = (A \times B)((U + W) \times V) \in TS_m.$$

Thus $r_{S_n} l_{S^n}(T) \subseteq TS_m$. Note that the converse inclusion always holds. Therefore $S = R \times R$ is left (m, n) -injective. \square

Corollary 2.2. *If $R \times R$ is left (m, n) -injective, then $r_{R_n}(l_{R^n}(A) \cap (R^n A : B)) = AR_m + r_{R_n}((R^n A : B))$ for any $A, B \in R^{n \times m}$.*

PROOF. It is straightforward to verify that

$$AR_m + Br_{R_n}(A) \subseteq AR_m + r_{R_n}((R^n A : B)) \subseteq r_{R_n}(l_{R^n}(A) \cap (R^n A : B))$$

for any $A, B \in R^{n \times m}$. Therefore, the result follows immediately from Theorem 2.1. \square

Similarly, we can get the following theorem about the right (m, n) -injectivity of $R \times R$.

Theorem 2.3. *Let R be a ring and m, n be two positive integers. The following are equivalent for R :*

- (1) $R \times R$ is a right (m, n) -injective ring;
- (2) $l_{R^n}(r_{R_n}(A) \cap (AR_n : B)) = R^m A + l_{R^m}(A)B$ for any $A, B \in R^{m \times n}$.

Corollary 2.4 ([4, Theorem 1]). *Let R be a ring. Suppose that, for any $A, B \in R^{m \times n}$, every right R -homomorphism from $AR_n + Br_{R_n}(A)$ to R extends to one from R_m to R . Then $R \times R$ is a right (m, n) -injective ring.*

PROOF. First note that, if $B = 0$, then the hypothesis implies that every right R -homomorphism from AR_n to R extends to one from R_m to R for any $A \in R^{m \times n}$. This shows that R is right (m, n) -injective. As done in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we have $R^m A + l_{R^m}(A)B \subseteq l_{R^n}(r_{R_n}(A) \cap (AR_n : B))$. Assume $\alpha \in l_{R^n}(r_{R_n}(A) \cap (AR_n : B))$ and define:

$$f : AR_n + Br_{R_n}(A) \rightarrow R; \quad A\gamma_1 + B\gamma_2 \mapsto \alpha\gamma_2.$$

If $A\gamma_1 + B\gamma_2 = 0$, then $\gamma_2 \in r_{R_n}(A) \cap (AR_n : B)$, so $\alpha\gamma_2 = 0$. Thus f is well-defined. Moreover, it is easy to see that f is a right R -homomorphism. By hypothesis, f can be extended to a right R -homomorphism from R_m to R , i.e., there exists $\xi \in R^m$ such that, for any $A\gamma_1 + B\gamma_2 \in AR_n + Br_{R_n}(A)$,

$$f(A\gamma_1 + B\gamma_2) = \xi(A\gamma_1 + B\gamma_2).$$

Thus, for any $\gamma_1 \in R_n, \gamma_2 \in r_{R_n}(A)$,

$$\xi A\gamma_1 = f(A\gamma_1) = 0, \quad \xi B\gamma_2 = f(B\gamma_2) = \alpha\gamma_2.$$

Then $\xi A = \xi AI_n = \xi A(e_1, \dots, e_n) = 0$, where I_n is the identity of $R^{n \times n}$ and e_i is the i -th column of I_n . So $\xi \in l_{R^m}(A)$ and $\alpha - \xi B \in l_{R^n}r_{R_n}(A) = R^m A$. It follows that $\alpha = (\alpha - \xi B) + \xi B \in R^m A + l_{R^m}(A)B$ and $l_{R^n}(r_{R_n}(A) \cap (AR_n : B)) \subseteq R^m A + l_{R^m}(A)B$. Hence

$$l_{R^n}(r_{R_n}(A) \cap (AR_n : B)) = R^m A + l_{R^m}(A)B.$$

By Theorem 2.3, the result follows. \square

Corollary 2.5 ([4, Theorem 2]). *If $R \times R$ is right (m, n) -injective, then so is R .*

PROOF. Set $B = 0$ in Theorem 2.3. We have $l_{R^n}r_{R_n}(A) = R^m A$ for all $A \in R^{m \times n}$. Therefore R is right (m, n) -injective. \square

Corollary 2.6. *$R \times R$ is left P -injective if and only if $r_R(l_R(a) \cap (Ra : b)) = aR + br_R(a)$ for any $a, b \in R$.*

Corollary 2.7. *$R \times R$ is left FP -injective if and only if $r_{R_n}(l_{R^n}(A) \cap (R^n A : B)) = AR_m + Br_{R_m}(A)$ for any positive integers m, n and any $A, B \in R^{n \times m}$.*

Corollary 2.8. *Let n be a fixed positive integer. Then $R \times R$ is left n -injective*

if and only if $r_{R_n} \left(l_{R^n} \left(\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{smallmatrix} \right) \right) \cap \left(R^n \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{smallmatrix} \right) : \begin{smallmatrix} b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_n \end{smallmatrix} \right) \right) = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{pmatrix} R + \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_n \end{pmatrix} r_R \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{smallmatrix} \right)$ for any $\begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_n \end{pmatrix} \in R_n$.

Corollary 2.9. *Let m be a fixed positive integer. Then every m -generated right ideal of $R \rtimes R$ is a right annihilator if and only if $r_R(l_R(K) \cap (R(a_1, \dots, a_m) : (b_1, \dots, b_m))) = K + (b_1, \dots, b_m)r_{R_m}((a_1, \dots, a_m))$ for any $(a_1, \dots, a_m), (b_1, \dots, b_m) \in R^m$, where $K = a_1R + \dots + a_mR$.*

3. P -injectivity of $R[x]/(x^k)$

It is well known that $R \rtimes R$ is isomorphic to $R[x]/(x^2)$. So it is natural to explore the left (m, n) -injectivity of $R[x]/(x^k)$ for an arbitrary positive integer k . For simplicity, we only consider the left P -injectivity of $R[x]/(x^k)$ and acquire an equivalent condition for it. Using a similar argument, an analogous result about the left (m, n) -injectivity of $R[x]/(x^k)$ can be obtained.

We regard $R[x]/(x^k)$ as a subring of $R^{k \times k}$ by identifying the element $a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_{k-1}x^{k-1} \in R[x]/(x^k)$ with the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & \dots & a_{k-2} & a_{k-1} \\ & a_0 & a_1 & \dots & a_{k-2} \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & a_0 & a_1 \\ & & & & a_0 \end{pmatrix} \in R^{k \times k}.$$

Denote by $\psi : R[x]/(x^k) \rightarrow R^{k \times k}$ such ring inclusion and

$$S_{(k)} = \{\psi(a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_{k-1}x^{k-1}) : a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{k-1} \in R\}.$$

Write $(R^k A : \alpha) = \{r \in R : r\alpha \in R^k A\}$ for any $A \in S_{(k)}$, $\alpha \in R^k$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let R be a ring and n be a fixed positive integer. If, for any $a \in R$, $\alpha = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in R^n$, $r_R(l_R(a) \cap (R^n A : \alpha)) = aR + \alpha r_{R_n}(A)$, where $A = \psi(a + a_1x + \dots + a_{n-1}x^{n-1}) \in S_{(n)}$, then $r_R(l_R(b) \cap (R^m B : \beta)) = bR + \beta r_{R_m}(B)$ for each $1 \leq m \leq n$ and any $b \in R$, $\beta = (b_1, \dots, b_m) \in R^m$, $B = \psi(b + b_1x + \dots + b_{m-1}x^{m-1}) \in S_{(m)}$.*

PROOF. It suffices to prove the conclusion for $m = n - 1$. Suppose $b \in R$, $\beta = (b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}) \in R^{n-1}$ and $B = \psi(b + b_1x + \dots + b_{n-2}x^{n-2}) \in S_{(n-1)}$. Let $\bar{\beta} = (b, \beta) = (b, b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}) \in R^n$, $\bar{B} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \psi(bx + b_1x^2 + \dots + b_{n-2}x^{n-1}) \in S_{(n)}$. By hypothesis, $r_R((R^n \bar{B} : \bar{\beta})) = \bar{\beta} r_{R_n}(\bar{B})$.

Note that $x \in (R^n \bar{B} : \bar{\beta})$ iff there exists $\bar{\delta} = (\delta, r) \in R^n$, where $r \in R$, $\delta \in R^{n-1}$, such that

$$x(b, \beta) = x\bar{\beta} = \bar{\delta}\bar{B} = (\delta, r) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = (0, \delta B)$$

iff $x \in l_R(b) \cap (R^{n-1}B : \beta)$. This implies that $(R^n \bar{B} : \bar{\beta}) = l_R(b) \cap (R^{n-1}B : \beta)$.

Moreover, it is easy to see that $r_{R_n}(\bar{B}) = \begin{pmatrix} R \\ r_{R_{n-1}}(B) \end{pmatrix}$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} r_R(l_R(b) \cap (R^{n-1}B : \beta)) &= r_R((R^n \bar{B} : \bar{\beta})) = \bar{\beta} r_{R_n}(\bar{B}) \\ &= (b, \beta) \begin{pmatrix} R \\ r_{R_{n-1}}(B) \end{pmatrix} = bR + \beta r_{R_{n-1}}(B). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.2. *Let m be a positive integer. If $S_{(m)}$ is left P -injective and $r_R(l_R(a) \cap (R^m A : \alpha)) = aR + \alpha r_{R_m}(A)$ for any $a \in R$, $\alpha = (a_1, \dots, a_m) \in R^m$ and $A = \psi(a + a_1x + \dots + a_{m-1}x^{m-1}) \in S_{(m)}$, then $S_{(m+1)}$ is left P -injective.*

PROOF. Suppose $\bar{A} = \begin{pmatrix} a & \alpha \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix} \in S_{(m+1)}$ with $a \in R$, $\alpha = (a_1, \dots, a_m) \in R^m$ and $A = \psi(a + a_1x + \dots + a_{m-1}x^{m-1}) \in S_{(m)}$, then $r_{S_{(m)}}l_{S_{(m)}}(A) = AS_{(m)}$ because $S_{(m)}$ is left P -injective. We will show that $r_{S_{(m+1)}}l_{S_{(m+1)}}(\bar{A}) = \bar{A}S_{(m+1)}$.

Assume $\bar{Z} = \begin{pmatrix} z & \xi \\ 0 & Z \end{pmatrix} \in r_{S_{(m+1)}}l_{S_{(m+1)}}(\bar{A})$, where $z \in R$, $\xi = (z_1, \dots, z_m) \in R^m$ and $Z = \psi(z + z_1x + \dots + z_{m-1}x^{m-1}) \in S_{(m)}$. Since $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & Y \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in l_{S_{(m+1)}}(\bar{A})$ for any $Y \in l_{S_{(m)}}(A)$,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & YZ \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & Y \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} z & \xi \\ 0 & Z \end{pmatrix} = 0,$$

i.e., $YZ = 0$. Thus $Z \in r_{S_{(m)}}l_{S_{(m)}}(A) = AS_{(m)}$, whence $Z = AH$ for some $H = \psi(h + h_1x + \dots + h_{m-1}x^{m-1}) \in S_{(m)}$.

For any $t \in l_R(a) \cap (R^m A : \alpha)$, we have $ta = 0$ and $t\alpha + \beta A = 0$ for some $\beta = (b_1, \dots, b_m) \in R^m$, i.e., $\begin{pmatrix} t & \beta \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & \alpha \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix} = 0$. Let $B = \psi(t + b_1x + \dots + b_{m-1}x^{m-1}) \in S_{(m)}$. Then $\begin{pmatrix} t & \beta \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \bar{A} = \begin{pmatrix} t & \beta \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & \alpha \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix} = 0$, i.e., $\begin{pmatrix} t & \beta \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \in l_{S_{(m+1)}}(\bar{A})$. It follows that

$$\begin{pmatrix} tz & t\xi + \beta Z \\ 0 & BZ \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} t & \beta \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} z & \xi \\ 0 & Z \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

So $t\xi + \beta Z = 0$. Since $Z = AH$, we have $t(\xi - \alpha H) = t\xi - t\alpha H = t\xi + \beta AH = t\xi + \beta Z = 0$. Then $t \begin{pmatrix} z_m - \alpha \begin{pmatrix} h_{m-1} \\ \vdots \\ h_1 \\ h \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} = 0$. Thus $z_m - \alpha \begin{pmatrix} h_{m-1} \\ \vdots \\ h_1 \\ h \end{pmatrix} \in$

$r_R(l_R(a) \cap (R^m A : \alpha)) = aR + \alpha r_{R_m}(A)$. Write

$$z_m - \alpha \begin{pmatrix} h_{m-1} \\ \vdots \\ h_1 \\ h \end{pmatrix} = ar + \alpha \begin{pmatrix} g_{m-1} \\ \vdots \\ g_1 \\ g \end{pmatrix}$$

with $r \in R$, $\begin{pmatrix} g_{m-1} \\ \vdots \\ g_1 \\ g \end{pmatrix} \in r_{R_m}(A)$. Then $z_m = ar + \alpha \begin{pmatrix} h_{m-1} + g_{m-1} \\ \vdots \\ h_1 + g_1 \\ h + g \end{pmatrix}$. Set $G =$

$\psi(g + g_1x + \cdots + g_{m-1}x^{m-1})$. Since $A \begin{pmatrix} g_{m-1} \\ \vdots \\ g_1 \\ g \end{pmatrix} = 0$, $AG = 0$. So $Z = AH = A(H + G)$. Then $z = a(h + g)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} (z_1, \dots, z_{m-1}) &= (a, a_1, \dots, a_{m-1}) \begin{pmatrix} h_1 + g_1 & h_2 + g_2 & h_3 + g_3 & \dots & h_{m-1} + g_{m-1} \\ h + g & h_1 + g_1 & h_2 + g_2 & \dots & h_{m-2} + g_{m-2} \\ & h + g & h_1 + g_1 & \dots & h_{m-3} + g_{m-3} \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & h + g & h_1 + g_1 \\ & & & & h + g \end{pmatrix} \\ &= a(h_1 + g_1, \dots, h_{m-1} + g_{m-1}) \\ &\quad + (a_1, \dots, a_{m-1}) \begin{pmatrix} h + g & h_1 + g_1 & h_2 + g_2 & \dots & h_{m-2} + g_{m-2} \\ & h + g & h_1 + g_1 & \dots & h_{m-3} + g_{m-3} \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & h + g & h_1 + g_1 \\ & & & & h + g \end{pmatrix} \\ &= a(h_1 + g_1, \dots, h_{m-1} + g_{m-1}) \\ &\quad + (a_1, \dots, a_{m-1}, a_m) \begin{pmatrix} h + g & h_1 + g_1 & h_2 + g_2 & \dots & h_{m-2} + g_{m-2} \\ & h + g & h_1 + g_1 & \dots & h_{m-3} + g_{m-3} \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & h + g & h_1 + g_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= a(h_1 + g_1, \dots, h_{m-1} + g_{m-1}) \\ &\quad + \alpha \begin{pmatrix} h + g & h_1 + g_1 & h_2 + g_2 & \dots & h_{m-2} + g_{m-2} \\ & h + g & h_1 + g_1 & \dots & h_{m-3} + g_{m-3} \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & h + g & h_1 + g_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $z_m = ar + \alpha \begin{pmatrix} h_{m-1} + g_{m-1} \\ \vdots \\ h_1 + g_1 \\ h + g \end{pmatrix}$,

$$\xi = (z_1, \dots, z_{m-1}, z_m) = a(h_1 + g_1, \dots, h_{m-1} + g_{m-1}, r)$$

$$+ \alpha \begin{pmatrix} h+g & h_1+g_1 & h_2+g_2 & \cdots & h_{m-2}+g_{m-2} & h_{m-1}+g_{m-1} \\ & h+g & h_1+g_1 & \cdots & h_{m-3}+g_{m-3} & h_{m-2}+g_{m-2} \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ & & & h+g & h_1+g_1 & h_2+g_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & h+g \end{pmatrix} = a\eta + \alpha(H + G),$$

where $\eta = (h_1 + g_1, \dots, h_{m-1} + g_{m-1}, r) \in R^m$. From this, we can see that $\begin{pmatrix} h+g & \eta \\ 0 & H+G \end{pmatrix} \in S_{(m+1)}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{Z} &= \begin{pmatrix} z & \xi \\ 0 & Z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a(h+g) & a\eta + \alpha(H+G) \\ 0 & A(H+G) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} a & \alpha \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} h+g & \eta \\ 0 & H+G \end{pmatrix} \in \bar{A}S_{(m+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $r_{S_{(m+1)}}l_{S_{(m+1)}}(\bar{A}) = \bar{A}S_{(m+1)}$, and this shows that $S_{(m+1)}$ is left P -injective. \square

Theorem 3.3. *Let n be a positive integer. The following are equivalent for a ring R :*

- (1) $R[x]/(x^n)$ is a left P -injective ring;
- (2) $S_{(n)}$ is a left P -injective ring;
- (3) $r_R(l_R(a) \cap (R^{n-1}A : \alpha)) = aR + \alpha r_{R_{n-1}}(A)$ for any $a \in R$, $\alpha = (a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in R^{n-1}$ and $A = \psi(a + a_1x + \cdots + a_{n-2}x^{n-2}) \in S_{(n-1)}$.

PROOF. We only need to show (2) \Leftrightarrow (3).

(2) \Rightarrow (3). Suppose $a \in R$, $\alpha = (a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in R^{n-1}$. Set $A = \psi(a + a_1x + \cdots + a_{n-2}x^{n-2}) \in S_{(n-1)}$ and $\bar{A} = \begin{pmatrix} a & \alpha \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix} \in S_{(n)}$. Then $r_{S_{(n)}}l_{S_{(n)}}(\bar{A}) = \bar{A}S_{(n)}$ by hypothesis.

Let $t = \alpha\mu$ with $\mu \in r_{R_{n-1}}(A)$. For any $r \in (R^{n-1}A : \alpha)$, $r\alpha + \gamma A = 0$ for some $\gamma \in R^{n-1}$ and hence

$$rt = r\alpha\mu = r\alpha\mu + \gamma A\mu = (r\alpha + \gamma A)\mu = 0.$$

This shows $t \in r_R((R^{n-1}A : \alpha))$ and $\alpha r_{R_{n-1}}(A) \subseteq r_R((R^{n-1}A : \alpha))$. So

$$aR + \alpha r_{R_{n-1}}(A) \subseteq aR + r_R((R^{n-1}A : \alpha)) \subseteq r_R(l_R(a) \cap (R^{n-1}A : \alpha)).$$

Conversely, assume $z \in r_R(l_R(a) \cap (R^{n-1}A : \alpha))$. For any $\bar{B} = \begin{pmatrix} b & \beta \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \in l_{S_{(n)}}(\bar{A})$,

$$\begin{pmatrix} ba & b\alpha + \beta A \\ 0 & BA \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b & \beta \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & \alpha \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix} = \bar{B}\bar{A} = 0.$$

So $ba = 0$ and $b\alpha + \beta A = 0$, i.e., $b \in l_R(a) \cap (R^{n-1}A : \alpha)$. Thus $bz = 0$. Let $\xi = (0, \dots, 0, z) \in R^{n-1}$. Then $b\xi = 0$ and

$$\begin{pmatrix} b & \beta \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \xi \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b\xi \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It follows that $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \xi \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in r_{S_{(n)}} l_{S_{(n)}}(\bar{A}) = \bar{A}S_{(n)}$. Write

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \xi \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & \alpha \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d & \eta \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix}$$

with $d \in R$, $\eta = (y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}) \in R^{n-1}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} d & \eta \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix} \in S_{(n)}$. Then $AD = 0$ and $\xi = a\eta + \alpha D$. Let $\lambda = \begin{pmatrix} y_{n-2} \\ \vdots \\ y_1 \\ d \end{pmatrix}$ be the last column of D . Then $A\lambda = 0$ and $z = ay_{n-1} + \alpha\lambda \in aR + \alpha r_{R_{n-1}}(A)$. This implies that $r_R(l_R(a) \cap (R^{n-1}A : \alpha)) \subseteq aR + \alpha r_{R_{n-1}}(A)$. Hence $r_R(l_R(a) \cap (R^{n-1}A : \alpha)) = aR + \alpha r_{R_{n-1}}(A)$.

(3) \Rightarrow (2). Assume (3). By Lemma 3.1, we get that $r_R(l_R(a) \cap (R^m A : \alpha)) = aR + \alpha r_{R_m}(A)$ for each $1 \leq m \leq n-1$ and any $a \in R$, $\alpha = (a_1, \dots, a_m) \in R^m$, $A = \psi(a + a_1x + \dots + a_{m-1}x^{m-1}) \in S_{(m)}$. In particular, $r_R(l_R(a) \cap (Ra : b)) = aR + br_R(a)$ for any $a, b \in R$. So $S_{(2)} = R \times R$ is left P -injective by Corollary 2.6. Hence, by Lemma 3.2, $S_{(3)}$ is left P -injective. Proceeding in this manner, we can get that $S_{(m)}$ is left P -injective for all $2 \leq m \leq n$. In particular, $S_{(n)}$ is left P -injective, and the proof is completed. \square

Corollary 3.4. *If $R[x]/(x^n)$ is a left P -injective ring, then $R[x]/(x^m)$ is left P -injective for all $1 \leq m \leq n$.*

PROOF. By Theorem 3.3 and Lemma 3.1. \square

4. Coherence of $R[x]/(x^n)$

Let n be a positive integer. In this section, we explore the interplay between the coherence of a ring R and the coherence of $R[x]/(x^n)$ ($n \geq 1$). We denote $S_{(n)} = \{\psi(a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_{n-1}x^{n-1}) \in R^{n \times n} : a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \in R\}$ as in Section 3 and write $(R_n a : \alpha) = \{H \in S_{(n)} : H\alpha \in R_n a\}$ for any $a \in R$, $\alpha \in R_n$.

It was proved in [4] that a ring R is left coherent if and only if $R \times R$ is left coherent. This is a special case of the main result of this section. We first give the following lemma which appears in the proof of [4, Theorem 12].

Lemma 4.1. *If R is a left coherent ring, then $(Ra : b)$ is a finitely generated left ideal of R for any $a, b \in R$.*

Lemma 4.2. *Let R be a ring and n be a positive integer. If $S_{(n)}$ is left coherent, then $(R_n a : \alpha)$ is a finitely generated left ideal of $S_{(n)}$ for any $a \in R, \alpha \in R_n$.*

PROOF. Suppose $a \in R, \alpha = \begin{pmatrix} a_n \\ \vdots \\ a_1 \end{pmatrix} \in R_n$. Let $A = \psi(a), B = \psi(a_1 + a_2x + \cdots + a_nx^{n-1})$. Then $A, B \in S_{(n)}$. Denote $(S_{(n)}A : B) = \{H \in S_{(n)} : HB \in S_{(n)}A\}$. Note that $H \in (R_n a : \alpha)$ iff $H\alpha = \gamma a$ for some $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} r_n \\ \vdots \\ r_1 \end{pmatrix} \in R_n$ iff $HB = GA$, where $G = \psi(r_1 + r_2x + \cdots + r_nx^{n-1}) \in S_{(n)}$ iff $H \in (S_{(n)}A : B)$. This implies that $(R_n a : \alpha) = (S_{(n)}A : B)$. Since $S_{(n)}$ is left coherent, $(S_{(n)}A : B)$ is a finitely generated left ideal of $S_{(n)}$ by Lemma 4.1. So $(R_n a : \alpha)$ is a finitely generated left ideal of $S_{(n)}$. \square

Theorem 4.3. *The following are equivalent for a ring R :*

- (1) R is left coherent;
- (2) $R[x]/(x^n)$ is left coherent for all $n \geq 1$;
- (3) $R[x]/(x^n)$ is left coherent for some $n \geq 1$.

PROOF. Since $R[x]/(x^n) \cong S_{(n)}$, we proceed the proof for $S_{(n)}$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) is trivial.

(3) \Rightarrow (1). Assume (3). We first show that R is left P -coherent, i.e., $l_R(a)$ is a finitely generated left ideal of R for any $a \in R$.

Set $A = \psi(ax^{n-1}) \in S_{(n)}$. Note that $l_{S_{(n)}}(A) = \{\psi(b_0 + b_1x + \cdots + b_{n-1}x^{n-1}) : b_0 \in l_R(a), b_1, \dots, b_{n-1} \in R\}$. Since $S_{(n)}$ is left coherent, $l_{S_{(n)}}(A)$ is a finitely generated left ideal of $S_{(n)}$. Write

$$l_{S_{(n)}}(A) = S_{(n)}\psi(a_1 + a_{11}x + \cdots + a_{1(n-1)}x^{n-1}) + \cdots \\ + S_{(n)}\psi(a_m + a_{m1}x + \cdots + a_{m(n-1)}x^{n-1})$$

with all $a_i, a_{ij} \in R$. It follows that

$$l_R(a) = Ra_1 + \cdots + Ra_m,$$

so R is left P -coherent.

Now since $R[x]/(x^n)$ is left coherent, $M_k(R[x]/(x^n))$ is left coherent for each $k \geq 1$. So $(M_k(R))[x]/(x^n) \cong M_k(R[x]/(x^n))$ is left coherent. Thus, as above,

$M_k(R)$ is left P -coherent for each $k \geq 1$, and so R is left coherent by [2, Proposition 2.7].

(1) \Rightarrow (2). We prove the conclusion by induction on n . Since $S_{(1)} \cong R$, $S_{(n)}$ is left coherent for $n = 1$.

Assume $S_{(n)}$ is left coherent for some $n \geq 1$, we show that $S_{(n+1)}$ is left coherent. First we verify that $S_{(n+1)}$ is left P -coherent, i.e., for any $\bar{A} \in S_{(n+1)}$, $l_{S_{(n+1)}}(\bar{A})$ is a finitely generated left ideal of $S_{(n+1)}$.

Write $\bar{A} = \begin{pmatrix} A & \alpha \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}$ with $a \in R, \alpha = \begin{pmatrix} a_n \\ \vdots \\ a_1 \end{pmatrix} \in R_n, A = \psi(a + a_1x + \cdots + a_{n-1}x^{n-1}) \in S_{(n)}$. Since $S_{(n)}$ is left coherent, $l_{S_{(n)}}(A)$ is a finitely generated left ideal of $S_{(n)}$ and $l_R(a)$ is a finitely generated left ideal of R . By Lemma 4.2, $(R_n a : \alpha)$ is a finitely generated left ideal of $S_{(n)}$. So $l_{S_{(n)}}(A) \cap (R_n a : \alpha)$ is finitely generated. Write

$$l_{S_{(n)}}(A) \cap (R_n a : \alpha) = S_{(n)}G_1 + \cdots + S_{(n)}G_m, \quad l_R(a) = Rt_1 + \cdots + Rt_m,$$

where all $G_i = \psi(g_i + g_{i1}x + \cdots + g_{i(n-1)}x^{n-1}) \in S_{(n)}$, $t_i \in R$. Then $G_i A = 0$, $G_i \alpha + \eta_i a = 0$ for some $\eta_i = \begin{pmatrix} d_{in} \\ \vdots \\ d_{i1} \end{pmatrix} \in R_n$, and $t_i a = 0$. Then $\psi(t_i x^n) \in l_{S_{(n+1)}}(\bar{A})$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$. Let $\theta_i = \begin{pmatrix} d_{in} \\ g_{i(n-1)} \\ \vdots \\ g_{i1} \end{pmatrix}$. Then $\begin{pmatrix} G_i & \theta_i \\ 0 & g_i \end{pmatrix} \in S_{(n+1)}$. Since $G_i A = 0$ and $G_i \alpha + \eta_i a = 0$, we get $G_i \alpha + \theta_i a = 0$. This implies that $\begin{pmatrix} G_i & \theta_i \\ 0 & g_i \end{pmatrix} \in l_{S_{(n+1)}}(\bar{A})$, $\forall 1 \leq i \leq m$.

Assume $\begin{pmatrix} B & \beta \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \in l_{S_{(n+1)}}(\bar{A})$, where $b \in R, \beta = \begin{pmatrix} b_n \\ \vdots \\ b_1 \end{pmatrix} \in R_n, B = \psi(b + b_1x + \cdots + b_{n-1}x^{n-1}) \in S_{(n)}$. Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} BA & B\alpha + \beta a \\ 0 & ba \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} B & \beta \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A & \alpha \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

So $BA = 0$, and $B\alpha + \beta a = 0$, thus $B \in l_{S_{(n)}}(A) \cap (R_n a : \alpha) = S_{(n)}G_1 + \cdots + S_{(n)}G_m$. Write

$$B = Z_1G_1 + \cdots + Z_mG_m$$

with all $Z_i = \psi(z_i + z_{i1}x + \cdots + z_{i(n-1)}x^{n-1}) \in S_{(n)}$. Then

$$(\beta - (Z_1\theta_1 + \cdots + Z_m\theta_m))a = \beta a + Z_1G_1\alpha + \cdots + Z_mG_m\alpha = B\alpha + \beta a = 0.$$

Hence

$$(b_n - (\xi_1\theta_1 + \cdots + \xi_m\theta_m))a = 0,$$

where ξ_i is the first row of Z_i . It follows that $b_n - (\xi_1\theta_1 + \cdots + \xi_m\theta_m) \in l_R(a) = Rt_1 + \cdots + Rt_m$. Set

$$b_n - (\xi_1\theta_1 + \cdots + \xi_m\theta_m) = r_1t_1 + \cdots + r_mt_m$$

with all $r_i \in R$. Then $b_n = \xi_1\theta_1 + \cdots + \xi_m\theta_m + r_1t_1 + \cdots + r_mt_m$. Let $\lambda_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ z_i(n-1) \\ \vdots \\ z_{i1} \end{pmatrix}$. Then $\begin{pmatrix} Z_i & \lambda_i \\ 0 & z_i \end{pmatrix} \in S_{(n+1)}$. Since $B = \sum_{i=1}^m Z_i G_i$ and $b_n = \sum_{i=1}^m \xi_i\theta_i + \sum_{i=1}^m r_i t_i$,

$$\beta = \sum_{i=1}^m (Z_i\theta_i + \lambda_i g_i) + \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^m r_i t_i \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} B & \beta \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^m Z_i G_i & \sum_{i=1}^m (Z_i\theta_i + \lambda_i g_i) \\ 0 & \sum_{i=1}^m z_i g_i \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 & \sum_{i=1}^m r_i t_i \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \begin{pmatrix} Z_i & \lambda_i \\ 0 & z_i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} G_i & \theta_i \\ 0 & g_i \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{i=1}^m \psi(r_i)\psi(t_i x^n). \end{aligned}$$

This means that $l_{S_{(n+1)}}(\bar{A}) = \sum_{i=1}^m S_{(n+1)} \begin{pmatrix} G_i & \theta_i \\ 0 & g_i \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{i=1}^m S_{(n+1)} \psi(t_i x^n)$ is finitely generated. Thus we have proved that $S_{(n)}$ being left coherent implies that $S_{(n+1)}$ is left P -coherent, i.e., $R[x]/(x^n)$ being left coherent implies that $R[x]/(x^{n+1})$ is left P -coherent.

Since $R[x]/(x^n)$ is left coherent, $(M_k(R))[x]/(x^n) \cong M_k(R[x]/(x^n))$ is left coherent for each $k \geq 1$. As above, $M_k(R[x]/(x^{n+1})) \cong (M_k(R))[x]/(x^{n+1})$ is left P -coherent for each $k \geq 1$. So $R[x]/(x^{n+1})$ is left coherent by [2, Proposition 2.7]. Thus, by induction, R being left coherent implies that $R[x]/(x^n)$ is left coherent for each $n \geq 1$ and the result follows. \square

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS This research is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (10971024), the Specialized Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education (200802860024), the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province (BK2010393), and the Foundation of Graduate Innovation Program of Jiangsu Province (CXZZ11_0133).

References

- [1] F. W. ANDERSON and K. R. FULLER, Rings and Categories of Modules, 2nd Edition, *Springer-Verlag, New York*, 1992.
- [2] J. L. CHEN and N. Q. DING, Characterizations of coherent rings, *Comm. Algebra* **27** (1999), 2491–2501.
- [3] J. L. CHEN, N. Q. DING, Y. L. LI and Y. Q. ZHOU, On (m, n) -injectivity of modules, *Comm. Algebra* **29** (2001), 5589–5603.
- [4] J. L. CHEN and Y. Q. ZHOU, Extensions of injectivity and coherent rings, *Comm. Algebra* **34** (2006), 275–288.
- [5] J. L. CHEN and Y. Q. ZHOU, Strongly clean power series rings, *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc., II. Ser.* **50** (2007), 73–85.
- [6] C. FAITH, Self-injective rings, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **77** (1979), 157–164.
- [7] T.-K. LEE and Y. Q. ZHOU, A theorem on unit regular rings, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **53** (2007), 321–326.
- [8] T.-K. LEE and Y. Q. ZHOU, Morhic rings and unit regular rings, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **210** (2007), 501–510.
- [9] T.-K. LEE and Y. Q. ZHOU, Regularity and morhic property of rings, *J. Algebra* **322** (2009), 1072–1085.
- [10] B. STENSTROM, Rings of Quotients, *Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York*, 1975.

QIONGLING LIU
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
SOUTHEAST UNIVERSITY
NANJING, JIANGSU, 210096
P.R. CHINA

E-mail: ahlq123@163.com

JIANLONG CHEN
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
SOUTHEAST UNIVERSITY
NANJING, JIANGSU, 210096
P.R. CHINA

E-mail: jlchen@seu.edu.cn

(Received June 15, 2011; revised February 2, 2012)