#### MATHEMATICAL NOTES.

By A. RÉNYI in Budapest.

## II. On the sequence of generalized partial sums of a series.

#### Introduction.

Let  $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_n, \ldots$  denote an arbitrary sequence of real numbers. We define the corresponding sequence  $A_0, A_1, \ldots, A_n, \ldots$  as follows: we put

$$A_0 = 0$$

and if the representation of the integer  $n \ge 1$  in the dyadic system is

$$(1) n = 2^{k_1} + 2^{k_2} + \cdots + 2^{k_l}$$

(where  $k_1 > k_2 > \cdots > k_l \ge 0$  are integers) we put

pletely changed.

(2) 
$$A_n = a_{k_1} + a_{k_2} + \cdots + a_{k_l}.$$

We shall call the sequence  $\{A_n\}$  the sequence of generalized partial sums of the sequence  $\{a_n\}$  (or of the series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$ ).

Clearly the sequence  $\{A_n\}$  consists of all possible finite sums of elements of the sequence  $\{a_n\}$ , each such sum occurring exactly once in the sequence  $\{A_n\}$ ; the mentioned sums are ordered according to the lexicographic order. Evidently the ordinary partial sums of any

rearrangement of the series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$  are all contained in the sequence  $\{A_n\}$ . Clearly

if the series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a'_n$  is a rearrangement of the series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$ , then the sequence  $\{A'_n\}$  corresponding to the sequence  $\{a'_n\}$  in the same way as  $\{A_n\}$  corresponds to  $\{a_n\}$ , is a rearrangement of  $\{A_n\}$ . This is worth mentioning because by rearranging a series the sequence of ordinary partial sums is in general com-

In the present paper we shall investigate how the properties of the sequence  $\{A_n\}$  depend on the properties of the sequence  $\{a_n\}$ .

# § 1. On the limit of the arithmetic means of the powers of generalized partial sums.

First we prove the following

Theorem 1. The limit

$$\alpha_1 = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} A_k}{n}$$

exists if and only if the series  $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i$  converges; in this case we have

$$\alpha_1 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j.$$

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. Let us put

(3) 
$$\sigma_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} A_k.$$

As clearly

(4) 
$$A_k + A_{2^s - 1 - k} = \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} a_j \qquad 0 \le k \le 2^s - 1$$

we have

(5) 
$$\sigma_{2s} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} a_i.$$

Thus if  $\alpha_1 = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sigma_n$  exists, we have also  $\lim_{s \to \infty} \sigma_{2^s} = \alpha_1$  and thus  $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j$  is convergent and has the sum  $2\alpha_1$ . This proves that the convergence of  $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j$  is necessary for the existence of the limit  $\alpha_1$ . Now let us assume that  $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j$  is convergent, and let us put

$$(6) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j = A.$$

It follows by (5) that

$$\lim_{s\to\infty}\sigma_{2^s}=\frac{A}{2}.$$

It is easy to verify the following assertion: If  $n = 2^{k_1} + 2^{k_2} + \cdots + 2^{k_l}$  where

 $k_1 > k_2 > \cdots > k_l \ge 0$ , we have

(8) 
$$\sigma_n = \frac{\sum_{\nu=1}^l 2^{k_{\nu}} \sigma_{2^{k_{\nu}}}}{\sum_{\nu=1}^l 2^{k_{\nu}}} + \frac{\sum_{\nu=2}^l 2^{k_{\nu}} (a_{k_1} + a_{k_2} + \dots + a_{k_{\nu-1}})}{\sum_{\nu=1}^l 2^{k_{\nu}}},$$

Now we need the following elementary

**Lemma 1.** If  $c_0, c_1, ..., c_k, ...$  is an arbitrary sequence of numbers, with  $\lim_{k \to \infty} c_k = c$ , and we put

(9) 
$$\gamma_n = \frac{\sum_{\nu=1}^{l} 2^{k_{\nu}} c_{k_{\nu}}}{\sum_{\nu=1}^{l} 2^{k_{\nu}}}$$

for 
$$n = 2^{k_1} + 2^{k_2} + \cdots + 2^{k_l}$$
, then  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \gamma_n = c$ .

PROOF OF LEMMA 1. It is easy to see, that the linear summation method by which we obtain  $\{\gamma_n\}$  from  $\{c_k\}$  is a regular TOEPLITZ method; this proves Lemma 1. A direct proof is as follows:

Let us choose an arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$ ; then there can be found an integer  $K_0 = K_0(\varepsilon)$  such that  $|c_k - c| < \varepsilon$  for  $k \ge K_0$ ; we have further  $|c_k| \le C$  for  $k = 0, 1, \ldots$  Now we have clearly

$$|\gamma_n - c| \le \varepsilon + \frac{C\sum_{k=0}^{K_0-1} 2^k}{n} \le 2\varepsilon$$

for  $n \ge \frac{C2^{K_0}}{\varepsilon}$ . Thus  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \gamma_n = c$ .

It follows by Lemma 1 and (7) that the first term on the right of (8) tends to  $\frac{A}{2}$ . As regards the second term, we have clearly

(10) 
$$\left| \frac{\sum_{\nu=2}^{l} 2^{k_{\nu}} (a_{k_{1}} + \cdots + a_{k_{\nu-1}})}{\sum_{\nu=1}^{l} 2^{k_{\nu}}} \right| \leq \frac{\sum_{\nu=1}^{l} |a_{k_{\nu}}| \cdot 2^{k_{\nu}}}{\sum_{\nu=1}^{l} 2^{k_{\nu}}}$$

As  $|a_n| \to 0$ , it follows by Lemma 1 and (10) that the second term on the right of (8) tends to 0. Thus we have proved  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sigma_n = \frac{A}{2}$  and so the proof of Theorem 1 is completed.

Now we proceed to prove the following more general

Theorem 2. The limits

$$\alpha_r = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} A_k^r \qquad (r = 1, 2, ...)$$

all exist if and only if the series  $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j$  and  $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j^2$  are both convergent. The values of the limits  $\alpha_r$  can be expressed as follows: let t denote a real number,  $0 \le t < 1$ , and let us consider the dyadic expansion<sup>1</sup>)

$$t=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\varepsilon_n(t)}{2^n}$$

of t, where  $\varepsilon_n(t)$  is equal to 0 or 1. Let us consider the function

(11) 
$$A(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \varepsilon_{n+1}(t).$$

If  $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j$  and  $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j^2$  are convergent, then the series on the right of (11) is convergent<sup>2</sup>) for almost every value of t and the function A(t) belongs to any class  $L^p(p \ge 1)$  in (0, 1). The limits  $\alpha_r$  are simply the moments of A(t), i. e.

Before proving Theorem 2 we make some remarks.

Remark 1. It is clear from Theorem 1 that for the existence of  $\alpha_1$  the convergence of  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n^2$  is not necessary, but this condition is necessary already for the existence of  $\alpha_2$ . It is also clear that under the conditions of Theorem 2 we

have 
$$\int_{0}^{1} A(t) dt = \frac{A}{2}$$
, because  $\int_{0}^{1} \varepsilon_{k}(t) dt = \frac{1}{2} (k = 1, 2, ...)$ .

Remark 2. It follows from (12) for r=2 that

$$\alpha_2 = \frac{\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j\right)^2 + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j^2}{4}.$$

<sup>1)</sup> If t is a dyadic rational number,  $t = \frac{r}{2^s}$ , we choose the finite expansion, in which  $\varepsilon_n(t) = 0$  for n > s.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>) See H. Pollard, Subseries of a convergent series, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 49, (1943), 730-731.

This can be expressed also in the following form:

(13) 
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (A_k - \alpha_1)^2 = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i^2.$$

Remark 3. The functions  $\varepsilon_k(t)$  are connected with the well known RADEMACHER functions  $R_k(t) = \operatorname{sg\ sin}\ 2^k \pi t$  as follows:  $\varepsilon_k(t) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + R_k(t))$ . Thus (11) can be written also in the following equivalent form:

Let us put

(14) 
$$D(t) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n R_{n+1}(t)$$

Then we have

(15) 
$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(A_k-\alpha_1)^r=\int_0^1(D(t))^rdt \qquad (r=1,2,\ldots)$$

Clearly (13) is the special case r=2 of (15). The right hand side of (15) is evidently equal to 0 for odd values of r.

Remark 4. Note that if  $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j$  and  $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j^2$  are convergent but  $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |a_j|$  diverges, the sequence  $A_n$  is unbounded, and thus not only the existence of the limits  $\alpha_r$  but even the boundedness of the mean values  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} A_k^r$  is not trivial.

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. We start from the formula

(16) 
$$\frac{1}{2^{\nu}} \sum_{k=0}^{2^{\nu}-1} A_k^r = \int_0^1 (S_{\nu}(t))^r dt$$

where

(17) 
$$S_{\nu}(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\nu-1} a_k \, \varepsilon_{k+1}(t).$$

To prove (16) it suffices to point out that the values of the function  $S_{\nu}(t)$  are the numbers  $A_0, A_1, \ldots, A_{2^{\nu}-1}$ , and each of these values is taken on by the function  $S_{\nu}(t)$  on a subinterval of length  $\frac{1}{2^{\nu}}$ .

We prove first the sufficiency part of Theorem 2.

Let us suppose that the series  $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j$  and  $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j^2$  are convergent and put  $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j = A$  and  $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j^2 = B^2$ . By a well known theorem on RADEMACHER's

series<sup>3</sup>) the convergence of the series  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k^2$  implies that the series  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k R_k(t)$  converges almost everywhere to a function which belongs to every class  $L^p(p \ge 1)$ . It is also known that for any integer  $m \ge 1$  we have

(18) 
$$\int_{0}^{1} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{N} b_{k} R_{k}(t) \right|^{2m} dt \leq C_{m} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{N} b_{k}^{2} \right)^{m}$$

where  $C_m$  is a positive constant, not depending on  $b_0, b_1, \ldots$ . We may take e.g.  $C_m = m^m$ . It follows that we have for almost every t

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} S_r(t) = A(t)$$

and A(t) belongs to every class  $L^p(p \ge 1)$ . We have further for  $r \ge 2$ 

(19) 
$$\left|\int_0^1 (S_{\nu}(t))^r dt - \int_0^1 (A(t))^r dt\right| \leq \int_0^1 |S_{\nu}(t)^r - A(t)^r| dt.$$

As for any pair of real numbers x and h we have for r = 1, 2, ...

$$|(x+h)^{r}-x^{r}| \leq rh(|x|^{r-1}+|x+h|^{r-1})$$

it follows from (19) that

(20) 
$$\left| \int_{0}^{1} (S_{\nu}(t))^{r} dt - \int_{0}^{1} (A(t))^{r} dt \right| \leq r \int_{0}^{1} |S_{\nu}(t) - A(t)| \left( |S_{\nu}(t)|^{r-1} + |A(t)|^{r-1} \right) dt.$$

Applying the inequality of Schwarz we obtain

$$(21)\left|\int_{0}^{1} (S_{r}(t))^{r} dt - \int_{0}^{1} (A(t))^{r} dt\right| \leq 2r \left[ \left( \int_{0}^{1} (S_{r}(t) - A(t))^{2} dt \right) (r-1)^{r-1} \left( \frac{A^{2} + B^{2}}{4} \right)^{r-1} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

because by (18)

$$\int_{0}^{1} |S_{r}(t)|^{2r-2} dt \leq (r-1)^{r-1} \left(\frac{A^{2}+B^{2}}{4}\right)^{r-1}$$

and

$$\int_{0}^{1} (A(t))^{2r-2} dt \leq (r-1)^{r-1} \left( \frac{A^{2} + B^{2}}{4} \right)^{r-1}.$$

Now as

$$\int_{0}^{1} (S_{\nu}(t) - A(t))^{2} dt = \frac{\sum_{k=\nu}^{\infty} a_{k}^{2} + \left(\sum_{k=\nu}^{\infty} a_{k}\right)^{2}}{4},$$

<sup>3)</sup> See A. Zygmund, Trigonometrical Series, Monografje Matematyczne, Warszawa— Lwów 1935, pp. 123—124.

it follows from (21) that

$$\lim_{\nu\to\infty}\int\limits_0^1(S_{\nu}(t))^rdt=\int\limits_0^1(A(t)^rdt.$$

Thus putting

(22) 
$$\sigma_n^{(r)} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} A_k^r$$

we have proved

(23) 
$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\sigma_{2^r}^{(r)}=\int\limits_0^1\left(A(t)\right)^rdt=\alpha_r.$$

To deduce  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sigma_n^{(r)} = \alpha_r$  from (23), we shall need the following identity: If  $n = 2^{k_1} + 2^{k_2} + \cdots + 2^{k_l}$  with  $k_1 > k_2 > \cdots > k_l \ge 0$  we have

(24) 
$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} A_k^r = \sum_{j=1}^l 2^{k_j} \sigma_{2^{k_j}}^{(r)} + \sum_{j=2}^l \left[ \sum_{i=0}^{2^{k_{j-1}}} \left( A_i + \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} a_{k_k} \right)^r - A_i^r \right]$$

Thus it follows

(25) 
$$\sigma_n^{(r)} = u_n^{(r)} + \sum_{\varrho=0}^{r-1} {r \choose \varrho} v_n^{(r,\varrho)}$$

where

(26) 
$$u_n^{(r)} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{l} 2^{k_j} \sigma_{2^{k_j}}^{(r)}}{\sum_{j=1}^{l} 2^{k_j}}$$

and

(27) 
$$v_n^{(r,\varrho)} = \frac{\sum_{j=2}^{l} 2^{k_j} \sigma_{2^{k_j}}^{(\varrho)} (a_{k_1} + \dots + a_{k_{j-1}})^{r-\varrho}}{\sum_{j=1}^{l} 2^{k_j}}$$

It follows by (23) and Lemma 1 that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} u_n^{(r)} = \alpha_r.$$

As regards  $v_n^{(r,\varrho)}$  we shall prove that

(29) 
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} v_n^{(r,\varrho)} = 0 \text{ for } \varrho = 0, 1, ..., r-1; r = 1, 2, ....$$

As every convergent sequence is bounded, there can be found positive constants  $K_{\ell}$  ( $\ell=1,2,\ldots$ ) such that

$$\left|\sigma_{2^{\nu}}^{(\varrho)}\right| \leq K_{\varrho}.$$

It will be useful to put  $\sigma_{2\nu}^{(0)} \equiv 1$  and thus  $K_0 = 1$ . It follows

(31) 
$$|v_n^{(r,\varrho)}| \leq K_{\varrho}^{\sum_{j=2}^{l} 2^{k_j} (|a_{k_1}| + \dots + |a_{k_{j-1}}|)^{r-\varrho}} \sum_{j=1}^{l} 2^{k_j}.$$

Applying the inequality of CAUCHY, we obtain

(32) 
$$|v_n^{(r,\varrho)}| \leq \frac{K_\varrho}{n} \sum_{i=2}^l 2^{k_j} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} a_{k_i}^2 \right)^{\frac{r-\varrho}{2}} j^{\frac{r-\varrho}{2}}$$

Now to an arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$  there can be found an integer  $k_0 = k_0(\varepsilon)$  such that  $\sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} a_k^2 < \varepsilon^2$ . We may further suppose  $B^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k^2 < 1$ , because if this where not so, we could consider the sequence  $\{\mathcal{P}a_n\}$  with a suitable  $\mathcal{P}(0 < \mathcal{P} < 1)$  instead of the sequence  $\{a_n\}$ . Thus it follows, taking into account that  $k_j \leq k_1 - j$ , that

(33) 
$$|v_n^{(r,\varrho)}| \leq K_{\varrho} \left( \varepsilon \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{j^{\frac{r-\varrho}{2}}}{2^j} + \frac{2^{k_0} l^{\frac{r-\varrho}{2}}}{n} \right),$$

the series  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{j^{\alpha}}{2^{j}}$  being convergent for any  $\alpha > 0$ .

As 
$$l \le k_1 + 1 \le \frac{\log 2n}{\log 2}$$
 we obtain

(34) 
$$|v_n^{(r,\varrho)}| \le C_r \left( \varepsilon + \frac{2^{k_0} \left( \frac{\log 2n}{\log 2} \right)^{\frac{r}{2}}}{n} \right)$$
  $(\varrho = 0, 1, ..., r-1)$ 

where  $C_r$  is a positive constant, depending only on r. Thus it follows that (35)  $\lim_{n \to \infty} v_n^{(r,\varrho)} = 0 \qquad (0 \le \varrho \le r, -1; r = 1, 2, ...).$ 

This completes the proof of  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sigma_n^{(r)} = \alpha_r$ , and thus the proof of the sufficiency of the conditions of Theorem 2.

To prove the necessity it suffices to mention, that according to Theorem 1 the convergence of  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k$  is necessary already for the existence of  $\alpha_1$ , and

according to (16)

$$\sigma_{2^{\nu}}^{(2)} = \frac{\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\nu-1} a_k\right)^2 + \sum_{k=0}^{\nu-1} a_k^2}{4}.$$

Thus  $\lim_{\nu \to \infty} \sigma_{2\nu}^{(2)} = a_2$  implies the convergence of  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k^2$ .

It follows evidently from Theorem 2 that we have under the conditions of Theorem 2

(36) 
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f(A_k) = \int_{0}^{1} f(A(t)) dt$$

if f(x) is any polynomial. If  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n|$  is also convergent, i. e. if the sequence  $A_k$  is bounded, it follows by a well known argument that (36) is valid for any continuous function. If the absolute convergence of  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$  is not supposed, we can prove (36) only for continuous functions f(x) satisfying some restrictions concerning the order of magnitude of f(x) for  $x \to \infty$ . We shall not go into details here, and mention only that without any restriction on f(x) the integral on the right-hand side of (36) does not exist in general. 1)

For some special continuous functions f(x) the validity of (36) can be deduced from Theorem 2. For instance if f(x) = |x-c| where c is an arbitrary real number, then (36) is valid. This implies, that

(37) 
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |A_k - \alpha_1| = \int_0^1 |D(t)| dt$$

and as the right-hand side (37) is positive unless  $a_0 = a_1 = \cdots = 0$ , it follows from (37) that the sequence  $A_n$  is not strongly summable except if all  $a_n$  vanish.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>) A sufficient condition for the existence of the integral on the right of (36) is that f(x) should satisfy the inequality  $f(x) \le Ce^{\delta x^2}$  where  $\delta \le \frac{4}{e} (A^2 + B^2)^{-1}$  (see Zygmund. loc. cit.).

### § 2. The asymptotic distribution of generalized partial sums.

Now we shall consider the asymptotic distribution of the sequence  $A_n$ . We shall prove the following

**Theorem 3.** Let  $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_n, \ldots$  denote a real sequence and put  $A_0 = 0$  and  $A_n = a_{k_1} + a_{k_2} + \cdots + a_{k_l}$  if  $n = 2^{k_1} + 2^{k_2} + \cdots + 2^{k_l}$  where  $k_1 > k_2 > \cdots > k_l \ge 0$  are integers. Let  $N_n(x)$  denote the number of those among the numbers  $A_0, A_1, \ldots, A_{n-1}$  which are < x, i.e. put

(38) 
$$N_n(x) = \sum_{\substack{A_k < x \\ k < n}} 1 \qquad (n = 1, 2, ...).$$

Let us suppose that the series  $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j$  and  $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j^2$  are convergent, and let us put again  $A(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \varepsilon_{n+1}(t)$  where  $\varepsilon_n(t)$  is the n-th dyadic digit of t, i. e.  $\varepsilon_n(t)$  is 0 or 1 and  $t = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon_n(t)}{2^n}$ . Let F(x) denote the measure of the set of those points t of the interval (0, 1) for which A(t) < x. By other words F(x) is the distribution function of A(t). (F(x) is clearly nondecreasing, continuous to the right,  $\lim_{n \to \infty} F(x) = 0$  and  $\lim_{n \to \infty} F(x) = 1$ .) Then we have

(39) 
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{N_n(x)}{n} = F(x)$$

in all continuity points x of F(x).

PROOF OF THEOREM 3. First we prove that if x is a point of continuity of F(x) then

(40) 
$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{N_{2r}(x)}{2^r}=F(x).$$

This can be shown as follows: The function

$$S_{\nu}(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\nu-1} a_k \, \varepsilon_{k+1}(t)$$

takes on the values  $A_0, A_1, \ldots, A_{2^p-1}$ , each on a set of measure  $\frac{1}{2^n}$ . Thus denoting by  $F_r(x)$  the measure of the set of those values t  $(0 \le t < t)$  for which  $S_r(t) < x$  (i. e.  $F_r(x)$  is the distribution function of  $S_r(t)$ ) we have

(41) 
$$\frac{N_2 r(x)}{2^{\nu}} = F_{\nu}(x).$$

Taking into account that if  $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j$  and  $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j^2$  are convergent,  $S_{\nu}(t)$  tends almost everywhere to A(t), and therefore it tends also in measure to A(t), we have  $\lim_{t\to\infty} F_{\nu}(x) = F(x)$  in all continuity points of F(x). This proves (40).

To prove (39) we start from the formula

(42) 
$$N_n(x) = 2^{k_1} F_{2^{k_1}}(x) + \sum_{j=2}^{l} 2^{k_j} F_{2^{k_j}}(x - a_{k_1} - \cdots - a_{k_{j-1}})$$

if

$$n = 2^{k_1} + 2^{k_2} + \dots + 2^{k_l} \qquad (k_1 > k_2 > \dots > k_l \ge 0).$$

Now we need the following elementary

**Lemma 2.** If  $c_n \ge 0$  and  $c_n \to 0$ , there can be found a monotonically increasing sequence  $l_n$  of integers, such that  $\lim l_n = +\infty$  and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} (c_{n-1}+\cdots+c_{n-l_n})=0.$$

PROOF OF THE LEMMA. Let us put

$$d_n = \max_{k \ge n} c_k$$

and

$$l_n = \min\left([n/2], \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_{[n/2]}}}\right).$$

Then we have

$$\sum_{j=n-l_n}^{n-1} c_j \leq \sum_{j=n-l_n}^{n-1} d_j \leq l_n d_{n-l_n} \leq \frac{d_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}}{\sqrt{d_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}}} = \sqrt{d_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}} \to 0,$$

which proves the assertion of our Lemma.

To complete the proof of Theorem 3 let us choose the sequence  $l_n$  in such a way, that  $l_n \to \infty$  for  $n \to \infty$  and  $(|a_r| + |a_{r-1}| + \cdots + |a_{r-l_r}|) \to 0$  for  $r \to \infty$ . This is possible by Lemma 2 because  $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j$  being convergent we have  $\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = 0$ . For any  $\epsilon > 0$  we can find an integer  $k^* = k^*(\epsilon)$  such that  $|F_{gk}(x) - F(x)| < \epsilon$  if  $k \ge k^*$ . It follows that

(43) 
$$\frac{N_n(x)}{n} \le \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{l} 2^{k_j} F_{2^{k_j}}(x) \le F(x) + \varepsilon + \frac{2^{k^*}}{n}$$

which implies that

(44) 
$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{N_n(x)}{n} \le F(x).$$

Clearly we can find a value  $\nu_0$  such that if  $\nu \ge \nu_0$  then  $|a_{\nu}| + |a_{\nu-1}| + \cdots + |a_{\nu-l_{\nu}}| < \varepsilon$ . Thus if  $n \ge 2^{\nu_0}$  we have  $k_1 \ge \nu_0$  and

$$\sum_{k_i \geq k_1 - l_{k_1}} 2^{k_i} \geq \sum_{i=1}^l 2^{k_i} - \sum_{r=0}^{k_1 - l_{k_1} - 1} 2^r \geq n - 2^{k_1 - l_{k_1}}.$$

We have evidently

$$\frac{N_n(x)}{n} \geq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k_i > k_1 - 1k_-} 2^{k_i} F_{2^{k_i}}(x - \varepsilon).$$

If we choose the integer  $k^{**}$  so that for  $k \ge k^{**}$  we have  $|F_{2^k}(x-\varepsilon) - F(x-\varepsilon)| < \varepsilon$ , it follows

(45) 
$$\frac{N_n(x)}{n} \ge (F(x-\varepsilon)-\varepsilon)\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{l_{k_1}}}\right)$$

provided that  $k_1 - l_{k_1} \ge k^{**}$ . As for  $n \to \infty$ ,  $k_1 \to \infty$  and thus  $l_{k_1} \to \infty$ , further  $k_1 - l_{k_1} \to \infty$ , it follows from (45) that

(46) 
$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{N_n(x)}{n} \ge F(x).$$

(44) and (46) together imply that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{N_n(x)}{n}=F(x)$$

for any continuity point x of F(x). Thus Theorem 3 is proved.

# § 3. Equivalence of the (C, 2)-summability of generalized partial sums with the convergence of a series.

It follows from Theorem 1 that if the arithmetic means of the sequence  $\{A_k\}$  of generalized partial sums of a series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$  converge to a limit  $\alpha_1$ , the series itself is convergent and has the sum  $2\alpha_1$ . In this § we shall show that the same holds for the Cesàro means of order 2 too. Thus we prove the following

**Theorem 4.** If the Cesàro means of order 2 of the sequence  $\{A_n\}$  of generalized partial sums of the series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$  converge to a limit  $\alpha_1$ , then the series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$  is convergent and has the sum  $2\alpha_1$ .

PROOF OF THEOREM 4. Let us put

(48) 
$$\sigma_n^{(2)} = \frac{1}{\binom{n}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (n-k) A_k.$$

By supposition  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sigma_n^{(2)} = \alpha_1$ , which implies that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\sigma_{2r}^{(2)}=\alpha_1.$$

Now it is easy to show that, putting

$$(50) S_k = a_0 + a_1 + \cdots + a_k,$$

we have

(51) 
$$\sigma_{2^{\nu}}^{(2)} = \frac{(2^{\nu-1}-1)S_{\nu-1} + \sum_{i=0}^{\nu-2} 2^{i} \cdot S_{i}}{2(2^{\nu}-1)}.$$

It follows that

(52) 
$$\frac{2(2^{\nu+1}-1)\sigma_{2^{\nu+1}}^{(2)}-2(2^{\nu}-1)\sigma_{2^{\nu}}^{(2)}}{(2^{\nu}-1)} = S_{\nu} + \frac{S_{\nu-1}}{S^{\nu}-1}.$$

By (49), we have

(53) 
$$\lim_{r\to\infty} \left( S_r + \frac{S_{r-1}}{2^r - 1} \right) = 2\alpha_1.$$

Now we shall prove that (53) implies

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} S_r = 2\alpha_1.$$

Clearly it suffices to show that  $S_r$  is bounded. But if  $S_r$  were unbounded, we could find a subsequence  $S_{r_j}$  such that  $v_j \to \infty$ ,  $|S_{v_j}| \to \infty$  and  $|S_{r_j}| \ge$   $\ge |S_{r_j-1}|$  which would imply  $\limsup_{v \to \infty} \left| \left( S_v + \frac{S_{v-1}}{2^v - 1} \right) \right| = +\infty$  in contradiction to (53). Thus Theorem 4 is proved. Similar results hold for other methods of summation too. We hope to return to the question in another paper.

(Received December 12, 1956.)