

The “Two-Series Theorem” for symmetric random variables on nilpotent Lie groups

By D. NEUENSCHWANDER (Biel-Bienne) and H-P. SCHEFFLER (Dortmund)

Abstract. The classical “Three-Series Theorem” due to Kolmogorov is carried over to symmetric random variables on certain nilpotent Lie groups.

1. Introduction

The classical “Three-Series Theorem” for real valued random variables can be stated as follows: Let $(X_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of independent random variables and let $S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$. For $c > 0$ let $X_{n,c} = X_n 1_{\{|X_n| < c\}}$ denote the truncated random variable. Then the almost sure (a.s.) convergence of $(S_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is equivalent to the convergence of the three series $\sum_{n \geq 1} P\{|X_n| \geq c\}$, $\sum_{n \geq 1} E(X_{n,c})$ and $\sum_{n \geq 1} V(X_{n,c})$, where E denotes the expectation and V the variance of a random variable. (See e.g. [6, Theorem IV.2.3].)

The aim of this note is to carry over this “Three-Series Theorem” to symmetric random variables with values in nilpotent Lie groups G : We show that for simply connected nilpotent Lie groups G the convergence of the series of tail probabilities and the truncated second moments of independent random variables X_n imply the almost sure convergence of the product $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n = X_1 \cdot X_2 \cdot \dots$. If G is step 2-nilpotent it turns out that these conditions are also necessary for the almost sure convergence of the product.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 60B15, 60F15.

Key words and phrases: Three-Series Theorem, almost sure convergence, nilpotent Lie groups, Heisenberg groups, groups of type H .

Examples of simply connected (step 2-) nilpotent Lie groups are the *Heisenberg groups* \mathbb{H} given as $\mathbb{R}^{2d+1} \cong \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}$ with the product

$$x \cdot y = (x' + y', x'' + y'', x''' + y''' + \frac{1}{2}(\langle x', y'' \rangle - \langle x'', y' \rangle)) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}$$

for $x = (x', x'', x''')$, $y = (y', y'', y''')$ $\in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}$. The so-called *groups of type H* (cf. [4]) are all simply connected step 2-nilpotent.

Using the Campbell–Hausdorff formula, a simply connected nilpotent Lie group G can be realized as $G = \mathbb{R}^d$ for some non-negative integer d equipped with the multiplication

$$(*) \quad x \cdot y = P(x, y) = x + y + \frac{1}{2}[x, y] + \frac{1}{12}([[x, y], y] + [[y, x], x]) + \dots,$$

where $P : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ is a polynomial mapping in the components of x and y . (See [1, (1.2) Proposition].) Clearly, the neutral element e of G is 0 and $x^{-1} = -x$ for every $x \in G$. G is said to be nilpotent of step $r \geq 0$, if for the lower central series of G : $G_{(1)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} G$, $G_{(j)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} [G, G_{(j-1)}]$ we have $G_{(r+1)} = \{0\}$. Then it follows from the Campbell–Hausdorff formula that the polynomial mapping P in (*) is of degree $\leq r$.

Now let $(X_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of independent G -valued random variables with probability distributions $(\nu_n)_{n \geq 1}$ and let $S_N \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \prod_{n=1}^N X_n = X_1 \cdot X_2 \cdots X_N$ denote the partial product. Since by [3, XII.3 Theorem 2.3] every simply connected nilpotent Lie group is aperiodic (cf. [2, 2.2.18 Definition]), the convergence of $(S_N)_{N \geq 1}$ in probability, almost surely, and in distribution (i.e. the weak convergence of $(\nu_1 * \cdots * \nu_N)_{N \geq 1}$) resp. are equivalent. (See [2, 2.2.19 Theorem].) Using this fact, for the sufficiency part of our theorem it is enough to show that our conditions imply the convergence in distribution of $(S_N)_{N \geq 1}$. We will do this by using Fourier analytic methods on G , especially Lévy's continuity theorem.

2. Results

Let G be a simply connected nilpotent Lie group. A G -valued random variable X is called symmetric, if X and $X^{-1} = -X$ have the same distribution. Let $\mathcal{L}(X)$ denote the law of a random variable X . For $c > 0$ let $X_c \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} X 1_{\{\|X\| < c\}}$ denote the truncated random variable, where $\|X\|$ is the Euclidean norm of X . (Note that we have realized G

as \mathbb{R}^d .) Furthermore for $x_1, x_2, \dots \in G$ we define $\prod_{n=1}^N x_n = x_1 \cdot x_2 \dots x_N$

and $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{n=1}^N x_n$. We will prove

Theorem 1. *Let G be a simply connected nilpotent Lie group and let $(X_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of independent symmetric G -valued random variables.*

(a) *If for some $c > 0$*

$$(1) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\{\|X_n\| \geq c\} < \infty$$

and

$$(2) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E\|X_{n,c}\|^2 < \infty,$$

then $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n$ is a.s. convergent.

(b) *If furthermore G is step 2-nilpotent and $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n$ is a.s. convergent, then (1) and (2) hold for every $c > 0$.*

Remark. It is easy to see that if condition (1) and (2) hold for some $c > 0$, then they hold for any $c > 0$. Hence we may assume that c is small enough.

First we need an auxiliary result.

Lemma 1. *Under the conditions (1) and (2) of the Theorem the sequence $\left(\mathcal{L}\left(\prod_{n=1}^N X_n\right)\right)_{N \geq 1}$ is weakly relatively compact.*

PROOF. Assume $c \in]0, 1]$ small enough. We show that the sequence $\left(\prod_{n=1}^N X_{n,c}\right)_{N \geq 1}$ is L^2 -bounded (with respect to $\|\cdot\|$);

then $\left(\mathcal{L}\left(\prod_{n=1}^N X_{n,c}\right)\right)_{N \geq 1}$ is weakly relatively compact and the assertion follows from condition (1) and the Borel–Cantelli Lemma. Write every component of $[x, y]$ in the form $x^{\text{tr}} \cdot A \cdot y$ with a suitable matrix A . Consider the expansion $E(Q)$ of $E\|\prod_{n=1}^N X_{n,c}\|^2$ as expectation of a polynomial in

the elements of the matrices A and in the components of the $X_{n,c}$. Now, for Q perform the following procedure (P) (in the prescribed order):

i) Delete any monomial where there is an n such that only one component of $X_{n,c}$ occurs, and actually in first power.

ii) Replace any element of one of the matrices A by its absolute value and any component on an $X_{n,c}$ by $\|X_{n,c}\|$.

iii) Replace any exponent (> 0) of a power of an $\|X_{n,c}\|$ by 2.

By the symmetry, we have $EX_{n,c} = 0$, so i) does not change the value of $E(Q)$. Clearly, ii) does not decrease the value of Q . By i), no exponent 1 remains before iii), so (since $c \leq 1$) also iii) does not decrease the value of Q . Hence the procedure (P) yields an upper bound $E(Q')$ for $E(Q)$. Now the degree of Q' in the $\|X_{n,c}\|$ is bounded (as $N \rightarrow \infty$) and so it is (by the nilpotency) in the absolute values of the elements of the matrices A . So (by the independence) $E(Q')$ may be majorized by a constant times a fixed power of $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E\|X_{n,c}\|^2$, hence by condition (2) $E(Q')$ (and thus $E(Q)$) is bounded (as $N \rightarrow \infty$), which proves the asserted L^2 -boundedness.

To fix notation we now recall some basic facts about Fourier analysis on Lie groups (see [5, p. 115–118] for details):

Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{R}^d$ denote the Lie algebra of G with basis $\{Y_i = e_i : i = 1, \dots, d\}$, where $\{e_i\}_{i=1\dots d}$ is the natural basis of \mathbb{R}^d . As usual we regard every element $Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ as a left invariant differential operator on G . Furthermore let $\text{Irr}(G)$ denote the set of all irreducible unitary representations of G . For $D \in \text{Irr}(G)$ let $\mathcal{H}(D)$ be the representation Hilbert space of D with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and norm $\|\cdot\|$. A vector $u \in \mathcal{H}(D)$ is said to be a C^∞ -vector (of D) if the function $x \mapsto \langle D(x)u, v \rangle$ is C^∞ for all $v \in \mathcal{H}(D)$. We denote the subspace of all C^∞ -vectors by $\mathcal{H}_0(D)$. It is well known that $\mathcal{H}_0(D)$ is a dense subspace of $\mathcal{H}(D)$. For a probability measure ν on G we define its Fourier transform $\hat{\nu}$ by

$$\langle \hat{\nu}(D)u, v \rangle \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_G \langle D(x)u, v \rangle d\nu(x)$$

for all $D \in \text{Irr}(G)$ and all $u, v \in \mathcal{H}(D)$. In this context some of the usual properties of characteristic functions, especially a continuity theorem hold.

PROOF of Theorem 1. First we show that conditions (1) and (2) imply

$$(3) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|\hat{\nu}_n(D)u - u\| < \infty$$

for all $D \in \text{Irr}(G)$ and all $u \in \mathcal{H}_0(D)$, where ν_n is the distribution of X_n . In fact consider the symmetric open neighborhood $U_c \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{x \in G : \|x\| < c\}$

of $0 \in G$. Following [5, Lemma 5.1] we have for $D \in \text{Irr}(G)$ and $u \in \mathcal{H}_0(D)$:

$$D(x)u - u = \sum_{i=1}^d x_i D(Y_i)u + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^d x_i x_j T(D)(x) D(Y_i) D(Y_j)u$$

for all $x \in U_0$. Here each $T(D)(x)$ is a linear contraction (i.e. a bounded linear operator on $\mathcal{H}(D)$ such that $\|T(D)(x)\| \leq 1$). For $u \in \mathcal{H}_0(D)$ let $\|u\|_* = \|u\| + \sum_{i,j=1}^d \|D(Y_i)D(Y_j)u\|$. Using $|x_i x_j| \leq \sum_{i=1}^d x_i^2 = \|x\|^2$ for all $x \in U_c$ and the symmetry of the X_n we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_{U_c} (D(x)u - u) d\nu_n(x) \right\| &\leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^d \int_{U_c} \|x\|^2 d\nu_n(x) \|D(Y_i)D(Y_j)u\| \\ &\leq C \|u\|_* E\|X_{n,c}\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

for some constant $C > 0$. On the other hand

$$\left\| \int_{cU_c} (D(x)u - u) d\nu_n(x) \right\| \leq 2\|u\| P\{\|X_n\| \geq c\} \leq 2\|u\|_* P\{\|X_n\| \geq c\},$$

so we finally conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{\nu}_n(D)u - u\| &\leq \left\| \int_{U_c} (D(x)u - u) d\nu_n(x) \right\| + \left\| \int_{cU_c} (D(x)u - u) d\nu_n(x) \right\| \\ &\leq C \|u\|_* (E\|X_{n,c}\|^2 + P\{\|X_n\| \geq c\}) \end{aligned}$$

and (3) follows from (1) and (2).

Since by Lemma 1 $(\mathcal{L}(S_N))_{N \geq 1}$ is weakly relatively compact we have, using the continuity theorem of the Fourier transform (see [5, p. 117 and Lemma 2.1]), only to show that

$$\hat{\mu}_N(D)u = \prod_{n=1}^N \hat{\nu}_n(D)u$$

is convergent in $\mathcal{H}(D)$ for every $u \in \mathcal{H}_0(D)$ and every $D \in \text{Irr}(G)$. But $\|\hat{\nu}_n(D)\| \leq 1$ and so

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \prod_{n=1}^N \hat{\nu}_n(D)u - \prod_{n=1}^{N+K} \hat{\nu}_n(D)u \right\| &= \left\| \prod_{n=1}^N \hat{\nu}_n(D) \left(I - \prod_{n=N+1}^{N+K} \hat{\nu}_n(D) \right) u \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| u - \prod_{n=N+1}^{N+K} \hat{\nu}_n(D)u \right\| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left\| \left((\hat{\nu}_{N+1}(D) - I) + \hat{\nu}_{N+1}(D)(\hat{\nu}_{N+2}(D) - I) + \dots \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. \dots + \hat{\nu}_{N+1}(D) \cdots \hat{\nu}_{N+K-1}(D)(\hat{\nu}_{N+K}(D) - I) \right) u \right\| \\
&\leq \sum_{n=N+1}^{N+K} \|\hat{\nu}_n(D)u - u\|.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the above estimation and (3) we conclude that $(\hat{\mu}_N(D)u)_{N \geq 1}$ is a Cauchy sequence and hence convergent in $\mathcal{H}(D)$. This completes the proof of the first part of our Theorem.

For the proof of the second part we assume that G is step 2-nilpotent and $\prod_{n=1}^N X_n$ is a.s. convergent. By the symmetry, the processes

$$\left\{ \prod_{n=1}^N X_n \right\}_{N \geq 1}, \quad \left\{ - \prod_{n=1}^N X_{N+1-n} \right\}_{N \geq 1}$$

have the same distribution, (since $- \prod_{n=1}^N X_{N+1-n} = \prod_{n=1}^N -(X_n)$),

so $\left\{ - \prod_{n=1}^N X_{N+1-n} \right\}_{N \geq 1}$ is a.s. a Cauchy sequence, hence

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{n=1}^N X_{N+1-n}$$

and thus

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left(\prod_{n=1}^N X_n + \prod_{n=1}^N X_{N+1-n} \right)$$

exist a.s. But

$$\begin{aligned}
\prod_{n=1}^N X_n + \prod_{n=1}^N X_{N+1-n} &= \sum_{n=1}^N X_n + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \leq n < m \leq N} [X_n, X_m] + \sum_{n=1}^N X_{N+1-n} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \leq n < m \leq N} [X_{N+1-n}, X_{N+1-m}] \\
&= 2 \sum_{n=1}^N X_n + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \leq n < m \leq N} ([X_n, X_m] + [X_m, X_n]) \\
&= 2 \sum_{n=1}^N X_n,
\end{aligned}$$

hence condition (1) and (2) hold for every $c > 0$ by the classical Three-Series Theorem in the vector space case.

Remark. Interestingly enough, our Theorem implies that if G is step 2-nilpotent and $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n$ is convergent, then an arbitrary reordering of the X_n does not disturb the convergence, i.e. $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} X_{\sigma(n)}$ is convergent for every permutation σ of \mathbb{N} . It would be interesting to investigate, for a specific sequence $(X_n)_{n \geq 1}$, what the class of possible limit laws of $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} X_{\sigma(n)}$ (σ any permutation of \mathbb{N}) looks like.

Acknowledgements. The authors wish to thank G. Pap and the referee for very helpful criticism.

References

- [1] G. B. FOLLAND and E. M. STEIN, Hardy spaces on homogeneous groups, *Mathematical Notes*, vol. 28, *Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ*, 1982.
- [2] H. HEYER, Probability Measures on Locally Compact Groups, *Ergebnisse der Math., Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York*, 1977.
- [3] G. HOCHSCHILD, The Structure of Lie Groups, *Holden Day Inc., San Francisco-London-Amsterdam*, 1965.
- [4] A. KAPLAN, Fundamental solutions for a class of hypoelliptic PDE generated by composition of quadratic forms, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **258** no. 1 (1980), 147–153.
- [5] E. SIEBERT, Fourier analysis and limit theorems for convolution semigroups on a locally compact group, *Adv. Math.* **39** (1981), 111–154.
- [6] A. N. SHIRYAYEV, Probability, *Springer, New York*, 1984.

DANIEL NEUENSCHWANDER
INGENIEURSCHULE BIEL
CH-2501 BIEL-BIENNE

HANS-PETER SCHEFFLER
UNIVERSITÄT DORTMUND
INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK
D-44221 DORTMUND
DEUTSCHLAND

(Received July 15, 1994; revised January 16, 1995)