Oscillation of even-order advanced functional differential equations

By JOHN R. GRAEF (Chattanooga), SAID R. GRACE (Giza) and ERCAN TUNC (Tokat)

Abstract. This paper is concerned with the oscillatory behavior of solutions of n-th-order nonlinear differential equations with advanced arguments. New sufficient conditions for the oscillation of all solutions are given that essentially improve all previously known results. An example is provided to illustrate the theorems.

1. Introduction

This paper deals with the oscillatory behavior of all solutions of the nonlinear even-order differential equation with an advanced argument

$$x^{(n)}(t) + q(t)x^{\lambda}(g(t)) = 0, t \ge t_0 > 0,$$
 (1.1)

where $n \geq 2$ is an even integer, $\lambda \geq 1$ is the ratio of positive odd integers, q, $g \in C([t_0, \infty), \mathbb{R}), q(t) \geq 0$ with q(t) is not identically zero for large t, $g(t) \geq t$, and $g'(t) \geq 0$ for $t \geq t_0$.

By a solution of (1.1) we mean a function $x:[t_x,\infty)\to\mathbb{R}$ such that $x\in C^n([t_x,\infty),\mathbb{R})$ and x satisfies equation (1.1) on $[t_x,\infty)$. Without further mention, we will assume throughout that every solution x(t) of (1.1) under consideration here is continuable to the right and nontrivial, i.e., x(t) is defined on some ray $[t_x,\infty)$, for some $t_x\geq t_0$, and $\sup\{|x(t)|:t\geq T\}>0$ for every $T\geq t_x$. Moreover, we tacitly assume that (1.1) possesses such solutions. Such a solution

 $Mathematics\ Subject\ Classification:\ 34C10,\ 34K11.$

 $Key\ words\ and\ phrases:$ oscillation, advanced differential equation, even-order, comparison theorem.

is said to be oscillatory if it has arbitrarily large zeros on $[t_x, \infty)$; otherwise it is called nonoscillatory. Equation (1.1) is called oscillatory if all its solutions are oscillatory.

Advanced differential equations can find use in many applied problems whose evolution rate depends not only on the present, but also on the future. Therefore, an advance could be introduced into the equation to highlight the influence of potential future actions that are available at the present and should be beneficial in the process of decision making. For instance, population dynamics, economics, and mechanical control engineering are typical fields where such phenomena are believed to occur (see [6] for details).

A great deal of effort has been made by many researchers to further advance what is known in this area; for a summary of many essential contributions on this subject, see, for example, the monographs [3]–[4], [11], and the references cited therein. The main goal of this paper is to establish some new criteria for the oscillation of the n-th-order advanced nonlinear equation (1.1). To obtain our results in this paper, we use a comparison technique that has been applied in a number of places in the literature; see, for example, [5], [7]–[9], [14]–[15], [18]–[19], and the references contained therein. We would like to point out that our results can be easily extended to the more general equations (see Remark 2.3 below).

2. Results

We begin with the following lemmas that are essential in the proofs of our theorems. The first one is a well-known result that is due to Kiguradze [13].

Lemma 2.1. Let $f \in C^n([t_0, \infty), (0, \infty))$. If the derivative $f^{(n)}(t)$ is eventually of one sign for all large t, then there exist $t_x \ge t_0$ and an integer l, $0 \le l \le n$, with n + l even for $f^{(n)}(t) \ge 0$, or n + l odd for $f^{(n)}(t) \le 0$ such that

$$l > 0$$
 implies $f^{(k)}(t) > 0$, for $t \ge t_x$, $k = 0, 1, ..., l - 1$,

and

$$l \le n-1$$
 implies $(-1)^{l+k} f^{(k)}(t) > 0$, for $t \ge t_x$, $k = l, l+1, ..., n-1$.

Since $n \geq 2$ is even, we just need the following lemma, which is a special case of a result attributed to Philos [16] (also see [1, Lemma 2.2.3], [2, Lemma 2.2], and [17, Equation (4)]).

Lemma 2.2. Let f be as in Lemma 2.1, and $f^{(n)}(t)f^{(n-1)}(t) \leq 0$ for $t \geq t_x$. Then for any constant $\theta \in (0,1)$, there exists $t_{\theta} \geq t_x$ such that

$$f(t) \ge \frac{\theta}{(n-1)!} t^{n-1} f^{(n-1)}(t), \quad \text{for all } t \ge t_{\theta},$$
 (2.1)

and

$$f'(\theta t) \ge \frac{[\theta(1-\theta)]^{n-2}}{(n-2)!} t^{n-2} f^{(n-1)}(t), \quad \text{for all } t \ge t_{\theta}.$$
 (2.2)

Our first oscillation result is the following.

Theorem 2.3. If

$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} \left(\frac{t^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \int_t^{\infty} q(s) ds \right) = \infty, \tag{2.3}$$

then equation (1.1) is oscillatory.

PROOF. Let x(t) be a nonoscillatory solution of (1.1), say x(t) > 0 and x(g(t)) > 0 for $t \ge t_1$, for some $t_1 \ge t_0$. (If x(t) is eventually negative, the proof is similar, so we omit the details of that case here, as well as in the remaining proofs in this paper.) It follows from (1.1) that

$$x^{(n)}(t) = -q(t)x^{\lambda}(g(t)) \le 0, \text{ for } t \ge t_1.$$
 (2.4)

Then, in view of Lemma 2.1, there exists a $t_2 \geq t_1$ such that

$$x'(t) > 0$$
 and $x^{(n-1)}(t) > 0$, for $t \ge t_2$. (2.5)

By Lemma 2.2, there exist θ , with $0 < \theta < 1$, and $t_3 \ge t_2$ such that

$$x(t) \ge \frac{\theta}{(n-1)!} t^{n-1} x^{(n-1)}(t), \quad \text{for } t \ge t_3.$$
 (2.6)

Integrating (1.1) from $t \geq t_3$ to u and letting $u \to \infty$, we see that

$$x^{(n-1)}(t) \ge \int_{1}^{\infty} q(s)x^{\lambda}(g(s)) ds. \tag{2.7}$$

Using the fact that x(t) is increasing and g(t) is nondecreasing, (2.7) yields

$$x^{(n-1)}(t) \ge x^{\lambda}(t) \int_{t}^{\infty} q(s)ds. \tag{2.8}$$

Using (2.8) in (2.6) gives

$$x(t) \ge \frac{\theta}{(n-1)!} \left(t^{n-1} \int_t^\infty q(s) ds \right) x^{\lambda}(t),$$

or

$$x^{1-\lambda}(t) \geq \frac{\theta}{(n-1)!} \left(t^{n-1} \int_t^\infty q(s) ds \right).$$

If $\lambda = 1$, then we immediately have a contradiction to (2.3). If $\lambda > 1$, then in view of (2.3), we contradict the fact that x(t) is bounded from below away from zero. This completes the proof.

To obtain our next comparison result, we let

$$Q_c(t) = \left(1 + c \int_t^{g(t)} u^{n-2} \int_u^{\infty} q(s) ds du\right)^{\lambda}, \tag{2.9}$$

for every constant c > 0.

Theorem 2.4. If for every c > 0 the inequality

$$y^{(n)}(t) + q(t)Q_c(t)y^{\lambda}(t/2) \le 0 (2.10)$$

has no positive solutions, then equation (1.1) is oscillatory.

PROOF. Let x(t) be a nonoscillatory solution of (1.1), say x(t) > 0 and x(g(t)) > 0 for $t \ge t_1$, for some $t_1 \ge t_0$. As in the proof of Theorem 2.3, we again arrive at (2.5) and (2.7). By Lemma 2.2, we have

$$x'(t/2) \ge \frac{2^{4-2n}}{(n-2)!} t^{n-2} x^{(n-1)}(t), \tag{2.11}$$

for $t \geq t_3$. Next, using (2.7) in (2.11), we obtain

$$x'(t/2) \ge \frac{2^{4-2n}}{(n-2)!} t^{n-2} \int_{t}^{\infty} q(s) x^{\lambda} (g(s)) ds, \tag{2.12}$$

from which we see that

$$x'(t/2) \ge \left(\frac{2^{4-2n}}{(n-2)!}t^{n-2} \int_{t}^{\infty} q(s)ds\right) x^{\lambda} (g(t))$$

$$\ge \left(\frac{2^{4-2n}}{(n-2)!}t^{n-2} \int_{t}^{\infty} q(s)ds\right) x^{\lambda} (t/2). \tag{2.13}$$

Integrating (2.13) from t to g(t), we have

$$x(g(t)) \ge x(g(t)/2) \ge x(t/2) + \frac{2^{3-2n}}{(n-2)!} \left(\int_t^{g(t)} u^{n-2} \int_u^{\infty} q(s) ds du \right) x^{\lambda}(t/2)$$

$$= x(t/2) \left[1 + \frac{2^{3-2n}}{(n-2)!} x^{\lambda-1}(t/2) \int_t^{g(t)} u^{n-2} \int_u^{\infty} q(s) ds du \right]. \tag{2.14}$$

Since x(t) > 0 and x'(t) > 0, there exist a $t_3 \ge t_2$ and a constant $c_2 > 0$ such that

$$x(t) \ge c_2, \quad \text{for } t \ge t_3. \tag{2.15}$$

Using (2.15) in (2.14) gives

$$x\left(g(t)\right) \geq x(t/2) \left[1 + \frac{2^{3-2n}c_2^{\lambda-1}}{(n-2)!} \int_t^{g(t)} u^{n-2} \int_u^{\infty} q(s) ds du \right] = Q_c^{1/\lambda}(t) x(t/2),$$

for $t \ge t_3$, where $c = 2^{3-2n} c_2^{\lambda-1}/(n-2)!$.

From the last inequality, we see that

$$q(t)x^{\lambda}(g(t)) \ge q(t)Q_c(t)x^{\lambda}(t/2), \quad \text{for } t \ge t_3.$$
 (2.16)

Using (2.16) in (1.1) gives

$$x^{(n)}(t) + q(t)Q_c(t)x^{\lambda}(t/2) \le 0.$$

That is, (2.10) has a positive solution, which is a contradiction. This completes the proof of the theorem.

Remark 2.1. In the cases n=2 and $\lambda=1$, this problem was studied in a recent paper by Jadlovská [12]. In her result [12, Theorem 2.3], the constant c in (2.9) is 1, whereas in our case it has the form $c=2^{3-2n}k^{\lambda-1}/(n-2)!$ for any constant k, which we see reduces to 1/2 for n=2 and $\lambda=1$. This difference is caused by the fact that if n=2, we would not need to use Lemma 2.2 above, and hence our condition would agree with the one in [12]. We should also mention that we do a comparison to a delay inequality rather than an ordinary (non-delay) equation as in [12].

We now set

$$Q_c(g(s), g(t)) = \left[\exp\left(c \int_{2g(t)}^{2g(s)} u^{n-2} \int_u^\infty q(\tau) d\tau du\right) \right]^{\lambda}, \qquad (2.17)$$

for $s \ge t$ and for every constant c > 0. We have the following comparison result.

Theorem 2.5. If, for every c > 0, the first-order advanced differential inequality

$$z'(t) - \frac{2^{2-n}}{(n-2)!} t^{n-2} \left(\int_{2t}^{\infty} q(s) Q_c(g(s), g(2t)) \, ds \right) z^{\lambda}(g(t)) \ge 0 \tag{2.18}$$

has no positive solutions, then equation (1.1) is oscillatory.

PROOF. Let x(t) be a nonoscillatory solution of (1.1), say x(t) > 0 and x(g(t)) > 0 for $t \ge t_1$, for some $t_1 \ge t_0$. Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 2.4, we again arrive at (2.12), (2.13) and (2.15). From (2.13) and (2.15), we see that

$$\begin{split} \frac{x'(t/2)}{2x(t/2)} &\geq \left(\frac{2^{3-2n}}{(n-2)!}t^{n-2}\int_t^\infty q(s)ds\right)x^{\lambda-1}(t/2) \\ &\geq \frac{2^{3-2n}}{(n-2)!}c_2^{\lambda-1}t^{n-2}\int_t^\infty q(s)ds = ct^{n-2}\int_t^\infty q(s)ds. \end{split}$$

For $s \ge t$, we see that $2g(s) \ge 2g(t)$, so integrating the last inequality from 2g(t) to 2g(s), we obtain

$$x^{\lambda}(g(s)) \ge x^{\lambda}(g(t)) \left[\exp\left(c \int_{2g(t)}^{2g(s)} u^{n-2} \int_{u}^{\infty} q(\tau) d\tau du\right) \right]^{\lambda}$$
$$= x^{\lambda}(g(t)) Q_{c}(g(s), g(t)). \tag{2.19}$$

Using (2.19) in (2.12), we obtain

$$x'(t/2) \ge \frac{2^{4-2n}}{(n-2)!} t^{n-2} \left(\int_t^\infty q(s) Q_c(g(s), g(t)) \, ds \right) x^{\lambda}(g(t)). \tag{2.20}$$

Replacing t/2 by t in (2.20) and using the fact that x(t) is increasing implies

$$x'(t) \ge \frac{2^{2-n}}{(n-2)!} t^{n-2} \left(\int_{2t}^{\infty} q(s) Q_c(g(s), g(2t)) \, ds \right) x^{\lambda}(g(2t))$$

$$\ge \frac{2^{2-n}}{(n-2)!} t^{n-2} \left(\int_{2t}^{\infty} q(s) Q_c(g(s), g(2t)) \, ds \right) x^{\lambda}(g(t)).$$

Thus, z(t) = x(t) is a positive solution of (2.18), which contradicts our assumption. This completes the proof of the theorem.

Corollary 2.1. Let $\lambda = 1$. If

$$\liminf_{t \to \infty} \int_{t}^{g(t)} \frac{2^{2-n} u^{n-2}}{(n-2)!} \int_{2u}^{\infty} q(s) Q_{c}\left(g(s), g(2u)\right) ds du > \frac{1}{e},$$
(2.21)

then equation (1.1) is oscillatory.

PROOF. By [4, Lemma 1.4.2], condition (2.21) guarantees that (2.18) has no positive solutions if $\lambda = 1$. The conclusion then follows from Theorem 2.5.

Finally, we will give two interesting oscillation results for equation (1.1) in the case n=2 and for which we do not need Lemma 2.2.

We let

$$Q_a(t) = \left(1 + a^{\lambda - 1} \int_t^{g(t)} \int_u^{\infty} q(s) ds du\right)^{\lambda}, \qquad (2.22)$$

for every constant a > 0. We then have the following comparison result.

Theorem 2.6. If the equation

$$y''(t) + q(t)Q_a(t)y^{\lambda}(t) = 0 (2.23)$$

is oscillatory, then equation (1.1) with n=2 is oscillatory.

PROOF. Let x(t) be a nonoscillatory solution of (1.1), say x(t) > 0 and x(g(t)) > 0 for $t \ge t_1$, for some $t_1 \ge t_0$. It follows from (1.1) that

$$x''(t) = -q(t)x^{\lambda}(g(t)) \le 0, \text{ for } t \ge t_1.$$
 (2.24)

Then, it is easy to see that there exists $t_2 \ge t_1$ such that x'(t) > 0 for $t \ge t_2$. Integrating (2.24) from t to u and letting $u \to \infty$, we obtain

$$x'(t) \ge \int_{t}^{\infty} q(s)x^{\lambda}(g(s)) ds.$$
 (2.25)

Since x(t) is increasing and g(t) is nondecreasing, (2.25) yields

$$x'(t) \ge x^{\lambda}(g(t)) \int_{t}^{\infty} q(s)ds \ge x^{\lambda}(t) \int_{t}^{\infty} q(s)ds.$$
 (2.26)

Integrating (2.26) from t to g(t), we obtain

$$x(g(t)) \ge x(t) + \left(\int_t^{g(t)} \int_u^{\infty} q(s) ds du \right) x^{\lambda}(t)$$

$$= x(t) \left[1 + x^{\lambda - 1}(t) \int_t^{g(t)} \int_u^{\infty} q(s) ds du \right]. \tag{2.27}$$

Since x(t) is positive and increasing, there exist a $t_3 \ge t_2$ and a constant $a_1 > 0$ such that

$$x(t) \ge a_1, \quad \text{for } t \ge t_3, \tag{2.28}$$

452

$$x\left(g(t)\right) \geq x(t) \left[1 + a_1^{\lambda - 1} \int_t^{g(t)} \int_u^{\infty} q(s) ds du\right] = Q_a^{1/\lambda}(t) x(t),$$

for $t \geq t_3$.

From the last inequality, we see that

$$q(t)x^{\lambda}(g(t)) \ge q(t)Q_a(t)x^{\lambda}(t), \quad \text{for } t \ge t_3.$$
 (2.29)

Using (2.29) in (2.24) gives

$$x''(t) + q(t)Q_a(t)x^{\lambda}(t) \le 0.$$

By [10, Theorem 1], we arrive at a contradiction to equation (2.23) being oscillatory. This completes the proof of the theorem.

For our final result, we let

$$Q_a(g(s), g(t)) = \left[\exp \left(a^{\lambda - 1} \int_{g(t)}^{g(s)} \int_u^{\infty} q(\tau) d\tau du \right) \right]^{\lambda}, \tag{2.30}$$

for $s \ge t$ and for every constant a > 0.

Theorem 2.7. If for every a > 0, the first-order advanced differential inequality

$$z'(t) - \left(\int_{t}^{\infty} q(s)Q_a\left(g(s), g(t)\right) ds\right) z^{\lambda}(g(t)) \ge 0$$
 (2.31)

has no positive solution, then equation (1.1) with n=2 is oscillatory.

PROOF. Let x(t) be a nonoscillatory solution of (1.1), say x(t) > 0 and x(g(t)) > 0 for $t \ge t_1$, for some $t_1 \ge t_0$. Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 2.6, we again arrive at (2.25), (2.26) and (2.28). From (2.26) and (2.28), we see that

$$\frac{x'(t)}{x(t)} \ge \left(\int_t^\infty q(s)ds\right)x^{\lambda-1}(t) \ge a_1^{\lambda-1} \int_t^\infty q(s)ds. \tag{2.32}$$

For $s \ge t$, we have $g(s) \ge g(t)$, so integrating (2.32) from g(t) to g(s) gives

$$x^{\lambda}(g(s)) \ge x^{\lambda}(g(t)) \left[\exp\left(a_1^{\lambda - 1} \int_{g(t)}^{g(s)} \int_u^{\infty} q(\tau) d\tau du\right) \right]^{\lambda}$$
$$= x^{\lambda}(g(t)) Q_a\left(g(s), g(t)\right). \tag{2.33}$$

so

Using (2.33) in (2.25), we obtain

$$x'(t) \ge \left(\int_t^\infty q(s)Q_a\left(g(s),g(t)\right)ds\right)x^{\lambda}(g(t)),$$

or

$$x'(t) - \left(\int_{t}^{\infty} q(s)Q_a\left(g(s), g(t)\right) ds\right) x^{\lambda}(g(t)) \ge 0.$$
 (2.34)

Letting x(t) = z(t) in (2.34), we see that z(t) is a positive solution of (2.31). This contradicts our assumption and completes the proof of the theorem.

Remark 2.2. If $\lambda=1$, Theorem 2.6 reduces to [12, Theorem 2.3], and Theorem 2.7 reduces to [12, Theorem 2.10].

Corollary 2.2. Let $\lambda = 1$. If

$$\liminf_{t \to \infty} \int_{t}^{g(t)} \int_{u}^{\infty} q(s)Q_{c}\left(g(s), g(u)\right) ds du > \frac{1}{e},$$
(2.35)

then equation (1.1) is oscillatory.

PROOF. By [4, Lemma 1.4.2], condition (2.35) guarantees that (2.31) has no positive solutions if $\lambda = 1$. The conclusion then follows from Theorem 2.7.

We conclude this paper with the following example to illustrate the above results.

Example 2.1. Consider the differential equation with an advanced argument

$$x^{(n)}(t) + \frac{k}{t^{\alpha}}x(t+a) = 0, \qquad t \ge t_0 > 0,$$
 (2.36)

where k>0 is a constant and $1<\alpha< n$. Here $q(t)=k/t^{\alpha},\ \lambda=1,$ and g(t)=t+a with a>0. Since

$$\frac{t^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \int_t^{\infty} q(s)ds = \frac{t^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \int_t^{\infty} \frac{k}{s^{\alpha}} ds \to \infty \text{ as } t \to \infty,$$

equation (2.36) is oscillatory by Theorem 2.3.

 $Remark\ 2.3.$ The results of this paper can be easily extended to the neutral differential equation

$$z^{(n)}(t) + q(t)x^{\lambda}(g(t)) = 0, \qquad t \ge t_0 > 0,$$

where $z(t) = x(t) + p(t)x(\tau(t)), p \in C([t_0, \infty), \mathbb{R}^+), \tau \in C([t_0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$ with $\tau(t) \leq t$, $\lim_{t\to\infty} \tau(t) = \infty$, and q(t), g(t), and λ are defined as in this paper.

References

- [1] R. P. AGARWAL, S. R. GRACE and D. O'REGAN, Oscillation Theory for Difference and Functional Differential Equations, *Kluwer Academic Publishers*, *Dordrecht*, 2000.
- [2] R. P. AGARWAL, S. R. GRACE and D. O'REGAN, Oscillation criteria for certain nth order differential equations with deviating arguments, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 262 (2001), 601–622.
- [3] R. P. AGARWAL, S. R. GRACE and D. O'REGAN, Oscillation Theory for Second Order Linear, Half-Linear, Superlinear and Sublinear Dynamic Equations, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2002.
- [4] R. P. AGARWAL, S. R. GRACE and D. O'REGAN, Oscillation Theory for Second Order Dynamic Equations, Taylor & Francis, London, 2003.
- [5] B. BACULÍKOVÁ, T. LI and J. DŽURINA, Oscillation theorems for second order neutral differential equations, Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ. 74 (2011), 1–13.
- [6] L. E. ÈL'SGOL'TS and S. B. NORKIN, Introduction to the Theory and Application of Differential Equations with Deviating Arguments, Academic Press, New York London, 1973.
- [7] S. Grace, Oscillation of functional-differential equations with deviating arguments, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 149 (1990), 558-575.
- [8] S. R. Grace, Oscillatory properties of functional-differential equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 160 (1991), 60–78.
- [9] S. R. Grace, Oscillation theorems of comparison type of delay differential equations with a nonlinear damping term, Math. Slovaca 44 (1994), 303–314.
- [10] S. R. Grace and B. S. Lalli, A comparison theorem for general nonlinear ordinary differential equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 120 (1986), 39–43.
- [11] I. GYÖRI and G. LADAS, Oscillation Theory of Delay Differential Equations. With Applications, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1991.
- [12] I. Jadlovská, Iterative oscillation results for second-order differential equations with advanced argument, Electron. J. Differential Equations 2017 (2017), No. 162, 1–11.
- [13] I. T. KIGURADZE, On the oscillatory character of solutions of the equation $d^m u/dt^m + a(t)|u|^n \operatorname{sgn} u = 0$, Mat. Sb. (N.S.) **65 (107)** (1964), 172–187 (in Russian).
- [14] T. LI AND Y. V. ROGOVCHENKO, Oscillation criteria for even-order neutral differential equations, Appl. Math. Lett. 61 (2016), 35–41.
- [15] T. LI, Y. V. ROGOVCHENKO and C. ZHANG, Oscillation of second-order neutral differential equations, Funkcial. Ekvac. 56 (2013), 111–120.
- [16] CH. G. PHILOS, A new criterion for the oscillatory and asymptotic behavior of delay differential equations, Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. 29 (1981), 367–370.
- [17] CH. G. PHILOS, Some comparison criteria in oscillation theory, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A 36 (1984), 176–186.
- [18] A. ZAFER, Oscillation criteria for even order neutral differential equations, Appl. Math. Lett. 11 (1998), 21–25.
- [19] Q. ZHANG, J. YAN and L. GAO, Oscillation behavior of even-order nonlinear neutral differential equations with variable coefficients, Comput. Math. Appl. 59 (2010), 426–430.

JOHN R. GRAEF DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS UNIVERSITY OF TENNESSEE AT CHATTANOOGA CHATTANOOGA, TN 37403

 $\textit{E-mail:} \ \mathsf{John\text{-}Graef@utc.edu}$

SAID R. GRACE
DEPARTMENT OF ENGINEERING MATHEMATICS
FACULTY OF ENGINEERING
CAIRO UNIVERSITY
ORMAN, GIZA 12221
EGYPT

 $E ext{-}mail:$ saidgrace@yahoo.com

ERCAN TUNC DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS FACULTY OF ARTS AND SCIENCES GAZIOSMANPASA UNIVERSITY 60240, TOKAT TURKEY

 $E ext{-}mail:$ ercantunc72@yahoo.com

(Received December 4, 2017; revised April 16, 2018)