

On the Ricci tensor and the generalized Tanaka–Webster connection of real hypersurfaces in a complex space form

By MAYUKO KON (Nagano)

Abstract. We prove that the Ricci tensor \hat{S} with respect to the generalized Tanaka–Webster connection of a real hypersurface with the almost contact structure (η, ϕ, ξ, g) in a complex space form of complex dimension $n \geq 3$ satisfies $\hat{S}(X, \phi Y) = \lambda g(X, \phi Y)$ for any vector field X and Y , λ being a function, if and only if the real hypersurface is locally congruent to some type (A) hypersurface.

1. Introduction

Tanaka–Webster connection is a unique affine connection on a non-degenerate, pseudo-Hermitian *CR* manifold which associated with the almost contact structure ([12], [14]). Tanno [13] gave the *generalized Tanaka–Webster connection* (*g-Tanaka–Webster connection*) for contact metric manifolds, which coincides with Tanaka–Webster connection if the associated *CR*-structure is integrable. For a real hypersurface in a Kählerian manifold with an almost contact metric structure (η, ϕ, ξ, g) , in [3] and [4], Cho defined the *g-Tanaka–Webster connection* $\hat{\nabla}^{(k)}$ for a non-zero real number k . Then we can see that $\hat{\nabla}^{(k)}\eta = 0$, $\hat{\nabla}^{(k)}\xi = 0$, $\hat{\nabla}^{(k)}g = 0$, $\hat{\nabla}^{(k)}\phi = 0$. Moreover, if the shape operator A of a real hypersurface satisfies $\phi A + A\phi = 2k\phi$, then the *g-Tanaka–Webster connection* $\hat{\nabla}^{(k)}$ coincides with the Tanaka–Webster connection.

Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary: 53B20, 53C15, 53C25.

Key words and phrases: complex space form, real hypersurface, Ricci tensor, Tanaka–Webster connection.

This work was supported by the JSPS International Training Program (ITP).

For real hypersurfaces in a complex space form $M^n(c)$ of constant holomorphic sectional curvature $4c \neq 0$, one of the major problem is to determine real hypersurfaces satisfying certain geometrical assumptions. CHO [5] determined flat Hopf hypersurfaces in a non-flat complex space form with respect to the g-Tanaka–Webster connection. Besides, he classified Hopf hypersurfaces in a non-flat complex space form which admits a pseudo-Einstein CR -structure for the g-Tanaka–Webster connection.

The purpose of this paper is to study real hypersurfaces in a complex space form whose Ricci tensor \hat{S} with respect to the g-Tanaka–Webster connection $\hat{\nabla}^{(k)}$ satisfies $\hat{S}(X, \phi Y) = \lambda g(X, \phi Y)$ for any vector fields X and Y .

The author would like to express her sincere gratitude to Professor P. F. Leung for his valuable advice. Also, the author would like to thank the referee for valuable comments.

2. Preliminaries

Let $M^n(c)$ denote the complex space form of complex dimension n (real dimension $2n$) of constant holomorphic sectional curvature $4c$. For the sake of simplicity, if $c > 0$, we only use $c = +1$ and call it the complex projective space $\mathbb{C}P^n$, and if $c < 0$, we just consider $c = -1$, so that we call it the complex hyperbolic space $\mathbb{C}H^n$. We denote by J the almost complex structure of $M^n(c)$. The Hermitian metric of $M^n(c)$ will be denoted by G .

Let M be a real $(2n - 1)$ -dimensional hypersurface immersed in $M^n(c)$. We denote by g the Riemannian metric induced on M from G . We take the unit normal vector field V of M in $M^n(c)$. For any vector field X tangent to M , we define ϕ , η and ξ by

$$JX = \phi X + \eta(X)V, \quad JV = -\xi,$$

where ϕX is the tangential part of JX , ϕ is a tensor field of type $(1, 1)$, η is a 1-form, and ξ is the unit vector field on M . Then they satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^2 X &= -X + \eta(X)\xi, & \phi\xi &= 0, & \eta(\phi X) &= 0, \\ \eta(X) &= g(X, \xi), & g(\phi X, \phi Y) &= g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y). \end{aligned}$$

Thus (ϕ, ξ, η, g) defines an almost contact metric structure on M . Let H_0 denote the holomorphic distribution on M defined by $H_0(x) = \{X \in T_x(M) \mid \eta(X) = 0\}$.

We denote by $\tilde{\nabla}$ the operator of covariant differentiation in $M^n(c)$, and by ∇ the one in M determined by the induced metric. Then the *Gauss and Weingarten formulas* are given respectively by

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + g(AX, Y)V, \quad \tilde{\nabla}_X V = -AX$$

for any vector fields X and Y tangent to M . We call A the *shape operator* of M . From the Gauss and Weingarten formulas, we have

$$\nabla_X \xi = \phi AX, \quad (\nabla_X \phi)Y = \eta(Y)AX - g(AX, Y)\xi.$$

We denote by R the Riemannian curvature tensor field of M . Then the *equation of Gauss* is given by

$$R(X, Y)Z = c\{g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y + g(\phi Y, Z)\phi X - g(\phi X, Z)\phi Y - 2g(\phi X, Y)\phi Z\} + g(AY, Z)AX - g(AX, Z)AY,$$

and the *equation of Codazzi* by

$$(\nabla_X A)Y - (\nabla_Y A)X = c\{\eta(X)\phi Y - \eta(Y)\phi X - 2g(\phi X, Y)\xi\}.$$

If $A\xi = \lambda\xi$, λ being a function, then M is called a *Hopf hypersurface*. There are many results for real hypersurfaces in complex space forms under the assumption that they are Hopf hypersurfaces. By the Codazzi equation, we have the following result (c.f. [8]).

Proposition A. *Let M be a Hopf hypersurface in $M^n(c)$, $n \geq 2$. If $X \perp \xi$ and $AX = \beta X$, then $\alpha = g(A\xi, \xi)$ is constant and*

$$(2\beta - \alpha)A\phi X = (\beta\alpha + 2c)\phi X.$$

We use the following results for the proof of the main theorem.

Theorem B ([7]). *Let M be a Hopf hypersurface in $\mathbb{C}P^n$. Then M has constant principal curvatures if and only if M is locally congruent to one of the following:*

- (A₁) a geodesic hypersphere of radius r , where $0 < r < \pi/2$,
- (A₂) a tube over a totally geodesic $\mathbb{C}P^l$ ($1 \leq l \leq n - 2$), where $0 < r < \pi/2$,
- (B) a tube of radius r over a complex quadric Q^{n-1} and $\mathbb{R}P^n$, where $0 < r < \pi/4$.

- (C) a tube of radius r over $\mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$, where $0 < r < \pi/4$ and $n (\geq 5)$ is odd,
- (D) a tube of radius r over a complex Grassmann $\mathbb{C}G_{2,5}$, where $0 < r < \pi/4$ and $n = 9$,
- (E) a tube of radius r over a Hermitian symmetric space $SO(10)/U(5)$, where $0 < r < \pi/4$ and $n = 15$.

Theorem C ([1]). *Let M be a Hopf hypersurface in $\mathbb{C}H^n$. Then M has constant principal curvatures if and only if M is locally congruent to one of the following:*

- (A₀) a horosphere,
- (A₁) a tube over a complex hyperbolic hyperplane $\mathbb{C}H^k$ ($k = 0, n - 1$),
- (A₂) a tube over a totally geodesic $\mathbb{C}H^l$ ($1 \leq l \leq n - 2$),
- (B) a tube over a totally real hyperbolic space $\mathbb{R}H^n$.

Next we introduce the notion of Tanaka–Webster connection and its generalization. TANAKA [12] defined the canonical affine connection on a non-degenerate, pseudo-Hermitian CR manifold. As a generalization of Tanaka–Webster connection, TANNO [13] defined the g -Tanaka–Webster connection for contact metric manifolds by

$$\hat{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + (\nabla_X \eta)(Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\nabla_X \xi - \eta(X)\phi Y,$$

where (η, ϕ, ξ, g) is a contact metric structure. Using the naturally extended affine connection of Tanno’s g -Tanaka–Webster connection, the g -Tanaka–Webster connection $\hat{\nabla}^{(k)}$ for real hypersurfaces in Kähler manifold is given by,

$$\hat{\nabla}_X^{(k)} Y = \nabla_X Y + g(\phi AX, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\phi AX - k\eta(X)\phi Y$$

for a non-zero real number k (see CHO [3], [4]). Then we see that

$$\hat{\nabla}^{(k)} \eta = 0, \quad \hat{\nabla}^{(k)} \xi = 0, \quad \hat{\nabla}^{(k)} g = 0, \quad \hat{\nabla}^{(k)} \phi = 0.$$

In particular, if the shape operator of a real hypersurface satisfies $\phi A + A\phi = 2k\phi$, then the g -Tanaka–Webster connection coincides with the Tanaka–Webster connection. Next we define the g -Tanaka–Webster curvature tensor \hat{R} with respect to $\hat{\nabla}^{(k)}$ by

$$\hat{R}(X, Y)Z = \hat{\nabla}_X(\hat{\nabla}_Y Z) - \hat{\nabla}_Y(\hat{\nabla}_X Z) - \hat{\nabla}_{[X, Y]}Z$$

for all vector fields X, Y, Z in M . We denote by \hat{S} the g -Tanaka Webster Ricci tensor, which is defined by

$$\hat{S}(Y, Z) = \text{trace of } \{X \mapsto \hat{R}(X, Y)Z\}.$$

3. The Ricci tensor of real hypersurfaces in a complex space form

To prove the theorem, we prepare the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Let M be a real hypersurface in a complex space form $M^n(c)$, $n \geq 3$, $c \neq 0$. If there exists an orthonormal frame $\{e_1, \dots, e_{2n-2}, \xi\}$ on a sufficiently small neighborhood \mathcal{N} of $x \in M$ such that the shape operator A can be represented as*

$$A = \left(\begin{array}{cccc|c} a_1 & & & 0 & h_1 \\ & \ddots & & \vdots & 0 \\ & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ 0 & & & a_{2n-2} & 0 \\ \hline h_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \alpha \end{array} \right),$$

then we have

$$(a_1 - a_j)g(\nabla_{e_i} e_1, e_j) + (a_j - a_i)g(\nabla_{e_1} e_i, e_j) + a_i h_1 g(\phi e_i, e_j) = 0, \tag{3.1}$$

$$(a_j - a_1)g(\nabla_{e_i} e_j, e_1) - (a_i - a_1)g(\nabla_{e_j} e_i, e_1) + h_1(a_i + a_j)g(\phi e_i, e_j) = 0, \tag{3.2}$$

$$\{2c - 2a_i a_j + \alpha(a_i + a_j)\}g(\phi e_i, e_j) - h_1 g(\nabla_{e_i} e_j, e_1) + h_1 g(\nabla_{e_j} e_i, e_1) = 0, \tag{3.3}$$

$$(a_1 - a_i)g(\nabla_{e_i} e_1, e_i) - (e_1 a_i) = 0, \tag{3.4}$$

$$h_1(2a_i + a_1)g(\phi e_i, e_1) + (a_1 - a_i)g(\nabla_{e_1} e_i, e_1) + (e_i a_1) = 0, \tag{3.5}$$

$$(c + a_1 \alpha - a_1 a_i - h_1^2)g(\phi e_1, e_i) - (a_1 - a_i)g(\nabla_{\xi} e_1, e_i) + h_1 g(\nabla_{e_1} e_1, e_i) = 0 \tag{3.6}$$

for any $i, j \geq 2, i \neq j$.

PROOF. By the equation of Codazzi, we have

$$g((\nabla_{e_i} A)e_1 - (\nabla_{e_1} A)e_i, e_j) = 0,$$

where $i, j = 2, \dots, 2n - 2$. On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g((\nabla_{e_i} A)e_1 - (\nabla_{e_1} A)e_i, e_j) &= g(\nabla_{e_i}(Ae_1) - A\nabla_{e_i} e_1 - \nabla_{e_1}(Ae_i) + A\nabla_{e_1} e_i, e_j) \\ &= (a_1 - a_j)g(\nabla_{e_i} e_1, e_j) + (a_j - a_i)g(\nabla_{e_1} e_i, e_j) + a_i h_1 g(\phi e_i, e_j). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain (3.1). By the similar computation, we have our results. □

Theorem 3.2. *Let M be a real hypersurface in a complex space form $M^n(c)$, $n \geq 3$, $c \neq 0$. We suppose that the Ricci tensor \hat{S} of the generalized Tanaka–Webster connection $\hat{\nabla}^{(k)}$ satisfies $\hat{S}(X, \phi Y) = \lambda g(X, \phi Y)$ for any vector fields X and Y , λ being a function.*

- (1) *If $c > 0$ and $k^2 \neq 4c$, then M is a Hopf hypersurface.*
- (2) *If $c < 0$, then M is a Hopf hypersurface.*

PROOF. By the definition of the g-Tanaka–Webster connection, we have (see [5])

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{R}(X, Y)Z &= R(X, Y)Z + g(\phi((\nabla_X A)Y - (\nabla_Y A)X), Z)\xi + 2g(\phi AY, Z)\phi AX \\ &\quad - 2g(\phi AX, Z)\phi AY + g((\nabla_X \phi)AY - (\nabla_Y \phi)AX, Z)\xi \\ &\quad - \eta(Z)\left(\phi((\nabla_X A)Y - (\nabla_Y A)X) + (\nabla_X \phi)AY - (\nabla_Y \phi)AX\right) \\ &\quad - k\left(g((\phi A + A\phi)X, Y)\phi Z + \eta(Y)(\nabla_X \phi)Z - \eta(X)(\nabla_Y \phi)Z\right) \\ &\quad + g(\phi AX, F_Y Z)\xi - \eta(F_Y Z)\phi AX - k\eta(X)\phi F_Y Z \\ &\quad - g(\phi AY, F_X Z)\xi + \eta(F_X Z)\phi AY + k\eta(Y)\phi F_X Z, \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

where F is given by

$$F_X Y = g(\phi AX, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\phi AX - k\eta(X)\phi Y.$$

By the definition of g-Tanaka–Webster Ricci tensor, equation of Gauss and Codazzi, direct calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{S}(Y, Z) &= 2ncg(Y, Z) + (\text{tr}A - \eta(A\xi) + k)g(AY, Z) \\ &\quad - g(A^2 Y, Z) - g(\phi A\phi AY, Z) - kg(\phi A\phi Y, Z) + \eta(AY)g(A\xi, Z) \\ &\quad + \eta(Z)(-2nc\eta(Y) - \eta(AY)\text{tr}A + \eta(A^2 Y) - k\eta(AY)). \end{aligned}$$

Now we use the following lemma of RYAN [10].

Lemma D. *Let A be a symmetric tensor field of type $(1, 1)$ on a connected Riemannian manifold M^n . Then there exists $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$ such that for each point x , $\{\lambda_i(x)\}$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) are the eigenvalues of A_x .*

For the shape operator A of a real hypersurface M , we consider the symmetric tensor field $\phi A\phi$ of type $(1, 1)$. By the above lemma, we can take an orthonormal frame $\{v_1, \dots, v_{2n-2}, \xi\}$ in a neighborhood of a point x such that $\phi A\phi\xi = 0$, $\phi A\phi v_1 = -a_1 v_1, \dots, \phi A\phi v_{2n-2} = -a_{2n-2} v_{2n-2}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(A\phi v_i, \phi v_j) &= -g(\phi A\phi v_i, v_j) = 0 \quad (i \neq j), \\ g(A\phi v_i, \phi v_i) &= -g(\phi A\phi v_i, v_i) = a_i. \end{aligned}$$

We take an orthonormal frame $\{e_1 = \phi v_1, \dots, e_{2n-2} = \phi v_{2n-2}, \xi\}$ in a neighborhood \mathcal{N} of a point x . Then, in the neighborhood, A is of the form

$$A = \left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} a_1 & \cdots & 0 & h_1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & a_{2n-2} & h_{2n-2} \\ \hline h_1 & \cdots & h_{2n-2} & \alpha \end{array} \right),$$

where we have put $h_i = g(Ae_i, \xi)$, $i = 1, \dots, 2n - 2$, and $\alpha = g(A\xi, \xi)$.

The condition $\hat{S}(X, \phi Y) = \lambda g(X, \phi Y)$ for any vector fields X and Y is equivalent to $\hat{S}(X, Y) = \lambda g(X, Y)$ for any vector field X and any vector field Y orthogonal to ξ . By the direct computation using the previous equation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{S}(\xi, \xi) &= 0, \quad \hat{S}(e_i, \xi) = 0, \\ \hat{S}(\xi, e_i) &= (\text{tr}A - \alpha + k - a_i)h_i - g(\phi A \phi A \xi, e_i) = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

$$\hat{S}(e_i, e_i) = 2nc + (\text{tr}A)a_i - a_i^2 - \alpha a_i + ka_i + (a_i + k)g(A\phi e_i, \phi e_i) = \lambda, \tag{3.9}$$

$$\hat{S}(e_i, e_j) = (a_i + k)g(A\phi e_i, \phi e_j) = 0 \quad (i \neq j). \tag{3.10}$$

In the following, we suppose that M is not a Hopf hypersurface. Then there is a point x and hence an open neighborhood \mathcal{N} of x where $A\xi \neq \alpha\xi$ on \mathcal{N} . Then $h_i \neq 0$ for some i .

If $a_i = -k$ for all i at some $x \in \mathcal{N}$, then (3.9) and $\text{tr}A = -(2n - 2)k + \alpha$ imply that

$$2nc + (2n - 4)k^2 = \lambda.$$

By (3.8),

$$(\text{tr}A - \alpha + 2k)h_i + g(\phi A \xi, A\phi e_i) = 0.$$

Since $g(\phi A \xi, A\phi e_i) = -kh_i$, $\text{tr}A - \alpha = -(2n - 2)k$, we have

$$(2n - 3)kh_i = 0.$$

for all i . Thus we have $k = 0$. This contradicts to our assumption. Therefore, $a_i \neq -k$ for some i . From (3.10), if $a_i \neq -k$, then $g(A\phi e_i, \phi e_j) = 0$ for all $j \neq i$. Thus we set

$$A\phi e_i = \bar{a}_i \phi e_i + \bar{h}_i \xi,$$

where we have put $\bar{a}_i = g(A\phi e_i, \phi e_i)$ and $\bar{h}_i = g(A\phi e_i, \xi)$. We also have

$$\hat{S}(\phi e_i, \phi e_i) = 2nc + (\text{tr}A)\bar{a}_i - \bar{a}_i^2 - \alpha \bar{a}_i + k\bar{a}_i + (\bar{a}_i + k)a_i = \lambda. \tag{3.11}$$

where

$$d = g(Ae_s, e_s) = g(A\phi e_s, \phi e_s) \neq -k, \quad 2nc - \lambda = d(\alpha - 2k - \text{tr}A). \quad (3.14)$$

In the following, we use integers y, z, \dots for $Ae_y = be_y + h_y\xi$, $s \dots$ for $Ae_s = de_s + h_s\xi$ and $v \dots$ for $Ae_v = -ke_v$. We denote by $H_1(x)$, $H_2(x)$, $H_3(x)$ and $H_4(x)$ the subspaces of a tangential space at x spanned by $\{e_y\}$, $\{\phi e_y\}$, $\{e_s\}$ and $\{e_v\}$, respectively.

We suppose that $\dim H_3(x) \neq 0$ and $\dim H_4(x) \neq 0$ at some $x \in \mathcal{N}$. Taking $e_s \in H_3(x)$ and $e_v \in H_4(x)$, (3.9) implies

$$\hat{S}(e_v, e_v) = 2nc - k(\text{tr}A) - 2k^2 + \alpha k = \lambda.$$

From this and (3.14), we have

$$(d + k)(\alpha - 2k - \text{tr}A) = 0.$$

Since $d \neq -k$, then we have $\text{tr}A - \alpha = -2k$ and $2nc - \lambda = 0$.

Moreover, if $\dim H_1(x) = \dim H_2(x) \neq 0$, taking $e_y \in H_1(x)$, (3.12), (3.13) and (3.14) imply $a_y = b = -k$ and $\bar{a}_y = \bar{b} = -k$. This case cannot occur. Hence we have $\dim H_1(x) = \dim H_2(x) = 0$. Then, by $\phi e_s \in H_3(x)$ and $\phi e_v \in H_4(x)$, we have $a_i = \bar{a}_i$ for any $i \in \{1 \dots, 2n - 2\}$. Thus, by (3.8) and $\text{tr}A - \alpha = -2k$,

$$(-k - a_i)h_i - g(\phi A \phi A \xi, e_i) = -kh_i = 0$$

for all i . This implies $k = 0$. This contradicts to our assumption.

So, we see that $\dim H_3(x) = 0$ or $\dim H_4(x) = 0$ at any point $x \in \mathcal{N}$, that is,

$$A = \left(\begin{array}{cccc|c} b & & & & h_1 \\ & \ddots & & & \vdots \\ & & b & & \\ & & & \bar{b} & \\ & & & & \ddots \\ & & & & \bar{b} \\ & & & & & f \\ & & & & & & \ddots \\ & & & & & & & f \\ \hline h_1 & & \dots & & h_{2n-2} & & & h_{2n-2} \\ & & & & & & & \alpha \end{array} \right),$$

When $\dim H_4 = 0$, f denotes $a_s = d$. We remark that $f = d$ satisfies (3.14). Otherwise, when $\dim H_3 = 0$, f denotes $a_v = -k$. In this case, we see that $\bar{a}_v = -k$ by the definition of b and \bar{b} . Thus, using (3.9), $f = -k$ also satisfies

$$2nc - \lambda = -k(\alpha - 2k - \text{tr}A).$$

Hence, $f = \bar{f}$ and f satisfies

$$2nc - \lambda = f(\alpha - 2k - \text{tr}A) \tag{3.15}$$

in both cases.

In the following, we use integers $s \dots$ for $Ae_s = fe_s + h_s\xi$ and redefine $H_3(x)$ as the subspaces of a tangential space at x spanned by $\{e_s\}$.

By a direct computation using (3.8),

$$(\text{tr}A - \alpha + k - b + \bar{b})h_y = 0, \tag{3.16}$$

$$(\text{tr}A - \alpha + k + b - \bar{b})\bar{h}_y = 0, \tag{3.17}$$

$$(\text{tr}A - \alpha + k)h_s = 0. \tag{3.18}$$

Lemma 3.3. *We have $h_s = 0$ for all $e_s \in H_3$.*

PROOF. If there exists $e_s \in H_3$ that satisfies $h_s \neq 0$ at some x , and hence on some neighborhood $\mathcal{N}' \subset \mathcal{N}$, then

$$\text{tr}A - \alpha + k = 0.$$

From (3.16) and (3.17), we have

$$(-b + \bar{b})h_y = 0, \quad (b - \bar{b})\bar{h}_y = 0.$$

Since $b \neq \bar{b}$, we have $h_y = 0$ and $\bar{h}_y = 0$ for all y . The direct computation shows that

$$|tE - A| = (t - b)^p(t - \bar{b})^p(t - f)^{q-1} \left\{ (t - f)(t - \alpha) - \sum_{s=1}^q h_s^2 \right\},$$

where p and q are the multiplicities of b and f , respectively. We remark that $2p + q = 2n - 2$.

Suppose $Ae' = fe'$ is satisfied by $e' = X + \beta\xi$, where $X \in H_3$. Since $AX = fX + h\xi$ for some h , we obtain

$$Ae' = fX + h\xi + \beta \left(\sum h_s e_s + \alpha\xi \right).$$

On the other hand, we have

$$Ae' = f(X + \beta\xi) = fX + f\beta\xi.$$

From these equations, we obtain

$$\beta \sum h_s e_s + (h + \alpha\beta - f\beta)\xi = 0.$$

Since $h_s \neq 0$ for some e_s , we have $\beta = 0$, that is, $g(e', \xi) = 0$. Thus, in \mathcal{N}' , we can represent the shape operator A by a following matrix with respect to a local orthonormal frame $\{e_1, \dots, e_p, \phi e_1, \dots, \phi e_p, e_{2p+1}, \dots, e_{2n-2}, \xi\}$:

$$A = \left(\begin{array}{cccccccc|c} b & & & & & & & & 0 \\ & \ddots & & & & & & & \vdots \\ & & b & & & & & & \\ & & & \bar{b} & & & & & \\ & & & & \ddots & & & & \\ & & & & & \bar{b} & & & \\ & & & & & & f & & \\ & & & & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & & & & 0 \\ & & & & & & & & f \\ \hline 0 & & \dots & & 0 & h_{2n-2} & & & \alpha \end{array} \right).$$

From (3.15) and (3.18) we obtain

$$2nc - \lambda = -fk, \quad \text{tr } A - \alpha = -k.$$

We now suppose that there is a point x in \mathcal{N}' where $p \neq 0$. Then (3.12) implies

$$-(p - 1)k + qf = 0.$$

By (3.13), we also have

$$b\bar{b} = \frac{1}{2}(k^2 + fk).$$

Using $b + \bar{b} = \text{tr } A - \alpha = -k$, we see

$$\left(b + \frac{k}{2}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{4}(k + 2f)k = 0.$$

Since $(p - 1)k = qf$, we see $fk \geq 0$. This implies that $k + 2f = 0$ and hence $(2p - 2 + q)k = 0$. Thus we have $k = 0$. This contradicts to our assumption.

Let us suppose that $p = 0$ on \mathcal{N}' of x . Then $\text{tr } A - \alpha = (2n - 2)f = -k$ shows that f is non-zero constant on \mathcal{N}' of x . By (3.5), we see that $h_{2n-2}f = 0$. This is also a contradiction. This proves our lemma. \square

If there exist $e_y \in H_1$ and $\phi e_z \in H_2$ that satisfy $h_y \neq 0$ and $\bar{h}_z \neq 0$, (3.16) and (3.17) implies $b = \bar{b}$. This case cannot occur. So it is sufficient to consider the case that $\bar{h}_y = 0$ for any $\phi e_y \in H_2$. Using (3.12) and (3.16), we have

$$b = \text{tr}A - \alpha + \frac{k}{2}, \quad \bar{b} = -\frac{k}{2}. \tag{3.19}$$

By the similar calculation as Lemma 3.3, in \mathcal{N} , we can represent the shape operator A by a following matrix with respect to an orthonormal frame $\{e_1, \dots, e_p, \phi e_1, \dots, \phi e_p, e_{2p+1}, \dots, e_{2n-2}, \xi\}$:

$$A = \left(\begin{array}{cccccc|c} b & & & & & & h_1 \\ & \ddots & & & & & 0 \\ & & b & & & & \\ & & & \bar{b} & & & \\ & & & & \ddots & & \\ & & & & & \bar{b} & \\ & & & & & & f \\ & & & & & & \ddots \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & f \\ \hline h_1 & 0 & & \dots & & 0 & \alpha \end{array} \right).$$

Then we have

$$\text{tr} A = p(b + \bar{b}) + qf + \alpha.$$

Using (3.12),

$$(p - 1)(b + \bar{b}) + qf = 0. \tag{3.20}$$

First, we suppose that $\text{tr} A - \alpha = b + \bar{b} \neq 0$ at a point x and hence an open neighborhood $\mathcal{N}'' \subset \mathcal{N}$ of x . Then (3.20) implies that $q \neq 0$ on \mathcal{N}'' . Because, if $q = 0$ at some point $x \in \mathcal{N}''$, then $p - 1 = 0$ and hence $n = 2$. This contradicts to $n \geq 3$. From (3.13) and (3.19), we have

$$-\frac{k^2}{4} = -nc + \frac{\lambda}{2}, \tag{3.21}$$

from which we see that $-nc + (\lambda/2) \neq 0$ and λ is constant on \mathcal{N}'' . Thus, by (3.15) and (3.20), we obtain $f \neq 0$ and $p \neq 1$. So we have $p \geq 2$. Using (3.15) and (3.19),

$$2nc - \lambda = f(\alpha - 2k - \text{tr}A) = f\left(-b - \frac{3}{2}k\right). \tag{3.22}$$

From (3.19), (3.20), (3.22) and $2p + q = 2n - 2$, we obtain

$$b^2 + kb - \frac{3}{4}k^2 - \frac{(2nc - \lambda)(2n - 2p - 2)}{p - 1} = 0.$$

Since b is continuous and p is positive integer, we see that b is constant. So (3.22) implies that f is also constant on \mathcal{N}'' .

We put $AU = bU + h_1\xi$ and $AZ = fZ$. By the equation of Codazzi, computing $g((\nabla_Z A)U - (\nabla_U A)Z, \phi Z)$, we have

$$(b - f)g(\nabla_Z U, \phi Z) + fh_1 = 0$$

on \mathcal{N}'' . Similarly, computing $g((\nabla_Z A)\phi U - (\nabla_{\phi U} A)Z, Z)$,

$$(\bar{b} - f)g(\nabla_Z \phi U, Z) = 0.$$

If $\bar{b} = f$, then (3.21) and (3.22) imply that $b = \bar{b} = -k/2$. This case cannot occur. So we have $g(\nabla_Z \phi U, Z) = 0$. On the other hand, we obtain

$$g(\nabla_Z U, \phi Z) = -g(U, (\nabla_Z \phi)Z) - g(U, \phi \nabla_Z Z) = g(\phi U, \nabla_Z Z) = -g(\nabla_Z \phi U, Z) = 0.$$

From these we have $fh_1 = 0$. This contradicts to $f \neq 0$.

Finally, we consider the case $\text{tr } A - \alpha = b + \bar{b} = 0$ on \mathcal{N}'' . Then (3.20) implies that $qf = 0$. If $f = 0$, then (3.15) gives $2nc - \lambda = 0$ and hence, by (3.13), we see

$$b\bar{b} = -\frac{k^2}{4} = 0,$$

which contradicts to $k \neq 0$. So we have $q = 0$ on \mathcal{N}'' .

From (3.13), (3.19) and (3.20),

$$b = -\bar{b} = \frac{k}{2}, \quad b\bar{b} = -nc + \frac{\lambda}{2}.$$

We can choose an orthonormal frame $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{n-1}, e_n, \dots, e_{2n-2}, \xi\}$ on M which satisfies $Ae_1 = be_1 + h_1\xi$, $Ae_y = be_y$ for $y = 2, \dots, n - 1$ and $A\phi e_y = \bar{b}\phi e_y$ for $y = 1, \dots, n - 1$. Then, in \mathcal{N}'' , the shape operator A is represented by the following

$$A = \left(\begin{array}{cccc|c} b & & & & h_1 \\ & \ddots & & & 0 \\ & & b & & \vdots \\ & & & \bar{b} & \\ & & & & \ddots \\ & & & & \bar{b} & 0 \\ \hline h_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \alpha \end{array} \right).$$

Using Lemma 3.1, we have

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\phi e_y \in H_2$ be perpendicular to ϕe_1 . Then,*

$$\nabla_{e_1} e_1 = \frac{h_1}{2} \phi e_1, \quad (3.23)$$

$$\nabla_{\phi e_y} e_1 = \frac{2c + 2nc - \lambda}{h_1} e_y. \quad (3.24)$$

PROOF. Using (3.5), we have $g(\nabla_{e_1} \phi e_y, e_1) = -g(\nabla_{e_1} e_1, \phi e_y) = 0$. On the other hand, putting $e_i = \phi e_1$ in (3.5),

$$h_1(2\bar{b} + b)g(\phi^2 e_1, e_1) + (b - \bar{b})g(\nabla_{e_1} \phi e_1, e_1) = 0,$$

from which we obtain

$$g(\nabla_{e_1} e_1, \phi e_1) = \frac{h_1}{2}.$$

By (3.6), we see that $g(\nabla_{e_1} e_1, e_y) = 0$ for any $e_y \in H_1$. Since $g(\nabla_{e_1} e_1, \xi) = -g(e_1, \phi A e_1) = 0$, we have (3.23).

Next, putting $e_i = \phi e_y$ and $e_j = \phi e_z$ in (3.1), we have $g(\nabla_{\phi e_y} e_1, \phi e_z) = 0$ for any $\phi e_y, \phi e_z \in H_2$, $y \neq z$. Moreover, we have $g(\nabla_{\phi e_y} e_1, \phi e_y) = 0$ by (3.4). On the other hand, using (3.2), we see that

$$g(\nabla_{e_z} \phi e_y, e_1) = 0 \quad (3.25)$$

for any $e_z \in H_1$. Thus, putting $e_i = e_z$ and $e_j = \phi e_y$ in (3.3), direct calculation shows that

$$g(\nabla_{\phi e_y} e_1, e_z) = \frac{2c + 2nc - \lambda}{h_1} g(\phi e_z, \phi e_y).$$

Since $g(\nabla_{\phi e_y} e_1, \xi) = 0$ and $g(\nabla_{\phi e_y} e_1, e_1) = 0$, we have (3.24). \square

Using this lemma, we compute the sectional curvature spanned by e_1 and $\phi e_y \perp \phi e_1$. From (3.23), we have

$$g(\nabla_{\phi e_y} \nabla_{e_1} e_1, \phi e_y) = -\frac{h_1}{2} g(\phi e_1, \nabla_{\phi e_y} \phi e_y).$$

Since $g(\phi e_1, \phi e_y) = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(\phi e_1, \nabla_{\phi e_y} \phi e_y) &= -g(\nabla_{\phi e_y} \phi e_1, \phi e_y) = -g(\phi \nabla_{\phi e_y} e_1, \phi e_y) \\ &= -g(\nabla_{\phi e_y} e_1, e_y) = \frac{-2c - 2nc + \lambda}{h_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain

$$g(\nabla_{\phi e_y} \nabla_{e_1} e_1, \phi e_y) = c + nc - \frac{\lambda}{2}.$$

On the other hand, by (3.24),

$$\begin{aligned} g(\nabla_{e_1} \nabla_{\phi e_y} e_1, \phi e_y) &= \nabla_{e_1} g(\nabla_{\phi e_y} e_1, \phi e_y) - g(\nabla_{\phi e_y} e_1, \nabla_{e_1} \phi e_y) \\ &= \frac{-2c - 2nc + \lambda}{h_1} g(e_y, \nabla_{e_1} \phi e_y). \end{aligned}$$

Putting $e_i = \phi e_y$ and $e_j = e_y$ in (3.1), we have $g(\nabla_{e_1} \phi e_y, e_y) = -h_1/2$. From these equations, we obtain

$$g(\nabla_{e_1} \nabla_{\phi e_y} e_1, \phi e_y) = c + nc - \frac{\lambda}{2}.$$

Next, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} g(\nabla_{[\phi e_y, e_1]} e_1, \phi e_y) &= g(\nabla_{\xi} e_1, \phi e_y) g(\xi, [\phi e_y, e_1]) + g(\nabla_{e_1} e_1, \phi e_y) g(e_1, [\phi e_y, e_1]) \\ &+ \sum_{z \geq 2} g(\nabla_{e_z} e_1, \phi e_y) g(e_z, [\phi e_y, e_1]) + \sum_{z \geq 1} g(\nabla_{\phi e_z} e_1, \phi e_y) g(\phi e_z, [\phi e_y, e_1]) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Here we note that we have $g(\nabla_{\phi e_z} \phi e_y, e_1) = 0$ for $z \neq y$ from (3.1) and $g(\nabla_{\phi e_y} \phi e_y, e_1) = 0$ from (3.4).

From these equations, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} g(R(\phi e_y, e_1) e_1, \phi e_y) &= g(\nabla_{\phi e_y} \nabla_{e_1} e_1, \phi e_y) - g(\nabla_{e_1} \nabla_{\phi e_y} e_1, \phi e_y) \\ &- g(\nabla_{[\phi e_y, e_1]} e_1, \phi e_y) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the equation of Gauss implies that

$$g(R(\phi e_y, e_1) e_1, \phi e_y) = c + b\bar{b} = c - nc + \frac{\lambda}{2}.$$

So we have $nc - \lambda/2 = c$. Since $b\bar{b} = -c$ and $b = -\bar{b} = k/2$, we see that $c > 0$, $b^2 = c$ and $k^2 = 4c$. This contradicts to our assumption $k^2 \neq 4c$.

From these considerations we see that M has no point x where $A\xi \neq \alpha\xi$, and hence M is a Hopf hypersurface. This proves our theorem. \square

Using Theorem 3.2 and Theorem B-C, we have our main result.

Theorem 3.5. *Let M be a real hypersurface in a complex space form $M^n(c)$, $n \geq 3$, $c \neq 0$. We suppose that the Ricci tensor \hat{S} of the generalized Tanaka–Webster connection $\hat{\nabla}^{(k)}$ satisfies $\hat{S}(X, \phi Y) = \lambda g(X, \phi Y)$ for any vector fields X and Y , λ being a function.*

- (1) *If M is a real hypersurface in $\mathbb{C}P^n$ and $k^2 \neq 4$, then M is locally congruent to one of the following:*

- (a) a geodesic hypersphere with $k^2 \geq (2n - 2)(2n - \lambda)$,
- (b) a tube over a totally geodesic $\mathbb{C}P^l$ ($1 \leq l \leq n - 2$) with $\lambda = 2n$.
- (2) If M is a real hypersurface in $\mathbb{C}H^n$, then M is locally congruent to one of the following:
 - (a) a geodesic hypersphere with $k^2 \geq (-2n - 2)(2n - \lambda)$,
 - (b) a tube over a complex hyperbolic hyperplane with $k^2 \geq (-2n - 2)(2n - \lambda)$,
 - (c) a horosphere with $\lambda = 2k - 2$,
 - (d) a tube over a totally geodesic $\mathbb{C}H^l$ ($1 \leq l \leq n - 2$) with $\lambda = -2n$.

PROOF. From Theorem 3.2, M is a Hopf hypersurface of $M^n(c)$. Then Proposition A shows

$$(2\beta - \alpha)A\phi X = (\beta\alpha + 2c)\phi X,$$

where $AX = \beta X$, $g(X, \xi) = 0$ and $\alpha = g(A\xi, \xi)$. We notice that α is constant. If $2\beta - \alpha = 0$, then $\beta\alpha + 2c = 0$, and hence $\alpha^2 + 4c = 0$. Thus we have $c < 0$ and M has two distinct constant principal curvatures α and b with multiplicities 1 and $2n - 2$ respectively. Moreover b is constant and M is a horosphere of principal curvatures 2 and 1 with multiplicities 1 and $2n - 2$, respectively (see BERNDT [1]). By (3.9) and $c = -1$, we have $\lambda = 2k - 2$.

In the following, we assume that $2\beta - \alpha \neq 0$. Then

$$A\phi X = \frac{\beta\alpha + 2c}{2\beta - \alpha}\phi X.$$

We put $\bar{\beta} = (\beta\alpha + 2c)/(2\beta - \alpha)$. Then, by the assumption on \hat{S} , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda &= 2nc + (\text{tr } A - \alpha + k)\beta - \beta^2 + \beta\bar{\beta} + k\bar{\beta}, \\ \lambda &= 2nc + (\text{tr } A - \alpha + k)\bar{\beta} - \bar{\beta}^2 + \bar{\beta}\beta + k\beta. \end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

These imply

$$0 = (\beta - \bar{\beta})(\text{tr } A - \alpha - \beta - \bar{\beta}).$$

Suppose $\beta \neq \bar{\beta}$. Then $\text{tr } A - \alpha - \beta - \bar{\beta} = 0$. Substituting $\bar{\beta} = \text{tr } A - \alpha - \beta$ into the equation above, we obtain

$$2\beta^2 - 2(\text{tr } A - \alpha)\beta - k(\text{tr } A - \alpha) - 2nc + \lambda = 0. \tag{3.27}$$

Therefore, β satisfies the quadratic equation

$$2t^2 - 2(\text{tr } A - \alpha)t - k(\text{tr } A - \alpha) - 2nc + \lambda = 0.$$

From this we see that at most two distinct β satisfies the above equation. But $\bar{\beta}$ also satisfies the above quadratic equation, and M has two principal curvatures b and \bar{b} with multiplicities p and p , $0 \leq p \leq n - 1$, that satisfies $b \neq \bar{b}$.

We next suppose that $\beta = \bar{\beta}$. Then $\beta^2 - \alpha\beta - c = 0$. Therefore, M has at most two non-zero distinct constant principal curvatures d and f such that $d = \bar{d}$, $f = \bar{f}$ with multiplicities q and r , respectively, where $2p + q + r = 2n - 2$. On the other hand, from (3.26), we have

$$2nc - \lambda + (\text{tr } A - \alpha + 2k)d = 0, \quad 2nc - \lambda + (\text{tr } A - \alpha + 2k)f = 0. \quad (3.28)$$

If M has 5 distinct principal curvatures $b \neq \bar{b}$, d , f and α , then the above equations show that $\text{tr } A - \alpha + 2k = 0$ and $2nc - \lambda = 0$ since $d \neq f$. Moreover, from (3.27), we have $2b^2 + 4kb + 2k^2 = 2(b + k)^2 = 0$ and $(\bar{b} + k)^2 = 0$. Hence we obtain $b = \bar{b} = -k$. This contradicts to the assumption $b \neq \bar{b}$.

We now suppose that M has 4 distinct principal curvatures $b \neq \bar{b}$, d , α . Then we have

$$\text{tr } A - \alpha = b + \bar{b} = p(b + \bar{b}) + qd.$$

From this and $2p + q = 2n - 2$,

$$(p - 1)(b + \bar{b}) + (2n - 2p - 2)d = 0.$$

We notice that b and \bar{b} is continuous. Since p is positive integer and d is non-zero constant, we see that $p \neq 1$ and $b + \bar{b}$ is constant. Moreover, $\text{tr } A - \alpha$ is constant. So (3.28) shows that λ is constant. Hence, from (3.27), b and \bar{b} are also constant. But there is no Hopf hypersurface with constant four principal curvatures.

If M has two constant principal curvatures d and α , then $\text{tr } A - \alpha = (2n - 2)d$. From (3.26),

$$(2n - 2)d^2 + 2kd + 2nc - \lambda = 0.$$

This gives a root when

$$k^2 - (2n - 2)(2nc - \lambda) \geq 0.$$

Next, if M has three distinct principal curvatures b , \bar{b} and α , then

$$\text{tr } A - \alpha = b + \bar{b} = (n - 1)(b + \bar{b}).$$

Hence we have $b + \bar{b} = \text{tr } A - \alpha = 0$. On the other hand, b and \bar{b} satisfy

$$b + \bar{b} = \frac{2b^2 + 2c}{2b - \alpha} = 0.$$

Thus we have $c < 0$. But the condition $c < 0$ implies that the principal curvatures b and \bar{b} are positive. This contradicts to $b + \bar{b} = 0$.

Finally we consider the case that M has three constant principal curvatures d, f, α , where $d = \bar{d}, f = \bar{f}$. Since $d \neq f$, we have

$$\operatorname{tr} A - \alpha = -2k, \quad 2nc - \lambda = 0.$$

From these considerations and Theorems B, C we have our assertion. \square

References

- [1] J. BERNDT, Real hypersurfaces with constant principal curvatures in complex hyperbolic space, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **395** (1989), 132–141.
- [2] T. E. CECIL and P. J. RYAN, Focal sets and real hypersurfaces in complex projective space, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **269** (1982), 481–499.
- [3] J. T. CHO, CR structures on real hypersurfaces of a complex space form, *Publ. Math. Debrecen* **54** (1999), 473–487.
- [4] J. T. CHO, Levi-parallel hypersurfaces in a complex space form, *Tsukuba J. Math.* **30** (2006), 329–344.
- [5] J. T. CHO, Pseudo–Einstein CR-structures on real hypersurfaces in a complex space form, *Hokkaido Math. J.* **37** (2008), 1–17.
- [6] J. T. CHO and M. KIMURA, Pseudo-holomorphic sectional curvatures of real hypersurfaces in a complex space form, *Kyushu J. Math.* **62** (2008), 75–87.
- [7] M. KIMURA, Real hypersurfaces and complex submanifolds in complex projective space, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **296** (1986), 137–149.
- [8] Y. MAEDA, On real hypersurfaces of a complex projective space, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **28** (1976), 529–540.
- [9] M. ORTEGA, Classifications of real hypersurfaces in complex space forms by means of curvature conditions, *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin* **9** (2002), 351–360.
- [10] P. J. RYAN, Homogeneity and some curvature conditions for hypersurfaces, *Tohoku Math. J.* **21** (1969), 363–388.
- [11] R. TAKAGI, Real hypersurfaces in a complex projective space with constant principal curvatures, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **27** (1975), 43–53.
- [12] N. TANAKA, On non-degenerate real hypersurfaces, graded Lie algebras and Cartan connections, *Japan. J. Math.* **2** (1976), 131–190.
- [13] S. TANNO, Variational problems on contact Riemannian manifolds, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **314** (1989), 349–379.
- [14] S. M. WEBSTER, Pseudohermitian structures on a real hypersurface, *J. Differential Geom.* **13** (1978), 25–41.

MAYUKO KON
 FACULTY OF EDUCATION
 SHINSHU UNIVERSITY
 6-RO, NISHINAGANO
 NAGANO CITY 380-8544
 JAPAN
E-mail: mayuko_k@shinshu-u.ac.jp

(Received June 8, 2011; revised June 20, 2012)