A number-theoretic identity

By R. SIVARAMAKRISHNAN (Trichur)

1. An arithmetic function f(n, r) is said to be an 'Even function of $n \pmod{r}$ ' ([1]) if f(n, r) = f((n, r), r) for all values of n and $r \ge 1$. Eckford Cohen [1] has shown that f(n, r) is even (mod r) if and only if it possesses a Fourier Expansion of the form

(1.1)
$$f(n,r) = \sum_{d|r} \alpha(d,r)C(n,d)$$

where C(n, r) is Ramanujan's Trigonometric Sum defined by

$$C(n,r) = \sum_{\substack{h \pmod r \\ (h,r)=1}} \exp(2\pi i h n/r)$$

and $\alpha(d, r)$ is determined by the formula

(1.2)
$$\alpha(d, r) = \frac{1}{r} \sum_{d|r} f(r/\delta, r) C(r/d, \delta)$$

The Cauchy-Composition (mod r) [2] of two even functions (mod r) namely f(n, r) and g(n, r) is defined by

$$h(n,r) = \sum_{n \equiv a+b \pmod{r}} f(a,r)g(b,r)$$

the summation extending over a, $b \pmod{r}$ such that $n \equiv a+b \pmod{r}$. If f(n, r) has the representation (1.1) and

$$(1.4) g(n,r) = \sum_{d|r} \beta(d,r)C(n,d)$$

then it is known ([2]) that the Cauchy product of f and g has the representation

(1.5)
$$h(n,r) = r \sum_{d|r} \alpha(d,r)\beta(d,r)C(n,d).$$

Next, let k be a positive integer. If $\varphi_k(r)$ denotes the number of elements of a k-reduced residue system (mod r), then $\varphi_k(r)$ is given [3] by

(1.6)
$$\varphi_k(r) = \sum_{d|r} \mu(r/d) d^k$$

where $\mu(r)$ is the Möbius Function.

For k=1 $\varphi_k(r)$ reduces to Euler's φ -function. It can be easily verified that $\varphi(r)$ divides $\varphi_k(r)$.

We introduce the function $S_k(r)$ defined by

(1.8)
$$S_k(r) = \sum_{d|r} \frac{\varphi_k(d)}{\varphi(d)}$$

Clearly, for k=1, $S_k(r)=d(r)$, the number of divisors of r.

The aim of this note is to derive an identity (see Theorem in 3.) which generalizes the following interesting relation due to Kesava Menon [4]:

(1.9)
$$\sum_{(a,r)=1} (a-1,r) = \varphi(r)d(r)$$

where the summation on the left is over a reduced residue system (mod r).

2. We need the Fourier representations of two even functions f(n, r) and $\varrho(n, r)$ defined below:

Let

(2.1)
$$f(n,r) = (n,r)^{k}.$$

Then,

$$f(n,r) = \sum_{d|r} \alpha(d,r)C(n,d)$$

where

$$\alpha(d,r) = \frac{1}{r} \sum_{\delta \mid r} \delta^k C(r/d,r/\delta).$$

Since

$$C(n,r) = \sum_{d \mid (n,r)} \mu(r/d) d$$

$$\alpha(d,r) = \frac{1}{r} \sum_{\delta \mid r} \delta^k \sum_{D \mid (r/d, r/\delta)} \mu(r/D\delta) D,$$

interchanging the order of summation in the right hand side, we have

$$\alpha(d,r) = \frac{1}{r} \sum_{D|r/d} D \sum_{\delta|r/D} \mu(r/D\delta) \delta^k = \frac{1}{r} \sum_{D|r/d} D \varphi_k(r/D),$$

by (1.6). Or

(2.2)
$$\alpha(d,r) = \frac{1}{r} \sum_{\delta |r|d} \delta \varphi_k(r/\delta)$$

Now, let

(2.3)
$$\varrho(n,r) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } (n,r) = 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then,

$$\varrho(n,r) = \sum_{d|r} \beta(d,r) C(n,d)$$

where

$$\beta(d,r) = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\mu(d)\varphi(r)}{\varphi(d)}$$

3. Theorem. If (n, r) = 1,

$$\sum_{(a,r)=1} (|n-a|, r)^k = \varphi(r) s_k(r).$$

PROOF. We note that $\sum_{(a,r)=1} (|n-a|, r)^k$ is the Cauchy product (mod r) of f(n, r) (2.1) and $\varrho(n, r)$ (2.3). Therefore, by (1.5)

$$\sum_{(a,r)=1} (|n-a|,r)^k = \frac{\varphi(r)}{r} \sum_{d|r} \left\{ \sum_{\delta |r|d} \delta \varphi_k \left(\frac{r}{\delta} \right) \right\} \frac{\mu(d)}{\varphi(d)} C(n,d).$$

As (n, r) = 1, (n, d) = 1 for every divisor d of r. So, $C(n, d) = \mu(d)$. The sum on the right, then, reduces to

$$\frac{\varphi(r)}{r} \sum_{d|r} \left\{ \sum_{\delta|r/d} \delta \varphi_k \left(\frac{r}{\delta} \right) \right\} \frac{\mu^2(d)}{\varphi(d)} = \frac{\varphi(r)}{r} \sum_{\delta|r} \delta \varphi_k \left(\frac{r}{\delta} \right) \sum_{d|r/\delta} \frac{\mu^2(d)}{\varphi(d)}.$$

As

$$\sum_{d|r} \frac{\mu^2(d)}{\varphi(d)} = \frac{r}{\varphi(r)},$$

further simplification leads to

$$\sum_{(a,r)=1} (|n-a|,r)^k = \varphi(r) \sum_{\delta \mid r} \frac{\varphi_k \left(\frac{r}{\delta}\right)}{\left(\frac{r}{\delta}\right)} \frac{\left(\frac{r}{\delta}\right)}{\varphi\left(\frac{r}{\delta}\right)} = \varphi(r) \sum_{d \mid r} \frac{\varphi_k(d)}{\varphi(d)} = \varphi(r) s_k(r), \text{ by (18.)}$$

This completes the proof of the Theorem.

Corollary: (1.9) is the special case of the above theorem for k=1, n=1.

The author is grateful to Dr. C. S. Venkataraman for communicating to him other extensions of (1.9) given by Nageswara Rao in [5].

References

- ECKFORD COHEN, A class of arithmetical functions. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sc. India 41 (1955), 939— —944.
- [2] ECKFORD COHEN, Representations of Even Functions (mod r) II, Cauchy Products, Duke Math. J. 26 (1959), 165—182.
- [3] ECKFORD COHEN, Some Totient Functions, Duke Math. J. 24 (1956), 515-522.
- [4] KESAVA P. MENON, On the Sum $\sum_{(a,n)=1}^{\infty} (a-1,n)$, J. Indian Math. Soc. (New Series) 29 (1963),
- [5] K. NAGESWARA RAO, On certain arithmetical Sums, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol 251 (1972), 81—192. Springer-Verlag [Proc. of the Conference on "The theory of arithmetic functions" Western Michigan Univ. 1971].

(Received October 4, 1972.)