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#### Abstract

In this paper we study the distribution functions $g(x)$ of the sequence of blocks $X_{n}=\left(\frac{x_{1}}{x_{n}}, \frac{x_{2}}{x_{n}}, \ldots, \frac{x_{n}}{x_{n}}\right), n=1,2, \ldots$, where $x_{n}$ is an increasing sequence of positive integers. Assuming that the lower asymptotic density $\underline{d}$ of $x_{n}$ is positive, we find the optimal lower and upper bounds of $g(x)$. As an application, we also get the optimal bounds of limit points of $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_{i}}{x_{n}}, n=1,2, \ldots$


## 1. Introduction

Let $x_{n}, n=1,2, \ldots$, be an increasing sequence of positive integers (by "increasing" we mean strictly increasing). The double sequence $x_{m} / x_{n}, m, n=1,2, \ldots$ is called the ratio sequence of $x_{n}$, which has been introduced by T. Šalát [Sa]. He studied its everywhere density. For further study of ratio sequences, O. Strauch and J. T. TóTh [ST] introduced the sequence $X_{n}$ of blocks

$$
X_{n}=\left(\frac{x_{1}}{x_{n}}, \frac{x_{2}}{x_{n}}, \ldots, \frac{x_{n}}{x_{n}}\right), \quad n=1,2, \ldots
$$

and they studied the uniform distribution of $X_{n}$ in the sense of the monographs $[\mathrm{KN}]$ and $[\mathrm{DT}]$. The authors in [ST] further studied the distribution functions

[^0]$g(x)$ of $X_{n}$. The motivation for this is that the existence of strictly increasing $g(x)$ implies everywhere density of $x_{m} / x_{n}$, which is the primary problem of ŠALÁT in [Sa].

In what follows, we will use the following definitions, and basic properties, see [SP, p. 1-28, 1.8.23].

- Denote by $F\left(X_{n}, x\right)$ the step distribution function

$$
F\left(X_{n}, x\right)=\frac{\#\left\{i \leq n ; \frac{x_{i}}{x_{n}}<x\right\}}{n}
$$

for $x \in[0,1)$, and $F\left(X_{n}, 1\right)=1$. Directly from definition we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(X_{m}, x\right)=\frac{n}{m} F\left(X_{n}, x \frac{x_{m}}{x_{n}}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all pairs of integers $m<n$, and every $x \in[0,1)$.

- For any increasing sequence of positive integers $x_{n}, n=1,2, \ldots$, we define a counting function $A(t)$ as

$$
A(t)=\#\left\{n \in \mathbb{N} ; x_{n}<t\right\}
$$

Then for every $x \in(0,1]$ we have the equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{n F\left(X_{n}, x\right)}{x x_{n}}=\frac{A\left(x x_{n}\right)}{x x_{n}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which we shall use to compute the asymptotic density of $x_{n}$. Here, the lower asymptotic density $\underline{d}$, and the upper asymptotic density $\bar{d}$ of $x_{n}, n=1,2, \ldots$ are defined as

$$
\underline{d}=\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A(t)}{t}=\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{x_{n}}, \quad \bar{d}=\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A(t)}{t}=\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{x_{n}}
$$

- A non-decreasing function $g:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1], g(0)=0, g(1)=1$ is called a distribution function. We shall identify any two distribution functions coinciding at common points of continuity.
- A distribution function $g(x)$ is a distribution function of the sequence of blocks $X_{n}, n=1,2, \ldots$, if there exists an increasing sequence $n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots$ of positive integers such that

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} F\left(X_{n_{k}}, x\right)=g(x)
$$

almost everywhere in $[0,1]$. This is equivalent to weak convergence; it means that the preceding limit holds for every point $x \in[0,1]$ of continuity of $g(x)$.

In this paper we frequently use the following two theorems of Helly (see First and Second Helly theorem [SP, Theorem 4.1.0.10 and Theorem 4.1.0.11, p. 4-5]). - Helly's selection principle: For any sequence $g_{n}(x), n=1,2, \ldots$, of distribution functions in $[0,1]$ there exists a subsequence $g_{n_{k}}(x), k=1,2, \ldots$, and a distribution function $g(x)$ such that $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} g_{n_{k}}(x)=g(x)$ almost everywhere.

- Second Helly theorem: If we have $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} g_{n}(x)=g(x)$ almost everywhere in $[0,1]$, then for every continuous function $f:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we have $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{1} f(x) \mathrm{d} g_{n}(x)=$ $\int_{0}^{1} f(x) \mathrm{d} g(x)$.
- Note that applying Helly's selection principle, from the sequence $F\left(X_{n}, x\right)$, $n=1,2, \ldots$, one can select a subsequence $F\left(X_{n_{k}}, x\right), k=1,2, \ldots$, such that $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} F\left(X_{n_{k}}, x\right)=g(x)$ holds not only for the continuity points $x$ of $g(x)$, but also for all $x \in[0,1]$.
- Denote by $G\left(X_{n}\right)$ the set of all distribution functions of $X_{n}, n=1,2, \ldots$. For a singleton $G\left(X_{n}\right)=\{g(x)\}$, the distribution function $g(x)$ is also called asymptotic distribution function of $X_{n}$.
- We will use the one-step distribution function $c_{\alpha}(x)$ with the step 1 at $\alpha$ defined on $[0,1]$ via

$$
c_{\alpha}(x)= \begin{cases}0, & \text { if } x \leq \alpha  \tag{3}\\ 1, & \text { if } x>\alpha\end{cases}
$$

while always $c_{\alpha}(0)=0$ and $c_{\alpha}(1)=1$.

- The lower distribution function $\underline{g}(x)$, and the upper distribution function $\bar{g}(x)$ of a sequence $x_{n}, n=1,2, \ldots$ are defined as

$$
\underline{g}(x)=\inf _{g \in G\left(X_{n}\right)} g(x), \quad \bar{g}(x)=\sup _{g \in G\left(X_{n}\right)} g(x)
$$

In Section 2 of this paper we recall some known theorems, which we shall use and extend. In Section 3 (Theorem 5) we solve Open problem no. 7 from [SN, 1.9. Block sequence] stating that every sequence of blocks $X_{n}$ has a distribution function $g(x)$ such that $g(x) \geq x$ for all $x \in[0,1]$. Then, assuming $\underline{d}>0$, we find (Theorem 6) boundaries $h_{1}(x) \leq g(x) \leq h_{2}(x)$, which hold for every distribution function $g(x) \in G\left(X_{n}\right)$, and which are, in a sense, optimal. As a consequence, we produce boundaries (Theorem 7) for $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_{i}}{x_{n}}$. In the last Section 4 (Example 3), we find the exact values of the liminf and lim sup of $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_{i}}{x_{n}}$ for integers $x_{n}$ from the intervals $\left(\gamma a^{k}, \delta a^{k}\right), k=1,2, \ldots$.

## 2. Basic known results

For an increasing sequence $x_{n}, n=1,2, \ldots$ of positive integers the following theorems are known.

Theorem 1 ([ST, Theorem 7.1]). For every sequence of positive integers $x_{n}$ there exits $g(x) \in G\left(X_{n}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} g(x) \mathrm{d} x \geq \frac{1}{2} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2 ([ST, Theorem 6.2 (ii),(iii)]). If $\underline{d}>0$, then there exits $g \in$ $G\left(X_{n}\right)$ such that $g(x) \geq x$ for every $x \in[0,1]$. Furthermore, for every $g(x) \in$ $G\left(X_{n}\right)$, and $x \in[0,1]$ we have

$$
x \frac{\underline{d}}{\bar{d}} \leq g(x) \leq x \frac{\bar{d}}{\underline{d}}
$$

Theorem 3 ([ST, Propozicion 6.1]). Assume for a sequence $n_{k}, k=1,2, \ldots$ that
(i) $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} F\left(X_{n_{k}}, x\right)=g(x)$,
(ii) $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n_{k}}{x_{n_{k}}}=d_{g}$.

Then there exists
(iii) $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A\left(x x_{n_{k}}\right)}{x x_{n_{k}}}=d_{g}(x)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{g(x)}{x} d_{g}=d_{g}(x) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the limits (i), and (iii) can be considered for all $x \in(0,1]$, or all continuity points $x \in(0,1]$ of $g(x)$.

Theorem 4 ([ST, Theorem 4.1, Theorem 6.2]). Assume that every distribution function in $G\left(X_{n}\right)$ is continuous at 1. Then each distribution function in $G\left(X_{n}\right)$ is continuous in $(0,1]$, i.e. the only point of discontinuity is possibly 0. Furthermore, if $\underline{d}>0$, then all distribution functions in $G\left(X_{n}\right)$ are continuous in $[0,1]$.

## 3. Main results

We start with an extension of Theorem 1, and the first part of Theorem 2.
Theorem 5. For every increasing sequence of positive integers $x_{n}$, $n=1,2, \ldots$, there exists $g(x) \in G\left(X_{n}\right)$ such that $g(x) \geq x$ for all $x \in[0,1]$.

Proof. If $\underline{d}>0$, select $n_{k}$ so that $\frac{n_{k}}{x_{n_{k}}} \rightarrow \underline{d}>0$, and $F\left(X_{n_{k}}, x\right) \rightarrow g(x)$. For such $g(x)$, (5) implies

$$
\frac{g(x)}{x} \underline{d} \geq \underline{d} .
$$

Now, let $\underline{d}=0$. Select $n_{k}$ such that

$$
\frac{n_{k}}{x_{n_{k}}}=\min _{i \leq n_{k}} \frac{i}{x_{i}},
$$

and $F\left(X_{n_{k}}, x\right) \rightarrow g(x)$. Then for every $x \in(0,1]$,

$$
\frac{A\left(x x_{n_{k}}\right)}{x x_{n_{k}}} \geq \frac{n_{k}-1}{x_{n_{k}}} .
$$

Applying (2) yields

$$
\frac{F\left(X_{n_{k}}, x\right)}{x} \frac{n_{k}}{x_{n_{k}}} \geq \frac{n_{k}-1}{x_{n_{k}}},
$$

and taking the limit, as $k \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain $g(x) \geq x$ for all $x \in[0,1]$.
Now we are going to study in more detail the second part of Theorem 2.
Theorem 6. Let $x_{1}<x_{2}<\ldots$ be a sequence of positive integers with positive lower asymptotic density $\underline{d}>0$ and upper asymptotic density $\bar{d}$. Then all distribution functions $g(x) \in G\left(X_{n}\right)$ are continuous, non-singular and bounded by $h_{1}(x) \leq g(x) \leq h_{2}(x)$, where

$$
\begin{align*}
& h_{1}(x)= \begin{cases}x \frac{\underline{d}}{\underline{\bar{d}}} & \text { if } x \in\left[0, \frac{1-\bar{d}}{1-\underline{d}}\right] \\
\frac{\underline{d}}{\bar{x}-(1-\underline{d})} & \text { otherwise },\end{cases}  \tag{6}\\
& h_{2}(x)=\min \left(x \frac{\bar{d}}{\underline{d}}, 1\right) . \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, $h_{1}(x)$ and $h_{2}(x)$ are the best possible in the following sense: for given $0<\underline{d} \leq \bar{d}$, there exists $x_{1}<x_{2}<\ldots$ with lower and upper asymptotic densitie $\underline{d}$, $\bar{d}$, such that $\underline{g}(x)=h_{1}(x)$ for $x \in\left[\frac{1-\bar{d}}{1-\underline{d}}, 1\right]$; also, there exists $x_{1}<x_{2}<\ldots$ with given $0<\underline{d} \leq \bar{d}$ such that $\bar{g}(x)=h_{2}(x) \in G\left(X_{n}\right)$.

Proof. For $g(x) \in G\left(X_{n}\right)$, let $n_{k}, k=1,2, \ldots$, be an increasing sequence of indices such that $F\left(X_{n_{k}}, x\right) \rightarrow g(x)$. From $n_{k}$ we can select a subsequence (for simplicity written as the original $\left.n_{k}\right)^{1}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{n_{k}}{x_{n_{k}}} \rightarrow d_{g}>0 . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^1]Then, by (5), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x)=x \frac{d_{g}(x)}{d_{g}}, \quad \text { where } \quad \frac{A\left(x x_{n_{k}}\right)}{x x_{n_{k}}} \rightarrow d_{g}(x) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for arbitrary $x \in(0,1]$.
We will continue in six steps $1^{0}-6^{0}$.
$1^{0}$. We prove the continuity of $g(x)$ at $x=1$ (improving (iv) in [ST, Theorem 6.2]) for each $g(x) \in G\left(X_{n}\right)$.

In view of the definition of the counting function $A(t)$

$$
0 \leq A\left(x_{n_{k}}\right)-A\left(x x_{n_{k}}\right) \leq x_{n_{k}}-x x_{n_{k}} ;
$$

thus,

$$
0 \leq \frac{A\left(x_{n_{k}}\right)}{x_{n_{k}}}-\frac{A\left(x x_{n_{k}}\right)}{x_{n_{k}}}=\frac{n_{k}-1}{x_{n_{k}}}-\frac{A\left(x x_{n_{k}}\right)}{x x_{n_{k}}} x \leq 1-x
$$

and, as $k \rightarrow \infty$, we have $0 \leq d_{g}-d_{g}(x) x \leq 1-x$, which implies

$$
0 \leq d_{g}-d_{g}(x)+d_{g}(x)(1-x) \leq 1-x
$$

Consequently, $\lim _{x \rightarrow 1} d_{g}(x)=d_{g}$, and so $\lim _{x \rightarrow 1} g(x)=\lim _{x \rightarrow 1} x \frac{d_{g}(x)}{d_{g}}=1$. Since $g(x) \in G\left(X_{n}\right)$ is arbitrary, Theorem 4 gives continuity of $g(x)$ in the whole unit interval $[0,1]$.
$2^{0}$. We prove that $g(x)$ has a bounded right derivative for every $x \in(0,1)$, and for each $g(x) \in G\left(X_{n}\right)$.

For $0<x<y<1$ again

$$
0 \leq A\left(y x_{n_{k}}\right)-A\left(x x_{n_{k}}\right) \leq(y-x) x_{n_{k}},
$$

which implies

$$
0 \leq \frac{A\left(y x_{n_{k}}\right)}{y x_{n_{k}}} y-\frac{A\left(x x_{n_{k}}\right)}{x x_{n_{k}}} x \leq y-x
$$

Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$, we get $0 \leq d_{g}(y) y-d_{g}(x) x \leq y-x$, hence

$$
0 \leq g(y)-g(x)=\frac{d_{g}(y) y-d_{g}(x) x}{d_{g}} \leq \frac{y-x}{d_{g}}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq \frac{g(y)-g(x)}{y-x} \leq \frac{1}{d_{g}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in(0,1), x<y$, which gives the upper bound of the right derivatives of $g(x)$ for every $x \in(0,1)$. Note that a singular distribution function (continuous, strictly increasing, having zero derivative almost everywhere) has infinite right Dini derivatives in a dense subset of $(0,1)$.
$3^{0}$. We prove a local form of Theorem 5 .
As $\underline{d} \leq d_{g} \leq \bar{d},(9)$ implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \frac{\underline{d}}{d_{g}} \leq g(x) \leq x \frac{\bar{d}}{d_{g}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $x \in[0,1]$. It follows from (10), that there exists an extreme point $A_{g}=\left(x_{g}, y_{g}\right)$ on the line $y=x \frac{d}{d_{g}}$ such that $g(x)$ has no common point with this line for $x>x_{g}$. This point $A_{g}$ is the intersection of the lines

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=x \frac{\underline{d}}{d_{g}} \text { and, } y=x \frac{1}{d_{g}}+1-\frac{1}{d_{g}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{g}=\left(x_{g}, y_{g}\right)=\left(\frac{1-d_{g}}{1-\underline{d}}, \frac{\underline{d}}{d_{g}} \frac{1-d_{g}}{1-\underline{d}}\right) . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

It means that for a given $g(x) \in G\left(X_{n}\right), h_{1, g}(x) \leq g(x) \leq h_{2, g}(x)$, where

$$
\begin{align*}
& h_{1, g}(x)= \begin{cases}x \frac{d}{d_{g}} & \text { if } x<y_{0}=\frac{1-d_{g}}{1-\underline{d}} \\
x \frac{1}{d_{g}}+1-\frac{1}{d_{g}} & \text { if } y_{0} \leq x \leq 1\end{cases}  \tag{14}\\
& h_{2, g}(x)=\min \left(x \frac{\bar{d}}{d_{g}}, 1\right) . \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

$4^{0}$. Now we find $h_{1}(x)$, and $h_{2}(x)$ such that

$$
h_{1}(x) \leq h_{1, g}(x) \leq h_{2, g}(x) \leq h_{2}(x)
$$

for every $g \in G\left(X_{n}\right)$.
In the parametric expression (13) of $A_{g}$, the local asymptotic density $d_{g}$ defined by (8) belongs to the interval $[\underline{d}, \bar{d}]$. The well-known Darboux property of the asymptotic density implies that for an arbitrary $d \in[\underline{d}, \bar{d}]$ there exists an increasing $n_{k}, k=1,2, \ldots$, such that $\frac{n_{k}}{x_{n_{k}}} \rightarrow d^{2}$, and then the Helly selection

[^2]principle implies the existence of a subsequence of $n_{k}$ such that $F\left(X_{n_{k}}, x\right) \rightarrow g(x)$ for some $g(x) \in G\left(X_{n}\right)$. Thus, if $g(x)$ runs over $G\left(X_{n}\right)$, then $d_{g}$ runs over the entire interval $[\underline{d}, \bar{d}]$. Substituting $d_{g}=1-x_{g}(1-\underline{d})$ in $A_{g}=\left(x_{g}, y_{g}\right)$ we get
$$
y_{g}=y_{g}\left(x_{g}\right)=\frac{\underline{d}}{\frac{1}{x_{g}}-(1-\underline{d})}
$$
where $x_{g}=\frac{1-d_{g}}{1-\underline{d}}$ runs through the interval $I=\left[\frac{1-\bar{d}}{1-\underline{d}}, 1\right]$ for $d_{g} \in[\underline{d}, \bar{d}]$. By putting $x_{g}=x$, and $y_{g}^{-}=h_{1}$ we find a part of $h_{1}(x)$ for $x \in I$ in (6). The remaining part of $h_{1}(x)$, and also the whole $h_{2}(x)$, follow from the basic inequality (11), see Figure 1.


Figure 1. Boundaries of $g(x) \in G\left(X_{n}\right)$
$5^{0}$. The optimality of $h_{1}(x)$ follows from the following example. The increasing sequence $x_{n}$ of the integers lying in the intervals

$$
(\gamma, \delta),(\gamma a, \delta a), \ldots,\left(\gamma a^{k}, \delta a^{k}\right), \ldots
$$

where $1 \leq \gamma<\delta \leq a$, has been used in [ST, pp. 774-777, Example 11.2]. For its lower, and upper asymptotic densities $\underline{d}$, and $\bar{d}$, it has been shown that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{d}=\frac{(\delta-\gamma)}{\gamma(a-1)}, \quad \bar{d}=\frac{(\delta-\gamma) a}{\delta(a-1)}, \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that the graph of every $g \in G\left(X_{n}\right)$ lies in the intervals

$$
[1 / a, 1] \times[1 / a, 1] \cup\left[1 / a^{2}, 1 / a\right] \times\left[1 / a^{2}, 1 / a\right] \cup \ldots
$$

Moreover, the part of the graph in $\left[1 / a^{k}, 1 / a^{k-1}\right] \times\left[1 / a^{k}, 1 / a^{k-1}\right]$ is similar to the part of the graph in $\left[1 / a^{k+1}, 1 / a^{k}\right] \times\left[1 / a^{k+1}, 1 / a^{k}\right]$ with the scale $a$. It is also proved in [ST], that the graph of $\underline{g}(x)$ in $[1 / a, 1] \times[1 / a, 1]$ has the form $\underline{g}(x)=\left(1+\frac{1}{d}\left(\frac{1}{x}-1\right)\right)^{-1}$ for $x \in\left[\frac{\gamma}{\delta}, 1\right]$, and it coincides with the graph of $h_{1}(x)$ in the interval $I=\left[\frac{1-\bar{d}}{1-\underline{d}}, 1\right]$, since $\frac{1-\bar{d}}{1-\underline{d}}=\frac{\gamma}{\delta}$.
$6^{0}$. Finally, we prove the optimality of $h_{2}(x)$. Before proving it in several substeps, note that in $5^{0}$ the graph of the upper distribution function $\bar{g}(x)$ in $[1 / a, 1] \times[1 / a, 1]$ is a straight line which intersects the line $y=1$ at $x=\frac{\delta}{\gamma a}=\frac{d}{\bar{d}}$. Thus, $\bar{g}(\underline{\underline{\underline{d}}})=h_{2}(\underline{\underline{\underline{d}}})=1$ proving that the point $(\underline{\underline{\underline{d}}}, 1)$ is optimal.

To complete the proof of $6^{0}$, in the following steps a)- f) we shall construct a sequence of positive integers $x_{1}<x_{2}<\ldots$ with $0<\underline{d} \leq \bar{d}$ such that $h_{2}(x) \in$ $G\left(X_{n}\right)$. This implies $h_{2}(x)=\bar{g}(x)$.
a) The condition $h_{2}(x) \in G\left(X_{n}\right)$ for a sequence of positive integers $x_{1}<$ $x_{2}<\ldots$ is equivalent to the existence of an increasing sequence of indices $n_{k}$ such that $F\left(X_{n_{k}}, x\right) \rightarrow h_{2}(x)$ for $x \in[0,1]$, and $\frac{n_{k}}{n_{k+1}} \rightarrow 0$. An application of (1) yields that this is equivalent (see Fig. 2) to the existence of $m_{k}^{\prime}<m_{k}<n_{k}$ such that the values $x_{m_{k}^{\prime}}<x_{m_{k}}<x_{n_{k}}$ satisfy
(i) $\frac{x_{m_{k}}}{x_{n_{k}}} \rightarrow \frac{d}{\bar{d}}$,
(ii) $\frac{m_{k}}{n_{k}} \rightarrow 1$,
(iii) $\frac{x_{m_{k}^{\prime}}}{x_{n_{k}}} \rightarrow 0$,
(iv) $\frac{m_{k}^{\prime}}{n_{k}} \rightarrow 0$.

Moreover, because the sequence of positive integers $x_{n}$ increases, we have (see Figure 3)
(v) $x_{m_{k}}-x_{m_{k}^{\prime}} \geq m_{k}-m_{k}^{\prime}$,
vi) $x_{n_{k}}-x_{m_{k}} \geq n_{k}-m_{k}$,
(vii) $x_{m_{k+1}^{\prime}}-x_{n_{k}} \geq m_{k+1}^{\prime}-n_{k}$,
(viii) $n_{k}<m_{k+1}^{\prime}$,
(ix) $m_{1}^{\prime} \leq x_{m_{1}^{\prime}}$.


Figure 2: $F\left(X_{n_{k}}, x\right) \rightarrow h_{2}(x)$, the properties (i)-(iv).


Figure 3: The (v)-(viii) properties.
b) Before solving (i)-(ix) we must capture a role of $\underline{d}$ and $\bar{d}$. By (i) and, (ii) we have the limit

$$
\frac{n_{k}}{m_{k}} \frac{x_{m_{k}}}{x_{n_{k}}} \rightarrow \frac{d}{\overline{\bar{d}}}
$$

Selecting a subsequence of $\left(m_{k}, n_{k}\right), k=1,2, \ldots$, we can assume the existence of the limits $\frac{n_{k}}{x_{n_{k}}} \rightarrow d_{h_{2}}$, and $\frac{m_{k}}{x_{m_{k}}} \rightarrow d_{g}$ (for simplicity, also assume $F\left(X_{m_{k}}, x\right) \rightarrow$ $g(x))$. Then

$$
\frac{n_{k}}{m_{k}} \frac{x_{m_{k}}}{x_{n_{k}}}=\frac{\frac{x_{m_{k}}}{m_{k}}}{\frac{x_{n_{k}}}{n_{k}}} \rightarrow \frac{\frac{1}{d_{g}}}{\frac{1}{d_{n_{2}}}}=\frac{d}{\bar{d}},
$$

and since

$$
\frac{\underline{d}}{\underline{\bar{d}}}=\min _{d_{1}, d_{2} \in[\underline{d}, \bar{d}]} \frac{d_{1}}{d_{2}}
$$

we have $d_{h_{2}}=\underline{d}$, and $d_{g}=\bar{d}$. This yields the additional conditions
(x) $\frac{n_{k}}{x_{n_{k}}} \rightarrow \underline{d}$,
(xi) $\frac{m_{k}}{x_{m_{k}}} \rightarrow \bar{d}$.
c) In what follows, we assume $\underline{d}<\bar{d}$, because from Theorem 2 , by $0<\underline{d}=\bar{d}$, we get $G\left(X_{n}\right)=\{x\}$, and also $h_{2}(x)=x$.
d) To find a sequence $x_{n}$ which satisfies (i)-(xi), we define $x_{n_{k}}, x_{m_{k}}, m_{k}$, $x_{m_{k}^{\prime}}, m_{k}^{\prime}$ by using $n_{k}$ (for a simplifying the definitions, the integer part will be omitted):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{n_{k}}=\frac{n_{k}}{\underline{d}} \\
& x_{m_{k}}=x_{n_{k}} \frac{d}{\bar{d}}=\frac{n_{k}}{\bar{d}} \\
& m_{k}=x_{m_{k}} \bar{d}-o\left(n_{k}\right)=n_{k}-\sqrt{n_{k}}, \\
& x_{m_{k}^{\prime}}=\sqrt{x_{m_{k}}}=\sqrt{\frac{n_{k}}{\bar{d}}} \\
& m_{k}^{\prime}=d^{\prime} x_{m_{k}^{\prime}}=d^{\prime} \sqrt{\frac{n_{k}}{\bar{d}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

for some $d^{\prime} \in(\underline{d}, \bar{d})$.
These $x_{n_{k}}, x_{m_{k}}, x_{m_{k}^{\prime}}, m_{k}, m_{k}^{\prime}$ satisfy (i)-(vii), (x), (xi). For (viii) we need

$$
n_{k+1}>\frac{\bar{d}}{d^{\prime 2}} \frac{1}{\underline{d}^{2}} n_{k}^{2}
$$

for $k=2,3, \ldots$, and for (ix) the $n_{1}$ must be large.
e) For linearity of $h_{2}(x)$ in $\left[0, \frac{d}{\bar{d}}\right]$, and to guarantee the asymptotic densities $\underline{d}, \bar{d}$, define
(xii) $x_{n}=x_{a}+(n-a) \frac{x_{b}-x_{a}}{b-a}$ for $n \in(a, b)$, where $(a, b)$ coincides successively with $\left(m_{k}^{\prime}, m_{k}\right),\left(m_{k}, n_{k}\right)$, or $\left(n_{k}, m_{k+1}^{\prime}\right)$.
Then

$$
\frac{n}{x_{n}}=\frac{a+(n-a)}{x_{a}+(n-a) \frac{x_{b}-x_{a}}{b-a}},
$$

and because the derivative $\left(\frac{A x+B}{C x+D}\right)^{\prime}=\frac{A D-B C}{(C x+D)^{2}}$, the minimum and maximum of $\frac{n}{x_{n}}$ for $n \in(a, b)$ are attained at the endpoints $n=a$, and $n=b$, i.e., for $n=m_{k}^{\prime}, m_{k}, n_{k}$. Since the limits of $\frac{n_{k}}{x_{n_{k}}}, \frac{m_{k}}{x_{m_{k}}}, \frac{m_{k}}{x_{m_{k}^{\prime}}}$ are from $[\underline{d}, \bar{d}]$, and the boundary points are attained, $\lim \inf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{x_{n}}=\underline{d}$, and $\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{x_{n}}=\bar{d}$.
f) For such $x_{1}<x_{2}<\ldots$ we have $\underline{d}, \bar{d}$, and $F\left(X_{n_{k}}, x\right) \rightarrow h_{2}(x)$ for $x \in[0,1]$; hence, the proof of Theorem 6 is finished.

Remark 1. In a sharp contrast to $h_{2}(x) \in G\left(X_{n}\right)$ in $6^{0}$ we note that for every sequence of integers $x_{1}<x_{2}<\ldots, 0<\underline{d}<\bar{d}$, we have $h_{1}(x) \notin G\left(X_{n}\right)$, because for every $g(x) \in G\left(X_{n}\right), h_{1, g}(x) \leq g(x) \leq h_{2, g}(x)$, and $h_{1, g}(x) \neq h_{1}(x)$.

Theorem 6 implies the following best possible boundaries of the sum

$$
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_{i}}{x_{n}}
$$

Theorem 7. For every increasing sequence $x_{1}<x_{2}<\ldots$ of positive integers with $0<\underline{d} \leq \bar{d}$ we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{\bar{d}} \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_{i}}{x_{n}}  \tag{17}\\
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_{i}}{x_{n}} \leq \frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1-\min (\sqrt{\underline{d}}, \bar{d})}{1-\underline{d}}\right)\left(1-\frac{\underline{d}}{\min (\sqrt{\underline{d}}, \bar{d})}\right) . \tag{18}
\end{gather*}
$$

Here the equality in both (17) and $(18)^{3}$ can be attained.
Proof. By the Helly theorem, $F\left(X_{n_{k}}, x\right) \rightarrow g(x)$ forces

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} x \mathrm{~d} F\left(X_{n_{k}}, x\right)=\frac{1}{n_{k}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{k}} \frac{x_{i}}{x_{n_{k}}} \rightarrow \int_{0}^{1} x \mathrm{~d} g(x)=1-\int_{0}^{1} g(x) \mathrm{d} x \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

thus,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_{i}}{x_{n}}=1-\max _{g \in G\left(X_{n}\right)} \int_{0}^{1} g(x) \mathrm{d} x,  \tag{20}\\
& \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_{i}}{x_{n}}=1-\min _{g \in G\left(X_{n}\right)} \int_{0}^{1} g(x) \mathrm{d} x . \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

[^3]If $\underline{d}>0$, then by Theorem $6, h_{1}(x) \leq g(x) \leq h_{2}(x)$, which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-\int_{0}^{1} h_{2}(x) \mathrm{d} x \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_{i}}{x_{n}} \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_{i}}{x_{n}} \leq 1-\int_{0}^{1} h_{1}(x) \mathrm{d} x \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $x_{1}<x_{2}<\ldots$ in step $6^{0}$, where $h_{2}(x) \in G\left(X_{n}\right)$, we have equality on the left hand side of (22). On the other hand, Remark 1 implies a sharp inequality on the right hand side, therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_{i}}{x_{n}}<1-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\underline{\bar{d}}}{\overline{\bar{d}}}\left(\frac{1-\overline{\bar{d}}}{1-\underline{d}}\right)^{2}-\frac{\underline{d}}{(1-\underline{d})^{2}}\left(\log \frac{\underline{d}}{\overline{\bar{d}}}-(\bar{d}-\underline{d})\right) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for an arbitrary sequence of integers $x_{1}<x_{2}<\ldots$ with $0<\underline{d}<\bar{d}$.
Applying the inequality $h_{1, g}(x) \leq g(x) \leq h_{2, g}(x)$ for every $g \in G\left(X_{n}\right)$ from step $3^{0}$ to (19), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_{i}}{x_{n}} \leq \max _{g(x) \in G\left(X_{n}\right)}\left(1-\int_{0}^{1} h_{1, g}(x) \mathrm{d} x\right) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the maximum in (24) is attained for $g_{0}(x) \in G\left(X_{n}\right)$, and $h_{1, g_{0}}(x) \in G\left(X_{n}\right)$, then $g_{0}(x)=h_{1, g_{0}}(x)$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_{i}}{x_{n}}=1-\int_{0}^{1} h_{1, g_{0}}(x) \mathrm{d} x . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (14) we get

$$
\int_{0}^{1} h_{1, g}(x) \mathrm{d} x=\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\frac{1-d_{g}}{1-\underline{d}}\left(\frac{\underline{d}}{d_{g}}-1\right)\right)
$$

and taking derivative with respect to $d_{g} \in[\underline{d}, \bar{d}]$

$$
\left(\int_{0}^{1} h_{1, g}(x) \mathrm{d} x\right)^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2(1-\underline{d})}\left(1-\frac{\underline{d}}{\left(d_{g}\right)^{2}}\right)
$$

shows that $\min \int_{0}^{1} h_{1, g}(x) \mathrm{d} x$ is attained for $d_{g_{0}}=\min (\sqrt{\underline{d}}, \bar{d})$.
Now, to prove (25) we shall construct integers $x_{1}<x_{2}<\ldots$ with $0<\underline{d} \leq \bar{d}$ such that $h_{1, g_{0}}(x) \in G\left(X_{n}\right)$. We start with the sequence of indices $n_{k}$, and then
by (14) we shall find indices $m_{k}^{\prime}<m_{k}<n_{k}$, and integers $x_{m_{k}^{\prime}}<x_{m_{k}}<x_{n_{k}}$ such that
(i) $\frac{n_{k}}{x_{n_{k}}} \rightarrow d_{g_{0}}$,
(ii) $\frac{m_{k}}{n_{k}} \rightarrow \frac{\underline{d}}{d_{g_{0}}} \frac{1-d_{g_{0}}}{1-\underline{d}}$,
(iii) $\frac{x_{m_{k}}}{x_{n_{k}}} \rightarrow \frac{1-d_{g_{0}}}{1-\underline{d}}$,
(iv) $\frac{x_{m_{k}^{\prime}}}{x_{n_{k}}} \rightarrow 0$,
(v) $\frac{m_{k}^{\prime}}{n_{k}^{\prime}} \rightarrow 0$,
(vi) $\frac{m_{k}^{\prime}}{x_{m_{k}^{\prime}}} \rightarrow \bar{d}$.

Then from (i), (ii), and (iii) it follows that $\frac{m_{k}}{x_{m_{k}}} \rightarrow \underline{d}$. Furthermore, assume
(v) $x_{m_{k}}-x_{m_{k}^{\prime}} \geq m_{k}-m_{k}^{\prime}$,
(vi) $\quad x_{n_{k}}-x_{m_{k}} \geq n_{k}-m_{k}$,
(vii) $x_{m_{k+1}^{\prime}}-x_{n_{k}} \geq m_{k+1}^{\prime}-n_{k}$,
(viii) $n_{k}<m_{k+1}^{\prime}$,
(ix) $\quad m_{1}^{\prime} \leq x_{m_{1}^{\prime}}$.

For these (i)-(ix) a sequence of integers $x_{n}$ can be found similarly to $6^{0} \mathrm{~d}$ ). The rest of the terms of $x_{n}$ define linearly as in e).

## 4. Examples

Example 1. a) If $0<\underline{d}=\bar{d}$, then the bounds in both (17), and (18) equal to $\frac{1}{2}$, which implies

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_{i}}{x_{n}}=\frac{1}{2}
$$

This also follows from the fact that $G\left(X_{n}\right)=\{x\}$, see Theorem 2.
b) If $\underline{d}=\frac{1}{2}$, and $\bar{d}=1$, then by (23), $\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_{i}}{x_{n}}<2-\log 4<1$. Using (18) we have an even better estimate $\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_{i}}{x_{n}} \leq 2-\sqrt{2}$.

Example 2. Omitting $\underline{d}>0$, we can find a sequence of positive integers $x_{1}<x_{2}<\ldots$ such that $c_{0}(x), c_{1}(x) \in G\left(X_{n}\right)$, where $c_{0}(x), c_{1}(x)$ are one-steps
distribution functions defined by (3) in the Introduction. In this case

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_{i}}{x_{n}}=0=1-\int_{0}^{1} c_{0}(x) \mathrm{d} x \\
& \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_{i}}{x_{n}}=1=1-\int_{0}^{1} c_{1}(x) \mathrm{d} x .
\end{aligned}
$$

We shall construct such $x_{n}$ by applying [GS, Theorem 5]. For the index sequences $m_{k}^{\prime}<m_{k}<n_{k}^{\prime}<n_{k}$ we shall find sequences of positive integers $x_{m_{k}^{\prime}}<x_{m_{k}}<$ $x_{n_{k}^{\prime}}<x_{n_{k}}$ such that
(i) $\frac{n_{k}^{\prime}}{n_{k}} \rightarrow 0$,
(ii) $\frac{m_{k}^{\prime}}{m_{k}} \rightarrow 1$,
(iii) $\frac{x_{n_{k}^{\prime}}}{x_{n_{k}}} \rightarrow 1$,
(iv) $\frac{x_{m_{k}^{\prime}}}{x_{m_{k}}} \rightarrow 0$.

Furthermore,
(v) $x_{n_{k}}-x_{n_{k}^{\prime}} \geq n_{k}-n_{k}^{\prime}$,
(vi) $\quad x_{n_{k}^{\prime}}-x_{m_{k}} \geq n_{k}^{\prime}-m_{k}$,
(vii) $x_{m_{k}}-x_{m_{k}^{\prime}} \geq m_{k}-m_{k}^{\prime}$,
(viii) $m_{k+1}^{\prime}>n_{k}$,
(ix) $\quad x_{m_{1}^{\prime}} \geq m_{1}^{\prime}$,
(x) $\quad x_{m_{k+1}^{\prime}}-x_{n_{k}} \geq m_{k+1}^{\prime}-n_{k}$.

Then (i)-(x) will be satisfied, if for a given $n_{k}$ we put $x_{n_{k}}=n_{k}^{2}, x_{n_{k}^{\prime}}=n_{k}^{2}-n_{k}$, $x_{m_{k}}=n_{k}^{2}-2 n_{k}, x_{m_{k}^{\prime}}=n_{k}, n_{k}^{\prime}=\sqrt{n_{k}}, m_{k}=\sqrt{n_{k}}-\sqrt[4]{n_{k}}, m_{k}^{\prime}=\sqrt{n_{k}}-2 \sqrt[4]{n_{k}} ;$ further, (viii) holds if $n_{k+1} \geq n_{k}^{4}$. For the other $n$ 's in the intervals $\left(m_{k}^{\prime}, m_{k}\right)$, $\left(m_{k}, n_{k}^{\prime}\right),\left(n_{k}^{\prime}, n_{k}\right)$, and $\left(n_{k}, m_{k+1}^{\prime}\right)$ define $x_{n}$ linearly.

Now, by (i), and (iii) we have $F\left(X_{n_{k}}, x\right) \rightarrow c_{1}(x)$, and (ii), (iv) imply $F\left(X_{m_{k}}, x\right) \rightarrow c_{0}(x)$.

Example 3. In this example we extend a characterization of distribution functions of the sequence $x_{1}<x_{2}<\ldots$ in [ST, Example 11.2]. This sequence was used in the proof of Theorem 6, part $5^{0}$.

Let $x_{n}, n=1,2, \ldots$, be the increasing sequence of all integer points in the sequence of intervals $\left(\gamma a^{k}, \delta a^{k}\right)$ (in short $\left.a^{k}(\gamma, \delta)\right), k=0,1,2, \ldots$, where $1 \leq \gamma<\delta \leq a$ are real numbers.

It is proved in [ST, Ex. 11.2] that
$1^{0}$. The set of all distribution functions can be expressed in parametric form as $G\left(X_{n}\right)=\left\{g_{t}(x) ; t \in[0,1]\right\}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(X_{n_{k}}, x\right) \rightarrow g_{t}(x) \text { for } n_{k} \quad \text { such that } x_{n_{k}}=\left[a^{k} \gamma+t a^{k}(\delta-\gamma)\right] \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

$2^{0}$. The function $g_{t}(x)$ has constant values $g_{t}(x)=\frac{1}{a^{2}(1+t(a-1))}$ for $x \in$ $\frac{(\delta, a \gamma)}{a^{i+1}(\gamma+t(\delta-\gamma))}, i=0,1,2, \ldots$, and in the component intervals it has a constant derivative $g_{t}^{\prime}(x)=\frac{(a-1)(\gamma+t(\delta-\gamma))}{(\delta-\gamma)(1+t(a-1))}$ for $x \in \frac{(\gamma, \delta)}{a^{i+1}(\gamma+t(\delta-\gamma))}, i=0,1,2, \ldots$, and $x \in\left(\frac{\gamma}{\gamma+t(\delta-\gamma)}, 1\right) .{ }^{4}$
$3^{0}$. The graph of every $g \in G\left(X_{n}\right)$ lies in the intervals

$$
[1 / a, 1] \times[1 / a, 1] \cup\left[1 / a^{2}, 1 / a\right] \times\left[1 / a^{2}, 1 a /\right] \cup \ldots,
$$

and the graph of $g$ in $\left[1 / a^{k}, 1 / a^{k-1}\right] \times\left[1 / a^{k}, 1 / a^{k-1}\right]$ is similar to the graph of $g$ in $\left[1 / a^{k+1}, 1 / a^{k}\right] \times\left[1 / a^{k+1}, 1 / a^{k}\right]$ with coefficient $a$.
$4^{0}$. We have $g_{0}(x)=\bar{g}(x), \underline{g}(x) \notin G\left(X_{n}\right)$, and the asymptotic densities $\underline{d}, \bar{d}$ are

$$
\underline{d}=\frac{(\delta-\gamma)}{\gamma(a-1)}, \quad \bar{d}=\frac{(\delta-\gamma) a}{\delta(a-1)}
$$

We can add the following new properties $5^{0}-8^{0}$ :
$5^{0}$. By definition (8) of the local asymptotic density $d_{g}$, along with (26) for $g(x)=g_{t}(x)$ we get

$$
\begin{align*}
d_{g_{t}}=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n_{k}}{x_{n_{k}}} & =\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} a^{i}(\delta-\gamma)+t a^{k}(\delta-\gamma)}{a^{k} \gamma+t a^{k}(\delta-\gamma)} \\
& =\frac{(\delta-\gamma)(1+t(a-1))}{(a-1)(\gamma+t(\delta-\gamma))} \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

for $t=0, d_{g_{0}}=\underline{d}$, for $t=1, d_{g_{1}}=\bar{d}$, and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{t}^{\prime}(x)=\frac{1}{d_{g_{t}}} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $x$ in intervals where the derivative of $g_{t}(x)$ is constant.

[^4]$6^{0}$. For the function $h_{1, g}(x)$ defined in (14), putting $g(x)=g_{t}(x)$, we have
$$
\frac{\underline{d}}{d_{g_{t}}}=\frac{\gamma+t(\delta-\gamma)}{\gamma(1+t(a-1))}, \frac{1-d_{g_{t}}}{1-\underline{d}}=\frac{\gamma}{\gamma+t(\delta-\gamma)}, \frac{\underline{d}}{d_{g_{t}}} \frac{1-d_{g_{t}}}{1-\underline{d}}=\frac{1}{1+t(a-1)}
$$

Then

$$
h_{1, g_{t}}(x)=\left\{\begin{align*}
& g_{t}(x)=x \frac{1}{d_{g_{t}}}+1-\frac{1}{d_{g_{t}}}, \text { for } x \in\left(\frac{\gamma}{\gamma+t(\delta-\gamma}, 1\right)  \tag{29}\\
& g_{t}(x)=\frac{1}{a^{i}(1+t(a-1))}, \text { for } x=\frac{\gamma}{a^{i}(\gamma+t(\delta-\gamma))}, \\
& i=0,1,2, \ldots,
\end{align*}\right.
$$

see Figure 4.


Figure 4: $g_{t}(x)$ and $h_{1, g_{t}}(x)$.
$7^{0}$. In the proof of the upper bound (18) we have proved that $1-\int_{0}^{1} h_{1, g}(x) \mathrm{d} x$ is maximal for $d_{g}=\min (\sqrt{\underline{d}}, \bar{d})$. Let $t_{0} \in[0,1]$ be such that $d_{g_{t_{0}}}=\min (\sqrt{\underline{d}}, \bar{d})$. This $t=t_{0}$ we shall find from (27) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
t=\frac{d_{g_{t}}(a-1) \gamma-(\delta-\gamma)}{(\delta-\gamma)(a-1)\left(1-d_{g_{t}}\right)} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

$8^{0}$. Let $P(t)$ be the area in $\left[\frac{1}{a}, 1\right] \times\left[\frac{1}{a}, 1\right]$ bounded by the graph of $g_{t}(x)$. Then
$\int_{0}^{1} g_{t}(x) \mathrm{d} x=P(t) \frac{1}{1-\frac{1}{a^{2}}}+\frac{1}{a+1}=\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{(a+1)} \cdot \frac{(\gamma a-\delta)}{(1+t(a-1))(\gamma+t(\delta-\gamma))}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{t(\delta-\gamma a)}{(1+t(a-1))(\gamma+t(\delta-\gamma))} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, since $g_{0}(x)=\bar{g}(x), \max _{t \in[0,1]} \int_{0}^{1} g_{t}(x) \mathrm{d} x$ is attained at $t=0$. Putting $P^{\prime}(t)=0$ it follows that $\min _{t \in[0,1]} \int_{0}^{1} g_{t}(x) \mathrm{d} x$ is attained at $t=1$. This can be derived also from the fact that for $x_{n+1}=x_{n}+1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{x_{i}}{x_{n+1}}-\frac{1}{n} & \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_{i}}{x_{n}} \\
& =\frac{1}{n+1}-\left(\frac{1}{x_{n}+1}+\frac{1}{n+1} \cdot \frac{1}{1+\frac{1}{x_{n}}}\right)\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_{i}}{x_{n}}\right)>0
\end{aligned}
$$

and, because $c_{1}(x) \notin G\left(X_{n}\right), \lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_{i}}{x_{n}}<1$. Denoting the index $n_{k}$ for $x_{n_{k}}=\left[a^{k} \delta\right]$, the limsup of $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_{i}}{x_{n}}$ is attained over $n=n_{k}, k=0,1,2, \ldots$, and for such $n_{k}$ (see (26)) we have $F\left(X_{n_{k}}, x\right) \rightarrow g_{1}(x)$ for $x \in[0,1]$.

It follows, by (20), and (21) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_{i}}{x_{n}}=1-\int_{0}^{1} g_{0}(x) \mathrm{d} x=\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{(a+1)}\left(\frac{\gamma a-\delta}{\gamma}\right),  \tag{32}\\
& \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_{i}}{x_{n}}=1-\int_{0}^{1} g_{1}(x) \mathrm{d} x=\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{(a+1)}\left(\frac{\gamma a-\delta}{\delta}\right) . \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

The upper bound in (18) coincides with the maximal value of $1-\int_{0}^{1} h_{1, g}(x) \mathrm{d} x$ attained for $d_{g}=\min (\sqrt{\underline{d}}, \bar{d})$. Since $1-\int_{0}^{1} g_{1}(x) \mathrm{d} x$ is maximal for all $1-\int_{0}^{1} g_{t}(x) \mathrm{d} x$, $t \in[0,1]$, and $1-\int_{0}^{1} g_{1}(x) \mathrm{d} x \leq 1-\int_{0}^{1} h_{1, g_{1}}(x) \mathrm{d} x$, the upper bound (33) satisfies (18).

Using the explicit formulas (16) for asymptotic densities, we see again that (32), and (33) satisfy (17), and (18), respectively, in Theorem 7.
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[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ We call $d_{g}$ a local asymptotic density related to $g(x)$.

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ A simple proof follows from the fact that for every $d \in(\underline{d}, \bar{d})$ there exist infinitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $A(n) / n \leq d \leq A(n+1) /(n+1)$. These $n$ we denote as $n_{k}$.

[^3]:    ${ }^{3}$ If $\sqrt{\underline{d}} \leq \bar{d}$ then the right-hand side in (18) is $\frac{1}{1+\sqrt{\underline{d}}}$.

[^4]:    ${ }^{4}$ Here, as above, we write $(x z, y z)=(x, y) z$, and $(x / z, y / z)=(x, y) / z$.

