

## Some special subclasses of univalent starlike functions

By N. SAMARIS (Patras)

**Abstract.** Let  $0 < \lambda < 1$ ,  $\alpha > 1$ ,  $\frac{2(\alpha-1)}{\lambda} < 1$  and  $T(\lambda, \alpha)$  the class of homomorphic functions in the unit disc for which  $f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0$  and  $\operatorname{Re} \left[ (1 - \lambda)z \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} + \lambda \left( 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) \right] < \alpha$ . We find sharp inequalities for the quantities  $\operatorname{Re} \left\{ z \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} \right\}$ ,  $\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{f(z)}{zf'(z)} \right\}$ ,  $\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right|$ ,  $|f(z)|$  for  $f \in T(\lambda, \alpha)$ . A special result is that if  $f \in T(\lambda, \alpha)$  then  $f$  is a univalent starlike function.

### Introduction

If  $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{U})$  is the class of holomorphic functions defined in the unit disc  $\mathbf{U} = \{z : |z| < 1\}$  then we denote by:

- (i)  $\mathbf{A}$  the class of functions  $f \in \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{U})$  for which  $f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0$ .
- (ii)  $\mathbf{T}(\lambda, \alpha)$  the class of functions  $f \in \mathbf{A}$  for which  $\operatorname{Re} f_\lambda < \alpha$  where

$$f_\lambda(z) = (1 - \lambda)z \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} + \lambda \left( 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right), \quad 0 < \lambda < 1, \quad \alpha > 1.$$

- (iii)  $\mathbf{P}$  the class of functions  $f \in \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{U})$  for which  $f(0) = 1$  and  $\operatorname{Re} f > 0$ .
- NUNOKAWA [1] has proved the following Theorem.

**Theorem 1.** *If  $f \in \mathbf{T}(1, \frac{3}{2})$ , then*

$$0 < \operatorname{Re} \left[ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right] < \frac{4}{3} \quad \text{in } \mathbf{U}.$$

The inequalities in Nunokawa's Theorem are best possible. In the present paper we prove the following Theorem:

**Theorem 2.** If  $f \in \mathbf{T}(\lambda, \alpha)$ ,  $\frac{2(a-1)}{\lambda} < 1$  and

$$P(\lambda, \alpha, z) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_0^1 \left( \frac{1+tz}{1+z} \right)^{\frac{2(a-1)}{\lambda}} t^{\frac{1}{\lambda}-1} dt,$$

then

- (i)  $\frac{1}{P(\lambda, \alpha, -r)} < \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right| < \frac{1}{P(\lambda, \alpha, r)}$  in  $\mathbf{U}_r = \{z : |z| < r\}$ .
- (ii)  $0 < \operatorname{Re} \left[ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right] < P(\lambda, \alpha, 1)$  in  $\mathbf{U}$ .
- (iii)  $P(\lambda, \alpha, r) < \operatorname{Re} \left[ \frac{f(z)}{zf'(z)} \right] < P(\lambda, \alpha, -r)$  in  $\mathbf{U}_r$ .
- (iv)  $|f(z)| < u(\lambda, \alpha, r)$  in  $U_r$ , where
 
$$u(\lambda, \alpha, r) = r \cdot \exp \int_0^r [P^{-1}(\lambda, \alpha, \xi) - 1] \xi^{-1} d\xi.$$

All the above inequalities are best possible.

*Remark.* If  $a = \frac{1}{2} + 1$  then

$$P(\lambda, \alpha, z) = \frac{1}{1+z} + \frac{z}{(\lambda+1)(z+1)}, \quad u(\lambda, \alpha, r) = r \cdot \frac{(\lambda+1+r)^\lambda}{(\lambda+1)^\lambda}.$$

If  $\lambda = 1$  then

$$\begin{aligned} P(\lambda, \alpha, z) &= \frac{1}{(2\alpha-1)z} \left[ (1+z) - \frac{1}{(1+z)^{2\alpha-1}} \right], \quad u(\lambda, \alpha, r) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\alpha-1} [(1+r)^{2\alpha-1} - 1]. \end{aligned}$$

In the special case  $\lambda = 1$ ,  $\alpha = \frac{3}{2}$  it is now obvious that (ii) coincides with Nunokawa's Theorem. In the same case we also have that

$$|f(z)| < r \left( 1 + \frac{r}{2} \right) \text{ in } \mathbf{U}_r, \quad \text{and} \quad |f(z)| < \frac{3}{2} \text{ in } \mathbf{U}.$$

We now prove the following Lemma.

**Lemma.** If  $f \in \mathbf{A}$  then  $f_\lambda = q$ ,  $q(0) = 1$  if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} &= \left[ \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_0^1 \frac{F(tz)}{F(z)} t^{\frac{1}{\lambda}-1} dt \right]^{-1} \quad \text{where} \\ F(z) &= \exp \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_0^z [q(\omega) - 1] \omega^{-1} d\omega. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. We consider a real interval  $(0, \varepsilon)$  such that  $\operatorname{Re} f(x) > 0$  in  $(0, \varepsilon)$ . We shall first prove the required relation in this interval. Then by the uniqueness Theorem for holomorphic functions we get the result in the general case.

From the relation

$$(1 - \lambda) \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \lambda \left[ 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right] = q(z)$$

we obtain

$$(1 - \lambda) \left[ \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} - \frac{1}{z} \right] + \lambda \frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)} = \frac{q(z) - 1}{z}$$

or

$$\left[ (1 - \lambda) \operatorname{Log} \left( \frac{f(z)}{z} \right) + \lambda \operatorname{Log} f'(z) \right]' = \frac{q(z) - 1}{z}$$

or

$$(*) \quad \left( \frac{f(z)}{z} \right)^{1-\lambda} (f'(z))^\lambda = c \cdot \exp \int_0^z \frac{q(z_1) - 1}{z_1} dz_1.$$

Since  $f'(0) = 1$  for  $z \rightarrow 0$  we get  $c = 1$ . Therefore from the relation (\*) we have

$$(**) \quad \lambda (f^{\frac{1}{\lambda}})' = f^{\frac{1}{\lambda}-1} \cdot f' = z^{\frac{1}{\lambda}-1} F(z)$$

and

$$(***) \quad f^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} = \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_0^z u^{\frac{1}{\lambda}-1} F(u) du = \frac{1}{\lambda} z^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} \int_0^1 t^{1-\lambda} F(tz) dt.$$

Dividing  $(*)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}$  by (\*\*\*) we obtain the required result.

Conversely, if we set

$$Q(z) = \int_0^z u^{\frac{1}{\lambda}-1} F(u) du$$

we have

$$z \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} = \lambda z \frac{Q'(z)}{Q(z)} \quad \forall z \in U.$$

Let  $f_\lambda = q_1$ . From  $q_1$  we define, the functions  $F_1$  and  $Q_1$ , in the same manner as  $F$  and  $Q$  were defined from  $q$ . It is now obvious that:

$$z \frac{Q'(z)}{Q(z)} = z \frac{Q_1'(z)}{Q_1(z)} \quad \forall z \in \mathbf{U}.$$

From the above relation we obtain successively:

$$\left(\frac{Q}{Q_1}\right)' = 0, Q' = cQ_1', f = cF_1.$$

Since  $F(0) = F_1(0) = 1$  then  $c = 1$  and

$$\int_0^z (q(\omega) - 1)\omega^{-1}d\omega = \int_0^z (q_1(\omega) - 1)\omega^{-1}d\omega \quad \forall z \in \mathbf{U}.$$

Differentiating both sides of the above relation we have:  $q = q_1$

PROOF of Theorem 2. If

$$p_n(z) = \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k \left(\frac{1 + \varepsilon_k z}{1 - \varepsilon_k z}\right), \quad |\varepsilon_k| = 1, \quad \lambda_k \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k = 1$$

then we will prove the Theorem in case  $f_\lambda = (1 - \alpha)p_n + \alpha$ . In this case by simple calculations we obtain

$$(1) \quad F(z) = \prod_{k=1}^n (1 - z \cdot \varepsilon_k)^{\frac{2(a-1)}{\lambda} \cdot \lambda_k}.$$

Since the set

$$\left\{ \frac{1 + tz}{1 + z} : |z| < r \right\}$$

coincides with the open disc  $S(R_0, R)$ , where

$$R_0 = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1 + tr}{1 + r} + \frac{1 - tr}{1 - r} \right), \quad R = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1 - tr}{1 - r} - \frac{1 + tr}{1 + r} \right),$$

from the relation (1) follows

$$(2) \quad \left(\frac{1 + tr}{1 + r}\right)^{\frac{2(a-1)}{\lambda}} < \left| \frac{F(tz)}{F(z)} \right| < \left(\frac{1 - tr}{1 - r}\right)^{\frac{2(a-1)}{\lambda}}.$$

The conclusion (i) follows from Lemma and (2).

Since

$$|\text{Arg}\omega| < \frac{\pi}{2} \quad \forall \omega \in S(R_0, R), \quad \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{2(a-1)}{\lambda} < 1$$

it follows

$$(3) \quad \text{Re} \left[ \frac{F(tz)}{F(z)} \right] > 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbf{U}.$$

The conclusion (ii) follows from (2) and (3).

The convexity of the function  $\tau(\omega) = (R_0 + R\omega)^{\frac{2(a-1)}{\lambda}}$  in  $U$  implies

$$(4) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{1 + tz}{1 + z} \right)^{\frac{2(a-1)}{\lambda}} > \left( \frac{1 + tr}{1 + r} \right)^{\frac{2(a-1)}{\lambda}} .$$

If  $\tau_1(\omega) = \operatorname{Log}\tau(\omega)$  then

$$\operatorname{Re} \left[ 1 + \omega \frac{\tau_1''(\omega)}{\tau_1'(\omega)} \right] = \operatorname{Re} \left[ \frac{R_0}{\tau(\omega)} \right] > 0 \quad \text{in } U .$$

The convexity of the function  $\operatorname{Log}\tau_1(\omega)$  implies that for every  $z \in \mathbf{U}_r$  there exists  $\omega(z) \in \mathbf{U}_r$  such that

$$(5) \quad \frac{F(tz)}{F(z)} = \left( \frac{1 + t\omega(z)}{1 + \omega(z)} \right)^{\frac{2(a-1)}{\lambda}} .$$

The conclusion (iii) follows from (4), (5) and Lemma.

If  $\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = h(z)$  from the relation (\*) of the Lemma for  $\lambda = 1$  then we have

$$f(z) = z \exp \int_0^z (h(\omega) - 1)\omega^{-1}d\omega$$

or

$$|f(z)| = |z| \exp \int_0^1 \operatorname{Re}[h(tz) - 1]t^{-1}dt$$

Since  $\operatorname{Re}h(tz) < \frac{1}{P(\lambda, \alpha, tr)}$  the relation (6) implies the conclusion (iv).

If  $f_\lambda(z) = (1 - \alpha)(1 + z)(1 - z)^{-1} + \alpha$  then  $\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = P(\lambda, \alpha, z)$ .

It is now obvious that the inequalities of the theorem are best possible.

If  $p \in \mathbf{P}$  then it is known that there exists a sequence of functions  $p_n \in \mathbf{P}$  having the form we used such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n(z) = p(z)$  in  $\mathbf{U}$ .

In the general case where  $\operatorname{Re}f_\lambda > \alpha$  or  $f_\lambda = (1 - \alpha)p + \alpha$ ,  $p \in \mathbf{P}$  we consider the sequence

$$(1 - \lambda) \frac{zf'_n(z)}{f_n(z)} + \lambda \left[ 1 + z \frac{f''_n(z)}{f'_n(z)} \right] = (1 - \alpha)p_n(z) + \alpha .$$

If  $F(z)$  and  $F_n(z)$  are the functions of Lemma corresponding to  $f$  and  $f_n$ , respectively, then from the relation  $\left| \frac{(1-\alpha)p_n(z)+\alpha-1}{z} \right| \leq \frac{2(a-1)}{r}$  in  $\mathbf{U}_r$  it follows that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(z) = F(z)$  in  $\mathbf{U}_r$ . Continuing in this manner we prove that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \frac{zf'_n(z)}{f_n(z)} \right] = \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f'_n(z) = f'(z) .$$

### References

- [1] M. NUNOKAWA, A sufficient condition for Univalence and Starlikeness, *Proc. Japan Acad.* **65** Ser. A no. 6 (1989), 163–164.

N. SAMARIS  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
UNIVERSITY OF PATRAS  
26110 PATRAS  
GREECE

*(Received April 7, 1994; revised November 3, 1994)*