Corrigendum
On the maximum terms of an entire function and its derivatives

(*Publicationes Mathematicae*, **8** *(1961), pp. 308—312*)

By T. V. LAKSHMINARASIMHAN (Madras, India)

Lemma 2 in the above paper should be corrected as follows:

$$(*)\qquad \nu_j(r) \leqq r\frac{\mu_{j+1}(r)}{\mu_j(r)} \leqq \nu_{j+1}(r)+1, \qquad j=0,1,2,\dots.$$

This requires a corresponding correction in relation (4), p. 310, and hence also a correction in the proof of my Theorem 1, p. 309, as follows:

Lemma 2. in the correct form ($*$) yields:

$$r^j\frac{\mu_j(r)}{\mu_0(r)}\begin{cases}\leqq[\nu_j(r)+j][\nu_j(r)+j-1]\dots[\nu_j(r)+1],\\ \geqq \nu_0(r)[\nu_0(r)-1]\dots[\nu_0(r)-j+1],\end{cases}$$

i. e.

$$r^j\left[\frac{\mu_j(r)}{\mu_0(r)}\right]\begin{cases}\leqq F(r)\sim\{\nu_j(r)\}^j\\ \geqq G(r)\sim\{\nu_0(r)\}^j, \qquad r\to\infty.\end{cases}$$

After this, the proof of my *Theorem 1* can be completed by using my Lemma 1 where of course $\nu_j(r)$ in the formulae for ϱ and λ is a misprint for $\log \nu_j(r)$.

Taking into account the correct form ($*$) of my Lemma 2, my Theorem 2 will read thus:

For $r>r_0$,

$$r\frac{\mu_1(r)}{\mu(r)}>\frac{\log\mu(r)}{\log r},$$

$$r^j\frac{\mu_j(r)}{\mu(r)}>\frac{\log\mu(r)}{\log r}\left[\frac{\log\mu(r)}{\log r}-1\right]\dots\left[\frac{\log\mu(r)}{\log r}-j+1\right], \qquad j\geqq 2.$$

I thank DR. PETER FLOR of „Mathematisches Institut der Universität", Vienna, for pointing out to me the necessity for correcting my Lemma 2 referred to above.

(*Received October 17, 1964.*)