

## BV-solutions of some systems of nonlinear functional equations

By CZESŁAW DYJAK (Częstochowa, Poland)

**Abstract.** In the paper the solutions of bounded variation (BV-solutions) of the systems of functional equations  $\varphi_i(x) = h_i(x, \varphi_1[f_{i,1}(x)], \dots, \varphi_m[f_{i,m}(x)])$  and  $\varphi_i[f(x)] = g_i(x, \varphi_1(x), \dots, \varphi_m(x))$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , are considered. Under suitable hypotheses about given functions  $h_i$ ,  $g_i$  and  $f_{i,j}$  it is proved that the first system has in an interval  $(a, b)$  a unique BV-solutions and that BV-solution of the second system in an interval  $(a, b)$  depends on an arbitrary function.

**Introduction.** In this paper we consider the solutions of bounded variation (BV-solutions) of the systems of functional equations

$$(1) \quad \varphi_i(x) = h_i(x, \varphi_i[f_{i,1}(x)], \dots, \varphi_m[f_{i,m}(x)]), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

and

$$(2) \quad \varphi_i[f(x)] = g_i(x, \varphi_1(x), \dots, \varphi_m(x)), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m,$$

where  $\varphi_i$  are any unknown functions.

In our previous papers [1], [2] (with J. MATKOWSKI ) and [3] we have considered BV-solutions of the single functional equations (linear, nonlinear of first order and nonlinear of higher order). This is the first paper in which the BV-solutions of the systems of functional equations are considered. The special role in our considerations play some Lemmas and Theorems proved by Professor J. MATKOWSKI in his habilitation work [4].

Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and  $I \subset R$  an interval. By  $P(I)$  we denote the set of all finite partitions  $p : x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_s$  of the

---

*Mathematics Subject Classification:* Primary 39 B 30.

*Key words and phrases:* Functional equations, BV-solutions.

interval  $I$ , where  $x_i \in I$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, s$ . Similarly as in the papers [2] and [3], for the function  $\varphi : I \rightarrow M$  we denote by

$$(3) \quad \text{Var}_I \varphi := \sup_{P(I)} \sum_{i=1}^s d(\varphi(x_i), \varphi(x_{i-1})).$$

By  $\text{BV}(I)$  we denote the space of all functions  $\varphi : I \rightarrow X$  such that  $\text{Var}_I \varphi < \infty$ .

Also similarly as in [4] let us define the numbers  $c_{i,k}^{(r)}$  as follows:

$$(4) \quad c_{i,k}^{(r+1)} = \begin{cases} c_{1,1}^{(r)} c_{i+1,k+1}^{(r)} - c_{i+1,1}^{(r)} c_{1,k+1}^{(r)} & \text{for } i = k \\ c_{1,1}^{(r)} c_{i+1,k+1}^{(r)} + c_{i+1,1}^{(r)} c_{1,k+1}^{(r)} & \text{for } i \neq k \end{cases}$$

$i, k = 1, 2, \dots, m - r - 1$ ;  $r = 0, 1, \dots, m - 2$ .

**1.** In this section we assume the following hypotheses:

- (i)  $(X, d)$  is a complete metric space,
- (ii)  $f_{i,k} : \langle a, b \rangle \rightarrow \langle a, b \rangle$  are continuous and strictly increasing in  $\langle a, b \rangle$ ,  $i, k = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ,
- (iii)  $h_i : \langle a, b \rangle \times X^m \rightarrow X$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ,
- (iv) There are  $H_i \in \text{BV} \langle a, b \rangle$  and  $\ell_{i,k} \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $0 < \ell_{i,k} < 1$ ,  $i, k = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , and

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} & d(h_i(x, y_1, \dots, y_m), h_i(\bar{x}, \bar{y}_1, \dots, \bar{y}_m)) \\ & \leq \sum_{k=1}^m \ell_{i,k} d(y_k, \bar{y}_k) + d(H_i(x), H_i(\bar{x})), \\ & i = 1, 2, \dots, m, (x, y_1, \dots, y_m), (\bar{x}, \bar{y}_1, \dots, \bar{y}_m) \in \langle a, b \rangle \times X^m \end{aligned}$$

and the numbers

$$(6) \quad c_{i,k}^{(0)} = \begin{cases} 1 - \ell_{i,k} & \text{for } i = k \\ \ell_{i,k} & \text{for } i \neq k \end{cases} \quad i, k = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

fulfil the conditions

$$(7) \quad c_{i,i}^{(r)} > 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m - r; \quad r = 0, 1, \dots, m - 1,$$

where  $c_{i,k}^{(r)}$  are defined by (4).

Now we have the following:

**Theorem 1.** *If hypotheses (i)-(iv) are fulfilled then the system of equations (1) has in the interval  $\langle a, b \rangle$  a unique solution  $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m)$ , such that  $\varphi_i \in \text{BV} \langle a, b \rangle$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ . This solution is given by the formula  $\varphi_i = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_{i,n}$  (uniformly in  $\langle a, b \rangle$ ), where  $\varphi_{i,n+1}(x) = h_i(x, \varphi_{1,n}[f_{i,1}(x)], \dots, \varphi_{m,n}[f_{i,m}(x)])$ ,  $x \in \langle a, b \rangle$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ,  $n = 0, 1, \dots$  and  $\varphi_{i,0} \in \text{BV} \langle a, b \rangle$  is arbitrarily chosen. Moreover*

$$(8) \quad \text{Var}_{\langle a, b \rangle} \varphi_i \leq \frac{\sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq i}}^m \ell_{i,k} \text{Var}_{\langle a, b \rangle} \varphi_k + \text{Var}_{\langle a, b \rangle} H_i}{1 - \ell_{i,i}}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

PROOF. In view of Lemma 1.1 from [4], there exist the numbers  $r_i > 0$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$  and  $t$ ,  $0 < t < 1$ , such that

$$(9) \quad \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq i}}^m \ell_{i,k} r_k \leq t r_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

Note that for every  $\lambda > 0$  the numbers  $\lambda r_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , also satisfy (9). It follows that without any loss of generality we can assume that

$$(10) \quad \text{Var}_{\langle a, b \rangle} H_i \leq (1 - t) r_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

Now let us define the system of functions spaces

$$(11) \quad X_i = \left\{ \varphi_i \in \text{BV} \langle a, b \rangle : \text{Var}_{\langle a, b \rangle} \varphi_i \leq r_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m \right\}$$

with the metrics

$$(12) \quad \varrho_i(\bar{\varphi}_i, \bar{\bar{\varphi}}_i) = \sup_{x \in \langle a, b \rangle} d(\bar{\varphi}_i(x), \bar{\bar{\varphi}}_i(x)), \quad \bar{\varphi}_i, \bar{\bar{\varphi}}_i \in X_i,$$

for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ .

Evidently, the space  $(X_i, \varrho_i)$  is a complete metric space,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ .

Let us consider now the system of transformations

$$\Psi_i = T_i[\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m], \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m,$$

where  $\Psi_i(x)$  is defined by the formula

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_i(x) &= h_i(x, \varphi_1[f_{i,1}(x)], \dots, \varphi_m[f_{i,m}(x)]), \\ x &\in \langle a, b \rangle, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m.\end{aligned}$$

We shall show that  $T_i : X_1 \times X_2 \times \dots \times X_m \rightarrow X_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ .

Let  $\varphi_i \in X_i$ . We shall show that  $\text{Var}_{\langle a, b \rangle} \Psi_i \leq r_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ . For this purpose, let us take into account the set of all partitions  $P\langle a, b \rangle$  of interval  $\langle a, b \rangle$  and estimate  $\text{Var}_{\langle a, b \rangle} \Psi_i$ .

In virtue of hypotheses (i)–(iv) and inequalities (9) and (10), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Var}_{\langle a, b \rangle} \Psi_i &= \sup_{P\langle a, b \rangle} \sum_{j=1}^s d(\Psi_i(x_j), \Psi_i(x_{j-1})) \\ &= \sup_{P\langle a, b \rangle} \sum_{j=1}^s d\left(h_i(x_j, \varphi_1[f_{i,1}(x_j)], \dots, \varphi_m[f_{i,m}(x_j)]), \right. \\ &\quad \left. h_i(x_{j-1}, \varphi_1[f_{i,1}(x_{j-1})], \dots, \varphi_m[f_{i,m}(x_{j-1})])\right) \\ &\leq \sup_{P\langle a, b \rangle} \sum_{j=1}^s \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^m \ell_{i,k} d(\varphi_k[f_{i,k}(x_j)], \varphi_k[f_{i,k}(x_{j-1})]) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + d(H_i(x_j), H_i(x_{j-1})) \right\} \\ &\leq \sup_{P\langle a, b \rangle} \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{k=1}^m \ell_{i,k} d(\varphi_k[f_{i,k}(x_j)], \varphi_k[f_{i,k}(x_{j-1})]) \\ &\quad + \sup_{P\langle a, b \rangle} \sum_{j=1}^s d(H_i(x_j), H_i(x_{j-1})) \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^m \left\{ \ell_{i,k} \sup_{P\langle a, b \rangle} \sum_{j=1}^s d(\varphi_k[f_{i,k}(x_j)], \varphi_k[f_{i,k}(x_{j-1})]) \right\} \\ &\quad + \sup_{P\langle a, b \rangle} \sum_{j=1}^s d(H_i(x_j), H_i(x_{j-1})) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^m \ell_{i,k} \text{Var}_{\langle f_{i,k}(a), f_{i,k}(b) \rangle} \varphi_k + \text{Var}_{\langle a, b \rangle} H_i\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \sum_{k=1}^m \ell_{i,k} \operatorname{Var}_{\langle a,b \rangle} \varphi_k + \operatorname{Var}_{\langle a,b \rangle} H_i \leq \sum_{k=1}^m \ell_{i,k} r_k + (1-t)r_i \\
&\leq tr_i + (1-t)r_i = r_i.
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we shall prove that the transformation  $\Psi_i$  is a contraction map,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ .

Let us take an arbitrary  $\bar{\varphi}_i, \bar{\bar{\varphi}}_i \in X_i$  and, taking into account (ii), (5), (9) and (12), estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varrho_i(T_i[\bar{\varphi}_1, \dots, \bar{\varphi}_m], T_i[\bar{\bar{\varphi}}_1, \dots, \bar{\bar{\varphi}}_m]) = \varrho_i(\bar{\Psi}_i, \bar{\bar{\Psi}}_i) \\
&= \sup_{x \in \langle a,b \rangle} d(\bar{\Psi}_i(x), \bar{\bar{\Psi}}_i(x)) \\
&= \sup_{x \in \langle a,b \rangle} d\left(h_i(x, \bar{\varphi}_1[f_{i,1}(x)], \dots, \bar{\varphi}_m[f_{i,m}(x)]), \right. \\
&\quad \left. h_i(x, \bar{\bar{\varphi}}_1[f_{i,1}(x)], \dots, \bar{\bar{\varphi}}_m[f_{i,m}(x)])\right) \\
&\leq \sup_{x \in \langle a,b \rangle} \sum_{k=1}^m \ell_{i,k} d(\bar{\varphi}_k[f_{i,k}(x)], \bar{\bar{\varphi}}_k[f_{i,k}(x)]) \\
&\leq \sup_{x \in \langle a,b \rangle} \sum_{k=1}^m \ell_{i,k} d(\bar{\varphi}_k(x), \bar{\bar{\varphi}}_k(x)) \\
&\leq \sum_{k=1}^m \ell_{i,k} \sup_{x \in \langle a,b \rangle} d(\bar{\varphi}_k(x), \bar{\bar{\varphi}}_k(x)) = \sum_{k=1}^m \ell_{i,k} \varrho_k(\bar{\varphi}_k, \bar{\bar{\varphi}}_k).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the first statement of the theorem results from Theorem 1.4 in [4].

To prove that the estimation (8) holds let us take the set  $P\langle a, b \rangle$  of all partitions of the interval  $\langle a, b \rangle$ . Using succesively (1), (iv) and (ii) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Var}_{\langle a,b \rangle} \varphi_i &= \sup_{P\langle a,b \rangle} \sum_{j=1}^s d(\varphi_i(x_j), \varphi_i(x_{j-1})) \\
&= \sup_{P\langle a,b \rangle} \sum_{j=1}^s d\left(h_i(x_j, \varphi_1[f_{i,1}(x_j)], \dots, \varphi_m[f_{i,m}(x_j)]), \right. \\
&\quad \left. h_i(x_{j-1}, \varphi_1[f_{i,1}(x_{j-1})], \dots, \varphi_m[f_{i,m}(x_{j-1})])\right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \sup_{P\langle a,b \rangle} \sum_{j=1}^s \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^m \ell_{i,k} d(\varphi_k[f_{i,k}(x_j)], \varphi_k[f_{i,k}(x_{j-1})]) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + d(H_i(x_j), H_i(x_{j-1})) \right\} \\
&\leq \sup_{P\langle a,b \rangle} \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{k=1}^m \ell_{i,k} d(\varphi_k[f_{i,k}(x_j)], \varphi_k[f_{i,k}(x_{j-1})]) \\
&\quad + \sup_{P\langle a,b \rangle} \sum_{j=1}^s d(H_i(x_j), H_i(x_{j-1})) \\
&\leq \sum_{k=1}^m \left\{ \ell_{i,k} \sup_{P\langle a,b \rangle} \sum_{j=1}^s d(\varphi_k[f_{i,k}(x_j)], \varphi_k[f_{i,k}(x_{j-1})]) \right\} \\
&\quad + \sup_{P\langle a,b \rangle} \sum_{j=1}^s d(H_i(x_j), H_i(x_{j-1})) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^m \ell_{i,k} \operatorname{Var}_{\langle f_{i,k}(a), f_{i,k}(b) \rangle} \varphi_k + \operatorname{Var}_{\langle a,b \rangle} H_i \\
&\leq \sum_{k=1}^m \ell_{i,k} \operatorname{Var}_{\langle a,b \rangle} \varphi_k + \operatorname{Var}_{\langle a,b \rangle} H_i .
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the Theorem 1.

*Remark.* If in the hypothesis (iv), instead conditions (7), we assume that the characteristic roots of the matrix  $[\ell_{i,k}]$  have absolute values less than 1 then Theorem 1 remain true. It follows from the Lemma 1.2 and Theorem 1.5 in [4].

**2.** Now let us consider the system (2) and assume the following hypotheses:

- (i)  $(X, d)$  is a complete metric space,
- (ii)  $f : (a, b) \rightarrow (a, b)$  is continuous, strictly increasing in  $(a, b)$  and  $a < f(x) < x$  for  $x \in (a, b)$ ,
- (iii)  $g_i : (a, b) \times X^m \rightarrow X$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ,

- (iv) There are functions  $G_i \in \text{BV}(a, b)$  and positive constants  $\ell_{i,k}$ ,  $i, k = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned} & d(g_i(x, y_1, \dots, y_m), g_i(\bar{x}, \bar{y}_1, \dots, \bar{y}_m)) \\ & \leq \sum_{k=1}^m \ell_{i,k} d(y_k, \bar{y}_k) + d(G_i(x), G_i(\bar{x})), \end{aligned}$$

$$i = 1, 2, \dots, m, (x, y_1, \dots, y_m), (\bar{x}, \bar{y}_1, \dots, \bar{y}_m) \in (a, b) \times X^m,$$

- (v) All the characteristic roots of the matrix  $[\ell_{i,k}]$  have absolute values less than 1.

We shall prove the following:

**Theorem 2.** *Let hypotheses (i)–(v) be fulfilled. Then the system of equations (2) has in the interval  $(a, b)$  a solution  $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m)$ ,  $\varphi_i \in \text{BV}(a, b)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , depending on an arbitrary function. More precisely: for any system of functions  $\{\varphi_{i,0}\} : \langle f(b), b \rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , such that  $\varphi_{i,0} \in \text{BV}\langle f(b), b \rangle$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , there exists the unique system of functions  $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m)$ ,  $\varphi_i \in \text{BV}(a, b)$  satisfying the system of equations (2) in  $(a, b)$  and such that  $\varphi_i = \varphi_{i,0}$  in the interval  $\langle f(b), b \rangle$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ .*

PROOF. Let  $I_n = \langle f^{n+1}(b), f^n(b) \rangle$ ,  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , where  $f^n(x)$  denotes the  $n$ -th iterate of the function  $f(x)$ . In virtue of hypothesis (ii) we have  $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} I_n = (a, b)$ . In the interval  $I_0 = \langle f(b), b \rangle$  we define an arbitrary system of functions  $\{\varphi_{i,0}\}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , fulfilling the conditions of the theorem. Notice, that if  $x \in I_1$  then  $f^{-1}(x) \in I_0$ . So let us define the function  $\varphi_{i,1} : I_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{i,1}(x) &= g_i(f^{-1}(x), \varphi_{1,0}[f^{-1}(x)], \dots, \varphi_{m,0}[f^{-1}(x)]), \\ & x \in I_1, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m. \end{aligned}$$

We shall show that  $\varphi_{i,1} \in \text{BV}(I_1)$ . Let  $P(I_1)$  be the set of all finite partitions of the interval  $I_1$ . From the hypotheses (iv) and (ii) we get successively

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}_{I_1} \varphi_{i,1} &= \sup_{P(I_1)} \sum_{j=1}^s d(\varphi_{i,1}(x_j), \varphi_{i,1}(x_{j-1})) \\ &= \sup_{P(I_1)} \sum_{j=1}^s d\left(g_i(f^{-1}(x_j), \varphi_{1,0}[f^{-1}(x_j)], \dots, \varphi_{m,0}[f^{-1}(x_j)]), \right. \\ & \quad \left. g_i(f^{-1}(x_{j-1}), \varphi_{1,0}[f^{-1}(x_{j-1})], \dots, \varphi_{m,0}[f^{-1}(x_{j-1})])\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \sup_{P(I_1)} \sum_{j=1}^s \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^m \ell_{i,k} d(\varphi_{k,0}[f^{-1}(x_j)], \varphi_{k,0}[f^{-1}(x_{j-1})]) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + d(G_i[f^{-1}(x_j)], G_i[f^{-1}(x_{j-1})]) \right\} \\
&\leq \sup_{P(I_1)} \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{k=1}^m \ell_{i,k} d(\varphi_{k,0}[f^{-1}(x_j)], \varphi_{k,0}[f^{-1}(x_{j-1})]) \\
&\quad + \sup_{P(I_1)} \sum_{j=1}^s d(G_i[f^{-1}(x_j)], G_i[f^{-1}(x_{j-1})]) \\
&\leq \sum_{k=1}^m \left\{ \ell_{i,k} \sup_{P(I_1)} \sum_{j=1}^s d(\varphi_{k,0}[f^{-1}(x_j)], \varphi_{k,0}[f^{-1}(x_{j-1})]) \right\} \\
&\quad + \sup_{P(I_1)} \sum_{j=1}^s d(G_i[f^{-1}(x_j)], G_i[f^{-1}(x_{j-1})]) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^m \ell_{i,k} \operatorname{Var}_{\langle f(b), b \rangle} \varphi_{k,0} + \operatorname{Var}_{\langle f(b), b \rangle} G_i = \sum_{k=1}^m \ell_{i,k} \operatorname{Var}_{I_0} \varphi_{k,0} + \operatorname{Var}_{I_0} G_i.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\varphi_{i,1} \in \operatorname{BV}(I_1)$ .

More generally, let us take the interval  $I_{n+1}$ . If  $x \in I_{n+1}$  then  $f^{-1}(x) \in I_n$ . Let us define a function  $\varphi_{i,n+1} : I_{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  in the following way:

$$(13) \quad \varphi_{i,n+1}(x) = g_i(f^{-1}(x), \varphi_{1,n}[f^{-1}(x)], \dots, \varphi_{m,n}[f^{-1}(x)]), \\ x \in I_{n+1}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

By similar calculation as above one can prove that  $\varphi_{i,n+1} \in \operatorname{BV}(I_{n+1})$  and that

$$(14) \quad \operatorname{Var}_{I_{n+1}} \varphi_{i,n+1} \leq \sum_{k=1}^m \ell_{i,k} \operatorname{Var}_{I_n} \varphi_{k,n} + \operatorname{Var}_{I_n} G_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

Now we introduce the following notations:

$$(15) \quad a_{i,k} = \operatorname{Var}_{I_k} \varphi_{i,k} \quad b_{i,k} = \operatorname{Var}_{I_k} G_i$$

Using these notations we can write the inequality (14) as follows:

$$(16) \quad a_{i,n+1} \leq \sum_{k=1}^m \ell_{i,k} a_{k,n} + b_{i,n}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

In the interval  $(a, b)$  we define the function  $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m)$  in the following way:

$$(17) \quad \varphi_i(x) = \begin{cases} \varphi_{i,0}(x) & \text{for } x \in I_0, & i = 1, 2, \dots, m \\ \varphi_{i,n+1}(x) & \text{for } x \in I_{n+1}, & i = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\ & n = 0, 1, \dots \end{cases}$$

From (13) and (17) it follows that  $\varphi$  satisfies the system of equations (2). The uniqueness of the solution is obvious.

We have to prove that  $\varphi_i \in \text{BV}(a, b)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ . From (15) and (17) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}_{(a,b)} \varphi_i &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \text{Var}_{I_n} \varphi_i = \text{Var}_{I_0} \varphi_i + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{Var}_{I_n} \varphi_i \\ &= \text{Var}_{I_0} \varphi_{i,0} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{Var}_{I_n} \varphi_{i,n} = \text{Var}_{I_0} \varphi_{i,0} + \sum_{n=i}^{\infty} a_{i,n}. \end{aligned}$$

Since, by hypotheses of the theorem,  $\text{Var}_{I_0} \varphi_{i,0}$  is finite, and every component of the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{i,n}$  fulfils inequality (16), in view of Lemma 4.1 from the paper [4], this series converges. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

## References

- [1] CZ. DYJAK, BV-solutions of a linear functional equation, *Publicationes Mathematicae Debrecen* **33** (1986), 83–85.
- [2] CZ. DYJAK and J. MATKOWSKI, BV-solutions of nonlinear functional equations, *Glasnik Matematički* **22**(42) (1987), 335–342.
- [3] CZ. DYJAK, BV-solutions of a nonlinear functional equations of higher order, *Demostratio Mathematica* **XXIII** No. 2 (1990), 417–423.
- [4] J. MATKOWSKI, Integrable solutions of functional equations, *Dissertationes Mathematicae*, Vol. CXXVII, *Warszawa*, 1975, pp. 68.
- [5] J. MATKOWSKI and M. C. ZDUN, Solutions of bounded variation of a linear functional equation, *Aequationes Math.* **10** (Fasc. 213), (1974), 223–235.
- [6] M. C. ZDUN, Solutions of bounded variation of a linear functional equation and some their interpretation for recurrent sequences, *Prace Nauk. Uniw. Śląsk.* **87**; *Prace Mat.* **6** (1975), 55–62.
- [7] M. C. ZDUN, Solutions of bounded variation of a linear homogeneous functional equation in the indeterminate case, *Aequationes Math.* **14** (1976), 143–158.

- [8] M. C. ZDUN, Continuous solutions of finite variation of a linear functional equation,  
*Ann. Polon. Math.* **34** (1977), 179–196.

CZESŁAW DYJAK  
TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
DĄBROWSKI STREET 73  
42-201 CZĘSTOCHOWA  
POLAND

*(Received May 2, 1994; revised October 16, 1995)*