Publ. Math. Debrecen 90/1-2 (2017), 11–32 DOI: 10.5486/PMD.2017.7328

Completely continuous commutator of Marcinkiewicz integral

By JIECHENG CHEN (Jinhua) and GUOEN HU (Zhengzhou)

Abstract. Let \mathcal{M}_{Ω} be the higher-dimensional Marcinkiewicz integral introduced by Stein. In this paper, by Fourier transform estimates, approximation and a sufficient condition for strongly pre-compact set in $L^p(L^2[1, 2], l^2; \mathbb{R}^n)$, the authors proved that if $b \in \text{CMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\Omega \in L(\ln L)^{\frac{3}{2}}(S^{n-1})$, then for $p \in (1, \infty)$, the commutator generated by b and \mathcal{M}_{Ω} is a completely continuous operator on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

1. Introduction

As an analogy to the classical Littlewood–Paley g-function, MARCINKIE-WICZ [20] introduced the operator defined by

$$\mathcal{M}(f)(x) = \left(\int_0^\pi \frac{|F(x+t) - F(x-t) - 2F(x)|^2}{t^3} \,\mathrm{d}t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where $F(x) = \int_0^x f(t) dt$. This operator is now called the Marcinkiewicz integral. ZYGMUND [26] proved that \mathcal{M} is bounded on $L^p([0, 2\pi])$ for $p \in (1, \infty)$. STEIN [21] generalized the Marcinkiewicz operator to the case of higher dimension. Let Ω be homogeneous of degree zero, integrable and have mean value zero on the unit sphere S^{n-1} . Define the Marcinkiewicz integral operator \mathcal{M}_{Ω} by

$$\mathcal{M}_{\Omega}(f)(x) = \left(\int_0^\infty |F_{\Omega,t}f(x)|^2 \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t^3}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},\tag{1.1}$$

Mathematics Subject Classification: 42B15, 47B07.

Key words and phrases: commutator, Marcinkiewicz integral, completely continuous operator, Fourier transform estimate, Littlewood–Paley theory.

The second author is the corresponding author.

where

$$F_{\Omega,t}f(x) = \int_{|x-y| \le t} \frac{\Omega(x-y)}{|x-y|^{n-1}} f(y) \mathrm{d}y$$

for $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. This operator has been studied by many authors (see [1], [6], [12], [13], and the related references therein). STEIN [21] proved that if $\Omega \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\alpha}(S^{n-1})$ with $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, then \mathcal{M}_{Ω} is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $p \in (1, 2]$. Benedek, Calderón and Panzon showed that the $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ $(p \in (1, \infty))$ boundedness of \mathcal{M}_{Ω} holds true under the condition that $\Omega \in C^1(S^{n-1})$. Using the one-dimensional result and Riesz transforms similarly as in the case of singular integrals (see [4]) and interpolation, WALSH [24] proved that for $p \in (1, \infty)$, $\Omega \in L(\ln L)^{1/r}(\ln \ln L)^{2(1-2/r')}(S^{n-1})$ is a sufficient condition such that \mathcal{M}_{Ω} is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $r = \min \{p, p'\}$ and p' = p/(p-1). DING, FAN and PAN [12] proved that if $\Omega \in H^1(S^{n-1})$ (the Hardy space on S^{n-1}), then \mathcal{M}_{Ω} is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $p \in (1, \infty)$; AL-SALMAM *et al.* [1] proved that $\Omega \in L(\ln L)^{\frac{1}{2}}(S^{n-1})$ is a sufficient condition such that \mathcal{M}_{Ω} is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$

The commutator of \mathcal{M}_{Ω} is also of interest and has been considered by many authors. Let $b \in BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the commutator generated by \mathcal{M}_{Ω} and b is defined by

$$\mathcal{M}_{\Omega,b}f(x) = \left(\int_0^\infty \left|\int_{|x-y| \le t} \left(b(x) - b(y)\right) \frac{\Omega(x-y)}{|x-y|^{n-1}} f(y) dy\right|^2 \frac{dt}{t^3}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$
 (1.2)

TORCHINSKY and WANG [22] showed that if $\Omega \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\alpha}(S^{n-1})$ ($\alpha \in (0, 1]$), then $\mathcal{M}_{\Omega,b}$ is bounded on $L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$ with bound $C||b||_{\operatorname{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}$ for all $p \in (1, \infty)$. HU and YAN [19] proved that $\Omega \in L(\ln L)^{\frac{3}{2}}(S^{n-1})$ is a sufficient condition such that $\mathcal{M}_{\Omega,b}$ is bounded on $L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$. CHEN and LU [5] improved the result in [19] and showed that if $\Omega \in L(\ln L)^{\frac{3}{2}}(S^{n-1})$, then $\mathcal{M}_{\Omega,b}$ is bounded on $L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$ with bound $C||b||_{\operatorname{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}$ for all $p \in (1, \infty)$.

Let $\text{CMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the closure of $C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in the $\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ topology, which coincides with $\text{VMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the space of functions of vanishing mean oscillation introduced by COIFMAN and WEISS [11], see also [3]. UCHIYAMA [23] proved that if S is a Calderón–Zygmund operator, and $b \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then the commutator of S defined by

$$[b, S]f(x) = b(x)Sf(x) - S(bf)(x)$$

is a compact operator on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ $(p \in (1, \infty))$ if and only if $b \in \text{CMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. CHEN, DING and WANG [8] considered the compactness of $\mathcal{M}_{\Omega, b}$ on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and proved that if Ω satisfies certain regularity condition of Dini type, then for $p \in (1, \infty)$,

 $\mathcal{M}_{\Omega,b}$ is compact on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if $b \in \mathrm{CMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The purpose of this paper is to prove that, in order to guarantee the compactness of $\mathcal{M}_{\Omega,b}$ on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the regularity condition of Ω is superfluous. To formulate our main result, we first recall some definitions.

Definition 1.1. Let \mathcal{X} be a normed linear space and \mathcal{X}^* be its dual space, $\{x_k\} \subset \mathcal{X}$ and $x \in \mathcal{X}$. If for all $f \in \mathcal{X}^*$,

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} |f(x_k) - f(x)| = 0,$$

then $\{x_k\}$ is said to converge to x weakly, or $x_k \rightharpoonup x$.

Definition 1.2. Let \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} be two Banach spaces and S be a bounded operator from \mathcal{X} to \mathcal{Y} .

- (i) If for each bounded set $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{X}$, $S\mathcal{G} = \{Sx : x \in \mathcal{G}\}$ is a strongly pre-compact set in \mathcal{Y} , then S is called a compact operator from \mathcal{X} to \mathcal{Y} ;
- (ii) if for $\{x_k\} \subset \mathcal{X}$ and $x \in \mathcal{X}$,

$$x_k \rightarrow x \text{ in } \mathcal{X} \Rightarrow ||Sx_k - Sx||_{\mathcal{Y}} \to 0,$$

then S is said to be a completely continuous operator.

It is well known that if \mathcal{X} is a reflexive space and S is completely continuous from \mathcal{X} to \mathcal{Y} , then S is also compact from \mathcal{X} to \mathcal{Y} . On the other hand, if S is a linear compact operator from \mathcal{X} to \mathcal{Y} , then S is also a completely continuous operator. However, if S is not linear, then the compactness of S does not imply that S is completely continuous. For example, the operator

$$Sx = ||x||_{l^2}$$

is compact from l^2 to \mathbb{R} , but not completely continuous.

The main result in this paper can be stated as follows.

Theorem 1.1. Let Ω be homogeneous of degree zero and have mean value zero on S^{n-1} . Suppose that $\Omega \in L(\ln L)^{3/2}(S^{n-1})$. Then for $b \in \text{CMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $p \in (1, \infty)$, $\mathcal{M}_{\Omega, b}$ is completely continuous on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Remark 1.1. Recently, CHEN and Hu [7] considered the compactness of the commutator of homogeneous singular integral operators defined by

$$T_{\Omega}f(x) = \text{p.v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\Omega(x-y)}{|x-y|^n} f(y) \mathrm{d}y,$$

here Ω is homogeneous of degree zero, integrable on S^{n-1} and has mean value zero. Using the idea of approximating T_{Ω} by a sequence of operators with smooth kernels, Chen and Hu considered the compactness of the commutator of T_{Ω} when Ω satisfies

$$\sup_{\zeta \in S^{n-1}} \int_{S^{n-1}} |\Omega(\eta)| \left(\ln \frac{1}{|\eta \cdot \zeta|} \right)^{\theta} \mathrm{d}\eta < \infty$$
(1.3)

for some $\theta > 2$. It should be pointed out that this idea comes from WATSON's paper [25]. In this paper, we will also employ the idea of Watson. However, the operators \mathcal{M} and $\mathcal{M}_{\Omega,b}$ are not linear, the proof of Theorem 1.1 involves much more technical problems, such as an appropriate sufficient condition of strongly pre-compact sets in space $L^p(L^2[1, 2], l^2; \mathbb{R}^n)$ (see Lemma 3.4 below), and the argument in this paper is more complicated.

We make some conventions. In what follows, C always denotes a positive constant that is independent of the main parameters involved, but whose value may differ from line to line. We use the symbol $A \leq B$ to denote that there exists a positive constant C such that $A \leq CB$. For a set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, χ_E denotes its characteristic function. Let M be the Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator. For $r \in (0, \infty)$, we use M_r to denote the operator $M_r f(x) = \left(M(|f|^r)(x)\right)^{1/r}$.

2. Approximation

Let Ω be homogeneous of degree zero, integrable on S^{n-1} . For $t \in [1, 2]$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, set

$$K_t^j(x) = \frac{1}{2^j} \frac{\Omega(x)}{|x|^{n-1}} \chi_{\{2^{j-1}t < |x| \le 2^j t\}}(x).$$
(2.1)

As it was proved in [15], there exists a constant $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ such that for $t \in [1, 2]$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$,

$$|\widehat{K_t^j}(\xi)| \lesssim \|\Omega\|_{L^{\infty}(S^{n-1})} \min\{1, |2^j\xi|^{-\alpha}\}.$$
(2.2)

Moreover, if $\int_{S^{n-1}} \Omega(x') dx' = 0$, then

$$|\widehat{K_t^j}(\xi)| \lesssim \|\Omega\|_{L^1(S^{n-1})} \min\{1, |2^j\xi|\}.$$
(2.3)

Let

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega}f(x) = \left(\int_{1}^{2} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left|F_{j}f(x, t)\right|^{2} \mathrm{d}t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

with

$$F_j f(x, t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K_t^j(x - y) f(y) \mathrm{d}y.$$

For $b \in BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$, let $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega, b}$ be the commutator of $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega}$ defined by

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega,b}f(x) = \left(\int_{1}^{2}\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} \left|F_{j,b}f(x,t)\right|^{2} \mathrm{d}t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

with

$$F_{j,b}f(x,t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(b(x) - b(y) \right) K_t^j(x-y) f(y) \mathrm{d}y.$$

A trivial computation leads to that

$$\mathcal{M}_{\Omega}f(x) \approx \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega}f(x), \quad \mathcal{M}_{\Omega,b}f(x) \approx \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega,b}f(x).$$
 (2.4)

Let $\phi \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a nonnegative function such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi(x) dx = 1$, supp $\phi \subset \{x : |x| \leq 1/4\}$. For $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $\phi_l(y) = 2^{-nl}\phi(2^{-l}y)$. It is easy to verify that for any $\beta \in (0, 1)$,

$$|\hat{\phi}_l(\xi) - 1| \lesssim \min\{1, |2^l \xi|^\beta\}.$$
 (2.5)

Let

$$F_j^l f(x, t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K_t^j * \phi_{j-l}(x-y) f(y) \, \mathrm{d}y.$$

Define the operator $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^l_\Omega$ by

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega}^{l}f(x) = \left(\int_{1}^{2}\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} \left|F_{j}^{l}f(x,t)\right|^{2} \mathrm{d}t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
(2.6)

For $b \in BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$, let $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega, b}^l$ be the commutator of $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega}^l$, that is,

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega,b}^{l}f(x) = \left(\int_{1}^{2} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left|F_{j,b}^{l}f(x,t)\right|^{2} \mathrm{d}t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$
(2.7)

with

$$F_{j,b}^{l}f(x,t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} (b(x) - b(y)) K_{t}^{j} * \phi_{j-l}(x-y)f(y) \, \mathrm{d}y.$$

For $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $l \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$U_{l,j;t}(y) = K_t^j * \phi_{l-j}(y) - K_t^j(y)$$

Let $E_0 = \{x' \in S^{n-1} : |\Omega(x')| \leq 2\}$ and $E_d = \{x' \in S^{n-1} : 2^d < |\Omega(x')| \leq 2^{d+1}\}$ for $d \in \mathbb{N}$. Denote by Ω_d the restriction of Ω to E_d , namely, $\Omega_d(x') = \Omega(x')\chi_{E_d}(x')$. Set

$$U_{l,j;d,t}(y) = K_{d,t}^{j} * \phi_{l-j}(y) - K_{d,t}^{j}(y),$$

with

$$K_{d,t}^{j}(y) = \frac{1}{2^{j}} \frac{\Omega_{d}(x)}{|x|^{n-1}} \chi_{\{2^{j-1}t < |x| \le 2^{j}t\}}(x).$$

Lemma 2.1. Let Ω be homogeneous of degree zero and $\Omega \in L^1(S^{n-1})$. Then for $p \in (1, \infty)$,

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} |U_{l,j;t} * f_l(x)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|\Omega\|_{L^1(S^{n-1})} \left\| \left(\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} |f_l|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

For the proof of Lemma 2.1, see [19].

Lemma 2.2. Let Ω be homogeneous of degree zero and have mean value zero, $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega}^{l}$ be the operator defined by (2.6). Suppose that $\Omega \in L \ln L(S^{n-1})$, then for $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p \in (1, \infty)$,

$$\|\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega}f - \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega}^{l}f\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}.$$
(2.8)

PROOF. It is obvious that

$$\left|\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega}f(x) - \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega}^{l}f(x)\right| \leq \left(\int_{1}^{2}\sum_{j}\left|U_{l,j;t} * f(x)\right|^{2} \mathrm{d}t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Let $\psi \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a radial function such that $\operatorname{supp} \psi \subset \{1/4 \le |\xi| \le 4\}$ and

$$\sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}\psi(2^{-i}\xi)=1,\quad |\xi|\neq 0.$$

Define the multiplier operator S_i by

$$\widehat{S_i f}(\xi) = \psi(2^{-i}\xi)\widehat{f}(\xi).$$

Let

$$D_1 f(x) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{0} \left(\int_1^2 \sum_j \left| U_{l,j;t} * (S_{m-j}f)(x) \right|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

$$D_2 f(x) = \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=Nd}^{\infty} \left(\int_1^2 \sum_j \left| U_{l,j;d,t} * (S_{m-j}f)(x) \right|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

and

$$D_3 f(x) = \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{Nd} \left(\int_1^2 \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| U_{l,j;d,t} * (S_{m-j}f)(x) \right|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

It then follows that for $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\left\| \left(\int_{1}^{2} \sum_{j} \left| U_{l,j;t} * f(x) \right|^{2} \mathrm{d}t \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{3} \| \mathbf{D}_{i}f \|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}.$$

We now estimate the term D_1 . By Fourier transform estimate, we know that

$$\begin{split} \left\| \left(\int_{1}^{2} \sum_{j} \left| U_{l,j;t} * (S_{m-j}f)(x) \right|^{2} \mathrm{d}t \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2} \\ &= \int_{1}^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| U_{l,j;t} * (S_{m-j}f)(x) \right|^{2} \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}t \\ &= \int_{1}^{2} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |\widehat{K_{t}^{j}}(\xi)|^{2} |\widehat{\phi_{j-l}}(\xi) - 1|^{2} |\psi(2^{-m+j}\xi)|^{2} |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^{2} \mathrm{d}\xi \mathrm{d}t \\ &\lesssim \|\Omega\|_{L^{1}(S^{n-1})} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |2^{j-l}\xi|^{2} |\psi(2^{-m+j}\xi)|^{2} |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^{2} \mathrm{d}\xi \\ &\leq 2^{2m} 2^{-2l} \|\Omega\|_{L^{1}(S^{n-1})}^{2} \|f\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2}. \end{split}$$

On the other hand, for $p \in (2, \infty),$ applying the Minkowski inequality and Lemma 2.1, we have that

$$\left\| \left(\int_{1}^{2} \sum_{j} \left| U_{l,j;t} * (S_{m-j}f)(x) \right|^{2} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2}$$

$$\leq \int_{1}^{2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| U_{l,j;t} * (S_{m-j}f)(x) \right|^{2} \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} dt$$

$$\leq \|\Omega\|_{L^{1}(S^{n-1})}^{2} \|f\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2}.$$
(2.10)

To estimate

$$\left\|\left(\int_{1}^{2}\sum_{j}\left|U_{l,j;t}*(S_{m-j}f)(x)\right|^{2}\mathrm{d}t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}$$

for $p \in (1, 2)$, we consider the mapping \mathcal{F} defined by

$$\mathcal{F}: \ \{h_j(x)\}_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} \longrightarrow \{U_{l,j;t} * h_j(x)\}.$$

Note that for any $t \in (1, 2)$,

$$|U_{l,j;t} * h_j(x)| \lesssim M M_\Omega h_j(x) + M_\Omega h_j(x),$$

with M_{Ω} the maximal operator defined by

$$M_{\Omega}h(x) = \sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{|B(x, r)|} \int_{B(x, r)} |\Omega(x - y)| |f(y)| \mathrm{d}y.$$

It is well known that M_{Ω} is bounded on $L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$ with bound $C \|\Omega\|_{L^{1}(S^{n-1})}$ for all $p \in (1, \infty)$. A straightforward computation then tells us that for $p_{0} \in (1, \infty)$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_1^2 \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| U_{l,j;t} * h_j(x) \right|^{p_0} \mathrm{d}t \mathrm{d}x \lesssim \|\Omega\|_{L^1(S^{n-1})}^{p_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |h_j(x)|^{p_0} \mathrm{d}x.$$
(2.11)

Also, we have that

$$\sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sup_{t \in [1,2]} \left| U_{l,j;t} * h_j(x) \right| \lesssim \|\Omega\|_{L^1(S^{n-1})} \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |h_j(x)|,$$

which implies that for $p_1 \in (1, \infty)$,

$$\left\| \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sup_{t \in [1,2]} \left| U_{l,j;t} * h_j \right| \right\|_{L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|\Omega\|_{L^1(S^{n-1})} \left\| \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |h_j| \right\|_{L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$
 (2.12)

For $p \in (1, 2)$, interpolating the inequalities (2.11) and (2.12) (with $p_0 \in (1, 2)$, $p_1 \in (2, \infty)$ and $1/p = 1/2 + (2 - p_0)/(2p_1)$) leads to that

$$\left\| \left(\int_{1}^{2} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| U_{l,j;t} * h_{j} \right|^{2} \mathrm{d}t \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \lesssim \|\Omega\|_{L^{1}(S^{n-1})} \left\| \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |h_{j}|^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})},$$

and so

$$\begin{split} \left\| \left(\int_{1}^{2} \sum_{j} \left| U_{l,j;t} * (S_{m-j}f)(x) \right|^{2} \mathrm{d}t \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \\ & \lesssim \|\Omega\|_{L^{1}(S^{n-1})} \left\| \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |S_{m-j}f|^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \lesssim \|\Omega\|_{L^{1}(S^{n-1})} \|f\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}. \end{split}$$

This, along with (2.10), states that for $p \in (1, \infty)$,

$$\left\| \left(\int_{1}^{2} \sum_{j} \left| U_{l,j;t} * (S_{m-j}f)(x) \right|^{2} \mathrm{d}t \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \lesssim \|\Omega\|_{L^{1}(S^{n-1})} \|f\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}.$$
(2.13)

Interpolating the inequalities (2.9) and (2.13) gives us that for $p \in (1, \infty)$,

$$\left\| \left(\int_{1}^{2} \sum_{j} \left| U_{l,j;t} * (S_{m-j}f)(x) \right|^{2} \mathrm{d}t \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \lesssim 2^{t_{p}m} \|\Omega\|_{L^{1}(S^{n-1})} \|f\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})},$$

with $t_p \in (0, 1)$ a constant depending only on p. Therefore,

$$\|\mathbf{D}_1 f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|\Omega\|_{L^1(S^{n-1})} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

We turn our attention to the term D_2 . Again by the Plancherel theorem and the Fourier transform estimates (2.2) and (2.5), we have that

$$\begin{split} \left\| \left(\int_{1}^{2} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| U_{l,j;d,t} * (S_{m-j}f)(x) \right|^{2} \mathrm{d}t \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2} \\ &= \int_{1}^{2} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |\widehat{K_{t}^{j}}(\xi)|^{2} |\widehat{\phi_{j-l}}(\xi) - 1|^{2} |\psi(2^{-m+j}\xi)|^{2} |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^{2} \mathrm{d}\xi \mathrm{d}t \\ &\lesssim \|\Omega_{d}\|_{L^{\infty}(S^{n-1})}^{2} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |2^{j}\xi|^{-2\alpha} |2^{j-l}\xi|^{\alpha} \psi(2^{-m+j}\xi)|^{2} |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^{2} \mathrm{d}\xi \\ &\lesssim \|\Omega_{d}\|_{L^{\infty}(S^{n-1})}^{2} 2^{-l\alpha} 2^{-m\alpha} \|f\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2}. \end{split}$$

$$(2.14)$$

As in the inequality (2.13), we have that for $p \in (1, \infty)$,

$$\left\| \left(\int_{1}^{2} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| U_{l,j;d,t} * (S_{m-j}f)(x) \right|^{2} \mathrm{d}t \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2} \lesssim \|\Omega_{d}\|_{L^{1}(S^{n-1})}^{2} \|f\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2}.$$
(2.15)

Interpolating the inequalities (2.14) and (2.15) then gives that

$$\left\| \left(\int_{1}^{2} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| U_{l,j;d,t} * (S_{m-j}f)(x) \right|^{2} \mathrm{d}t \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \lesssim \|\Omega_{d}\|_{L^{\infty}(S^{n-1})} 2^{-m\delta_{p}} \|f\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}.$$

This in turn implies that

$$\|\mathbf{D}_{2}f\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \lesssim \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} 2^{d} \sum_{m=Nd}^{\infty} 2^{-m\delta_{p}} \|f\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})},$$

if we choose $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $N > 2\delta_p$.

It remains to consider the term D₃. Again as (2.13), we have that for $p \in (1, \infty)$,

$$\left\| \left(\int_{1}^{2} \sum_{j} \left| U_{l,j;d,t} * (S_{m-j}f)(x) \right|^{2} \mathrm{d}t \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \lesssim \|\Omega_{d}\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \|f\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}.$$

This, in turn implies that

$$\|\mathbf{D}_{3}f\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \lesssim \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} 2^{d} \sum_{m=1}^{Nd} \|\Omega_{d}\|_{L^{1}(S^{n-1})} \|f\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}.$$

Combining the estimates for D_1 , D_2 and D_3 leads to (2.8).

The following result shows that $\{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega}^{l}\}_{l\in\mathbb{N}}$ approximate to $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega}$ properly, and will be useful in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 2.1. Let Ω be homogeneous of degree zero and have mean value zero. Suppose that $\Omega \in L(\ln L)^{\gamma}(S^{n-1})$ for some $\gamma \in (1, \infty)$, then for $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p \in (1, \infty)$,

$$\|\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega}f - \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega}^{l}f\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \lesssim l^{-\delta_{p}} \|f\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}$$

with δ_p a constant depending only on p and n.

PROOF. By the estimates in [17], we know that if Ω satisfies (1.3) for some $\theta \in (0, \infty)$, then, for $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $t \in [1, 2]$,

$$|K_t^j(\xi)| \lesssim \ln^{-\theta}(|2^j\xi|).$$

For each $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ and $l \in \mathbb{N}$, let j_0 be the integer such that $2^{l/2-1} < |2^{j_0}\xi| \le 2^{l/2}$. A trivial computation involving the Fourier transform estimates (2.1)–(2.3) leads to that

$$\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} \left|\widehat{K_t^j}(\xi)\widehat{\phi}(2^{j-l}\xi) - \widehat{K_t^j}(\xi)\right|^2 \lesssim \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}: \ j\leq j_0} |2^{j-l}\xi|^2 + \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}: \ j>j_0} \ln^{-2\gamma}(|2^j\xi|) \lesssim l^{-2\theta+1}.$$

This, via the Plancherel theorem, leads to

$$\left\|\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega}f - \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega}^{l}f\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \lesssim l^{-\theta + \frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}.$$
(2.16)

On the other hand, it was pointed out in [18] that, if $\Omega \in L(\ln L)^{\gamma}(S^{n-1})$ for $\gamma \in (1, \infty)$, then Ω satisfies (1.3) for $\theta \in (1, \gamma)$. Therefore, by interpolating the inequalities (2.8) and (2.16), we know that under the hypothesis of Theorem 2.1,

$$\left\|\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega}f - \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega}^{l}f\right\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \lesssim l^{-\gamma + 1/2 + \epsilon} \|f\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})},$$

with $\epsilon \in (0, \gamma - 1/2)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

To prove Theorem 1.1, we will use some lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. Let Ω be homogeneous of degree zero and belong to $L^1(S^{n-1})$, K_t^j be defined as in (2.1). Then for $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $s \in (1, \infty]$, $j_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_-$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $|y| < 2^{j_0-4}$,

$$\sum_{j>j_0} \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{kn/s} \left(\int_{2^k < |x| \le 2^{k+1}} \left| K_t^j * \phi_{j-l}(x+y) - K_t^j * \phi_{j-l}(x) \right|^{s'} \mathrm{d}x \right)^{\frac{1}{s'}} \le 2^{l(n+1)} 2^{-j_0} |y|.$$

PROOF. We follow the argument used in [25] (see also [7]), with suitable modification. Observe that supp $K_t^j * \phi_{j-l} \subset \{x : 2^{j-2} \le |x| \le 2^{j+2}\}$, and

$$\|\phi_{j-l}(\cdot+y) - \phi_{j-l}(\cdot)\|_{L^{s'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim 2^{(j-l)n/s} 2^{j-l} |y|.$$

Thus, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{k \frac{n}{s}} \left(\int_{2^k < |x| \le 2^{k+1}} |K_t^j * \phi_{j-l}(x+y) - K_t^l * \phi_{l-j}(x)|^{s'} \mathrm{d}x \right)^{\frac{1}{s'}} \\ \lesssim \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}: |j-k| \le 3} 2^{kn/s} \|K_t^j\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\phi_{j-l}(\cdot+y) - \phi_{j-l}(\cdot)\|_{L^{s'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim 2^{l(n+1)s} \frac{|y|}{2^k}$$

This, in turn, leads to that

$$\sum_{j>j_0} \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{kn/s} \left(\int_{2^k < |x| \le 2^{k+1}} \left| K_t^j * \phi_{j-l}(x+y) - K_t^j * \phi_{j-l}(x) \right|^{s'} \mathrm{d}x \right)^{\frac{1}{s'}} \\ = \sum_{k>j_0-3} \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{\frac{kn}{s}} \left(\int_{2^k < |x| \le 2^{k+1}} \left| K_{\Omega}^j * \phi_{j-l}(x+y) - K_{\Omega}^j * \phi_{j-l}(x) \right|^{s'} \mathrm{d}x \right)^{\frac{1}{s'}} \\ \le 2^{l(n+1)} 2^{-j_0} |y|,$$

and completes the proof of Lemma 3.1.

For $t \in [1, 2]$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, let K_t^j be defined as in (2.1), ϕ and ϕ_l (with $l \in \mathbb{Z}$) be as in Section 2. By Lemma 2.2 and the $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ boundedness of \mathcal{M}_{Ω} , we see that if Ω is homogeneous of degree zero, has mean value zero and $\Omega \in L \ln L(S^{n-1})$,

21

then for $p \in (1, \infty)$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega}^{l}$ is bounded on $L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$ with bound independent of l. For $j_{0} \in \mathbb{Z}$, define the operator $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega}^{l, j_{0}}$ by

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega}^{l,j_0}f(x) = \left(\int_1^2 \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}: j > j_0} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K_t^j * \phi_{j-l}(x-y)f(y) \mathrm{d}y \right|^2 \mathrm{d}t \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

and the commutator $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{l, j_0}_{\Omega, b}$ by

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega,b}^{l,j_0}f(x) = \left(\int_1^2 \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}: j > j_0} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(b(x) - b(y) \right) K_t^j * \phi_{j-l}(x-y) f(y) \mathrm{d}y \right|^2 \mathrm{d}t \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

with $b \in BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Lemma 3.2. Let Ω be homogeneous of degree zero and have mean value zero. Suppose that $\Omega \in L(\ln L)^{\gamma}(S^{n-1})$ for some $\gamma \in (1, \infty)$, then for $p \in (1, \infty)$, $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $j_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega}^{l,j_0}$ is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with bound independent of l and j_0 .

PROOF. Let $p \in (1, \infty)$ and $l \in \mathbb{Z}$. By Theorem 2.1, it follows that $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega}^{l}$ is bounded on $L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$ with bounded independent of l. Observe that

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega}^{l,\,j_0}f(x) \lesssim \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega}^{l}f(x) + \mathcal{N}_{\Omega}^{l,\,j_0}f(x),$$

with

$$\mathcal{N}_{\Omega}^{l, j_0} f(x) = \left(\int_1^2 \sum_{j \le j_0} |F_j^l f(x, t)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Thus, it suffices to prove that $\mathcal{N}_{\Omega}^{l, j_0}$ is bounded on L^p with bound independent of j_0 and l. To this aim, we first note that if $\operatorname{supp} f \subset Q$ for a cube Q having side length 2^{j_0} , then $\operatorname{supp} \mathcal{N}_{\Omega}^{l, j_0} f \subset 20\sqrt{n}Q$. On the other hand, if $\{Q_k\}_k$ is a sequence of cubes with disjoint interiors and having side length 2^{j_0} , then the cubes $\{20\sqrt{n}Q_k\}$ have bounded overlaps. Thus, we may assume that $\operatorname{supp} f \subset Q$, with Q a cube centered at $h \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and having side length 2^{j_0} . For such a $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we see that if $x \in 20\sqrt{n}Q$, then

$$\int_{1}^{2} \sum_{j > j_0 + 20n} |F_j^l f(x, t)|^2 \mathrm{d}t = 0.$$

Therefore, for $x \in 20\sqrt{n}Q$,

$$\mathcal{N}_{\Omega}^{l,j_0}f(x) \leq \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega}^{l}f(x) + \left(\int_1^2 \sum_{j_0 < j \leq j_0 + 20n} |F_j^l f(x,t)|^2 \mathrm{d}t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega}^{l}f(x) + M_{\Omega}Mf(x).$$

The desired $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ boundedness of $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{l, j_0}_{\Omega}$ then follows directly.

Lemma 3.3. Let Ω be homogeneous of degree zero and integrable on S^{n-1} . Then for $b \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $j_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_-$ and $p \in (1, \infty)$,

$$\left\|\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega,b}^{l,j_{0}}f - \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega,b}^{l}f\right\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \lesssim 2^{j_{0}}\|f\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}.$$

PROOF. Let $b \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\|\nabla b\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 1$. By the fact that supp $K_{\Omega}^j * \phi_{j-l} \subset \{x : 2^{j-2} \le |x| \le 2^{j+2}\}$, it is easy to verify that

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{j \le j_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| K_t^j * \phi_{j-l}(x-y) \right| |x-y| |f(y)| \mathrm{d}y \\ &\lesssim \sum_{j \le j_0} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^k \int_{2^k < |x-y| \le 2^{k+1}} \left| K_t^j * \phi_{j-l}(x-y) \right| |f(y)| \mathrm{d}y \\ &\lesssim \sum_{j \le j_0} \sum_{|k-j| \le 3} 2^k \int_{2^k < |x-y| \le 2^{k+1}} \left| K_t^j * \phi_{j-l}(x-y) \right| |f(y)| \mathrm{d}y \lesssim 2^{j_0} M_\Omega M f(x). \end{split}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{split} \left| \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega,b}^{l,j_0} f(x) - \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega,b}^{l} f(x) \right|^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{j < j_0} \int_1^2 \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(b(x) - b(y) \right) K_t^j * \phi_{j-l}(x-y) f(y) \right|^2 \mathrm{d}t \\ &\lesssim \int_1^2 \left(\sum_{j \le j_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x-y| \left| K_t^j * \phi_{j-l}(x-y) f(y) \right| \mathrm{d}y \right)^2 \mathrm{d}t \lesssim \{ 2^{j_0} M_\Omega M f(x) \}^2. \end{split}$$

The desired conclusion now follows immediately.

Let $p, r \in [1, \infty), q \in [1, \infty], L^p(L^q([1, 2]), l^r; \mathbb{R}^n)$ be the space of sequences of functions defined by

$$L^{p}(L^{q}([1, 2]), l^{r}; \mathbb{R}^{n}) = \left\{ \vec{f} = \{f_{k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} : \|\vec{f}\|_{L^{p}(L^{q}([1, 2]), l^{r}; \mathbb{R}^{n})} < \infty \right\},$$

with

$$\|\vec{f}\|_{L^{p}(L^{q}([1,2]),l^{r};\mathbb{R}^{n})} = \left\| \left(\int_{1}^{2} \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |f_{k}(x,t)|^{r} \right)^{\frac{q}{r}} \mathrm{d}t \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}$$

With usual addition and scalar multiplication, $L^p(L^q([1, 2]), l^r; \mathbb{R}^n)$ is a Banach space.

Lemma 3.4. Let $p \in (1, \infty)$, $\mathcal{G} \subset L^p(L^2([1, 2]), l^2; \mathbb{R}^n)$. Suppose that \mathcal{G} satisfies the following five conditions:

- (a) \mathcal{G} is bounded, that is, there exists a constant C such that for all $\vec{f} \in \mathcal{G}$, $\|\vec{f}\|_{L^p(L^2([1,2]), l^2; \mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C;$
- (b) for each fixed $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a constant A > 0, such that for all $\vec{f} = \{f_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \mathcal{G}$,

$$\left\| \left(\int_1^2 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |f_k(\cdot, t)|^2 \mathrm{d}t \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \chi_{\{|\cdot| > A\}}(\cdot) \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \epsilon;$$

(c) for each fixed $\epsilon > 0$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a constant $\varrho > 0$, such that for all $\vec{f} = \{f_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \mathcal{G}$,

$$\left\|\sup_{|h|\leq \varrho} \left(\int_1^2 \sum_{|k|\leq N} |f_k(x, t) - f_k(x+h, t)|^2 \mathrm{d}t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \epsilon;$$

(d) for each fixed $\epsilon > 0$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a constant $\sigma \in (0, 1/2)$ such that for all $\vec{f} = \{f_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \mathcal{G}$,

$$\left\|\sup_{|s|\leq\sigma}\left(\int_{1}^{2}\sum_{|k|\leq N}|f_{k}(\cdot,t+s)-f_{k}(\cdot,t)|^{2}\mathrm{d}t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}<\epsilon,$$

(e) for each fixed D > 0 and $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $\{f_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \mathcal{G}$,

$$\left\| \left(\int_{1}^{2} \sum_{|k| > N} |f_{k}(\cdot, t)|^{2} \mathrm{d}t \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \chi_{B(0, D)} \right\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} < \epsilon.$$

Then \mathcal{G} is a strongly pre-compact set in $L^p(L^2([1, 2]), l^2; \mathbb{R}^n)$.

PROOF. We employ the argument used in the proof of [9, Theorem 5], with some refined modifications. We claim that for each fixed $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a $\delta = \delta_{\epsilon} > 0$, and a mapping Φ_{ϵ} on $L^p(L^2([1, 2]), l^2; \mathbb{R}^n)$, such that $\Phi_{\epsilon}(\mathcal{G}) =$ $\{\Phi_{\epsilon}(\vec{f}) : \vec{f} \in \mathcal{G}\}$ is a strong pre-compact set in $L^p(L^2([1, 2]), l^2; \mathbb{R}^n)$, and for any $\vec{f}, \vec{g} \in \mathcal{G}$,

$$\|\Phi_{\epsilon}(\vec{f}) - \Phi_{\epsilon}(\vec{g})\|_{L^{p}(L^{2}([1,2]),\,l^{2};\,\mathbb{R}^{n})} < \delta \Rightarrow \|\vec{f} - \vec{g}\|_{L^{p}(L^{2}([1,2]),\,l^{2};\,\mathbb{R}^{n})} < 9\epsilon.$$

If we can prove this, then by Lemma 6 in [9], we see that \mathcal{G} is a strongly precompact set in $L^p(L^2[1, 2], l^2; \mathbb{R}^n)$.

Now let $\epsilon > 0$. We choose A > 1 large enough as in assumption (b), $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $\{f_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \mathcal{G}$,

$$\left\| \left(\int_{1}^{2} \sum_{|k| > N} |f_{k}(\cdot, t)|^{2} \mathrm{d}t \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \chi_{B(0, 2A)} \right\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} < \epsilon.$$

Let $\rho \in (0, 1/2)$ be small enough as in assumption (c), and $\sigma \in (0, 1/2)$ be small enough such that (d) holds true. Let Q be the largest cube centered at the origin such that $2Q \subset B(0, \rho), Q_1, \ldots, Q_J$ be J copies of Q such that they are non-overlapping, and $\overline{B(0, A)} \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^J Q_j \subset B(0, 2A), I_1, \ldots, I_L \subset [1, 2]$ be non-overlapping intervals with the same length |I|, such that $|s - t| \leq \sigma$ for all $s, t \in I_j$ $(j = 1, \ldots, L)$ and $\bigcup_{j=1}^N I_j = [1, 2]$. Define the mapping Φ_{ϵ} on $L^p(L^2([1, 2]), l^2; \mathbb{R}^n)$ by

$$\Phi_{\epsilon}(\vec{f})(x,t) = \left\{ \dots, 0, \dots, 0, \sum_{i=1}^{J} \sum_{j=1}^{L} m_{Q_i \times I_j}(f_{-N}) \chi_{Q_i \times I_j}(x,t), \\ \sum_{i=1}^{J} \sum_{j=1}^{L} m_{Q_i \times I_j}(f_{-N+1}) \chi_{Q_i \times I_j}(x,t), \dots, \sum_{i=1}^{J} \sum_{j=1}^{L} m_{Q_i \times I_j}(f_N) \chi_{Q_i \times I_j}(x,t), 0, \dots, \right\},$$

where, and in the following,

$$m_{Q_i \times I_j}(f_k) = \frac{1}{|Q_i|} \frac{1}{|I_j|} \int_{Q_i \times I_j} f_k(x, t) \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}t.$$

Note that

$$|m_{Q_i \times I_j}(f_k)| \le \left(\frac{1}{|Q_i||I_j|} \int_{I_j} \int_{Q_i} |f_k(y, t)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}y \mathrm{d}t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

For $\vec{f} = \{f_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $p \in [2, \infty)$, we have that by the Hölder inequality,

$$\begin{split} \|\Phi_{\epsilon}(\vec{f})\|_{L^{p}(L^{2}([1,2]),l^{2};\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{p} &= |Q|^{1-\frac{p}{2}}|I|^{1-\frac{p}{2}}\sum_{i=1}^{J}\sum_{j=1}^{L}\left(\int_{I_{j}}\int_{Q_{i}}\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}|f_{k}(y,t)|^{2}\mathrm{d}y\mathrm{d}t\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{J}\sum_{j=1}^{L}\int_{I_{j}}\int_{Q_{i}}\left(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}|f_{k}(y,t)|^{2}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}\mathrm{d}y\mathrm{d}t \leq \|\vec{f}\|_{L^{p}(L^{2}([1,2]),l^{2};\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{p}. \end{split}$$

On the other hand, we have that

$$\sup_{-N \le k \le N} \sup_{t \in [1,2]} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{J} \sum_{j=1}^{L} m_{Q_i \times I_j}(f_k) \chi_{Q_i \times I_j}(x,t) \right| \lesssim \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sup_{t \in [1,2]} |f_k(x,t)|,$$

which implies that for $p_1 \in (1, \infty)$,

$$\|\Phi_{\epsilon}(\vec{f})\|_{L^{p_1}(L^{\infty}([1,2]), l^{\infty}; \mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|\vec{f}\|_{L^{p_1}(L^{\infty}([1,2]), l^{\infty}; \mathbb{R}^n)}.$$
(3.1)

We also have that for $p_0 \in (1, \infty)$,

$$|m_{Q_i \times I_j}(f_k)| \le \left(\frac{1}{|Q_i||I_j|} \int_{I_j} \int_{Q_i} |f_k(y, t)|^{p_0} \, \mathrm{d}y \mathrm{d}t\right)^{\frac{1}{p_0}},$$

and so

$$\|\Phi_{\epsilon}(\vec{f})\|_{L^{p_0}(L^{p_0}([1,2]), l^{p_0}; \mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|\vec{f}\|_{L^{p_0}(L^{p_0}([1,2]), l^{p_0}; \mathbb{R}^n)}.$$
(3.2)

By interpolation, we deduce from (3.1) and (3.2) that for any $p \in (1, 2)$,

$$\|\Phi_{\epsilon}(\vec{f})\|_{L^{p}(L^{2}([1,2]),l^{2};\mathbb{R}^{n})} \lesssim \|\vec{f}\|_{L^{p}(L^{2}([1,2]),l^{2},\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{p}$$

Thus, $\Phi_{\epsilon}(\mathcal{G}) = \{\Phi_{\epsilon}(\vec{f}) : \vec{f} \in \mathcal{G}\}$ is a strongly pre-compact set in $L^{p}(L^{2}([1, 2]), l^{2}; \mathbb{R}^{n})$. Denote $\mathcal{D} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{J} Q_{i}$. Write

$$\begin{split} \left\| \vec{f} \chi_{\mathcal{D}} - \Phi_{\epsilon}(\vec{f}) \right\|_{L^{p}(L^{2}([1,2]), l^{2}; \mathbb{R}^{n})} \\ &\leq \left\| \left(\int_{1}^{2} \sum_{|k| \leq N} \left| f_{k}(\cdot, t) \chi_{\mathcal{D}} - \sum_{i=1}^{J} \sum_{j=1}^{L} m_{Q_{i} \times I_{j}}(f_{k}) \chi_{Q_{i} \times I_{j}}(\cdot, t) \right|^{2} \mathrm{d}t \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \\ &+ \left\| \left(\int_{1}^{2} \sum_{|k| > N} \left| f_{k}(\cdot, t) \right|^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \chi_{B(0, 2A)} \right\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}. \end{split}$$

Let

$$E = \left\| \sup_{|h| \le \varrho} \left(\int_{1}^{2} \sum_{|k| \le N} |f_{k}(\cdot, t) - f_{k}(\cdot + h, t)|^{2} \mathrm{d}t \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{p},$$

$$F = \left\| \sup_{|s| \le \sigma} \left(\int_{1}^{2} \sum_{|k| \le N} |f_{k}(\cdot, t) - f_{k}(\cdot, t + s)|^{2} \mathrm{d}t \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{p}.$$

Noting that for $x \in Q_i$ with $1 \le i \le J$,

$$\left\{ \int_{1}^{2} \sum_{|k| \le N} \left| f_{k}(x, t) \chi_{\mathcal{D}} - \sum_{i=1}^{J} \sum_{j=1}^{L} m_{Q_{i} \times I_{j}}(f_{k}) \chi_{Q_{i} \times I_{j}}(x, t) \right|^{2} \mathrm{d}t \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ \lesssim |Q|^{-\frac{1}{2}} |I|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{L} \int_{I_{j}} \int_{Q_{i}} \int_{I_{j}} \sum_{|k| \le N} \left| f_{k}(x, t) - f_{k}(y, s) \right|^{2} \mathrm{d}y \, \mathrm{d}s \, \mathrm{d}t \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\lesssim |Q|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left\{ \int_{2Q} \int_{1}^{2} \sum_{|k| \le N} |f_{k}(x, s) - f_{k}(x + h, s)|^{2} \mathrm{d}s \, \mathrm{d}h \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ + |I|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{L} \int_{I_{j}} \int_{I_{j}} \sum_{|k| \le N} |f_{k}(x, t) - f_{k}(x, s)|^{2} \mathrm{d}t \, \mathrm{d}s \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

we then get that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{J} \int_{Q_i} \left\{ \int_1^2 \left(\sum_{|k| \le N} \left| f_k(x, t) - \sum_{l=1}^{J} m_{Q_l}(f_k) \chi_{Q_l}(x) \right|^2 \right) \mathrm{d}t \right\}^{\frac{p}{2}} \mathrm{d}x \lesssim E + F.$$

It then follows from the assumption (b) that for all $\vec{f} \in \mathcal{G}$,

$$\|\vec{f} - \Phi_{\epsilon}(\vec{f})\|_{L^{p}(L^{2}([1,2]), l^{2}; \mathbb{R}^{n})} \leq \|\vec{f}\chi_{\mathcal{D}} - \Phi_{\epsilon}(\vec{f})\|_{L^{p}(L^{2}([1,2]), l^{2}; \mathbb{R}^{n})} + \left\| \left(\int_{1}^{2} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |f_{k}(\cdot, t)|^{2} \mathrm{d}t \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \chi_{\{|\cdot| > A\}}(\cdot) \right\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} < 3\epsilon.$$

Note that

$$\begin{split} \|\vec{f} - \vec{g}\|_{L^{p}(L^{2}([1,2]), l^{2}; \mathbb{R}^{n})} \\ &\leq \|\vec{f} - \Phi_{\epsilon}(\vec{f})\|_{L^{p}(L^{2}([1,2]), l^{2}; \mathbb{R}^{n})} + \|\Phi_{\epsilon}(\vec{f}) - \Phi_{\epsilon}(\vec{g})\|_{L^{p}(L^{2}([1,2]), l^{2}; \mathbb{R}^{n})} \\ &+ \|\vec{g} - \Phi_{\epsilon}(\vec{g})\|_{L^{p}(L^{2}([1,2]), l^{2}; \mathbb{R}^{n})}. \end{split}$$

Our claim then follows directly. This completes the proof of Lemma 3.4. $\hfill \Box$

For $b \in BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$, set

$$F_{j,b}^{l}f(x,t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} (b(x) - b(y)) K_{t}^{j} * \phi_{j-l}(x-y)f(y) \, \mathrm{d}y.$$

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1. Let $j_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_-$, $b \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with supp $b \subset B(0, R)$, $p \in (1, \infty)$ and $\delta \in (0, 1)$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\|b\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|\nabla b\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 1$. We claim that

(i) for each fixed $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a constant A > 0 such that

$$\left\| \left(\int_{1}^{2} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |F_{j,b}^{l} f(x,t)|^{2} \mathrm{d}t \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \chi_{\{|\cdot| > A\}}(\cdot) \right\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} < \epsilon \|f\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})};$$

(ii) for
$$s \in (1, \infty)$$
,

$$\left(\int_{1}^{2} \sum_{j>j_{0}} |F_{j,b}^{l}f(x,t) - F_{j,b}^{l}f(x+h,t)|^{2} dt\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim 2^{-j_{0}} |h| \Big(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega}^{l,j_{0}}f(x) + 2^{l(n+1)}M_{s}f(x)\Big);$$

(iii) for each $\epsilon > 0$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a constant $\sigma \in (0, 1/2)$ such that

$$\left\| \sup_{|s| \le \sigma} \left(\int_{1}^{2} \sum_{|j| \le N} |F_{j,b}^{l} f(x, s+t) - F_{j,b}^{l} f(x, t)|^{2} \mathrm{d}t \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} < \epsilon \|f\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})};$$

(iv) for each fixed D > 0 and $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\left\| \left(\int_{1}^{2} \sum_{j>N} |F_{j,b}^{l}f(\cdot,t)|^{2} \mathrm{d}t \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \chi_{B(0,D)} \right\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} < \epsilon \|f\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}.$$

We now prove claim (i). Let $t \in [1, 2]$. For each fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with |x| > 4R, observe that $\operatorname{supp} K_t^j * \phi_{j-l} \subset \{2^{j-2} \le |x| \le 2^{j+2}\}$, and $\int_{|z| < R} |K_t^j * \phi_{j-l}(x - z)| dz \neq 0$ only if $2^j \approx |x|$. A trivial computation leads to that

$$\begin{split} &\int_{|z|$$

On the other hand, we have that

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\int_{|y| < R} |K_t^j * \phi_{j-l}(x-y)| |f(y)|^s \mathrm{d}y \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \\ = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}: \ 2^j \approx |x|} \left(\int_{|x|/2 \le |y-x| \le 2|x|} |K_t^j * \phi_{j-l}(x-y)| |f(y)|^s \mathrm{d}y \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \lesssim \left(M_\Omega M(|f|^s)(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{s}}.$$

Another application of the Hölder inequality then yields

$$\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}\left|F_{j,\,b}^{l}f(x,\,t)\right|^{2}\lesssim$$

$$\lesssim \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\int_{|y| < R} |K_t^j * \phi_{j-l}(x-y)| |f(y)|^s \mathrm{d}y \right)^{\frac{2}{s}} \times \left(\int_{|y| < R} |K_t^j * \phi_{j-l}(x-y)| \mathrm{d}y \right)^{\frac{2}{s'}} \\ \lesssim 2^{\frac{nl}{s'}} |x|^{-\frac{n}{s'}} R^{\frac{n}{s'}} \left(M_\Omega M(|f|^s)(x) \right)^{\frac{2}{s}}.$$

This, in turn implies our claim (i).

We turn our attention to claim (ii). Write

$$|F_{j,b}^{l}f(x,t) - F_{j,b}^{l}f(x+h,t)| \le |b(x) - b(x+h)||F_{j}^{l}f(x,t)| + \mathbf{J}_{j}^{l}f(x,t),$$

with

$$\mathbf{J}_{j}^{l}f(x,t) = \bigg| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \big(K_{t}^{j} * \phi_{j-l}(x-y) - K_{t}^{j} * \phi_{j-l}(x+h-y) \big) \big(b(x+h) - b(y) \big) f(y) \mathrm{d}y \bigg|.$$

It follows from Lemma 3.1 that

$$\left(\sum_{j>j_0} |\mathbf{J}_j^l f(x,t)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \sum_{j>j_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| K_t^j * \phi_{j-l}(x-y) - K_t^j * \phi_{j-l}(x+h-y) \right| |f(y)| \mathrm{d}y$$
$$\lesssim 2^{l(n+1)} |h| 2^{-j_0} M_s f(x).$$

Therefore,

$$\left(\int_{1}^{2} \sum_{j>j_{0}} |F_{j,b}^{l}f(x,t) - F_{j,b}^{l}f(x+h,t)|^{2} \mathrm{d}t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim |h| \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega}^{l,j_{0}}f(x) + 2^{l(n+1)}2^{-j_{0}}|h|M_{s}f(x).$$
(3.3)

The claim (ii) now follows from the (3.3) and Lemma 3.2.

We now verify claim (iii). For each fixed $\sigma \in (0,\,1/2)$ and $t \in [1,\,2],$ let

$$U_{t,\sigma}^{j}(z) = \frac{1}{2^{j}} \frac{|\Omega(z)|}{|z|^{n-1}} \chi_{\{2^{j}(t-\sigma) \le |z| \le 2^{j}t\}} + \frac{1}{2^{j}} \frac{|\Omega(z)|}{|z|^{n-1}} \chi_{\{2^{j+1}t \le |z| \le 2^{j+1}(t+\sigma)\}},$$

and

$$G_{l,t,\sigma}^{j}f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(U_{t,\sigma}^{j} * |\phi_{l-j}| \right) (x-y) |f(y)| \mathrm{d}y.$$

Note that

$$\|U_{t,\sigma}^{j} * |\phi_{l-j}|\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \lesssim \sigma.$$

By the Young inequality, it is obvious that for $p_1 \in (1, \infty)$,

$$\left\| \sup_{|j| \le N} \sup_{t \in [1,2]} |G_{l,t,\sigma}^{j}f| \right\|_{L^{p_{1}}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \lesssim \sigma \|f\|_{L^{p_{1}}(\mathbb{R}^{n})},$$
(3.4)

and for $p_0 \in (1, \infty)$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_1^2 \sum_{|j| \le N} |G_{l, t, \sigma}^j f(x)|^{p_0} \mathrm{d}t \mathrm{d}x \lesssim N \sigma^{p_0} ||f||_{L^{p_0}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{p_0}.$$
 (3.5)

We get from (3.4) and (3.5) that for $p \in (1, 2)$,

$$\left\| \left(\int_{1}^{2} \sum_{|j| \leq N} \left| G_{l,t,\sigma}^{j} f(x) \right|^{2} \mathrm{d}t \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \lesssim N \sigma \| f \|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}.$$
(3.6)

On the other hand, for $p \in [2, \infty)$, we obtain from the Minkowski inequality and the Young inequality that

$$\begin{split} \left\| \left(\int_{1}^{2} \sum_{|j| \leq N} |G_{l,t,\sigma}^{j} f(x)|^{2} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2} \\ &\lesssim \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \left(\int_{1}^{2} \left(\sum_{|j| \leq N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \left(U_{l,t,\sigma}^{j} * |\phi_{l-j}| \right) (x-y) |f(y)| dy \right)^{2} dt \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} dx \right\}^{\frac{2}{p}} \\ &\lesssim \int_{1}^{2} \left\{ \sum_{|j| \leq N} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \left(U_{l,t,\sigma}^{j} * |\phi_{l-j}| \right) (x-y) |f(y)| dy \right)^{p} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\}^{2} dt \\ &\lesssim (2N\sigma)^{2} \|f\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2}. \end{split}$$
(3.7)

Since

$$\sup_{|s| \le \sigma} |F_{j,b}^l f(x,t) - F_{j,b}^l f(x,t+s)| \le G_{l,t,\sigma}^j f(x),$$

our claim (iii) now follows from (3.6) and (3.7) immediately if we choose $\sigma = \epsilon/(2N).$

It remains to prove (iv). Let D > 0 and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $2^{N-2} > D$. Then for j > N and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $|x| \leq D$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |K_t^j * \phi_{j-l}(x-y)f(y)| dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |K_t^j * \phi_{j-l}(x-y)f(y)| \chi_{\{|y| \le 2^{j+3}\}}(y) dy$$
$$\lesssim \int_{|y| \le 2^{j+3}} |f(y)| dy ||K_t^j||_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} ||\phi_{j-l}||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim 2^{nl} 2^{-\frac{nj}{p}} ||f||_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Therefore,

$$\left\| \left(\int_{1}^{2} \sum_{j>N} |F_{j,b}^{l}f(\cdot,t)|^{2} \mathrm{d}t \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \chi_{B(0,D)} \right\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \lesssim 2^{nl} \left(\frac{D}{2^{N}} \right)^{\frac{n}{p}} \|f\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}.$$

We can now conclude the proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $p \in (1, \infty)$. Our claims (i)–(iv), via Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.4, prove that for $b \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $j_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_-$, the operator $\mathcal{F}_{j_0}^l$ defined by

$$\mathcal{F}_{j_0}^l: f(x) \to \{\dots, 0, \dots, F_{j_0, b}^l f(x, t), F_{j_0+1, b}^l f(x, t), \dots\}$$

is compact, and completely continuous from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^p(L^2([1, 2]), l^2; \mathbb{R}^n)$. Thus, $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega, b}^{l, j_0}$ is completely continuous on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. This, via Lemma 3.3 and Theorem 2.1, shows that for $b \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\Omega, b}$ is completely continuous on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Note that

$$\left|\mathcal{M}_{\Omega,b}f_k(x) - \mathcal{M}_{\Omega,b}f(x)\right| \lesssim \mathcal{M}_{\Omega,b}(f_k - f)(x) \lesssim \mathcal{M}_{\Omega,b}(f_k - f)(x).$$

Thus, for $b \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\mathcal{M}_{\Omega, b}$ is completely continuous on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Recalling that when $\Omega \in L(\ln L)^{\frac{3}{2}}(S^{n-1})$, $\mathcal{M}_{\Omega, b}$ is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with bound $C||b||_{BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ (see [5]), we finally obtain that for $b \in CMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\mathcal{M}_{\Omega, b}$ is completely continuous on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. The research of the first author was supported by the NNSF of China under grant # 11271330, and the research of the second (corresponding) author was supported by the NNSF of China under grant # 11371370.

The authors would like to thank the referee for his/her valuable suggestions and helpful comments.

References

- A. AL-SALMAN, H. AL-QASSEM, L. C. CHENG and Y. PAN, L^p bounds for the function of Marcinkiewicz, Math. Res. Lett. 9 (2002), 697–700.
- [2] J. ALVAREZ, R. BAGBY, D. KURTZ and C. PÉREZ, Weighted estimates for commutators of linear operators, *Studia Math.* 104 (1993), 195–209.
- [3] G. BOURDAUD, M. LANZE DE CRISTOFORIS and W. SICKEL, Functional calculus on BMO and related spaces, J. Funct. Anal. 189 (2002), 515–538.
- [4] A. P. CALDERÓN and A. ZYGMUND, On singular integrals, Amer. J. Math. 78 (1956), 289–309.
- [5] D. CHEN and S. LU, L^p boundedness for commutators of parabolic Littlewood–Paley operators with rough kernels, *Math. Nachr.* **284** (2011), 973–986.
- [6] J. CHEN, D. FAN and Y. PAN, A note on a Marcinkiewicz integral operator, Math. Nachr. 227 (2001), 33–42.
- [7] J. CHEN and G. HU, Compact commutators of rough singular integral operators, Canad. Math. Bull. 58 (2015), 19–29.

- J. Chen and G. Hu : Completely continuous commutator...
- [8] Y. CHEN, Y. DING and X. WANG, Compactness for commutators of Marcinkiewicz integral on Morrey spaces, *Taiwanese. J. Math.* 15 (2011), 633–658.
- [9] A. CLOP and V. CRUZ, Weighted estimates for Beltrami equations, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. 38 (2013), 91–113.
- [10] R. COIFMAN, R. ROCHBERG and G. WEISS, Factorization theorems for Hardy spaces in several variables, Ann. Math. 103 (1976), 611–635.
- [11] R. COIFMAN and G. WEISS, Extension of Hardy spaces and their use in analysis, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 83 (1977), 569–645.
- [12] Y. DING, D. FAN and Y. PAN, L^p-boundedness of Marcinkiewicz integrals with Hardy space function kernel, Acta Math. Sinica (Engl. Ser.) 16 (2000), 593–600.
- [13] Y. DING, S. LU and K. YABUTA, A problem on rough Marcinkiewicz functions, J. Austral. Math. Soc. 71 (2001), 1–9.
- [14] Y. DING, S. LU and K. YABUTA, On commutators of Marcinkiewicz integrals with rough kernel, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 275 (2002), 60–68.
- [15] J. DUOANDIKOETXEA and J. L. RUBIO DE FRANCIA, Maximal and singular integrals via Fourier transform estimates, *Invent. Math.* 84 (1986), 541–561.
- [16] L. GRAFAKOS, Modern Fourier Analysis, Second Edition, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 250, Springer, New York, 2008.
- [17] L. GRAFAKOS and A. STEFANOV, L^p bounds for singular integrals and maximal singular integrals with rough kernels, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 47 (1998), 455–469.
- [18] X. Guo and G. Hu, Compactness of the commutators of homogeneous singular integral operators, Sci. China Math. 58 (2015), 2347–2362.
- [19] G. HU and D. YAN, On commutator of the Marcinkiewicz integral, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 283 (2003), 351–361.
- [20] J. MARCINKIEWICZ, Sur quelques intégrales du type de Dini, Ann. Soc. Polon. Math. 17 (1938), 42–50.
- [21] E. M. STEIN, On the function of Littlewood–Paley, Lusin and Marcinkiewicz, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 88 (1958), 430–466.
- [22] A. TORCHINSKY and S. WANG, A note on the Marcinkiewicz ntegral, Colloq. Math. 47 (1990), 235–243.
- [23] A. UCHIYAMA, On the compactness of operators of Hankel type, *Tohoku Math. J.* 30 (1978), 163–171.
- [24] T. WALSH, On the function of Marcinkiewicz, Studia Math. 44 (1972), 203–217.
- [25] D. K. WATSON, Weighted estimates for singular integrals via Fourier transform estimates, Duke Math. J. 60 (1990), 389–399.
- [26] A. ZYGMUND, Trigonometric Series, Third Edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.

JIECHENG CHEN DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS ZHEJIANG NORMAL UNIVERSITY JINHUA 321004 P. R. CHINA *E-mail:* jcchen@zjnu.edu.cn GUOEN HU DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS ZHENGZHOU INFORMATION SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY INSTITUTE P. O. BOX 1001-747 ZHENGZHOU 450002 P. R. CHINA *E-mail:* guoenxx0163.com

(Received March 23, 2015; revised March 21, 2016)