

## Sequences of connected spectrum and the Vilenkin group

By ZOLTÁN BOROS (Debrecen)

**Abstract.** The author presents a characterization of the sequences that satisfy a generalization of the interval-filling property. In the second part an application in harmonic analysis is given.

### 1. Notation and general results

Let  $\mathbb{K}$  denote the field of real or complex numbers throughout this section. When a linear normed space  $X$  is in consideration, put

$$\ell_1(X) = \left\{ (b_n) : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow X \mid \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|b_n\| < \infty \right\}.$$

*Definition.* The Cartesian product  $P = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n$  is called a *coefficient system in  $\mathbb{K}$*  if  $P_n$  is a non-void, finite subset of  $\mathbb{K}$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The coefficient system  $P$  is *bounded* if there exists  $K \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $|p| \leq K$  for every  $p \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n$ .

When  $X$  is a Banach space over  $\mathbb{K}$ ,  $P = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n$  is a bounded coefficient system in  $\mathbb{K}$  and  $b = (b_n) \in \ell_1(X)$  set

$$\|P\| = \sup\{|p| : p \in P_n \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$
$$S_n(P, b) = \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n \delta_k b_k \mid \delta_k \in P_k \text{ for } k = 1, 2, \dots, n \right\} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}) \quad \text{and}$$

---

*Mathematics Subject Classification:* Primary 11B05; Secondary 43A32.

*Key words and phrases:* interval-filling sequences, Vilenkin group.

Research supported by the Hungarian National Scientific Research Foundation, Operating Grant Number OTKA 1652.

$$S(P, b) = \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \delta_n b_n \mid \delta_n \in P_n \text{ for every } n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

*Definition.* The set  $S(P, b)$  is called the  $P$ -spectrum of  $(b_n)$ .

We wish to characterize the sequences  $(b_n)$  the  $P$ -spectrum of which is connected with respect to a given coefficient system  $P$ . In case  $X = \mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$  these sequences are called interval-filling (of type  $P$ ) and discussed in [1], [2]. Though the complete characterization of sequences with connected  $P$ -spectrum, given in Theorem 1.3, seems to be rather complicated, all the known results [1] for  $X = \mathbb{R}$  and some new results for  $X = \mathbb{C}$  (with specified coefficient systems, cf. Section 2) can be directly derived from it.

First let us draw up a simple remark, which makes further argument more convenient.

**Lemma 1.1.** *Let  $P = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n$  be a bounded coefficient system in  $\mathbb{K}$ ,  $p_n^* \in P_n$  and  $P_n^\circ = \{p - p_n^* \mid p \in P_n\}$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $P^\circ = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n^\circ$ ,  $X$  a Banach space over  $\mathbb{K}$  and  $b = (b_n) \in \ell_1(X)$ . Then  $S(P^\circ, b)$  is connected if and only if  $S(P, b)$  is connected.*

PROOF. Observe that  $x \in S(P^\circ, b)$  if and only if  $x + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n^* b_n \in S(P, b)$ , thus the  $P^\circ$ -spectrum and the  $P$ -spectrum of  $(b_n)$  are congruent.

The following theorem, which is proved for special cases in [3] and [4], plays a fundamental role in our investigations.

**Theorem 1.1.** *If  $X$  is a Banach space over  $\mathbb{K}$ ,  $P = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n$  is a bounded coefficient system in  $\mathbb{K}$  and  $b = (b_n) \in \ell_1(X)$ , then the set  $S(P, b)$  is compact.*

PROOF. For  $\delta = (\delta_n) \in P$  define  $\phi_n(\delta) = \delta_n b_n$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ). Consider the discrete topology on  $P_n$  and the product topology on  $P$ . The finite sets  $P_n$  are compact, thus  $P$  is also compact. Since  $\phi_n : P \rightarrow X$  is a composition of a projection and a multiplication, it is continuous. The sum  $\phi = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi_n$  is uniformly convergent, consequently  $\phi : P \rightarrow X$  is continuous, hence its range  $\phi(P) = S(P, b)$  is compact.

To formulate the following results we need further notation. When  $(Y, \varrho)$  is a metric space and  $A \subset Y$  is finite, denote by  $d(A)$  the diameter of  $A$ ; for  $\varepsilon > 0$  define

$$T_\varepsilon(A) = \{(a_1, a_2) \in A \times A \mid \varrho(a_1, a_2) \leq \varepsilon\} \quad \text{and}$$

$$r(A) = \inf \left\{ \varepsilon \in ]0, \infty[ \mid \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} T_\varepsilon^k(A) = A \times A \right\}$$

(where the power means repeated composition). Due to the finiteness of  $A$  the above set is non-void and  $r(A)$  is its minimum, moreover there exists  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $T_{r(A)}^m(A) = A \times A$  and  $m \leq \text{card}(A) - 1$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** *If  $X$  is a Banach space over  $\mathbb{K}$ ,  $P = \prod_{n=1}^\infty P_n$  is a bounded coefficient system in  $\mathbb{K}$ ,  $b = (b_n) \in \ell_1(X)$  and  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} r(S_n(P, b)) = 0$ , then the  $P$ -spectrum of  $(b_n)$  is connected.*

PROOF. Due to Lemma 1.1 we may assume that  $0 \in P_n$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then

$$(1) \quad S_n(P, b) \subset S_{n+1}(P, b) \subset S(P, b)$$

holds for every natural number  $n$ . To give an indirect proof to our theorem suppose that there exist non-void, disjoint, closed subsets  $E$  and  $F$  of  $S(P, b)$  with  $E \cup F = S(P, b)$ . Then  $E$  and  $F$  are compact sets (cf. Theorem 1.1), thus their distance  $\varrho(E, F)$  is positive. Hence there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for  $n > n_0$  we have

$$(2) \quad \|P\| \sum_{k=n+1}^\infty \|b_k\| < \varrho(E, F).$$

Now fix a natural number  $n > n_0$  and choose  $x \in E \cap S_n(P, b)$ . If  $\delta_k \in P_k$  for  $k \geq n + 1$ , then (2) implies  $x + \sum_{k=n+1}^\infty \delta_k b_k \notin F$ . From this and (1) it follows that the  $n$ th partial sum of any representation of an arbitrary element of  $F$  is in  $F \cap S_n(P, b)$ , so  $F \cap S_n(P, b)$  and similarly  $E \cap S_n(P, b)$  are non-void sets. For  $0 < \varepsilon < \varrho(E, F)$  we obviously have

$$T_\varepsilon(S_n(P, b)) \subset (E \cap S_n(P, b))^2 \cup (F \cap S_n(P, b))^2 \subsetneq (S_n(P, b))^2,$$

which implies the same property for  $T_\varepsilon^k(S_n(P, b))$  whenever  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore  $r(S_n(P, b)) \geq \varrho(E, F)$ , hence  $\liminf r(S_n(P, b)) \geq \varrho(E, F) > 0$  in contradiction with the hypothesis.

The previous theorem is convenient for applications (cf. Section 2), while the complete characterization of sequences with connected  $P$ -spectrum is given in the following

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space over  $\mathbb{K}$ ,  $P = \prod_{n=1}^\infty P_n$  a bounded coefficient system in  $\mathbb{K}$  and  $b = (b_n) \in \ell_1(X)$ . The  $P$ -spectrum of  $(b_n)$  is connected if and only if*

$$(3) \quad r(S_n(P, b)) \leq \sum_{k=n+1}^\infty d(P_k) \|b_k\|$$

holds for every natural number  $n$ .

PROOF. The sufficiency of the inequality-system (3) is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.2. To prove its necessity we use an indirect reasoning again. Let us suppose that (3) does not hold for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Set  $\sigma = \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} d(P_k)\|b_k\|$  and choose  $x \in S_n(P, b)$  arbitrary. Observe that the assumptions  $G_i \subset S_n(P, b)$ ,  $x \in G_i$  and  $r(G_i) \leq \sigma$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ) imply  $r(\bigcup_{i=1}^m G_i) \leq \sigma$ , hence there exists a maximal set  $G_x$  with the assumed three properties of the sets  $G_i$ . The set  $S_n(P, b) \setminus G_x$  is non-void, since (3) is false for  $n$  as we have supposed. If  $y \in G_x$  and  $z \in S_n(P, b) \setminus G_x$ , then  $\|y - z\| > \sigma$ , therefore  $y + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \delta_k b_k \neq z + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \epsilon_k b_k$  whenever  $\delta_k, \epsilon_k \in P_k$  ( $k = n + 1, n + 2, \dots$ ). Let us now define

$$H_x = \left\{ y + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \delta_k b_{n+k} \mid y \in G_x \text{ and } \delta_k \in P_k \text{ for every } k \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

$H_x$  and  $S(P, b) \setminus H_x$  are non-void subsets of  $S(P, b)$ .  $H_x$  is a union of finitely many compact sets, hence it is compact. The same holds for its complement, since

$$S(P, b) \setminus H_x = \left\{ z + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \delta_k b_{n+k} \mid \delta_k \in P_k \text{ } (k = 1, 2, \dots), z \in S_n(P, b) \setminus G_x \right\}.$$

Therefore both of them is a closed set, thus  $S(P, b)$  is disconnected in contradiction with the hypothesis.

### 2. Some Fourier-type transforms of the Vilenkin group

An analogue of Theorem 1 in [4] is given in this section. Consider a sequence  $m = (m_n) : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$  and let us denote by  $Z_s$  the discrete cyclic (additive) group of  $s$  elements (i.e. the set  $\{0, 1, \dots, s - 1\}$  with the modulo  $s$  addition). The Cartesian product (both in topological and algebraic sense)

$$G_m = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} Z_{m_n}$$

is called the *Vilenkin group* [5, pages 501–510.]. For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots) \in G_m$  define

$$\varrho_n(x) = \exp\left(\frac{x_n}{m_n} 2\pi i\right).$$

The function  $\varrho_n$  is a character of  $G_m$ , it is called the ( $n$ th) Rademacher character of  $G_m$ . Any character of the Vilenkin group is a product of

finitely many Rademacher characters. For a given sequence  $a = (a_n) \in \ell_1(\mathbb{C})$  set

$$\phi_a = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \varrho_n.$$

The sum is absolutely and uniformly convergent, thus  $\phi_a : G_m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is continuous. To illuminate the connection with the previous section, put

$$E_n = \left\{ \exp\left(\frac{k}{m_n} 2\pi i\right) \mid k = 0, 1, \dots, m_n - 1 \right\} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N})$$

and  $E = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ . Observe that  $E$  is a bounded coefficient system in  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $\phi_a(G_m) = S(E, a)$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *If  $u, v, w$  are complex numbers and*

$$\left| \arg\left(\frac{w-u}{v-u}\right) \right| \leq \frac{\pi}{6},$$

then

$$|w-v| \leq \max \left\{ |w-u|, |v-u| - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}|w-u| \right\}$$

(we consider the function  $\arg : \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow ]-\pi, \pi]$  here).

PROOF. Set

$$\alpha = \left| \arg\left(\frac{w-u}{v-u}\right) \right| \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = \left| \arg\left(\frac{w-v}{u-v}\right) \right|.$$

Consider the case  $|w-v| > |w-u|$ , then  $\beta \leq \alpha$ ,

$$|w-v| = |v-u| \frac{\sin \alpha}{\sin(\alpha + \beta)} \quad \text{and} \quad |w-u| = |v-u| \frac{\sin \beta}{\sin(\alpha + \beta)},$$

as known from elementary geometry. To obtain the inequality in consideration, it is sufficient to justify

$$\sin(\alpha + \beta) - \sin \alpha - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \sin \beta \geq 0 \quad \left( 0 \leq \beta \leq \alpha \leq \frac{\pi}{6} \right),$$

which can be performed by standard analysis of extreme values.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $a = (a_n) \in \ell_1(\mathbb{C})$  and  $G_m$  the Vilenkin group. If  $m_n \geq 6$  and*

$$(4) \quad |a_n| \leq \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |a_k|$$

*hold for every natural number  $n$ , then the set  $\phi_a(G_m)$  is connected.*

PROOF. Due to Theorem 1.2 (or Theorem 1.3, since  $1 < d(E_n)$ ) it suffices to show that

$$(5) \quad r(S_n(E, a)) \leq \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |a_k|$$

is satisfied for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Set  $\beta_n = \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |a_k|$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) and let us prove (5) by induction. For  $n = 1$  we have

$$r(S_1(E, a)) = 2|a_1| \sin \frac{\pi}{m_1} \leq 2|a_1| \sin \frac{\pi}{6} = |a_1| \leq \beta_1.$$

Assume that  $n > 1$  and  $r(S_{n-1}(E, a)) \leq \beta_{n-1}$ . For  $x \in S_{n-1}(E, a)$  put

$$A_x = \left\{ x + a_n \exp\left(\frac{k}{m_n} 2\pi i\right) \mid k = 0, 1, \dots, m_n - 1 \right\}.$$

Similarly, as it is calculated for the case  $n = 1$ ,  $r(A_x) \leq |a_n| \leq \beta_n$ . Hence

$$A_x \times A_x \subset T_{\beta_n}^{m_n-1}(S_n(E, a)).$$

Choose  $x, y \in S_{n-1}(E, a)$  with  $|x - y| \leq \beta_{n-1}$ . We are going to prove that there exist  $z \in A_x$  and  $w \in A_y$  such that  $|z - w| \leq \beta_n$ . Then we can infer

$$(S_n(E, a))^2 = \left( \bigcup_{x \in S_{n-1}(E, a)} A_x \right)^2 = T_{\beta_n}^{t(m_n-1)+t-1}(S_n(E, a))$$

where  $t = \text{card}(S_{n-1}(E, a))$ , that is  $r(S_n(E, a)) \leq \beta_n$ . The existence of such  $z$  and  $w$  is obvious when  $|x - y| \leq \beta_n$  is satisfied: let  $z = x + a_n$  and  $w = y + a_n$ . Otherwise choose  $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, m_n - 1\}$  such that

$$\left| \arg(y - x) - \arg(a_n) - \left(\frac{k}{m_n} + j\right) 2\pi \right| \leq \frac{\pi}{6}$$

hold for some  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$  and let  $z = x + a_n \exp(\frac{k}{m_n} 2\pi i)$ . Lemma 2.1 implies

$$|z - y| \leq \max \left\{ |a_n|, |x - y| - \frac{|a_n|}{\sqrt{3}} \right\} \leq \max \left\{ |a_n|, |x - y| - \frac{|a_n|}{2} \right\}.$$

Now choose  $l \in \{0, 1, \dots, m_n - 1\}$  such that

$$\left| \arg(z - y) - \arg(a_n) - \left( \frac{l}{m_n} + j \right) 2\pi \right| \leq \frac{\pi}{6}$$

hold for some  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$  and let  $w = y + a_n \exp\left(\frac{l}{m_n} 2\pi i\right)$ . Applying Lemma 2.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} |z - w| &\leq \max \left\{ |a_n|, \left| z - y - \frac{|a_n|}{\sqrt{3}} \right| \right\} \leq \max \left\{ |a_n|, \left| z - y - \frac{|a_n|}{2} \right| \right\} \\ &\leq \max \{ |a_n|, |x - y| - |a_n| \} \leq \max \{ |a_n|, \beta_{n-1} - |a_n| \} \\ &= \max \{ |a_n|, \beta_n \} = \beta_n. \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $a = (a_n) \in \ell_1(\mathbb{C})$  and  $G_m$  the Vilenkin group. If*

$$(6) \quad |a_n| \sin \frac{\pi}{m_n} > \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |a_k|$$

*holds for every natural number  $n$ , then the set  $\phi_a(G_m)$  is totally disconnected.*

PROOF. Since  $G_m$  is a product of finite, discrete sets, it is compact and totally disconnected. We have also proved that the mapping  $\phi_a : G_m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is continuous, now we wish to justify that it is injective as well. It implies, as it is familiar, that  $\phi_a$  is a homeomorphism. To prove the injectivity suppose that  $x, y \in G_m$ ,  $x \neq y$  and  $\phi_a(x) = \phi_a(y)$ . Put  $p = \inf\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid x_n \neq y_n\}$ . Then the assumption  $\phi_a(x) - \phi_a(y) = 0$  can be written in the form

$$a_p(\varrho_p(y) - \varrho_p(x)) = \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_n(\varrho_n(x) - \varrho_n(y)),$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} 2|a_p| \sin \frac{\pi}{m_p} &\leq |a_p| |\varrho_p(y) - \varrho_p(x)| \leq \\ &\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} |a_n| |\varrho_n(x) - \varrho_n(y)| \leq 2 \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} |a_n|, \end{aligned}$$

in contradiction with the hypothesis.

### References

- [1] Z. BOROS, Interval-filling sequences with respect to a finite set of real coefficients, *Publ. Math. Debrecen* **43** 1-2 (1993), 61–68.
- [2] Z. DARÓCZY, A. JÁRAI and I. KÁTAI, Intervallfüllende Folgen und volladditive Funktionen, *Acta Sci. Math.* **52** (1988), 337–350.
- [3] Z. DARÓCZY and I. KÁTAI, Generalized number systems in the complex plane, *Acta Math. Hungar.* **51** 3-4 (1988), 409–416.
- [4] GY. MAKSA, Interval-filling sequences and the dyadic group, *Grazer Math. Ber.* **315** (1991), 69–74.
- [5] F. SCHIPP, P. SIMON and W. R. WADE, Walsh series, *Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest*, 1990.

ZOLTÁN BOROS  
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS  
LAJOS KOSSUTH UNIVERSITY  
H-4010 DEBRECEN, PF. 12  
HUNGARY  
E-MAIL: boros@math.klte.hu

*(Received March 1, 1995)*