A note on entire and meromorphic functions.

By HARI SHANKAR in Moradabad.

1. Let f(z) be an entire function and let

$$M(r,f) = M(r) = \underset{0 \le \theta \le 2n}{\operatorname{Max}} |f(re^{i\theta})|,$$

$$\mathfrak{M}(r,f) = \mathfrak{M}(r) = \min_{0 \le \theta \le 2n} |f(re^{i\theta})|,$$

n(r, a) = n(r) denote the number of zeros of f(z) - a in $|z| \le r$ each counted according to its multiplicity. Further let |f(0)| = 1, then M. L. CARTWRIGHT ([1], p. 14.) has proved that for 0 < r < R

$$(1.1) M(R) \ge \left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^{n(r)}$$

S. K. Singh [3] proved that for 0 < r < R

$$\frac{M(R)}{\mathfrak{M}(r)} \ge \left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^{n(r)},$$

$$\frac{\mathfrak{M}(R)}{M(r)} \leq \left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^{n(R)}.$$

Furtner he proved [4] that

$$\frac{M(R)}{\mathfrak{W}(r)} \ge \left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^{\frac{N(R)}{\log R}}, \quad (0 < r < R)$$

where

$$N(R) = \int_{0}^{R} \frac{n(t)}{t} dt.$$

The purpose of this note is to improve the results of SINGH and to establish a result (see § 2) on meromorphic functions. Let us indicate, first of

214 H. Shankar

all, certain standard notations ([2], p. 6.) which we shall use here.

$$m(r, \frac{1}{f-a}) = m(r, a) = (2\pi)^{-1} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \log^{+} |f(re^{i\theta}) - a|^{-1} d\theta, \quad (a \neq \infty),$$

$$m(r, f) = m(r, \infty) = (2\pi)^{-1} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \log^{+} |f(re^{i\theta})| d\theta.$$

$$N(r, \frac{1}{f-a}) = N(r, a) = \int_{0}^{r} \frac{n(t, a) - n(0, a)}{t} dt + n(0, a) \log r, \quad (a \neq \infty),$$

$$N(r, f) = N(r, \infty) = \int_{0}^{r} \frac{n(t, \infty) - n(0, \infty)}{t} dt + n(0, \infty) \log r,$$

$$T(r, f) = T(r) = m(r, f) + N(r, f).$$

As the expression $N(r, \infty)$ is identically zero for all entire functions, the NEVANLINNA characteristic function T(r) for the function f(z) reduces to

$$T(r) = m(r, f).$$

We prove here

Theorem. 1. Let f(z) be an entire function and |f(0)| = 1, then for 0 < r < R

$$\frac{\exp\left(T(R)\right)}{\mathfrak{M}(r)} \ge \left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^{n(r)}$$

$$(1.6) \frac{\mathfrak{M}(R)}{\exp(T(r))} \ge \left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^{n(R)}$$

(1.7)
$$\frac{\exp(T(R))}{\mathfrak{M}(r)} \ge \left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^{\frac{N(R)}{\log R}}$$

 $(\exp(x) means e^x).$

Since the inequality ([2], p. 24)

$$T(r) \le \log^+ M(r, f) \le \frac{R+r}{R-r} T(R),$$
 (0 < r < R),

is satisfied by an entire function, (1.2), (1.3), and (1.4) follow immediately from (1.5), (1.6) and (1.7) respectively.

PROOF. By JENSEN's theorem we get

$$N(r,0) = \int_{0}^{r} \frac{n(t,0)}{t} dt = (2\pi)^{-1} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \log|f(re^{i\theta})| d\theta =$$

$$= (2\pi)^{-1} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \log^{+}|f(re^{i\theta})| d\theta - (2\pi)^{-1} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \log^{+}|f(re^{i\theta})|^{-1} d\theta = m(r,f) - m\left(r,\frac{1}{f}\right).$$

Since $m\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) \ge 0$, we have

$$N(r) \leq m(r, f) = T(r)$$
.

Also

$$N(r) = \int_{0}^{r} \frac{n(t)}{t} dt = (2\pi)^{-1} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \log|f(re^{i\theta})| d\theta \ge \log \mathfrak{M}(r).$$

Therefore

$$(1.8) n(r) \log \frac{R}{r} \leq \int_{r}^{R} \frac{n(t)}{t} dt = N(R) - N(r) \leq T(R) - \log \mathfrak{W}(r).$$

Hence

$$\log \frac{\exp (T(R))}{\mathfrak{R}r} \ge \log \left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^{n(r)}$$

and (1.5) follows.

On the other hand

$$n(R)\log\frac{R}{r} \ge \int_{r}^{R} \frac{n(t)}{t} dt = N(R) - N(r) \ge \log \mathfrak{M}(R) - T(r).$$

Therefore

$$\log \frac{\mathfrak{M}(R)}{\exp (T(r))} \leq \log \left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^{n(R)}$$

and (1.6) follows.

Further, since N(r) is an increasing convex function of $\log r$, and let O be the origin and $P: (\log R, N(R)); Q: (\log r, N(r))$ be two points on its graph, then the slope of OP is greater than the slope of OQ, consequently

$$\frac{N(R)}{\log R} \ge \frac{N(r)}{\log r}$$

or

$$\frac{N(R)-N(r)}{\log R-\log r}\geq \frac{N(R)}{\log R}.$$

Hence (1.8) gives

$$\frac{N(R)}{\log R} \le \frac{T(R) - \log \mathfrak{M}(r)}{\log R - \log r}$$

from which (1.7) follows.

2. Let w(z) be a non constant meromorphic function and (under the notations of § 1) let

$$T(r, w) = T(r) = m(r, \infty) + N(r, \infty)$$

be its NEVANLINNA's characteristic function.

We shall prove here

Theorem 2. If $0 < \alpha < 1$, then

$$\Phi(r) = T(\alpha r) - T(r)$$

is a non-increasing function of r.

PROOF. Let $0 < r_1 < r_2$, and consider two pair of points

$$(\log \alpha r_1, T(\alpha r_1)); (\log r_2, T(r_2))$$

 $(\log r_1, T(r_1)); (\log \alpha r_2, T(\alpha r_2))$

lying on the graph of T(r). Obviously the first pair of points contains the second pair. The mid-point of the corresponding chords have equal abscissa; for

$$\frac{\log \alpha r_1 + \log r_2}{2} = \frac{\log r_1 + \log \alpha r_2}{2}$$

and hence, as regards the ordinates we have due to the convexity of T(r)

$$\frac{T(\alpha r_1) + T(r_2)}{2} \geq \frac{T(r_1) + T(\alpha r_2)}{2}$$

and the result follows.

Bibliography.

- [1] M. L. CARTWRIGHT, Integral functions, Cambridge Tract No. 44, 1956.
- [2] R. Nevalinna, La théorème de Picard—Borel et la théorie des fonctions méromorphés, Paris, 1929.
- [3] S. K. Singh, A note on entire functions, J. Univ. Bombay 20 (1952), 1-7.
- [4] S. K. Singh, The maximum term and the rank of an entire function, *Publ. Math. Debrecen* 3 (1953), 1-8).

(Received September 22, 1956; in revised form April 3, 1957.)