

## On Riemannian manifolds with $Spin(7)$ -structure

By FRANCISCO M. CABRERA (Tenerife)

**Abstract.** We describe explicitly the space of covariant derivatives of the four-form of a  $Spin(7)$ -structure and also prove that  $Spin(7)$ -structures of type  $W_2$  are locally conformal parallel. Finally, we give an example of  $Spin(7)$ -structure of type  $W_2$  not globally conformal parallel.

### 1. Introduction

An eight-dimensional Riemannian manifold  $M$  has a  $Spin(7)$ -structure, if  $M$  admits a reduction of the structure group of the tangent bundle to  $Spin(7)$ . This can be described geometrically by saying that there is a three-fold vector cross product  $P$  defined on  $M$ . Associated with  $P$  there is a four-form  $\varphi$  invariant under the action of  $Spin(7)$ . Under this action, the covariant derivative of  $\varphi$  can be decomposed into two components. This decomposition is used to classify  $Spin(7)$ -structures. Such a classification was shown by FERNÁNDEZ ([F1]).

In [F1] it is proved that the space  $W$  of tensors having the same symmetries as the covariant derivative of  $\varphi$  has two  $Spin(7)$ -irreducible components,  $W_1$  and  $W_2$ . Thus there are four classes of  $Spin(7)$ -structure, namely,  $\mathcal{P}$ ,  $\mathcal{W}_1$ ,  $\mathcal{W}_2$  and  $\mathcal{W}$ . The list of known examples of  $Spin(7)$ -structures is relatively short (see [FG], [F1], [F2], [Br], [BS], [Z]). Moreover, there are not known examples for the class  $\mathcal{W}_2$  not globally conformal to a  $Spin(7)$ -structure of type  $\mathcal{P}$ .

In this paper we describe explicitly the space  $W$  of covariant derivative of  $\varphi$ . By another hand, from the defining conditions for classes of  $Spin(7)$ -structures by means of the exterior algebra, we derive that  $Spin(7)$ -structures of type  $\mathcal{W}_2$  can be considered as locally conformal to  $Spin(7)$ -structures of type  $\mathcal{P}$ . Finally, we give examples of compact manifolds of type  $\mathcal{W}_1$ ,  $\mathcal{W}_2$  and  $\mathcal{W}$ . In particular, we show that there are two

$Spin(7)$ -structures of type  $\mathcal{W}_2$  defined in  $S^7 \times S^1$ . Moreover, by topological obstructions, the manifold  $S^7 \times S^1$  does not admit any  $Spin(7)$ -structure of type  $\mathcal{P}$ .

The author would like to thank Prof. J.C. MARRERO for useful discussions in the preparation of this paper.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let  $V$  be an eight dimensional real vector space with a inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . We denote by  $\Lambda^k(V)$  the  $k$ -th Grassman space  $V$  (i.e., the space generated by the skew-symmetric products  $v_1 \wedge v_2 \dots \wedge v_k$ ). The inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  can be extended to  $\Lambda^k(V)$  by the formula

$$\langle v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge \dots \wedge v_k, w_1 \wedge w_2 \wedge \dots \wedge w_k \rangle = \det(\langle v_i, w_j \rangle),$$

for  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k, w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k \in V$ . A *three-fold vector cross product* over  $V$  ([E], [BG]) is a trilinear map  $P : V \times V \times V \rightarrow V$  satisfying the axioms:

$$(2.1) \quad \langle P(x, y, z), x \rangle = \langle P(x, y, z), y \rangle = \langle P(x, y, z), z \rangle = 0,$$

$$(2.2) \quad \|P(x, y, z)\|^2 = \|x \wedge y \wedge z\|^2.$$

for  $x, y, z \in V$ . It follows from (2.1) that  $P$  is skew-symmetric. Associated with  $P$  there is a skew-symmetric four-form  $\varphi$ , called the *fundamental four-form*, given by

$$\varphi(x, y, z, w) = \langle P(x, y, z), w \rangle,$$

for  $x, y, z, w \in V$ . Next lemma follows from definition of  $P$ .

**Lemma 2.1** ([F1]).

$$(2.3) \quad \langle P(x, y, z), P(x, y, u) \rangle = \langle x \wedge y \wedge z, x \wedge y \wedge u \rangle,$$

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} &P(x, y, P(x, y, z)) \\ &= -\|x \wedge y\|^2 z + \langle x \wedge y, x \wedge z \rangle y + \langle y \wedge x, y \wedge z \rangle x, \end{aligned}$$

for  $x, y, z, w \in V$ .

We will need the following consequence of the last lemma.

**Corollary 2.2.** For  $x, y, z, u \in V$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &P(x, y, P(x, z, u)) + P(x, z, P(x, y, u)) = -2\langle x \wedge y, x \wedge z \rangle u + \\ &+ \langle x \wedge z, x \wedge u \rangle y + \langle x \wedge y, x \wedge u \rangle z - \langle x \wedge y, z \wedge u \rangle x - \langle x \wedge z, y \wedge u \rangle x, \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. It follows by replacing in (2.4)  $y, z$  by  $y + z, u$ , respectively.  $\square$

In an eight-dimensional vector space  $V$  with a three-fold vector cross product  $P$ , a *Cayley basis* for  $V$  is an orthonormal basis  $\{e_{-1}, e_0, \dots, e_6\}$  such that

$$P(e_{-1}, e_i, e_{i+1}) = e_{i+3},$$

for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_7$ . For such a basis next lemma gives two alternatives.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $V$  be an eight dimensional real vector space with an inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and a three-fold vector cross product  $P$ .*

*If  $\{e_{-1}, e_0, \dots, e_6\}$  is a Cayley basis for  $V$ , then we have two alternatives:*

- (a)  $P(e_{i+4}, e_{i+5}, e_{i+6}) = e_{i+2}$ , for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_7$ . In this case we say that  $\{e_{-1}, e_0, \dots, e_6\}$  is a *Cayley<sub>+</sub> basis*.
- (b)  $P(e_{i+4}, e_{i+5}, e_{i+6}) = -e_{i+2}$ , for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_7$ . In this case we say that  $\{e_{-1}, e_0, \dots, e_6\}$  is a *Cayley<sub>-</sub> basis*.

PROOF. By (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(e_{i+4}, e_{i+5}, e_{i+6}) &= -P(e_{i+4}, e_{i+5}, P(e_{i+4}, e_{i+3}, e_{-1})) \\ &= P(e_{i+4}, e_{i+3}, P(e_{i+4}, e_{i+5}, e_{-1})) = -P(e_i, e_{i+3}, e_{i+4}), \end{aligned}$$

for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_7$ . On the other hand, since

$$P(e_{-1}, e_i, e_{i+1}) = e_{i+3} \quad \text{and} \quad \|P(e_{i+4}, e_{i+5}, e_{i+6})\| = 1,$$

for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_7$ , we obtain

$$P(e_{i+4}, e_{i+5}, e_{i+6}) = \pm e_{i+2}.$$

If  $P(e_{j+4}, e_{j+5}, e_{j+6}) = e_{j+2}$  for some  $j \in \mathbb{Z}_7$ , then  $e_{j+2} = -P(e_j, e_{j+3}, e_{j+4})$ . Hence  $P(e_{j+2}, e_{j+3}, e_{j+4}) = e_j$ . Similar arguments show that

$$\begin{aligned} P(e_j, e_{j+1}, e_{j+2}) &= e_{j+5}, & P(e_{j+5}, e_{j+6}, e_j) &= e_{j+3}, \\ P(e_{j+3}, e_{j+4}, e_{j+5}) &= e_{j+1}, & P(e_{j+1}, e_{j+2}, e_{j+3}) &= e_{j+6}, \\ P(e_{j+6}, e_j, e_{j+1}) &= e_{j+4}. \end{aligned}$$

The case (b) can be deduced in a similar way.  $\square$

If  $\{\alpha_{-1}, \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_6\}$  is the dual basis of a Cayley $_{\pm}$  basis  $\{e_{-1}, e_0, \dots, e_6\}$  of  $V$ , then the fundamental four-form  $\varphi$  can be expressed in one the following ways

$$(2.5) \quad \varphi = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_7} \alpha_{-1} \wedge \alpha_i \wedge \alpha_{i+1} \wedge \alpha_{i+3} - \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_7} \alpha_{i+2} \wedge \alpha_{i+4} \wedge \alpha_{i+5} \wedge \alpha_{i+6},$$

or

$$(2.6) \quad \varphi = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_7} \alpha_{-1} \wedge \alpha_i \wedge \alpha_{i+1} \wedge \alpha_{i+3} + \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_7} \alpha_{i+2} \wedge \alpha_{i+4} \wedge \alpha_{i+5} \wedge \alpha_{i+6}.$$

### 3. The space of covariant derivatives of the fundamental four-form

We consider an eight-dimensional real vector space  $V$  with a three-fold vector cross product  $P$ . In [F1] it is defined the vector space  $W$  that consists of those tensor fields having the same symmetries as the covariant derivative of  $\varphi$ , i.e.,

$$(3.1) \quad W = \{\alpha \in V^* \otimes \Lambda^4(V^*) \mid \alpha(w, x, y, z, P(x, y, z)) = 0, \\ \text{for all } w, x, y, z \in V\},$$

where  $\Lambda^4(V^*)$  denotes the set of skew-symmetric four-forms on  $V$ . If  $\alpha \in W$ , by polarization on  $z$  we have

$$(3.2) \quad \alpha(w, x, y, P(x, y, z), u) = \alpha(w, x, y, z, P(x, y, u)),$$

for all  $w, x, y, z, u \in V$ . Next lemma gives another way to describe  $W$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *If  $\alpha \in V^* \otimes \Lambda^4(V^*)$ , the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a)  $\alpha \in W$ .
- (b)  $\alpha(w, x, y, P(x, y, z), P(x, y, u)) = -\|x \wedge y\|^2 \alpha(w, x, y, z, u)$ ,  
for all  $w, x, y, z, u \in V$ .

PROOF. If  $\alpha \in W$ , condition (b) follows easily by using (3.2) and (2.4). Conversely, taking  $P(x, y, z)$  instead of  $u$  in (b), and using (3.2), we will deduce (a).  $\square$

We give an explicit description for  $\alpha \in W$  in next lemma.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $\{e_{-1}, e_0, e_1, \dots, e_6\}$  be a Cayley $_{\pm}$  basis of  $V$  and  $\{\alpha_{-1}, \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_6\}$  its dual basis. Then each  $\alpha \in W$  is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \pm \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_7 \cup \{-1\}, j \in \mathbb{Z}_7} a_{ij} \alpha_i \otimes (\alpha_{-1} \wedge e_j \lrcorner \varphi - \alpha_j \wedge e_{-1} \lrcorner \varphi) \\ &= \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_7 \cup \{-1\}, j \in \mathbb{Z}_7} a_{ij} \alpha_i \otimes (\alpha_{j+4} \wedge e_{j+5} \lrcorner \varphi - \alpha_{j+5} \wedge e_{j+4} \lrcorner \varphi) \\ &= \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_7 \cup \{-1\}, j \in \mathbb{Z}_7} a_{ij} \alpha_i \otimes (\alpha_{j+1} \wedge e_{j+3} \lrcorner \varphi - \alpha_{j+3} \wedge e_{j+1} \lrcorner \varphi) \\ &= \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_7 \cup \{-1\}, j \in \mathbb{Z}_7} a_{ij} \alpha_i \otimes (\alpha_{j+2} \wedge e_{j+6} \lrcorner \varphi - \alpha_{j+6} \wedge e_{j+2} \lrcorner \varphi), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\lrcorner$  denotes the interior product.

PROOF. From (2.5) and (2.6) it can be checked that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \pm \alpha_i \otimes (\alpha_{-1} \wedge e_j \lrcorner \varphi - \alpha_j \wedge e_{-1} \lrcorner \varphi) \\
 &= \alpha_i \otimes (\alpha_{j+4} \wedge e_{j+5} \lrcorner \varphi - \alpha_{j+5} \wedge e_{j+4} \lrcorner \varphi) \\
 &= \alpha_i \otimes (\alpha_{j+1} \wedge e_{j+3} \lrcorner \varphi - \alpha_{j+3} \wedge e_{j+1} \lrcorner \varphi) \\
 &= \alpha_i \otimes (\alpha_{j+2} \wedge e_{j+6} \lrcorner \varphi - \alpha_{j+6} \wedge e_{j+2} \lrcorner \varphi).
 \end{aligned}$$

From the definition of  $W$  we have

$$\alpha(e_i, e_{-1}, e_j, e_{j+1}, e_{j+3}) = \alpha(e_i, e_{j+2}, e_{j+4}, e_{j+5}, e_{j+6}) = 0,$$

for each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_7 \cup \{-1\}$  and  $j \in \mathbb{Z}_7$ . Using Lemma 3.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \alpha(e_i, e_{-1}, e_j, e_{j+1}, e_{j+2}) &= -\alpha(e_i, e_{-1}, e_j, e_{j+3}, e_{j+6}) \\
 &= -\alpha(e_i, e_{-1}, e_{j+1}, e_{j+3}, e_{j+4}) = \alpha(e_i, e_{-1}, e_{j+2}, e_{j+4}, e_{j+6}) \\
 &= \mp \alpha(e_i, e_j, e_{j+1}, e_{j+3}, e_{j+5}) = \pm \alpha(e_i, e_j, e_{j+2}, e_{j+5}, e_{j+6}) \\
 &= \mp \alpha(e_i, e_{j+1}, e_{j+2}, e_{j+4}, e_{j+5}) = \pm \alpha(e_i, e_{j+3}, e_{j+4}, e_{j+5}, e_{j+6}),
 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_7 \cup \{-1\}$  and  $j \in \mathbb{Z}_7$ . Taking (2.5) and (2.6) into account, we will have the required expressions.  $\square$

*Remark 3.3.* Since  $\dim W = 56$ , the set of tensors  $\alpha_i \otimes (\alpha_{j+1} \wedge e_{j+3} \lrcorner \varphi - \alpha_{j+3} \wedge e_{j+1} \lrcorner \varphi)$  is a basis for  $W$ . Moreover, by using the relationship between the interior product and the wedge product we get the following expression for  $\alpha \in W$

$$\alpha = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_7 \cup \{-1\}, j \in \mathbb{Z}_7} a_{ij} \alpha_i \otimes (\alpha_{-1} \wedge *(\alpha_j \wedge \varphi) - \alpha_j \wedge *(\alpha_{-1} \wedge \varphi)),$$

where  $*$  denotes the Hodge  $*$ -operator.

FERNÁNDEZ ([F1]) proves that  $W$  has two irreducible components under the action of  $Spin(7)$ ,

$$W = W_1^{(48)} \oplus W_2^{(8)},$$

the upper index indicates the corresponding dimension. Thus each  $\alpha \in W$  has two components. These components can be studied by means of the exterior algebra. In fact, the irreducible components of  $W$  can be described by applying Schur's Lemma to the restrictions to  $W$  of the  $Spin(7)$ -equivariant map

$$\begin{aligned}
 & V^* \otimes \Lambda^4(V^*) \rightarrow \Lambda^5(V^*) \\
 (3.3) \quad & \alpha = x \otimes a \wedge b \wedge c \wedge d \rightarrow s(\alpha) = x \wedge a \wedge b \wedge c \wedge d.
 \end{aligned}$$

In [Br] it can be found the descriptions of the decompositions of  $\Lambda^5(V^*)$  in its irreducible components under the  $Spin(7)$ -action, i.e.,

$$\Lambda^5(V^*) = \Lambda^5_{(48)}(V^*) \oplus \Lambda^5_{(8)}(V^*),$$

where the subindices indicate the corresponding dimension. The above irreducible components are as follows

$$(3.4) \quad \Lambda^5_{(48)}(V^*) = \{\alpha \in \Lambda^5(V^*) \mid *\alpha \wedge \varphi = 0\},$$

$$(3.5) \quad \Lambda^5_{(8)}(V^*) = \{\alpha \wedge \varphi \mid \alpha \in V^*\}.$$

#### 4. $Spin(7)$ -structures

An eight-dimensional  $C^\infty$  Riemannian manifold  $M$  with tensor metric field  $\langle, \rangle$ , has a  $Spin(7)$ -structure, if the structure group of the bundle of orthonormal frames can be reduced from  $O(8)$  to the spinor group  $Spin(7)$ . Geometrically this means that for each  $m \in M$  the tangent space  $T_m(M)$  is provided with a three-fold vector cross product  $P_m$  such that the map  $m \rightarrow P_m$  is  $C^\infty$ . This is also equivalent to the existence of a non vanishing four-form  $\varphi$  such that it can be locally expressed in one of the following ways

$$(4.1) \quad \varphi = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_7} \alpha_{-1} \wedge \alpha_i \wedge \alpha_{i+1} \wedge \alpha_{i+3} \mp \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_7} \alpha_{i+2} \wedge \alpha_{i+4} \wedge \alpha_{i+5} \wedge \alpha_{i+6}.$$

where  $\{\alpha_{-1}, \alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_6\}$  is a local orthonormal frame of the cotangent bundle.

In each point of a Riemannian manifold with a  $Spin(7)$ -structure there are local orthonormal frames  $\{E_{-1}, E_0, \dots, E_6\}$ , called *Cayley frames*, such that

$$P(E_{-1}, E_i, E_{i+1}) = E_{i+3},$$

for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_7$ . Wether  $P(E_{i+4}, E_{i+5}, E_{i+6}) = E_{i+2}$  or  $P(E_{i+4}, E_{i+5}, E_{i+6}) = -E_{i+2}$ , for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_7$  we say that such a frame is a *Cayley<sub>+</sub>* or *Cayley<sub>-</sub>* frame, respectively. Along this section  $\{\alpha_{-1}, \alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_6\}$  will be the dual forms of a local Cayley<sub>±</sub> frame. On the same point there is not simultaneously a Cayley<sub>+</sub> frame and a Cayley<sub>-</sub> frame, because in that case we would have  $*\varphi = \varphi$  and  $*\varphi = -\varphi$ . Then on each connected component of  $M$  there exists only one type (+ or -) of local Cayley frames.

Let  $\nabla$  be the Riemannian connection of  $\langle, \rangle$ . In [F1] it is shown that in each point  $m \in M$  the covariant derivative  $\nabla\varphi$  belongs to  $W \subset T_m^*M \otimes \Lambda^4 T_m^*M$  defined as in (3.1).

A  $Spin(7)$ -structure is said of type  $\mathcal{P}$ ,  $\mathcal{W}_1$ ,  $\mathcal{W}_2$  or  $\mathcal{W}$ , if the covariant derivative  $\nabla\varphi$  lies in  $\{0\}$ ,  $W_1$ ,  $W_2$  or  $W$ , respectively.

Denoting by  $d$  the exterior derivative on  $M$ , it is obvious that  $d\varphi = s(\nabla\varphi)$ . Using Shur's Lemma, from (3.4) and (3.5) one deduces a characterization for each type of  $Spin(7)$ -structure. These characterizations are shown in the following table

$\mathcal{P}$	$d\varphi = 0$
$\mathcal{W}_1$	$*d\varphi \wedge \varphi = 0$
$\mathcal{W}_2 = \mathcal{LCP}$	$d\varphi = \alpha \wedge \varphi$
$\mathcal{W}$	no relation

Table 1

In agreeing with the notation used in [F1], we consider the one-form  $pd^*\varphi$  on  $M$  defined by

$$pd^*\varphi = - * (*d\varphi \wedge \varphi).$$

We denote by  $\pi_8$  the projection  $\Lambda^5 T^*M \rightarrow \Lambda^5_{(8)} T^*M$ . In the following lemma we compute  $\pi_8(d\varphi)$ .

**Lemma.** *We have:*

$$\pi_8(d\varphi) = \frac{1}{7}pd^*\varphi \wedge \varphi.$$

PROOF. A straightforward computation shows

$$*(*(\alpha_i \wedge \varphi) \wedge \varphi) = -7\alpha_i,$$

for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_7 \cup \{-1\}$ . We write  $\pi_8(d\varphi) = \alpha \wedge \varphi$  and  $\alpha = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_7 \cup \{-1\}} c_i \alpha_i$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} pd^*\varphi &= - * (*d\varphi \wedge \varphi) = - * (*\pi_8(d\varphi) \wedge \varphi) \\ &= - \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_7 \cup \{-1\}} c_i * (*(\alpha_i \wedge \varphi) \wedge \varphi) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_7 \cup \{-1\}} 7c_i \alpha_i = 7\alpha. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

From the previous lemma it follows immediately the following corollary.

**Corollary 4.2.** *The form  $\alpha$  appearing in Table 1 is such that  $pd^*\varphi = 7\alpha$ .*

From (2.5) and (2.6) it follows the following lemma proved in [Bo].

**Lemma 4.3** ([Bo]). *Let  $\alpha$  be a skew-symmetric  $p$ -form. If  $p \leq 2$ ,  $\varphi \wedge \alpha = 0$  if and only if  $\alpha = 0$ .*

**Lemma 4.4.** *If  $P$  is of type  $\mathcal{W}_2$ , the one-form  $pd^*\varphi$  is closed.*

PROOF. If  $P$  is of type  $\mathcal{W}_2$ , then  $d\varphi = \frac{1}{7}pd^*\varphi \wedge \varphi$ . By differentiating we have  $0 = dpd^*\varphi \wedge \varphi$ . By Lemma 4.3, we conclude  $dpd^*\varphi = 0$ .  $\square$

*Remark 4.5.*  $Spin(7)$ -structures of type  $\mathcal{P}$  are usually called parallel. If we consider a  $Spin(7)$ -structure of type  $\mathcal{W}_2$ , by Lemma 4.4 and Poincaré’s Lemma, in each point  $m$  of  $M$  there exists a local function  $\sigma$  such that  $d\sigma = -\frac{1}{28}pd^*\varphi$ . Doing the conformal change of metric given by  $\langle , \rangle_o = e^{2\sigma}\langle , \rangle$  in the neighborhood  $U$  of  $m$  where  $\sigma$  is defined, we get a  $Spin(7)$ -structure on  $U$  of type parallel. This argument makes reasonable to call  $Spin(7)$ -structures of type  $\mathcal{W}_2$ , locally conformal parallel ( $\mathcal{LCP}$ ).

### 5. Examples

#### The manifold $S^7 \times S^1$

In [FG] it is shown that the sphere  $S^7$  has a nearly parallel  $G_2$ -structure, i.e., there is a non where vanishing three-form  $\varphi$  on  $S^7$  such that it can be written locally in the way

$$\varphi = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_7} \alpha_i \wedge \alpha_{i+1} \wedge \alpha_{i+3},$$

where  $\{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_6\}$  is an local orthonormal frame of the cotangent bundle of  $S^7$ . The nearly parallel condition implies  $d\varphi = k * \varphi$  and  $d * \varphi = 0$  (see [C], [FG]).

We consider on the product manifold  $S^7 \times S^1$  the four-forms given by

$$\bar{\varphi}_\pm = \eta \wedge \varphi \pm * \varphi,$$

where  $\eta$  is a non null one-form of Maurer-Cartan on  $S^1$ . For the local coframe  $\{\eta, \alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_6\}$ , the forms  $\varphi_\pm$  are locally written

$$\bar{\varphi}_\pm = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_7} \eta \wedge \alpha_i \wedge \alpha_{i+1} \wedge \alpha_{i+3} \mp \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_7} \alpha_{i+2} \wedge \alpha_{i+4} \wedge \alpha_{i+5} \wedge \alpha_{i+6}.$$

Then each one of the forms  $\bar{\varphi}_\pm$  defines a  $Spin(7)$ -structure. By differentiating we have

$$d\bar{\varphi}_\pm = \eta \wedge k * \varphi = \pm k \eta \wedge \bar{\varphi}_\pm.$$

Hence the  $Spin(7)$ -structures are of type  $\mathcal{W}_2$  and they are not of type  $\mathcal{P}$ . In fact, there are topological obstructions to the existence of  $Spin(7)$ -structure of type  $\mathcal{P}$ . In [Bo] it is proved that if a compact manifold  $M$  has a  $Spin(7)$ -structure of type  $\mathcal{P}$ , then

$$(5.1) \quad b_4(M) \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad b_4(M) \geq b_1(M),$$



where  $b_i$  denote the Betti numbers. Since for  $S^7 \times S^1$  we have  $b_1(S^7 \times S^1) = 1$  and  $b_4(S^7 \times S^1) = 0$ , we conclude that  $S^7 \times S^1$  does not admit any  $Spin(7)$ -structure of type  $\mathcal{P}$ . To our knowledge, these are the first known examples of  $Spin(7)$ -structures of type  $\mathcal{W}_2$  not globally conformal to one of type  $\mathcal{P}$ .

However, for each point of  $S^7 \times S^1$  there exists a neighborhood  $S^7 \times U$  where the functions  $\sigma$  such that  $d\sigma = \mp \frac{k}{4}\eta$  are defined. Doing the conformal changes of metric given by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_0 = e^{2\sigma} \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  in  $S^7 \times U$ , we get two  $Spin(7)$ -structures of type  $\mathcal{P}$  defined on  $S^7 \times U$ .

**The manifolds  $M(k) \times \mathbb{T}^5$**

Let us consider the manifolds  $M(k)$  described in [CFG] as follows. For a fixed  $k \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $k \neq 0$ , let  $G(k)$  be the three-dimensional connected and solvable (non-nilpotent) Lie group consisting of the matrices

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} e^{kz} & 0 & 0 & x \\ 0 & e^{-kz} & 0 & y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & z \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then, a global coordinate system  $\{x, y, z\}$  for  $G(k)$  is given by  $x(\mathbf{a}) = x$ ,  $y(\mathbf{a}) = y$ ,  $z(\mathbf{a}) = z$ . A straightforward computation proves that a basis of right invariant 1-forms on  $G(k)$  is  $\{dx - kxdz, dy + kydz, dz\}$ .

The Lie group  $G(k)$  can be also described as the semidirect product  $\mathbb{R} \times_{\phi} \mathbb{R}^2$ , where

$$\phi : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{R}^2)$$

is the representation defined by

$$\phi(t) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{kz} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-kz} \end{pmatrix}, \quad z \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Therefore  $G(k)$  possesses a discrete subgroup  $\Gamma(K)$  such that the quotient manifold  $M(k) = G(k)/\Gamma(k)$  is compact. Moreover, the one-forms  $dx - kxdz$ ,  $dy + kydz$ ,  $dz$  descend to  $M(k)$ . Let us denote by  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  respectively, the induced one-forms on  $M(k)$ . Then, we have  $d\alpha = -k\alpha \wedge \gamma$ ,  $d\beta = k\beta \wedge \gamma$ ,  $d\gamma = 0$ .

Let us consider the product manifold  $M(k) \times \mathbb{T}^5$  where  $\mathbb{T}^5$  is a five-dimensional torus. Let  $\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3, \eta_4, \eta_5$  be a basis of closed one-forms on  $\mathbb{T}^5$ . Then in  $M(k) \times \mathbb{T}^5$  we have the following basis of one-forms

$$\alpha_{-1} = \eta_5, \alpha_0 = \gamma, \alpha_1 = \eta_1, \alpha_2 = \eta_2, \alpha_3 = \alpha, \alpha_4 = \eta_3, \alpha_5 = \beta, \alpha_6 = \eta_4.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} d\alpha_{-1} &= d\alpha_0 = d\alpha_1 = d\alpha_2 = d\alpha_4 = d\alpha_6 = 0, \\ d\alpha_3 &= k\alpha_0 \wedge \alpha_3, \quad d\alpha_5 = -k\alpha_0 \wedge \alpha_5. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\langle , \rangle$  be a tensor metric field on  $M(k) \times \mathbb{T}^5$  given by

$$\langle , \rangle = \alpha_{-1} \otimes \alpha_{-1} + \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_7} \alpha_i \otimes \alpha_i.$$

We consider the frame  $\{E_{-1}, E_0, E_1, \dots, E_6\}$  of orthonormal vector fields dual of the one-forms  $\alpha_i$ . We define the three-fold vector cross products  $P_+$  and  $P_-$  such that  $\{E_{-1}, E_0, E_1, \dots, E_6\}$  is a Cayley $_+$  or Cayley $_-$  frame, respectively, i.e.,

$$P(E_{-1}, E_i, E_{i+1}) = E_{i+3} \ ; \ P(E_{i+4}, E_{i+5}, E_{i+6}) = \pm E_{i+2},$$

for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_7$ . Then fundamental four-forms  $\varphi_{\pm}$  are given as in (2.5) and (2.6). The exterior differential of the fundamental four-forms  $\varphi_{\pm}$  of  $P_{\pm}$  are given by

(5.2)

$$\begin{aligned} d\varphi_{\pm} = & -k\alpha_{-1} \wedge \alpha_0 \wedge \alpha_3 \wedge \alpha_4 \wedge \alpha_6 + k\alpha_{-1} \wedge \alpha_0 \wedge \alpha_5 \wedge \alpha_6 \wedge \alpha_1 \\ & \pm k\alpha_0 \wedge \alpha_2 \wedge \alpha_4 \wedge \alpha_5 \wedge \alpha_6 \mp k\alpha_0 \wedge \alpha_6 \wedge \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2 \wedge \alpha_3. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $*d\varphi_{\pm} \wedge \varphi_{\pm} = 0$  and  $d\varphi_{\pm} \neq 0$ , the  $Spin(7)$ -structures are of type  $\mathcal{W}_1$  and they are not of type  $\mathcal{P}$ . In summary,

$$P_+, P_- \in \mathcal{W}_1 - \mathcal{P}.$$

In [CFG] are computed the Betti numbers for  $M(k)$ , i.e.,

$$b_1(M(k)) = b_2(M(k)) = 1.$$

Hence for the product manifold  $M(k) \times \mathbb{T}^5$  we have

$$b_1 = 6, \quad \text{and} \quad b_4 = 30.$$

Therefore, in this case the topological obstruction (5.1) does not work as in the previous example.

**The manifolds**  $M(k) \times H/\Gamma \times \mathbb{T}^2$ 

Let us consider the product of  $M(k)$ , the Heisenberg compact nilmanifold  $H/\Gamma$  and a two-dimensional torus. The manifold  $M(k)$  has been considered in the previous example. Let us describe, briefly, the manifold  $H/\Gamma$  (see [FI] for more details).

Let  $H$  be the Heisenberg group of dimension three, i.e.,  $H$  is the connected, simply connected and nilpotent Lie group consisting of matrices:

$$\mathbf{a} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & z \\ 0 & 1 & y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$ . A (global) coordinate system  $\{x, y, z\}$  over  $H$  is given by:  $x(\mathbf{a}) = x$ ,  $y(\mathbf{a}) = y$ ,  $z(\mathbf{a}) = z$ . It is easy to show that  $\{dx, dy, dz - xdy\}$  are linearly independent left invariant one-forms of  $H$ . Let  $\Gamma$  be the discrete subgroup of all matrices of  $H$  which entries  $x, y, z$  are integers. The quotient space  $H/\Gamma$  is called the *Heisenberg compact nilmanifold*. Since  $\{dx, dy, dz - xdy\}$  are left invariant one-forms under the action of  $\Gamma$ , they descend respectively to the one-forms  $\{\sigma, \rho, \mu\}$  on  $H/\Gamma$  such that  $d\mu = \rho \wedge \sigma$ .

Let us consider the product manifold  $M(k) \times H/\Gamma \times \mathbb{T}^2$  where  $\mathbb{T}^2$  is a two-dimensional torus. Let  $\eta_1, \eta_2$  be a basis of closed one-forms on  $\mathbb{T}^2$ . Then for  $M(k) \times H/\Gamma \times \mathbb{T}^2$  we have the next basis for one-forms

$$\alpha_{-1} = \eta_2, \quad \alpha_0 = \gamma, \quad \alpha_1 = \rho, \quad \alpha_2 = \sigma, \quad \alpha_3 = \alpha, \quad \alpha_4 = \mu, \quad \alpha_5 = \beta, \quad \alpha_6 = \eta_1.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} d\alpha_{-1} &= d\alpha_0 = d\alpha_1 = d\alpha_2 = d\alpha_6 = 0, \\ d\alpha_3 &= k\alpha_0 \wedge \alpha_3, \quad d\alpha_4 = \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2, \quad d\alpha_5 = -k\alpha_0 \wedge \alpha_5. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\langle, \rangle$  be a tensor metric field on  $M(k) \times H/\Gamma \times \mathbb{T}^2$  given by  $\langle, \rangle = \alpha_{-1} \otimes \alpha_{-1} + \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_7} \alpha_i \otimes \alpha_i$ . We consider the frame  $\{E_{-1}, E_0, E_1, \dots, E_6\}$  of orthonormal vector fields dual to the one-forms  $\alpha_i$ . We define the three-fold vector cross products  $P_+$  and  $P_-$  such that  $\{E_{-1}, E_0, E_1, \dots, E_6\}$  is a Cayley $_+$  and Cayley $_-$  frame, respectively. The exterior differential of the fundamental four-forms  $\varphi_{\pm}$  of  $P_{\pm}$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned} d\varphi_{\pm} &= -k\alpha_{-1} \wedge \alpha_0 \wedge \alpha_3 \wedge \alpha_4 \wedge \alpha_6 + k\alpha_{-1} \wedge \alpha_0 \wedge \alpha_5 \wedge \alpha_6 \wedge \alpha_1 \\ &\quad \pm k\alpha_0 \wedge \alpha_2 \wedge \alpha_4 \wedge \alpha_5 \wedge \alpha_6 \mp k\alpha_0 \wedge \alpha_6 \wedge \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2 \wedge \alpha_3 \\ &\quad - \alpha_{-1} \wedge \alpha_5 \wedge \alpha_0 \wedge \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2 - \alpha_{-1} \wedge \alpha_6 \wedge \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2 \wedge \alpha_3. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $*d\varphi_{\pm} \wedge \varphi_{\pm} \neq 0$ , the  $Spin(7)$ -structures are not of type  $\mathcal{W}_1$ .

Now we compute  $pd^*\varphi_{\pm} = *(d\varphi_{\pm} \wedge \varphi_{\pm})$ . We obtain

$$pd^*\varphi_{\pm} = \mp 2\alpha_{-1}.$$

Since  $d\varphi_{\pm} \neq \frac{1}{7}pd^*\varphi_{\pm} \wedge \varphi_{\pm}$ , the  $Spin(7)$ -structures are not of type  $\mathcal{W}_2$ . In summary,

$$P_+, P_- \in \mathcal{W} - (\mathcal{W}_1 \cup \mathcal{W}_2).$$

### References

- [Be] M. BERGER, Sur les groupes d'holonomie homogène des variétés à connexion affine et des variétés riemanniennes, *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, **83** (1955), 279–330.
- [Bo] E. BONAN, Sur les variétés riemanniennes à groupe d'holonomie  $G_2$  ou  $Spin(7)$ , *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **262** (1966), 127–129.
- [BG] R. B. BROWN and A. GRAY, Vector cross products, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **42** (1967), 222–236.
- [Br] R. L. BRYANT, Metrics with exceptional holonomy, *Ann. of Math.* **126** (1987), 525–576.
- [BS] R. L. BRYANT and S. M. SALAMON, On the constructions of some complete metrics with exceptional holonomy, *Duke Math. J.* **58** (1989), 829–850.
- [C] F. M. CABRERA, On Riemannian manifolds with  $G_2$ -structure, *preprint* (1994).
- [Ca] E. CALABI, Construction and properties of some 6-dimensional almost complex manifolds, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **87** (1958), 407–438.
- [CFG] L. A. CORDERO, M. FERNÁNDEZ and A. GRAY, Modelos minimales en geometría diferencial, Proc. Recent Topics on Diff. Geom. Workshop (D. China and J.M. Sierra, eds.), *Univ. de La Laguna, Spain*, December 1990, pp. 31–41.
- [E] B. ECKMAN, Systeme von Richtungsfeldern in Sphären und stetige Lösungen komplexer linearer Gleichungen, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **15** (1943), 1–26.
- [F1] M. FERNÁNDEZ, A Classification of Riemannian Manifolds with Structure Group  $Spin(7)$ , *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (IV)* **148** (1986), 101–122.
- [F2] M. FERNÁNDEZ, A new example of a compact Riemannian manifold with structure group  $Spin(7)$ , *Port. Math.*, **44** (1987), 161–165.
- [FG] M. FERNÁNDEZ and A. GRAY, Riemannian manifolds with structure group  $G_2$ , *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (IV)* **32** (1982), 19–45.
- [FI] M. FERNÁNDEZ and T. IGLESIAS, New examples of Riemannian manifolds with structure group  $G_2$ , *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, Serie II, Tomo XXXV* (1986), 276–290.
- [Gr1] A. GRAY, Vector cross products on manifolds, *Trans. Amer. Soc.* **141** (1969), 463–504 Correction **148** (1970), 625.
- [Gr2] A. GRAY, Vector cross products, *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Politec. Torino* **35** (1976–77), 69–75.
- [S] S. SALAMON, Riemannian Geometry and Holonomy Groups, *Pitman Research Notes in Math. Series* **201** Longman (1989).

- [Z] P. ZVENGROWSKI, A 3-fold vector cross product in  $\mathbb{R}^8$ , *Comment. Math. Helv.* **40**, 216–231.

FRANCISCO M. CABRERA  
DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA FUNDAMENTAL  
UNIVERSIDAD DE LA LAGUNA  
TENERIFE, CANARY ISLANDS, SPAIN  
*E-mail*: FMARTIN@ULLE.ES

*(Received January 5, 1994; revised April 5, 1994)*