## On direct decompositions of torsion free abelian groups.

By J. ERDŐS in Debrecen..

### § 1. Introduction.

In his paper [1] 1) R. BAER has given necessary and sufficient conditions for partial reducibility and for complete reducibility 2) of torsion free abelian groups. As one of the most important consequences of these results he obtains that every direct summand of a completely reducible group, satisfying a certain condition (see [1] p. 109 and p. 115) is also completely reducible. In BAER's criterion of partial reducibility of groups there are imposed four conditions (see [1] p. 109—110, (b 1)—(b 4), two of which are much more complicated than the others. In the present note we investigate a class of groups (§ 3) for which the corresponding criterion contains only the two simpler conditions.

In the case of groups of finite rank A. G. Kurosh deals in [2] p. 199—200 with an immediate proof — due to L. Ja. Kulkov — for Baer's theorem on complete reducibility of the direct summands of completely reducible groups. We shall give here an immediate proof of this theorem in a much more general case (§ 4). Finally we show that this cannot be extended to the pure subgroups of completely reducible groups. Our counter example yields at the same time a simple instance of indecomposable groups of rank 2.

#### § 2. Preliminaries.

In what follows by a group we shall mean always an additive *torsion* free abelian group, i. e. the only element of finite order in the group is 0. The rank of a group G is the (invariantly determined) cardinality of a maximal independent system of elements in G. A subgroup S of G is called a pure subgroup if  $na \in S$  (for an arbitrary element  $a \in G$  and for any rational integer  $n \neq 0$ ) implies  $a \in S$ . A certain classification of all elements  $(\neq 0)$  of G can be established by the following notion of the type of an element (see [1], [2]). Let a be an arbitrary element  $a \in G$ , and let  $a \in G$ , and a in the property a is a property a in the property a in the property a in the property a in the property a is a property a in the property a in the property a in the property a is a property a in the property a in the property a in the property a in the property a is a property a in the pr

<sup>1)</sup> The numbers in brackets refer to the Bibliography at the end of this paper.

<sup>2)</sup> For the notation and terminology see § 2.

282 J. Erdős

the increasing sequence of all prime numbers. We denote by  $k_n$  the greatest non-negative integer for which the equation

$$p_n^{k_n} x = a$$

is solvable in G; in case there is no maximal exponent of this property we write  $k_n = \infty$ . The sequence

$$(k_n) = (k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_n, \ldots)$$

so defined is called the characteristic of the element  $a \in G$ . Considering two characteristics  $(k_n)$  and  $(k'_n)$  equivalent if  $k_n = k'_n$  for all but a finite number of indices n with  $k_n \neq \infty$  and  $k'_n \neq \infty$ , we get an equivalence relation in the set of all possible characteristics. The equivalence class containing the characteristic of a is called the *type* of a. Types will be denoted by small Greek letters. The set of all types is partly ordered by the following relation. Let  $\alpha \leq \beta$  hold between the types  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  if and only if they can be represented by characteristics  $(k_n)$  and  $(l_n)$  satisfying  $k_n \leq l_n$  for all indices n ( $\infty$  is regarded greater than any of the rational integers). Concerning this partial ordering any two of types  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  have a greater lower bound (or meet)  $\alpha \cap \beta$ ; if  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are represented by the characteristics  $(k_n)$  and  $(l_n)$  then the characteristic

$$(\min(k_1, l_1), \ldots, \min(k_n, l_n), \ldots)$$

represents  $\alpha \cap \beta$ . In what follows we need only the next three *properties of types*.

- (1') If a and b are non-zero elements of the group G then the type of their sum (if it differs from 0) is  $\geq$  than the meet of their types.
- (2') If G = A + B,  $a \in A$ ,  $b \in B$ ,  $a \neq 0$ ,  $b \neq 0$ , then the type of a + b coincides with the meet of the types of a and b.
- (3') If two non-zero elements of a group are dependent, then they have the same type.

In our investigations the following subgroups of a group G will play an important role.

- (1") The elements of the group G having types  $\geq$  than some fixed type  $\alpha$ , form a subgroup together with 0 (according to (1') and (3')). This group will be denoted by  $G(\alpha \leq \nu)$ .
- (2") By  $G(\alpha < r)$  we mean the subgroup of G generated by its elements of types  $> \alpha$ .
- (3")  $G(\alpha \not\equiv \nu)$  is the subgroup of G generated by the elements of G having types  $\not\equiv \alpha$ .

It is easy to see that

$$G(\alpha < \nu) \subseteq G(\alpha \leq \nu) \cap G(\alpha \geq \nu),$$

but the equality does not hold in general.

A group H is homogeneous if all its elements  $(\pm 0)$  are of the same type; this common type of non-zero elements is said to be the type of the group H, if  $H \pm 0$ . For instance all groups of rank 1 belong to this category of groups. It is known that the equality of the types of two groups of rank 1 is not only a necessary, but also a sufficient condition to their isomorphism and every type occurs as the type of some group of rank 1.

#### § 3. Direct sums of homogeneous groups. 3)

Let M(G) denote the partly ordered set of types of the elements  $\pm 0$  of the group G.

**Theorem.** Let G be such a group that M(G) satisfies the ascending chain condition (i. e. every non-void subset of M(G) has a maximal element). Then G is a direct sum of homogeneous groups if and only if

$$G(\alpha < \nu)$$
 is a direct summand in  $G(\alpha \le \nu)$ 

and

$$G(\alpha < \nu) = G(\alpha \leq \nu) \cap G(\alpha \geq \nu)$$

for any type a.

PROOF. First we verify the *necessity of the conditions* of the theorem, supposing nothing at all of the partly ordered set M(G). Let G be a direct sum of homogeneous groups:

$$G = \sum_{\nu} H_{\nu}$$
,

on the types of which we may assume that they differ in pairs  $(H_{\nu} \neq 0)$  has the type  $\nu$ , since the direct sum of homogeneous groups of the same type is also homogeneous according to (2, 2'). In the above summation the index  $\nu$  runs over the set of all types, some of the  $H_{\nu}$ 's may be equal 0. It is obvious by (2, 2') that the relations

$$G(\alpha \leq \nu) = \sum_{\alpha \leq \nu} H_{\nu},$$

$$G(\alpha < \nu) = \sum_{\alpha < \nu} H_{\nu},$$

$$G(\alpha \geq \nu) = \sum_{\alpha \geq \nu} H_{\nu}$$

hold. As a consequence of these equalities we obtain

$$G(\alpha \leq v) = \sum_{\alpha \leq v} H_v = H_\alpha + \sum_{\alpha < v} H_v = H_\alpha + G(\alpha < v),$$

<sup>3)</sup> In [1]: partially reducible groups.

284 J. Erdős

i. e.  $G(\alpha < \nu)$  is a direct summand in  $G(\alpha \le \nu)$ ; further

$$G(\alpha \leq \nu) \cap G(\alpha \geq \nu) = \sum_{\alpha \leq \nu} H_{\nu} \cap \sum_{\alpha \geq \nu} H_{\nu} =$$

$$= (H_{\alpha} + \sum_{\alpha < \nu} H_{\nu}) \cap (\sum_{\alpha < \nu} H_{\nu} + \sum_{\alpha \mid \nu} H_{\nu}) = \sum_{\alpha < \nu} H_{\nu} = G(\alpha < \nu),$$

proving the necessity of the conditions of the theorem.

In order to prove the sufficiency of the conditions:

$$G(\alpha < \nu)$$
 is a direct summand in  $G(\alpha \le \nu)$ 

and

$$G(\alpha < \nu) = G(\alpha \leq \nu) \cap G(\alpha \geq \nu)$$

for arbitrary type  $\alpha$ , we define the subgroups  $H_{\alpha}$  of G so, that

$$G(\alpha \leq \nu) = H_{\alpha} + G(\alpha < \nu).$$

Clearly,  $H_{\alpha}$  is a homogeneous group of type  $\alpha$  (if  $H_{\alpha} \neq 0$ ). Now we are going to prove that G is the direct sum of the groups  $H_{\alpha}$  such constructed.

First we show that the subgroup of G generated by all these  $H_a$ 's is their direct sum, that is, the sum of non-zero elements

$$a_{\alpha_1}, \ldots, a_{\alpha_n}$$
 ( $\alpha_i$  is the type of  $a_{\alpha_i}, i = 1, \ldots, n$ )

belonging to different  $H_{\alpha}$ 's, always differs from 0. Suppose that for the elements under consideration

$$a_{\alpha_1}+\cdots+a_{\alpha_n}=0$$

holds, i. e.

$$a_{\alpha_n} = -(a_{\alpha_1} + \cdots + a_{\alpha_{n-1}}),$$

where  $\alpha_n$  is a minimal one among the types  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_n$ . Then we have

$$a_{\alpha_n} = -(a_{\alpha_1} + \cdots + a_{\alpha_{n-1}}) \in G(\alpha_n \trianglerighteq \nu),$$

whence

$$a_{\alpha_n} \in G(\alpha_n \leq \nu) \cap G(\alpha_n \geq \nu) = G(\alpha_n < \nu).$$

Therefore, according to the construction of the  $H_a$ 's,

$$a_{\alpha_n} \in H_{\alpha_n} \cap G(\alpha_n < \nu) = 0,$$

which contradicts the assumption.

Secondly, in order to prove that G is generated by the  $H_{\alpha}$ 's we assume that the ascending chain condition is satisfied by M(G). Thus we can use transfinite induction with respect to M(G). If  $\alpha$  is a maximal element of M(G) then every element of G having type G is contained in  $\sum_{\nu} H_{\nu}$ , since by G(G) = 0

$$G(\alpha \leq \nu) = H_{\alpha} + G(\alpha < \nu) = H_{\alpha} \subseteq \sum_{\nu} H_{\nu}.$$

Now suppose that all elements of G having types  $\geq \beta$  ( $\beta \in M(G)$ ) belong to  $\sum_{i} H_{\nu}$ . Therefore

$$G(\beta < \nu) \subseteq \sum_{\nu} H_{\nu}$$

from which we get

$$G(\beta \leq v) = H_{\beta} + G(\beta < v) \subseteq \sum_{\nu} H_{\nu},$$

i. e. all elements of G of type  $\beta$  are elements of  $\sum_{r} H_{r}$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.

**Corollary 1.** A group G of finite rank can be decomposed into a direct sum of homogeneous groups if and only if

$$G(\alpha < \nu)$$
 is a direct summand in  $G(\alpha \le \nu)$ 

and

$$G(\alpha < \nu) = G(\alpha \le \nu) \cap G(\alpha \ge \nu)$$

holds for every type  $\alpha$ .

PROOF. It is sufficient to show, that M(G) satisfies the ascending chain condition in this case. If  $\alpha, \beta \in M(G)$  and  $\alpha < \beta$  then  $G(\alpha \le \nu) \supset G(\beta \le \nu)$ , having for their finite ranks  $r_{\alpha}, r_{\beta}, r_{\alpha} > r_{\beta}$ , since these groups are pure subgroups of G. So every chain

$$\alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \cdots < \alpha_n < \cdots$$

of types belonging to M(G) breaks off after a finite number of terms i. e. M(G) satisfies the ascending chain condition. 4)

**Corollary 2.** Let G be a group the set of types of the non-zero elements of which is a totally ordered set satisfying the ascending chain condition. Then G is a direct sum of homogeneous groups if and only if  $G(\alpha < v)$  is a direct summand of  $G(\alpha \le v)$  by every type  $\alpha$ .

# § 4. Direct sums of groups of rank one. 5)

In the considerations of this section we need only the following theorem (cf. [2] p. 197): if G is a direct sum of isomorphic groups of rank 1, then every pure subgroup of G has this property.

**Theorem.** If G is a direct sum of groups of rank 1, the partly ordered set of the types of which satisfies the ascending chain condition, then every direct summand of G can be decomposed into a direct sum of groups of rank 1.

PROOF. Let G be a direct sum of groups of rank 1, the partly ordered set M of the types of which satisfies the ascending chain condition. After summing the summands of equal type v, G decomposes into the direct sum

<sup>4)</sup> For this reasoning see [1] p. 109.

<sup>5)</sup> In [1]: completely reducible groups.

286 J. Erdős

of the homogeneous groups so obtained:

$$G = \sum_{\nu \in M} H_{\nu}$$
.

We remark here — as it has done in the proof of the theorem of  $\S$  3 too — that if a group G is decomposed into a direct sum of homogeneous groups of pairwise distinct types:

$$G = \sum_{v \in M} H'_v$$

then the relations

(1) 
$$G(\alpha \leq \nu) = \sum_{\alpha \leq \nu} H'_{\nu} \text{ and } G(\alpha < \nu) = \sum_{\alpha < \nu} H'_{\nu}$$

hold in case of any type  $\alpha$ .

Let A be a direct summand of G:

$$G = A + B$$
.

The component  $G_A(\alpha \le \nu)$  of  $G(\alpha \le \nu)$  in A is a subgroup of  $G(\alpha \le \nu)$ . Indeed, if  $\alpha \ne 0$  is the component of  $g \in G(\alpha \le \nu)$  in A:

$$g = a + b$$
  $(b \in B)$ 

then the type of a is  $\geq$  than that of g, so  $\geq \alpha$ , consequently

$$a \in G(\alpha \leq \nu)$$
.

As to B

$$G_B(\alpha \leq \nu) \subseteq G(\alpha \leq \nu)$$
.

From these relations we obtain

(2) 
$$G_A(\alpha \leq \nu) + G_B(\alpha \leq \nu) = G(\alpha \leq \nu).$$

Similarly for the components of  $G(\alpha < r)$ 

(3) 
$$G_A(\alpha < \nu) + G_B(\alpha < \nu) = G(\alpha < \nu)$$

is true. (1) and (3) show that  $G_A(\alpha < \nu)$  and  $G_B(\alpha < \nu)$  are direct summands in G, thus we can write

(4) 
$$G_A(\alpha \le \nu) = A_\alpha + G_A(\alpha < \nu)$$
 and  $G_B(\alpha \le \nu) = B_\alpha + G_B(\alpha < \nu)$ . (According to (2), (3), (4)

(5) 
$$G(\alpha \leq \nu) = (A_{\alpha} + B_{\alpha}) + G(\alpha < \nu),$$

so  $A_{\alpha}+B_{\alpha}$  is a homogeneous group of type  $\alpha$  if it is  $\pm$  0.) Our aim is to prove that A is the direct sum of the groups  $A_{\alpha}$  defined above by (4). For this it is sufficient to show that

$$G = \sum_{v \in M} (A_v + B_v),$$

since this implies the existence of the direct sums

$$\sum_{v \in M} A_v \subseteq A$$
 and  $\sum_{v \in M} B_v \subseteq B$ ,

and thus, by G = A + B,  $\sum_{v \in M} A_v = A$ .

First of all we prove that one can speak about the direct sum  $\sum_{v \in M} (A_v + B_v)$  of the subgroups  $A_v + B_v$  in G. For this reason let us consider an arbitrary decomposition

$$G = \sum_{r \in M} H'_r$$

of the group G into a direct sum of homogeneous groups. Then we have by virtue of (1) and (5)

(6) 
$$G = \sum_{\alpha \le \nu} H'_{\nu} + G(\alpha \le \nu) = \sum_{\alpha \le \nu} H'_{\nu} + [(A_{\alpha} + B_{\alpha}) + G(\alpha < \nu)] =$$

$$= (A_{\alpha} + B_{\alpha}) + (\sum_{\alpha \ge \nu} H'_{\nu} + \sum_{\alpha \le \nu} H'_{\nu}) = (A_{\alpha} + B_{\alpha}) + \sum_{\alpha \ge \nu} H'_{\nu}$$

in case of any type  $\alpha$ . Now let  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in M$ . Applying the equality (6) n times (changing successively the  $H'_{\nu}$ 's, for the  $A_{\nu} + B_{\nu}$ 's) we get

$$G = (A_{\alpha_1} + B_{\alpha_1}) + \sum_{\alpha_1 = \nu} H'_{\nu} = [(A_{\alpha_1} + B_{\alpha_1}) + (A_{\alpha_2} + B_{\alpha_2})] + \sum_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2 = \nu} H'_{\nu} =$$

$$= \cdot \cdot = [(A_{\alpha_1} + B_{\alpha_1}) + \cdots + (A_{\alpha_n} + B_{\alpha_n})] + \sum_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2 = \nu} H'_{\nu}.$$

(We remark that this process is legitimate only if it consists of a finite number of steps.) This implies that the direct sum

$$(A_{\alpha_1}+B_{\alpha_1})+\cdots+(A_{\alpha_n}+B_{\alpha_n})\subseteq G$$

always exists, consequently  $\sum_{v \in M} (A_v + B_v) \subseteq G$  exists too.

Secondly we show by a transfinite induction with respect to M that each element of G occurs in  $\sum_{v \in M} (A_v + B_v)$ . Let  $\alpha$  be a maximal one among the elements of M. Then  $H_{\alpha} \subseteq \sum_{v \in M} (A_{\alpha} + B_v)$ , since by (1) and (5)

$$H_{\alpha} \subseteq G(\alpha \leq \nu) = (A_{\alpha} + B_{\alpha}) + G(\alpha < \nu) =$$

$$= (A_{\alpha} + B_{\alpha}) + \sum_{\alpha \leq \nu} H_{\nu} = A_{\alpha} + B_{\alpha} \subseteq \sum_{\nu \in M} (A_{\nu} + B_{\nu}).$$

Now suppose that for all types  $\sigma > \beta$   $(\sigma, \beta \in M)$ 

$$H_{\sigma} \subseteq \sum_{\nu \in M} (A_{\nu} + B_{\nu}).$$

Then we get by (1) and (5)

$$H_{\beta} \subseteq G(\beta \leq \nu) = (A_{\beta} + B_{\beta}) + G(\beta < \nu) = (A_{\beta} + B_{\beta}) + \sum_{\beta \in \nu} H_{\nu} \subseteq \sum_{\nu \in M} (A_{\nu} + B_{\nu}).$$

Thus indeed

$$G = \sum_{v \in M} (A_v + B_v).$$

Finally we have to show that  $A_{\alpha}$  is a direct sum of groups of rank 1. According to (1) and (5) we have  $A_{\alpha} + B_{\alpha} \cong H_{\alpha}$ , hence  $A_{\alpha} + B_{\alpha}$  is a direct sum of isomorphic groups of rank 1. By virtue of the lemma mentioned

before this theorem, we conclude that our statement is true. Thus  $A = \sum_{v \in M} A_v$  is a direct sum of groups of rank 1.

REMARK. This theorem cannot be extended to the case of pure subgroups of direct sums (of a finite number) of groups of rank 1, as it is shown by the following counterexample.

Let  $R_1$ ,  $R_2$ ,  $R_3$  be groups of rank 1 having types

$$(\infty, \infty, 0, 0, \ldots, 0, \ldots), (\infty, 0, \infty, 0, \ldots, 0, \ldots), (0, \infty, \infty, 0, \ldots, 0, \ldots).$$

Let  $G = R_1 + R_2 + R_3$  and

$$a_1 \in R_1$$
,  $a_2 \in R_2$ ,  $a_3 \in R_3$ ,

each of which differs from zero. Let us consider the least pure subgroup S of G containing the elements

$$g_1 = a_1 + a_2$$
 and  $g_2 = a_2 + a_3$ .

The existence of such a group is obvious and it has rank 2. The types of the elements

$$g_1, g_2, g_3 = g_1 - g_2 = a_1 - a_3, g_4 = g_1 + g_2 = a_1 + 2a_2 + a_3$$

of S are

$$(\infty, 0, 0, 0, \dots, 0, \dots), (0, 0, \infty, 0, \dots, 0, \dots), (0, \infty, 0, 0, \dots, 0, \dots), (0, 0, 0, 0, \dots, 0, \dots)$$

in G, and by the purness of S they are the types of these elements in S too. So S has elements of four different types. This involves the indecomposibility of S into a direct sum of groups different from S and S. For, in the contrary, S would be a direct sum of groups of rank 1:

$$S = R_1' + R_2'$$

but the direct sum of two such groups contains elements at most of three different types, namely those coinciding with the type of  $R'_1$  or  $R'_2$  or with the meet of these types.

#### Bibliography.

[1] R. Baer, Abelian groups without elements of finite order, Duke Math. Journ., 3 (1937), 68-122.

[2] A. G. Kurosh, Teorija grupp. 2-nd ed. (Moscow, 1953.)

(Received March 5, 1955.)