## New proof of a basic theorem about the modular group

By DAREL HARDY (Fort Collins, Colo.) and ROBERT J. WISNER (Las Cruces, N.M.)

1. Introduction. Denote by  $\Gamma$ , as usual, the classical modular group of all linear fractional transformations

$$z \to \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$$

of the complex plane, where a, b, c, d are integers, and ad-bc=1. Then  $\Gamma$  can also be described as the multiplicative group of all  $2\times 2$  unimodular matrices over the ring Z of integers in which

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$$

and -A are identified. It is well-known that  $\Gamma$  is the free product of a group of order 2 with a group of order 3, with perhaps the shortest and most beatiful published proof of this fact being given by Kurosh [1] (Appendix B). The proof given there does not fully use the powerful but elementary idea of dominance in matrices, however, which in the unimodular  $2\times 2$  case is natural.

It is the purpose of this note to give a very short proof of the aforementioned structure theorem about  $\Gamma$ , a proof based on an idea from a paper about a matrix semigroup [2].

In § 2, the main theorem of [2] is proved again as a Lemma, and in a much shorter way than was presented in [2]. Then in § 3, the new proof of the basic structure theorem of  $\Gamma$  is given.

**2.** A lemma. Using the notation of [2], let  $U_2^0$  be the semigroup of  $\Gamma$  consisting of all elements of  $\Gamma$  having non-negative entries. It was proved in [2] that  $U_2^0$  is a free semigroup on the two generators

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
 and  $R = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ 

For completeness (and, indeed, for a new proof of this fact), we prove this fact again.

Recall that the matrix A of (1) is said to have a dominating first row if  $a \ge c$  and  $b \ge d$ . A has a dominating second row if  $c \ge a$  and  $d \ge b$ .

**Lemma.**  $U_2^0$  is a free semigroup on the two generators L and R.

PROOF. To show that L and R generate  $U_2^0$ , let

$$I \neq A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in U_2^0$$

where I is the identity matrix. Then either  $a \le c$  or a > c. If  $a \le c$ , then

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c - a & d - b \end{pmatrix} = LX$$

for some  $X \in U_2^0$ . If a > c, then

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a-c & b-d \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = RX$$

for some  $X \in U_2^0$ . If X = I in either case, we are through. Otherwise, write X = LY or X = RY for some  $Y \in U_2^0$ . Since the sum of the entries in A, X, Y, ... decreases, this process must end, so L and R generate  $U_2^0$ . At the same time, this shows that factorization of A is unique, because at each state the factorization is determined by which row dominates.

## 3. The theorem.

**Theorem.**  $\Gamma$  is the free product of a group of order 2 and a group of order 3.

PROOF. Let

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
 and  $T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ 

so that  $S^2 = T^3 = I$ . Let G be the subgroup of  $\Gamma$  generated by S and T. Then  $U_2^0 \subset G$  since ST = R and  $ST^2 = L$ . As in (1), take  $A \in \Gamma$ . If  $a, d \ge 0$  and  $b, c \le 0$ , then  $A \in G$  since  $A^{-1} \in U_2^0$ . If  $a, b \le 0$  and  $c, d \ge 0$ , then  $A \in G$  since A = SB where

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ -a & -b \end{pmatrix} \in U_2^0$$

If  $a, c \ge 0$  and  $b, d \le 0$ , then  $A \in G$  since A = CS where

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} -b & a \\ -d & c \end{pmatrix} \in U_2^0$$

Thus,  $G = \Gamma$ .

And now  $\Gamma$  must be free, because the above paragraph shows that any non-unique factorization in  $\Gamma$  would lead to a non-unique factorization in  $U_2^0$ .

## References

[1] A. G. Kurosh, The Theory of Groups, vol. 1, New York, 1955.

[2] B. Jacobson and Robert J. Wisner, Matrix number theory, I: Factorization of 2×2 unimodular matrices, *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, 13 (1966), 67—72.

(Received July 22, 1969.)