

## Abelian groups in which every serving subgroup is a direct summand.

By L. FUCHS in Budapest, A. KERTÉSZ and T. SZELE in Debrecen.

### § 1. Introduction.

It is an interesting problem to characterize all groups in which all subgroups of a given type have certain special properties. Such problems have been discussed recently by the second-named author<sup>1)</sup> (all subgroups are direct summands) as well as by the second- and third-named authors<sup>2)</sup> who have determined those abelian groups every multiple of which is a direct summand and have given a rather full description of abelian groups every endomorphic image of which is a direct summand. Perhaps it may be of some interest to discuss an entirely analogous problem which arises if we replace the term “multiple” by “serving subgroup”:<sup>3)</sup> *to characterize all abelian groups  $G$  with*

Property P. *Every serving subgroup of  $G$  is a direct summand of  $G$ .*

Let us observe that since the direct summands of  $G$  are obviously serving subgroups of  $G$ , our problem may also be considered to consist in finding all abelian groups in which the two notions: “serving subgroup” and “direct summand” coincide.

In what follows we shall completely solve the stated problem. In §§ 3—5 we shall give a characterization of all torsion, torsion free and mixed groups of this type. Our result may be formulated as follows:

*A necessary and sufficient condition that an abelian group  $G$  have Property P is that  $G$  be representable as a direct sum*

$$G = A + B$$

*satisfying the following conditions:*

---

<sup>1)</sup> See KERTÉSZ [3]. — The numbers in brackets refer to the Bibliography given at the end of this paper.

<sup>2)</sup> See KERTÉSZ and SZELE [5].

<sup>3)</sup> For the terminology and notation we refer to § 2.

a)  $A$  is an algebraically closed group, i. e. the direct sum of quasicyclic groups and groups isomorphic to the additive group  $\mathfrak{R}$  of all rational numbers;

b)  $B$  is either the direct sum of cyclic  $p$ -groups such that, for each fixed prime  $p$ , the orders of the cyclic  $p$ -groups are bounded, or the direct sum of a finite number of groups which are isomorphic to the same proper subgroup of  $\mathfrak{R}$ . If  $A$  is not a torsion group, then for  $B$  only the the second alternative is possible.

The final section of this paper, § 6, is devoted to determining all abelian groups in which every subgroup is serving. These groups coincide with the elementary abelian groups just as in the case of the problem discussed in [3].<sup>4)</sup>

## § 2. Preliminaries.

By a *group* we shall mean throughout a non-trivial abelian group  $G$  written additively. If every element of  $G$  has a finite order then  $G$  is called a *torsion* group. Recall that each torsion group may be represented in a unique way as the direct sum of  $p$ -groups (called its  $p$ -components), these being groups in which the orders of the elements are powers of one and the same prime  $p$ . An *elementary* group is a torsion group whose elements have square free orders. If all non-zero elements of  $G$  are of infinite order, then  $G$  is a *torsion free* group, while a group which is neither a torsion nor a torsion free group is said to be a *mixed* group.

The most important special groups which are needed below are as follows. Cyclic groups of order  $p^n$  where  $p$  is a natural prime and  $n$  a natural integer (notation:  $\mathfrak{Z}(p^n)$ ); *quasicyclic* groups or groups of type  $p^\infty$  (notation:  $\mathfrak{Z}(p^\infty)$ ) which are isomorphic to the factorgroup of the additive group of all rational numbers whose denominator is a power of a prime  $p$ , modulo the subgroup of all integers; finally, *rational groups*, i. e. subgroups of the additive group  $\mathfrak{R}$  of all rational numbers.

A subset  $S = (a_v)$  of  $G$ , not containing 0, is called *independent* if for any finite subset  $a_{v_1}, \dots, a_{v_k}$  of  $S$  a relation

$$n_1 a_{v_1} + \dots + n_k a_{v_k} = 0 \quad (n_i \text{ integers})$$

implies

$$n_1 a_{v_1} = \dots = n_k a_{v_k} = 0.$$

By the *rank* of  $G$  we mean the cardinal number of a maximal independent system of  $G$ , containing but elements of infinite order. For example, the subgroups of  $\mathfrak{R}$  are of rank 1. The converse for torsion free groups is also true: a torsion free group of rank 1 is isomorphic to some subgroup

<sup>4)</sup> It is to be emphasized that we assume commutativity what has not been done in [3].

$R$  of  $\mathfrak{R}$ . We shall need the following simple criterion<sup>5)</sup>: two rational groups  $A$  and  $B$  are *not* isomorphic if and only if there exists an infinite set of prime powers,  $\mathfrak{P}$ , which annihilates exactly one of  $A$  and  $B$ , i. e. either  $\mathfrak{P}A \neq 0$  and  $\mathfrak{P}B = 0$  or  $\mathfrak{P}A = 0$  and  $\mathfrak{P}B \neq 0$ , where  $\mathfrak{P}X$  denotes the intersection of all sets  $p^s X$ ,  $p^s$  running over all elements of  $\mathfrak{P}$ .

If the equation  $nx = a$  has a solution  $x \in G$  for each  $a \in G$  and all rational integers  $n$ , then  $G$  is called an *algebraically closed* (or *complete*) group. (An obviously equivalent definition is that  $nG = G$  for all non-zero integers  $n$ .) It is well known<sup>6)</sup> that an algebraically closed group is isomorphic to a direct sum of quasicyclic groups and of groups  $\mathfrak{R}$ . If  $H$  is an algebraically closed subgroup of  $G$ , then  $H$  is necessarily a direct summand of  $G$ , i. e.  $G$  has a direct decomposition  $G = H + F$  for some subgroup  $F$  of  $G$ .<sup>7)</sup> The union  $C$  of all algebraically closed subgroups of  $G$  is again algebraically closed and so we have  $G = C + G'$  where  $G'$  has no algebraically closed subgroup other than 0. Such a group  $G'$  is usually called *reduced*.

For a non-zero element  $a$  of order  $p^n$  the maximal non-negative integer  $k$  for which the equation  $p^k x = a$  is solvable in  $G$  is said to be the *height* of  $a$ . If there is no maximal  $k$  with this property, then  $a$  is of infinite height.

Let  $H$  be a subgroup of  $G$ . If for each  $a \in H$ , the solvability of  $nx = a$  in  $G$  implies the solvability in  $H$ , then  $H$  is said to be a *serving* subgroup of  $G$ . (For  $p$ -groups it is clearly enough to consider the mentioned equation only for  $n = p^r$ .) An equivalent definition is that each coset of  $H$  contains an element whose order is the same as the order of this coset in the factor-group  $G/H$ . It is evident that if  $K$  is serving in  $H$  and  $H$  is serving in  $G$ , then  $K$  is a serving subgroup of  $G$ .

A subgroup  $B$  of a  $p$ -group  $G$  is termed a *basic* subgroup<sup>8)</sup> of  $G$  if (i)  $B$  is the direct sum of cyclic groups, (ii)  $B$  is a serving subgroup of  $G$ , (iii) the factor-group  $G/B$  is an algebraically closed group. By an important theorem of L. KULIKOV,<sup>8)</sup> each  $p$ -group contains a basic subgroup.

The following notation will be used. The sign  $+$  or  $\Sigma$  will denote the (discrete) direct sum of subgroups. For any non-void subset  $K$  of  $G$ ,  $\langle K \rangle$  is used to denote the subgroup of  $G$  generated by the elements of  $K$ . If  $G$  is torsion free then by  $\{K\}$  we shall denote the least serving subgroup in  $G$  which contains  $K$ . ( $\{K\}_*$  is uniquely determined since  $G$  was supposed to be torsion free; it is obvious that this subgroup of  $G$  consists of all those elements  $x$  of  $G$  for which  $nx \in K$  holds with a suitable non-zero integer  $n$ .)

Finally, we prove a simple lemma which will prove to be very useful in our investigations.

<sup>5)</sup> A full characterization of the rational groups may be found, for example, in BAER [2] or in RÉDEI and SZELE [9].

<sup>6)</sup> See e. g. SZELE [10].

<sup>7)</sup> BAER [1].

<sup>8)</sup> KULIKOV [7].

**Lemma 1.** *If a group  $G$  has Property P then each direct summand  $H$  of  $G$  has again Property P.*

Let  $K$  be a serving subgroup of the direct summand  $H$  of  $G$ . Then  $K$  is a serving subgroup of  $G$  and therefore  $G$  has a direct decomposition

$$(1) \quad G = K + L$$

with some subgroup  $L$  of  $G$ . Since  $K$  is a subgroup of  $H$ , (1) implies the existence of a direct decomposition

$$H = K + L'$$

for some subgroup  $L'$  of  $H$ , q. e. d.<sup>9)</sup>

### § 3. Torsion groups with Property P.

The first step in our examinations is the discussion of  $p$ -groups having Property P. We shall prove the following theorem which, together with a recent result of one of the authors,<sup>10)</sup> will show that these groups are identical with the  $p$ -groups in which the heights of the elements of finite height are bounded.

**Theorem 1.** *A  $p$ -group  $G$  has Property P if and only if it is the direct sum of cyclic and quasicyclic groups and the cyclic summands are of bounded order, i. e.,  $G$  has the form*

$$(2) \quad G = \sum_r \mathfrak{Z}_r(p^n) \quad \text{where } n = 1, 2, \dots, m \text{ or } \infty$$

for some fixed integer  $m$ .

For the proof of the necessity let us consider a basic subgroup  $B$  of the group  $G$  with Property P. By definition,  $B$  is a serving subgroup and therefore we have

$$G = B + C$$

where, again by the definition of  $B$ ,  $C$  is an algebraically closed group and hence  $C$  may be represented as the direct sum of quasicyclic groups. In order to complete the necessity part of the proof, we have still to show that the direct summands of  $B$  are of bounded order.

Assume, on the contrary, that the elements of  $B$  are not of bounded order and let  $A = \{a_1\} + \{a_2\} + \dots$  be an infinite direct summand of  $B$  (and

<sup>9)</sup> Let us remark that, in general, Property P is not hereditary under homomorphic mappings. Indeed, Theorem 3 will imply that the factorgroup  $G/H$  of a group  $G = \{a\} + \{b\}$  (where  $a$  and  $b$  are of infinite order) modulo the subgroup  $H = \{pa\}$  does not possess Property P. Nevertheless, if  $H$  is a serving subgroup of  $G$ , then  $G/H$  has again Property P, but this tells us nothing new than Lemma 1.

<sup>10)</sup> See KERTÉSZ [4]: A  $p$ -group  $G$  in which the heights of the elements of finite height are bounded has a decomposition (2).

hence also of  $G$ ) such that  $1 < p^{n_1} < p^{n_2} < \dots$  where  $p^{n_k} = O(a_k)$ .<sup>11)</sup> We show that the subgroup

$$D = \{a_1 - p^{n_2 - n_1} a_2, a_2 - p^{n_3 - n_2} a_3, \dots\}$$

is a serving subgroup of  $A$  not containing  $a_1$ . In fact, a relation

$$p^r (h_1 a_1 + \dots + h_k a_k) = m_1 (a_1 - p^{n_2 - n_1} a_2) + \dots + m_s (a_s - p^{n_{s+1} - n_s} a_{s+1})$$

( $h_i$  and  $m_j$  are integers) implies, by the independence of the  $a_i$ , that all of  $m_1, \dots, m_s$  are divisible by  $p^r$ , establishing the serving character of  $D$ , while the impossibility of

$$a_1 = m_1 (a_1 - p^{n_2 - n_1} a_2) + \dots + m_s (a_s - p^{n_{s+1} - n_s} a_{s+1})$$

follows, by the same reason, in view of the congruences

$$m_s \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{n_s}}, \dots, m_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{n_1}}.$$

Now, from Lemma 1 we conclude

$$A = D + E$$

and hence ( $A$  being a direct summand of  $B$ )  $B = D + F$  for some subgroup  $F$  of  $B$ . But this implies

$$A/D \subseteq B/D \simeq F,$$

i. e.  $F$  contains a subgroup  $\mathfrak{Z}(p^\infty)$ , contrary to the fact that  $B$  is reduced. Hence the stated condition is necessary.

In order to prove its sufficiency, let us suppose that  $G$  is a group with a decomposition (2). Let  $C$  denote the maximal algebraically closed subgroup of  $G$ , i. e. the direct sum of the quasicyclic direct summands,  $H$  an arbitrary serving subgroup of  $G$  and  $H_1$  the intersection  $H \cap C$ . On account of  $H_1 \subseteq C$ , each equation of the form

$$p^{n+m} x = h \in H_1$$

must be solvable for some  $x$  in  $G$ , and therefore also for some  $x'$  in  $H$ . Then  $y = p^m x' \in H$  solves the equation

$$p^n y = h \in H_1$$

and by the choice of  $m$  we have  $y \in C$  whence  $y \in H_1$ . Consequently,  $H_1$  is an algebraically closed group. This result leads us to a decomposition

$$H = H_1 + H_2.$$

$H_2$  as a serving subgroup of the serving subgroup  $H$  is serving in  $G$  and since the orders of the elements in  $H_2$  are bounded, by a theorem of KULIKOV<sup>12)</sup> we obtain that the reduced group  $H_2$  is a direct summand of  $G$ . Finally,  $H_1$  as an algebraically closed group is a direct summand of every group containing it, therefore we arrive at the result that  $H = H_1 + H_2$  is a direct summand of  $G$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.

<sup>11)</sup>  $O(a)$  denotes the order of the group element  $a$ .

<sup>12)</sup> KULIKOV [6]: If in a  $p$ -group  $G$ ,  $H$  is a serving subgroup in which the orders of the elements are bounded, then  $H$  is a direct summand of  $G$ .

Now it is easy to pass from  $p$ -groups to arbitrary torsion groups.

**Theorem 1a.** *An abelian torsion group  $G$  possesses Property P if and only if it is the direct sum of cyclic  $p$ -groups and quasicyclic groups such that, for each fixed prime  $p$ , the orders  $p^n$  of the cyclic direct summands  $\mathfrak{Z}(p^n)$  are bounded.*

The assertion of Theorem 1a is an obvious consequence of Theorem 1 and the following simple observation: *If  $G$  is a torsion group and  $G_p$  is a  $p$ -component of  $G$ , then  $G$  has Property P if and only if each  $G_p$  has Property P.* In fact, if  $G$  has Property P, then by Lemma 1 each  $G_p$  must again have this property. Conversely, if each  $p$ -component  $G_p$  enjoys Property P and  $H = \sum_p H_p$  is a serving subgroup of  $G$  (where  $H_p$  denotes the  $p$ -component of  $H$ ), then  $H_p$  is a serving subgroup of  $G_p$  and hence by hypothesis we obtain  $G_p = H_p + K_p$  for some  $p$ -group  $K_p$ . This implies at once

$$G = \sum_p G_p = \sum_p (H_p + K_p) = \sum_p H_p + \sum_p K_p = H + K$$

with  $K = \sum_p K_p$ , q. e. d.

#### § 4. Torsion free groups with Property P.<sup>13)</sup>

At first we shall characterize those torsion free groups with Property P which are reduced, i. e. do not contain subgroups isomorphic to the additive group  $\mathfrak{R}$  of all rational numbers. As result we obtain the following

**Theorem 2.** *A torsion free reduced abelian group  $G$  has Property P if and only if  $G$  has a direct decomposition*

$$G = R_1 + R_2 + \cdots + R_r$$

*with a finite number of components where  $R_i$  are isomorphic to the same proper subgroup of the additive group of all rational numbers.*

Let  $G$  be a torsion free reduced group with Property P. First of all we show that  $G$  has a finite rank. For, let us assume the contrary, i. e.,  $G$  contains an infinite independent system  $a_1, a_2, \dots$ . We form the serving subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  defined as

$$H = \{a_1 - 2a_2, a_2 - 3a_3, \dots, a_k - (k+1)a_{k+1}, \dots\}_*$$

in other words,  $H$  consists of all those elements  $x \in G$  for which an equation

$$(3) \quad nx = n_1(a_1 - 2a_2) + \cdots + n_k(a_k - (k+1)a_{k+1}) \quad (n_k \neq 0)$$

<sup>13)</sup> In a letter to T. SZELE, PROFESSOR A. G. KUROSH has informed us that his pupil A. P. MISHINA has deduced our results in § 4 from certain theorems of R. BAER in [2]. It seems to us that it might be some interest in our present proof which does not appeal to deep results, is more direct and rather elementary.

holds with suitable integers  $n, n_1, \dots, n_k$ . Since, by the independence of the  $a_i$ , (3) with  $x$  replaced by  $a_1$  implies  $n_k = 0$ , we see that  $a_1 \notin H$  and hence  $H$  is a proper subgroup of  $G$ . Therefore,  $G/H$  is a torsion free group containing a non-zero algebraically closed subgroup generated by the cosets containing  $a_1, a_2, \dots$ , consequently, in the reduced group  $G$  the serving subgroup  $H$  can not be a direct summand. Hence  $G$  is of finite rank  $r$ , indeed.

Now let us consider a non-zero element  $a$  in  $G$  and the serving subgroup  $G_1 = \{a\}_*$  of rank 1. By hypothesis we have

$$G = G_1 + H_1$$

for some subgroup  $H_1$  of  $G$  where, evidently,  $H_1$  is of rank  $r-1$ . In view of Lemma 1, using the same argument for  $H_1$  in the place of  $G$  etc., we finally conclude

$$(4) \quad G = G_1 + G_2 + \dots + G_r$$

where  $G_i$  are rational groups.

Next we show that all components  $G_i$  in (4) are isomorphic to one and the same rational group  $R$ . For definiteness let us assume that  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are not isomorphic. Considering that  $H = G_1 + G_2$  must have Property P if the same is true for  $G$ , it is enough to consider only  $H$  and prove that our last assumption leads to a contradiction. Suppose  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are not isomorphic and let  $a, b$  be arbitrary non-zero elements of  $G_1, G_2$ . By a remark in § 2, there exists a set of prime powers,  $\mathfrak{P}$ , such that e. g.

$$\mathfrak{P}G_1 \neq 0, \quad \mathfrak{P}G_2 = 0.$$

Since  $G_1$  is not isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{R}$ , there is an integer  $q$  for which the equation  $qx = a$  has no solution in  $G_1$ . We show that the serving subgroup  $H_1 = \{a + qb\}_*$  can not be a direct summand of  $G$ . First we observe that obviously  $\mathfrak{P}H_1 = 0$  holds. Further, if we had  $H = H_1 + H_2$  for some subgroup  $H_2$ , of rank 1, of  $H$ , then we should have

$$\mathfrak{P}H_2 = \mathfrak{P}H_1 + \mathfrak{P}H_2 = \mathfrak{P}H = \mathfrak{P}G_1 + \mathfrak{P}G_2 = \mathfrak{P}G_1 \neq 0$$

whence  $H_2$  contains an element of the form  $ta \neq 0$  ( $t$  a rational number). Considering that  $H_2$  is of rank 1, it follows that any element of  $H_2$  has the same form. Therefore,  $H = H_1 + H_2$  implies

$$b = s(a + qb) + ta$$

with rational numbers  $s, t$ . Hence we conclude  $-t = s = \frac{1}{q}$ ,  $q(-ta) = a$ , in contradiction to the choice of  $q$ . This establishes the isomorphism of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ .

From what has been said it follows that  $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_r$  are isomorphic to the same rational group  $R$  and if  $a_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, r$ ) denotes the element in  $G_i$  which corresponds to a fixed element of  $R$ , say, to 1, then we may write  $G$  in the following form:

$$(5) \quad G = Ra_1 + Ra_2 + \dots + Ra_r.$$

In the proof of the sufficiency we shall need the following lemma which may be considered as a slight generalization of a lemma due to R. RADO.<sup>14)</sup>

**Lemma 2.** *If  $H = Ra_1 + Ra_2 + \dots + Ra_k$  and  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k$  are arbitrary rational integers such that  $(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k) = 1$ , then  $H$  may be written in the form*

$$H = Rb_1 + Rb_2 + \dots + Rb_k$$

with  $b_1 = n_1a_1 + n_2a_2 + \dots + n_ka_k$ .

The statement is obvious if  $N = |n_1| + |n_2| + \dots + |n_k| = 1$ . We assume  $N > 1$  and use an induction with respect to  $N$ .  $N > 1$  and  $(n_1, \dots, n_k) = 1$  imply that at least two of the  $n_i$  do not vanish, say,  $|n_1| \geq |n_2| > 0$ . Then we have either  $|n_1 + n_2| < |n_1|$  or  $|n_1 - n_2| < |n_1|$  whence

$$|n_1 \pm n_2| + |n_2| + \dots + |n_k| < N$$

for one of the two signs.  $(n_1 \pm n_2, n_2, \dots, n_k) = 1$  and the induction hypothesis imply

$$\begin{aligned} H = Ra_1 + Ra_2 + \dots + Ra_k &= Ra_1 + R(a_2 \mp a_1) + Ra_3 + \dots + Ra_k = \\ &= Rb_1 + Rb_2 + \dots + Rb_k \end{aligned}$$

with

$$b_1 = (n_1 \pm n_2)a_1 + n_2(a_2 \mp a_1) + n_3a_3 + \dots + n_ka_k = n_1a_1 + n_2a_2 + \dots + n_ka_k$$

completing the proof of the lemma.

Turning our attention to the proof of the sufficiency of the condition in Theorem 2, let us denote by  $H$  an arbitrary (non-zero) serving subgroup of a group  $G$  having the form (5). Each non-zero element  $b$  of  $H$  has a unique representation

$$b = n_1a_1 + \dots + n_ka_k \quad (n_i \text{ rational, } n_k \neq 0).$$

Let now  $b = b_k$  be an element in  $H$  for which  $k$  is as maximal as possible, further  $n_1, \dots, n_k$  are all rational integers and  $|n_k|$  is minimal. Since  $H$  is a serving subgroup of  $G$ , we must have then  $(n_1, \dots, n_k) = 1$ . Hence we can apply Lemma 2 to conclude that there exists a direct decomposition of the form

$$G = Rb_1 + Rb_2 + \dots + Rb_k + Ra_{k+1} + \dots + Ra_r.$$

Now each non-zero element  $b$  of  $H$  has the form

$$b = m_1b_1 + \dots + m_l b_l \quad (m_j \text{ rational, } m_l \neq 0, l \leq k).$$

Among the elements with  $l < k$  we choose a  $b$  with a maximal  $l$  where  $m_1, \dots, m_l$  are integers and  $|m_l|$  is minimal. Then we have  $(m_1, \dots, m_l) = 1$  and apply again Lemma 2 and so on. Finally, we arrive at a direct decomposition

$$G = Rc_1 + Rc_2 + \dots + Rc_r$$

such that, by a suitable choice of notation,

$$H \subseteq Rc_1 + \dots + Rc_s \quad (c_1, \dots, c_s \in H).$$

<sup>14)</sup> RADO [8] or SZELE [11]. The present proof follows exactly the same lines.



Taking into account that  $H$  is a serving subgroup of  $G$ , we get  $Rc_i \subseteq H$  for  $i = 1, \dots, s$ , and hence we obtain

$$H = Rc_1 + \dots + Rc_s.$$

This implies at once

$$G = H + K$$

where  $K = Rc_{s+1} + \dots + Rc_r$ , q. e. d.

In order to give a full description of the torsion free groups with Property P, we prove a simple lemma.

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $C$  be the maximal algebraically closed subgroup of a torsion free group  $G$  and  $G = C + G'$ . Then  $G$  has Property P if and only if the reduced group  $G'$  has the same property.*

The necessity follows immediately from Lemma 1. In order to prove its sufficiency, let us suppose that  $G'$  has Property P. If  $H$  is a serving subgroup of  $G$ , then the equation  $nx = h$  ( $h \in H \cap C$ ) being solvable in  $G$ , its unique solution lies in  $C$  and in  $H$ , i. e. in  $H \cap C$ . This implies that the maximal algebraically closed subgroup of  $H$  coincides with  $H \cap C$ , and therefore there exists a decomposition

$$H = (H \cap C) + H'$$

where — without loss of generality — we may suppose  $H' \subseteq G'$ . By hypothesis we have  $G' = H' + K$  and, since  $C = (H \cap C) + L$ ,

$$G = C + G' = (H \cap C) + L + H' + K = H + (K + L)$$

which establishes the statement.

Recalling that a torsion free algebraically closed group is the direct sum of groups isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{R}$ , Theorem 2 and Lemma 3 together imply the desired result:

**Theorem 2a.** *A torsion free abelian group  $G$  possesses Property P if and only if it is of the form*

$$G = \sum_{\nu} R_{\nu}$$

where the groups  $R_{\nu}$  are isomorphic to some subgroups of the additive group  $\mathfrak{R}$  of all rational numbers such that those  $R_{\nu}$  which are isomorphic to proper subgroups of  $\mathfrak{R}$  are finite in number and isomorphic to each other.

### § 5. Mixed groups with Property P.

For mixed groups of Property P we have the following

**Theorem 3.** *A mixed group  $G$  has Property P if and only if it is of the form*

$$(6) \quad G = \sum_{\nu} G_{\nu}$$

where each  $G_r$  is isomorphic to a quasicyclic group or to a rational group such that the proper subgroups of  $\mathfrak{R}$  are in a finite number and are isomorphic to each other.

Assume  $G$  is a mixed group with Property P and  $T$  is its maximal torsion subgroup. Then  $T$  is serving in  $G$  and so we have a direct decomposition  $G = T + F$  where  $F$  is an adequate torsion free subgroup of  $G$ . Lemma 1 implies that both  $T$  and  $F$  have Property P, consequently, Theorems 1a and 2a imply a decomposition (6) where  $G_r$  are subgroups of  $\mathfrak{Z}(p^\infty)$  or  $\mathfrak{R}$  satisfying the conditions of Theorems 1a and 2a. What remains to be verified is that no  $G_r$  is a finite cyclic group. For the proof let us suppose that  $G_1 = \langle a \rangle \cong \mathfrak{Z}(p^n)$  ( $n < \infty$ ) and  $G_2 \cong R$  where  $R$  is a rational group. The existence of such groups  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  follows from the assumption according to which  $G$  is a mixed group. We consider  $H = G_1 + G_2$  and denote by  $H_1$  the serving subgroup of  $H$  generated by  $a + pb$  where  $b$  is an arbitrary non-zero element of  $G_2$ . By virtue of the fact that  $H$  has again Property P we infer  $H = H_1 + H_2$  where  $H_2$  must be a torsion group, considering that  $H$  is of rank 1 and the rank is an invariant of the group. But the equation  $px = a + pb$  has no solution in  $H$  and therefore  $b$  can not belong to  $H_1 + H_2$ , a contradiction. This establishes the necessity of our condition.

Conversely, let the mixed group  $G$  have a decomposition (6) with the mentioned properties and  $H$  a serving subgroup of  $G$ . If  $T_1$  is the maximal torsion subgroup of  $H$ , then  $T_1$  is serving in  $G$  and hence  $T_1$  is an algebraically closed group. Therefore we obtain a direct decomposition  $H = T_1 + F_1$  for a certain torsion free subgroup  $F_1$  of  $H$ . Taking  $T \cap F_1 = 0$  into account, by usual arguments we conclude

$$G = T + F \quad \text{with } F_1 \subseteq F.$$

With regard to the facts that  $F \cong G/T$  is a group covered by Theorem 2a and  $F_1$  is a serving subgroup of  $F$ , we get  $F = F_1 + F_2$  whence

$$G = T + F = (T_1 + T_2) + (F_1 + F_2) = H + (T_2 + F_2).$$

Hereby Theorem 3 has been proved completely.

Theorems 1a, 2a and 3 together settle our stated problem.

## § 6. Abelian groups in which every subgroup is serving.

Our problem considered so far suggests an other problem closely related to it: *Which are the abelian groups every subgroup of which is serving?* A complete answer to this question is contained in the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.** *An abelian group  $G$  has the property that every subgroup of it is serving if and only if  $G$  is an elementary abelian group.*

Let  $G$  be an abelian group in which every subgroup is serving. Then  $G$  can not contain elements whose order is not a square free number, for in the contrary case  $G$  would also contain an element  $g$  of infinite order or of order  $p^2$  for some prime  $p$ . This is, however, impossible considering that in this case  $\{pg\}$  is by no means a serving subgroup in  $G$ . Hence  $G$  is an elementary group, in fact.

Conversely, let  $G$  be an elementary group and  $H$  a subgroup of  $G$ . Then assuming  $nx = h \in H$  has a solution  $x$  in  $G$ , we decompose  $h = h_1 + \dots + h_s$  such that  $h_i \in H$  and the orders of  $h_i$  are different primes  $p_i$ . In view of the existence of a solution  $x \in G$  of the above equation, it is obvious that no  $p_i$  divides  $n$  whence it follows that there are multipliers  $h'_i = m_i h_i$  with  $nh'_i = h_i$ . Then  $x = h'_1 + \dots + h'_s$  is a desired solution.

### Bibliography.

- [1] R. BAER, The subgroup of the elements of finite order of an abelian group, *Annals of Math.*, (2), **37** (1936), 766—781.
- [2] R. BAER, Abelian groups without elements of finite order, *Duke Math. Journ.*, **3** (1937), 68—122.
- [3] A. KERTÉSZ, On groups every subgroup of which is a direct summand, *Publicationes Math. Debrecen*, **2** (1951), 74—75.
- [4] A. KERTÉSZ, On fully decomposable abelian torsion groups, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung.*, **3** (1952), 225—232.
- [5] A. KERTÉSZ and T. SZELE, On abelian groups every multiple of which is a direct summand, *Acta Sci. Math. Szeged*, **T4** (1952), 157—166.
- [6] L. KULIKOV, Zur Theorie der Abelschen Gruppen von beliebiger Mächtigkeit, *Mat. Sbornik, N. S.*, **9** (51) (1941), 165—181.
- [7] L. KULIKOV, Zur Theorie der Abelschen Gruppen von beliebiger Mächtigkeit, *Mat. Sbornik, N. S.*, **16** (58) (1945), 129—162.
- [8] R. RADO, A proof of the basis theorem for finitely generated abelian groups, *Journ. London Math. Soc.*, **26** (1951), 74—75.
- [9] L. RÉDEI und T. SZELE, Die Ringe „ersten Ranges“, *Acta Sci. Math. Szeged*, **12 A** (1950), 18—29.
- [10] T. SZELE, Ein Analogon der Körpertheorie für Abelsche Gruppen, *Journ. f. reine u. angew. Math.*, **188** (1950), 167—192.
- [11] T. SZELE, On the direct sum of cyclic groups, *Publicationes Math. Debrecen*, **2** (1951), 76—78.

(Received March 10, 1953.)