

## A note on non-recurring sequences over Galois fields

By HASSAN AL-ZAID (Safat, Kuwait) and SURJEET SINGH (Safat, Kuwait)

### Introduction

Let  $F$  be a Galois field and  $\Gamma(F)$  be the  $F[D]$ -module of all sequences over  $F$ , [4]. Consider an  $f(D) \neq 0$  in  $F[D]$ . The concept of a pseudo-periodic sequence with  $f(D)$  as its pseudo-characteristic polynomial was introduced in [1]. The set  $\bar{\Omega}(f(D))$  of all such sequences is a submodule of  $\Gamma(F)$ . It was seen in [1] that in the study of the lattice  $L(F)$  of these  $\bar{\Omega}(f(D))$ 's,  $\bar{\Omega}(1)$  plays a very significant role. The possibilities of different kinds of non-recurring sequences, that one can have inside  $\bar{\Omega}(1)$  seem to be too many. In the present note we give an explicit construction of an uncountable direct sum  $K = \bigoplus_{\alpha} F[D]S_{\alpha}$  and its closure in any torsion-free submodule of  $\bar{\Omega}(1)$ . Let  $E$  be an injective hull of  $\bar{\Omega}(1)$ . By using  $K$ , it is proved that for any monic irreducible polynomial  $p(D) \neq D$ , in  $F[D]$ , the  $p(D)$ -primary component of  $E/\bar{\Omega}(1)$  is of uncountable rank. Finally we discuss the concept of a minimal sparse set associated with a member of  $\bar{\Omega}(1)$ .

### §1. Preliminaries

Throughout  $F$  is a Galois field and  $\Gamma(F)$  denotes the  $F$ -vector space of all sequences  $S = (s_n)_{n \geq 0}$  over  $F$ . Consider the ring of polynomials  $F[D]$ , where  $D$  is an indeterminate. For any  $f(D) = \sum_{i=0}^k a_i D^i \in F[D]$ ,  $S = (s_n) \in \Gamma(F)$ , define  $f(D)S = (w_n) \in \Gamma(F)$  such that  $w_n = \sum_i a_i s_{n+i}$ . This makes  $\Gamma(F)$  a divisible left  $F[D]$ -module, [4]. For any  $S = (s_n) \in \Gamma(F)$  the power series  $G_s(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} s_i x^i$ , with  $x$  an indeterminate, is called the generating function of  $S$ , [3]. For any  $f(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + \cdots + a_k x^k$ ,  $a_k \neq 0$ , the reciprocal of  $f(x)$ , is the polynomial  $\bar{f}(x) = a_k + a_{k-1} x + \cdots + a_0 x^k$ . If  $f(x) = x^u g(x)$ , with  $g(0) \neq 0$ , it is obvious that  $\bar{f}(x) = \bar{g}(x)$ . The definition of  $\Gamma(F)$  as an  $F[D]$ -module gives the following.

**Lemma 1.1.** (a). For any  $S, S' \in \Gamma(F)$  and  $f(D) \in F[D]$  with  $\deg f(D) = k \geq 0$ ,  $f(D)S = S'$  if and only if  $x^k G_{s'}(x) = g(x) + \bar{f}(x)G_s(x)$  for some  $g(x) \in F[x]$  with  $\deg g(x) < k$ .

(b) For any  $f(x) \in F[x]$  with  $\deg f(x) = k \geq 0$ , and  $S \in \Gamma(F)$   $f(x)G_s(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} c_i x^i + x^k G_{s'}(x)$  for some  $c_i \in F$ , and  $S' \in \Gamma(F)$  such that  $\bar{f}(D)S = S'$ .

Consider any two sequences  $S = (s_n)$  and  $S' = (s'_n)$  in  $\Gamma(F)$ . Throughout the paper let  $N$  denotes the set of natural numbers. For  $m < n$ ,  $[s_m, s_n] = (s_m, s_{m+1}, \dots, s_n)$  is called a section of  $S$  of length  $n - m$  [1]. For the sake of convenience, sometime we denote the  $(m - n - 1)$ -tuple  $(s_{m+1}, s_{m+2}, \dots, s_{n-1})$  by  $]s_m, s_n[$  and call it an open section of length  $n - m$ . Indeed  $]s_m, s_n[ = [s_{m+1}, s_{n-1}]$ . A section of the form  $[s_0, s_n]$  is called an initial section.  $[s_m, s_n] = 0$  will mean that  $s_t = 0$  for  $m \leq t \leq n$ .  $S$  and  $S'$  are said to be ultimately equal if  $D^r S = D^r S'$  for some  $r \geq 0$ . Consider an  $f(D) \in F[D]$  with  $\deg f(D) = k \geq 0$ . Then  $\Omega(f(D)) = \{S \in \Gamma(F) : f(D)S = 0\}$ , [4].  $O(f(D))$  denotes the order of  $f(D)$ , [3]. Observe that in  $f(D)S = S'$ ,  $[s_m, s_{n+k}]$  determines  $[s'_m, s'_n]$  and conversely. If an  $S \in \Omega(f(D))$  has minimal polynomial  $f(D)$ , then for any  $g(D) \neq 0$  in  $F[D]$ , the minimal polynomial of  $g(D)S$  is  $f(D)/d(D)$ , where  $d(D) = \gcd(f(D), g(D))$ . These observations give the following two lemmas essentially mentioned in [1].

**Lemma 1.2.** Let  $S = (s_n) \in \Gamma(F)$  and  $f(D) = D^t g(D) \in F[D]$  with  $t \geq 0$ ,  $\deg f(D) = k$ , and  $g(D) \in F[D]$  with  $g(0) \neq 0$ . Further let  $f(D)S = S' = (s'_n)$ . Suppose that for some  $n - m > \deg f(D) + 1$ ,  $[s_{m+1}, s_{n-1}] = 0$ . Then the following hold:

- (i)  $[s'_{m+1}, s'_{n-1-k}] = 0$
- (ii) If  $s_m \neq 0$ , then  $s'_u \neq 0$ , for  $m - t \leq u \leq m$
- (iii) If  $s_n \neq 0$ , then  $s'_{n-t} \neq 0$ .

**Lemma 1.3.** Let  $S = (s_n) \in \Gamma(F)$  and  $f(D)S = S' = (s'_n)$  for some  $f(D) \in F(D)$  with  $\deg f(D) = k$ . Further let  $0 \neq g(D) \in F[D]$ , and  $n > m \geq 0$ . Then the following hold:

- (i) If  $[s'_m, s'_n]$  is a section of a member of  $\Omega(g(D))$ , then  $[s_m, s_{n+k}]$  is a section of a member of  $\Omega(f(D)g(D))$ ; in particular if  $[s'_m, s'_n] = 0$ , then  $[s_m, s_{n+k}]$  is a section of a member of  $\Omega(f(D))$ .
- (ii) If  $n - m \geq \deg f(D)$ ,  $g(D)$  is monic with  $g(0) \neq 0$ , and  $[s_m, s_n]$  is a section of a  $T \in \Gamma(F)$  with minimal polynomial  $g(D)$ , then  $[s'_m, s'_{n-k}]$  is a section of  $g(D)T$  with minimal polynomial  $f(D)/d(D)$  where  $d(D) = \gcd(f(D), g(D))$ .

For any  $f(D) \neq 0$  in  $F[D]$ ,  $\Omega(f(D)^\infty)$  denotes the submodule  $U_{n \geq 0} \Omega(f(D)^n)$ . As remarked in [4],  $\Omega(f(D)^\infty)$  is the smallest divisible sub-

module of  $\Gamma(F)$  containing  $\Omega(f(D))$ . For the definition of a sparse subset of  $N$ , and of a pseudo-periodic sequence with pseudo-characteristic polynomial  $f(D) \neq 0$ , we refer to [1].  $\bar{\Omega}(f(D))$  denotes the submodule of  $\Gamma(F)$  consisting of all the pseudo-periodic sequences with  $f(D)$  as their pseudo-characteristic polynomial.  $\bar{W}(F)$ , the set of all pseudo-periodic sequences in  $\Gamma(F)$  is a divisible submodule of  $\Gamma(F)$  and it contains  $W(F)$  the divisible submodule of all ultimately periodic sequences, [1]. Any member of  $\bar{\Omega}(1)$  is called an almost zero sequence. The following was proved in [1].

**Theorem 1.4.** (i).  $\bar{\Omega}(1) = \Omega(D^\infty) \oplus L$ , where  $L$  is a torsion-free  $F[D]$ -module

(ii)  $\bar{W}(F) = W(F) + E$ , where  $E$  is any injective hull of  $\bar{\Omega}(1)$  in  $\Gamma(F)$ .

(iii)  $\bar{\Omega}(1)$  is divisible by  $D$ .

For any sparse set  $A$ , given  $n, m \in A$ ,  $n$  is called a successor of  $m$  in  $A$  if  $m < n$  and there is no  $p \in A$  such that  $m < p < n$ , a finite sequence  $a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_t$  in  $A$  is called a successor sequence in  $A$ , if each  $a_{i+1}$  is a successor of  $a_i$  in  $A$ .

### §2. The module $\bar{\Omega}(1)$

**Proposition 2.1.** Let  $S = (s_n) \in \Gamma[D]$ , and  $f(D) \in F[D]$ , with  $f(0) \neq 0$ . If for each positive integer  $K$ , there exist  $n, m \in N$  with  $n - m \geq K$  such that  $[s_m, s_n]$  is a section of some non-zero member of  $\Omega(f(D))$ , then  $S \notin \bar{\Omega}(1)$ .

PROOF. On the contrary suppose that  $S \in \bar{\Omega}(1)$ . Let  $(A, u, 1)$  be a companion of  $S$  and let  $t = \text{sparsity}(A)$ , [1]. Consider any integer  $w > \max(O(f(D)), u)$ . By definition there exists  $a \in A$  such that for  $a \leq a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_t$  in  $A$ ,  $a_t - a_1 > wt$ . The hypothesis on  $S$  gives  $n, m$  such that  $m \geq a$ ,  $n - m > (w + 1)t$  and that  $[s_m, s_n]$  is a section of a non-zero  $S' \in \Omega(f(D))$ . Let  $b \in A$  be largest such that  $b \leq m$ . Consider the successor sequence in  $A$ ,

$$b = b_0 < b_1 < b_2 < \dots < b_t.$$

Clearly  $m < b_1$ . Suppose that  $b_1 - m \geq w$ . As the period of  $S'$  is a factor of  $O(f(D))$  and  $[s_m, s_{b_1}]$  is a section of  $S'$  of length  $b_1 - m > O(f(D))$  we get  $[s_m, s_{b_1}] \neq 0$ . So that  $[s_{b_0}, s_{b_1}] \neq 0$ . However  $b_1 - b_0 > u$ , gives  $[s_{b_0}, s_{b_1}] = 0$ . This is a contradiction. Hence  $b_1 - m < w$ . Now  $b_t - b_1 > wt$ . So we get smallest  $i \geq 1$  such that  $i < t$  and  $b_{i+1} - b_i > w$ . Then  $[s_{b_i}, s_n] \cap [s_{b_i}, s_{b_{i+1}}]$  is a section of  $S'$  of length greater than  $w$  and hence it is non-zero. Consequently  $[s_{b_i}, s_{b_{i+1}}] \neq 0$ . As  $b_{i+1} - b_i > w > u$ , we get  $[s_{b_i}, s_{b_{i+1}}] = 0$ . This is a contradiction. Hence  $S \notin \bar{\Omega}(1)$ .

The following is well known

**Lemma 2.2.** *There exist an uncountable family  $\{\mathbb{N}_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$  of subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$ , the set of natural numbers such that:*

- (i) *For each  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ ,  $\mathbb{N}_\alpha$  and  $\mathbb{N} - \mathbb{N}_\alpha$  are countably infinite.*
- (ii) *For any two distinct  $\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda$ ,  $\mathbb{N}_\alpha \cap \mathbb{N}_\beta$  is finite.*

PROOF. As  $\mathbb{Q}$ , the set of rational numbers is countable we replace  $\mathbb{N}$  by  $\mathbb{Q}$ . For each real number  $\alpha$ , fix a sequence  $\mathbb{Q}_\alpha = \{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of rational numbers such that  $a_n > a_{n+1}$  for every  $n$ , and  $\alpha = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n$ . Then  $\{\mathbb{Q}_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ , where  $\Lambda$  is the set of all real numbers, is a desired family.

We now fix an  $S = (s_n) \in \Gamma(F)$ , such that

$$G_s(x) = \sum_{n \geq 1} x^{\lambda_n} (1 - x^{n!})$$

with  $\lambda_1 = 0$ ,  $\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n > 2(n!) + 4$ . Observe the following

- Lemma 2.3.** (i)  $s_{\lambda_n} = 1$ ,  $s_{\lambda_n + n!} = 1$  and  $s_k = 0$ , otherwise.
- (ii) *The open section  $]s_{\lambda_n + n!}, s_{\lambda_{n+1}}[ = 0$  and that  $\lambda_{n+1} - (\lambda_n + n!)$  approaches infinity as  $n$  approaches infinity.*

We now fix a family  $\{\mathbb{N}_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$  given by (2.2). By using the  $S$  fixed above, for each  $m \geq 1$ , and  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ , we define  $S_{\alpha,m}$  in  $\Gamma(F)$  such that

$$G_{s_{\alpha,m}}(x) = \sum_n x^{\lambda_n} (1 - x^{n!}), \quad n \geq m \quad \text{and} \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_\alpha.$$

Further define  $\bar{S}_{\alpha,m}$  such that

$$G_{\bar{S}_{\alpha,m}}(x) = \frac{1}{1 - x^m} G_{s_{\alpha,m}}(x)$$

i.e.  $(1 - x^m)G_{\bar{S}_{\alpha,m}} = G_{s_{\alpha,m}}(x).$

For  $m \geq 2$ , by (1.1) (b)  $(D^m - 1)\bar{S}_{\alpha,m}$  has generating function  $\sum x^{\lambda_n - m} (1 - x^{n!})$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}_\alpha$ . So that  $(D^m - 1)\bar{S}_{\alpha,m} = D^m S_{\alpha,m}$ . For  $m \geq n$ , as  $(1 - x^m)$  divides  $(1 - x^{n!})$ , we get

$$\frac{x^{\lambda_n} (1 - x^{n!})}{1 - x^m} = x^{\lambda_n} [1 + x^m + x^{2m} + \dots + x^{r_n m}]$$

where  $r_n = [(n!)/m - 1]$ . This corresponds to a section of a non-zero member of  $\Omega(D^m - 1)$ . Because  $r_n \rightarrow \infty$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , by (2.1).  $\bar{S}_{\alpha,m} \notin \bar{\Omega}(1)$ , we write  $S_\alpha = S_{\alpha,1}$ . We collect these observations and their immediate consequences in the following.

- Lemma 2.4.** (i)  $S_{\alpha,m} \in \bar{\Omega}(1)$ ,  $\bar{S}_{\alpha,m} \notin \bar{\Omega}(1)$ ,  $\bar{S}_{\alpha,m} \in \bar{\Omega}(D^m - 1)$ .  
(ii) For  $m \geq 2$ ,  $D^{\lambda_m} S_{\alpha} = D^{\lambda_m} S_{\alpha,m}$  and  $(D^m - 1) \bar{S}_{\alpha,m} = D^m S_{\alpha,m}$ .  
(iii) Given any finitely many distinct members  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_u$  of  $\Lambda$  and any positive integer  $K$ , there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\alpha_1}$  such that  $n \notin \mathbb{N}_{\alpha_j}$  for  $j \geq 2$ ,  $n! \geq K$  and  $\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n - n! \geq K$ .

The following result gives an explicit uncountable direct sum in  $\bar{\Omega}(1)$ .

**Lemma 2.5.**  $K = \sum_{\alpha} F[D]S_{\alpha}$  is a direct sum in  $\bar{\Omega}(1)$

PROOF. Let for some distinct  $\alpha_i \in \Lambda$  and  $f_i(D) \in F[D]$ ,

$$\sum_{i=1}^u f_i(D)S_{\alpha_i} = 0.$$

Suppose that  $f_1(D) \neq 0$ . Let  $k$  be a positive integer greater than every  $\deg f_i(D)$ . We get an  $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\alpha_1}$  such that  $n > k$ ,  $n \notin \mathbb{N}_{\alpha_j}$  for  $j \geq 2$  and  $\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1} - (n-1)! > K$ . The section of  $S_{\alpha_1}$  indexed by  $[\lambda_n, \lambda_n + n!]$  is of the form  $(1, 0, 0, \dots, 1)$  and that indexed by  $]\lambda_{n-1} + (n-1)!, \lambda_n[$  is zero. For  $j \geq 2$ , the corresponding sections of  $S_{\alpha_j}$  are all zeros. As  $f_1(D) \neq 0$ , by (1.2), the section of  $S_{\alpha_1}$  indexed by  $[\lambda_n - k, \lambda_n + n!]$  is non zero, but the corresponding sections of any  $S_{\alpha_j}$ ,  $j \geq 2$  are zeros. This then contradicts the fact that  $\sum_i f_i(D)S_{\alpha_i} = 0$ . Hence  $f_1(D) = 0$ . Similarly every  $f_i(D) = 0$ . This proves the result.

**Theorem 2.6.** For any  $T \in \bar{\Omega}(1)$  and  $f(D) \in F[D]$  with  $f(0) \neq 0$  and  $\deg f(D) = k > 0$ , if  $f(D)T \in \sum_{\alpha} F[D]S_{\alpha}$ , then  $D^{\ell}T \in \sum_{\alpha} F[D]S_{\alpha}$  for some  $\ell \geq 0$ .

PROOF. As  $f(0) \neq 0$ , we get an integer  $m \geq 2$  such that  $f(D)$  divides  $(D^m - 1)$ . Write  $D^m - 1 = f(D)g(D)$ . By the hypothesis  $f(D)T = \sum_{i=1}^u f_i(D)S_{\alpha_i}$  for some distinct  $\alpha_i \in \Lambda$ ,  $f_i(D) \in F[D]$ . Then by (2.4)

$$\begin{aligned} D^{\lambda_m+m} f(D)T &= \sum_i f_i(D)D^{\lambda_m+m} S_{\alpha_{i,m}} = \\ &= f(D)g(D) \sum_i f_i(D)D^{\lambda_m} \bar{S}_{\alpha_{i,m}}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$(1) \quad D^{\lambda_m+m} T = \sum_i f_i(D)g(D)D^{\lambda_m} \bar{S}_{\alpha_{i,m}} + T'$$

where  $T' \in \Omega(f(D))$ . All the  $\bar{S}_{\alpha_{i,m}}$  have common-zero sections indexed by open intervals  $]\lambda_n + n!, \lambda_{n+1}[$  which are of arbitrarily large lengths.

Consequently by (1.2), all the  $f_i(D)g(D)\bar{S}_{\alpha_i,m}$  have commonly indexed zero sections of arbitrarily large lengths. If  $T' \neq 0$ , (1) gives that  $T$  has sections of arbitrarily large lengths, which are sections of  $T' \in \Omega(f(D))$ . By (2.1)  $D^{\lambda_m+m}T \notin \bar{\Omega}(1)$ . This gives a contradiction. Hence  $T' = 0$ , i.e.

$$(2) \quad D^{\lambda_m+m}T = \sum_i f_i(D)g(D)D^{\lambda_m}\bar{S}_{\alpha_i,m}$$

Fix a positive integer  $s$  greater than every  $\deg(f_i(D)g(D))$ ,  $O(f_i(D)g(D))$ . By using (2.2) we choose an  $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\alpha_1}$  such that  $n > m$ ,  $r_n m > 2s$ ,  $\lambda_n - (\lambda_{n-1} + (n-1)!) > s$ ,  $r_n = (n!/m) - 1$  and  $n \notin \mathbb{N}_{\alpha_j}$  for  $j \geq 2$ . Now  $x^{\lambda_n}(1 + x^m + \dots + x^{r_n m})$  corresponds to the sections  $B$  of  $\bar{S}_{\alpha_1,m}$  indexed by  $[\lambda_n, \lambda_n + r_n m]$ . It is a section of a member  $T'' \in \Omega(D^m - 1)$  with  $D^m - 1$  as its minimal polynomial. Suppose  $(D^m - 1)$  does not divide  $f_1(D)g(D)$ . Then  $f_1(D)g(D)T'' \neq 0$ , and by (1.3) the section of  $f_1(D)g(D)S_{\alpha_1,m}$  indexed by  $[\lambda_n, \lambda_n + r_n m - s]$  is a section of  $f_1(D)g(D)T''$ . As its length is greater than  $s$  it is non-zero. However the corresponding section of every  $f_j(D)g(D)\bar{S}_{\alpha_j,m}$ ,  $j \geq 2$  is zero. So that  $D^{\lambda_m+m}T$  has a section, which is a section of  $f_1(D)g(D)T''$ ; by (2.2)  $n$  can be chosen as large as desired. So by (2.1)  $D^{\lambda_m+m}T \notin \bar{\Omega}(1)$ . This is a contradiction. Hence  $(D^m - 1)$  divides  $f_1(D)g(D)$ . Write  $f_1(D)g(D) = (D^m - 1)g_1(D)$ . Similarly we get  $f_j(D)g(D) = (D^m - 1)g_j(D)$ ,  $g_j(D) \in F[D]$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} D^{\lambda_m+m}T &= \sum_i g_i(D)D^{m+\lambda_m}S_{\alpha_i,m} = \\ &= \sum_i g_i(D)D^{m+\lambda_m}S_{\alpha_i} \in \sum_{\alpha} F[D]S_{\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the result.

Given submodule  $L$  of a torsion-free  $F[D]$ -module  $M$ , the closure  $\text{cl}(L)$  of  $L$  in  $M$  is the set of those  $x \in M$  such that  $f(D)x \in L$  for some  $f(D) \neq 0$  in  $F[D]$ . Let  $K = \sum_{\alpha} F[D]S_{\alpha}$ . In general closure of a direct sum is not equal to the direct sum of closures. Here we explicitly describe the closure of  $K$ , in any torsion-free submodule of  $\bar{\Omega}(1)$ . By using (1.4) and the fact that  $\bar{W}(F)$  is injective, we get  $\bar{\Omega}(1) = \Omega(D^{\infty}) \oplus L'$  with  $K \subseteq L'$ .

**Theorem 2.7.** *Let  $K = \oplus \sum_{\alpha} F[D]S_{\alpha}$  and  $L'$  be a submodule of  $\bar{\Omega}(1)$  containing  $K$  such that  $\bar{\Omega}(1) = \Omega(D^{\infty}) \oplus L'$ . Then  $\text{cl}_{L'}(K) = \{T \in L' : D^{\lambda}T \in K \text{ for some } \lambda \geq 0\}$  and  $\text{cl}_{L'}(K) = \oplus \sum_{\alpha} \text{cl}_{L'}(F[D]S_{\alpha})$ .*

PROOF. The first part is an immediate consequence of (2.6) and the remark just above this theorem. We have an injective hull  $E$  of  $\bar{\Omega}(1)$  in  $\bar{W}(F)$  such that  $E = \Omega(D^{\infty}) \oplus E_1 \oplus E_2$  where  $E_1 \oplus E_2$  and  $E_1$  are

injective hulls of  $L'$  and  $K$  respectively in  $E$ . As  $F[D]$  is noetherian, we have  $E = \bigoplus \sum E_\alpha$ , where  $E_\alpha$  is the injective hull of  $L_\alpha = F[D]S_\alpha$  in  $E_1$ . It follows from (2.6) that  $\text{cl}_{L'}(K)/K$  is the  $D$ -primary component of  $E_1/K$ . However  $E_1/K \cong \bigoplus \sum_\alpha E_\alpha/L_\alpha$ . So that  $\text{cl}_{L'}(K)/K$  is direct sum of  $D$ -primary components of  $E_\alpha/L_\alpha$ . This in view of (2.6) yields.

$$\text{cl}_{L'}(K) = \bigoplus \sum \text{cl}_{L'}(F[D]S_\alpha).$$

**Theorem 2.8.** *Let  $E$  be any injective hull of  $\bar{\Omega}(1)$  in  $\bar{W}(F)$ . Then for any monic irreducible polynomial  $p(D) \neq D$ , in  $f[D]$ , the  $p(D)$ -primary component of  $E/\bar{\Omega}(1)$  is of uncountable rank.*

PROOF. We have  $E = \Omega(D^\infty) \oplus E_1 \oplus E_2$  where  $E_1 \oplus E_2$  is an injective hull of a submodule  $L'$  of  $\bar{\Omega}(1)$  containing  $K$  such that  $\bar{\Omega}(1) = \Omega(D^\infty) \oplus L'$  and  $E_1$  is an injective hull of  $K$  in  $E$ . Let  $K_\alpha = \text{cl}_{L'}(F[D]S_\alpha)$ . Then for  $K' = \text{cl}_{L'}(K)$ ,  $K' = E_1 \cap \bar{\Omega}(1)$  and

$$[E_1 + \bar{\Omega}(1)]/\bar{\Omega}(1) \cong \bigoplus \sum_\alpha E_\alpha/K_\alpha.$$

Consider the quotient field  $\mathbb{Q}$  of  $F[D]$  and  $M = \{a \in \mathbb{Q} : D^\lambda a \in F[D] \text{ for some } \lambda \geq 0\}$ . Then  $M/F[D]$  is the  $D$ -primary component of  $\mathbb{Q}/F[D] \cong E_\alpha/F[D]S_\alpha$ . For any monic irreducible polynomial  $p(D) \neq D$  over  $F$ , the  $p(D)$ -primary component of  $\mathbb{Q}/F[D]$  is isomorphic to that of  $\mathbb{Q}/M$ . However  $\mathbb{Q}/M \cong E_\alpha/K_\alpha$ . As  $|\Lambda|$  is uncountable, and  $\mathbb{Q}/M$  has non-zero  $p(D)$ -primary component, the result follows.

We end this paper by discussing the notion of minimal sparse sets associated with members of  $\bar{\Omega}(1)$ . Consider two sparse sets  $A$  and  $A'$ . Call  $A$  and  $A'$  to be equivalent sparse sets, if there exist finite subsets  $B$  and  $B'$  of  $A$  and  $A'$  respectively such that  $A \setminus B = A' \setminus B'$ . This is an equivalence relation. Consider any  $S \in \bar{\Omega}(1)$  that is not ultimately zero. Let  $(A, u, 1)$  be a companion of  $S$ . Then  $A$  is said to be a minimal sparse set relative to  $u$ , associated with  $S$  if for any sparse set  $A' \subseteq A$ , such that  $(A', u, 1)$  is a companion of  $S$ ,  $A'$  is equivalent to  $A$ .

**Theorem 2.9.** *Let  $S$  be any member of  $\bar{\Omega}(1)$  that is not ultimately zero. Let  $(A, u, 1)$  be a companion of  $S$ . Then there exists a sparse set  $A' \subseteq A$  such that  $A'$  is a minimal sparse set relative to  $u$ , associated with  $S$ .*

PROOF. Let  $S = (s_k)$ . We now construct  $A' = (m_i)$ ,  $m_i < m_{i+1}$ . Choose  $m_0$  any member of  $A$ . For some  $i \geq 0$ , suppose that we have already constructed.

$$m_0 < m_1 < \dots, m_i$$

in  $A'$ . Let  $n$  be the successor of  $m_i$  in  $A$ . If  $[s_{m_i}, s_n] \neq 0$ , by definition  $n - m_i < u$ ; in this case choose  $m_{i+1}$ , the largest member of  $A$  such that  $m_{i+1} - m_i < u$ . Let  $[s_{m_i}, s_n] = 0$ . As  $S$  is not ultimately zero we can find

largest member of  $A$ , that is taken as  $m_{i+1}$ , such that  $[s_{m_i}, s_{m_{i+1}}] = 0$ . It is immediate that  $(A', u, 1)$  is a companion of  $S$ . Let  $(A'', u, 1)$  be a companion of  $S$  such that  $A'' \subseteq A'$ . We get smallest integer  $r$ , such that  $m_r \in A''$ . We prove that  $A''$  consists of all  $m_i$ ,  $i \geq r$ . Suppose for some  $i \geq r$ , we have already proved that  $m_i \in A''$ . Let  $m$  be the successor of  $m_i$  in  $A''$ . Then  $m_i < m_{m_{i+1}} \leq m$ . If  $[s_{m_i}, s_{m_{i+1}}] \neq 0$  then  $[s_{m_i}, s_m] \neq 0$ , gives  $m - m_i < u$ . As  $m_{i+1}$  is the largest member of  $A$  satisfying  $m_{i+1} - m_i < u$ , we get  $m = m_{i+1}$ . If  $[s_{m_i}, s_m] = 0$ , once again the choice of  $m_{i+1}$  gives  $m = m_{i+1}$ . This proves the result.

*Acknowledgement.* This research was supported by the Kuwait University Research Project No. SM 075.

### References

- [1] H. AL-ZAID and S. SINGH, A class of non-recurring sequences over a Galois Field, (to appear in *Publicationes Mathematicae Debrecen*).
- [2] C. FAITH, Algebra II, Ring Theory, vol. 91, *Springer Verlag*, 1976.
- [3] R. LIDL and H. NIEDERREITER, Finite Fields, Encyclopedia Math. Appl., *Addison Wesley*, 1983.
- [4] S. SINGH, A note on linear recurring sequences, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **104** (1988), 97–101.

HASSAN AL-ZAID  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
KUWAIT UNIVERSITY  
P.O. BOX 5969  
SAFAT - 13060  
KUWAIT

SURJEET SINGH  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
KUWAIT UNIVERSITY  
P.O. BOX 5969  
SAFAT - 13060  
KUWAIT

(Received September 13, 1993)