

## A new algebra of distributions; initial value problems involving Schwartz distributions II.

By GREGERS KRABBE (West Lafayette, Ind.)

### § 3. The operational calculus

Let  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$  be the linear space of all the complex-valued functions which are infinitely differentiable on  $\mathbf{R}$ . Further, let  $W$  be the linear space of all the functions  $w(\cdot)$  in  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$  such that  $w^{(k)}(0)=0$  for each integer  $k \geq 0$ . For example, if  $q(\cdot)$  is the function defined by  $q(0)=0$  and

$$(3.1) \quad q(x) = \exp\left(\frac{-1}{|x|}\right) \quad (\text{for } x \neq 0),$$

then

$$(3.2) \quad q(\cdot) \text{ belongs to } W.$$

An operator is a linear mapping of  $W$  into  $W$ . If  $A$  is an operator and if  $w(\cdot) \in W$ , we denote by  $\cdot Aw(\cdot)$  the function that the operator  $A$  assigns to  $w(\cdot)$ .

3.3. *The space  $\mathcal{A}$ .* Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the space (denoted  $\mathcal{A}_\omega$  in [7]) of all the operators  $A$  such that the equation

$$(3.4) \quad \cdot A(w_1 \wedge w_2)(\cdot) = (\cdot Aw_1) \wedge w_2(\cdot)$$

holds whenever  $w_1(\cdot)$  and  $w_2(\cdot)$  belong to  $W$ . The operation  $\wedge$  was defined in 2.33.

The linear space  $\mathcal{A}$  is a subalgebra of the algebra of operators, multiplication being defined in the usual way: the product  $A_1 A_2$  of two operators is determined by the equation

$$\cdot A_1 A_2 w(\cdot) = \cdot A_1(\cdot A_2 w)(\cdot) \quad (\text{for } w(\cdot) \text{ in } W).$$

In fact  $\mathcal{A}$  is a commutative algebra [6, 1.38] and  $\mathcal{A}$  contains the differentiation operator  $D$  defined by

$$(3.5) \quad \cdot Dw(\cdot) = w'(\cdot) \quad (\text{for } w(\cdot) \text{ in } W);$$

see [6, 1.30]. Let  $I$  be the identity-operator defined by  $\cdot Iw(\cdot) = w(\cdot)$  for every  $w(\cdot)$  in  $W$ : the operator  $I$  is the multiplicative unit of the algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ .

If  $f(\cdot) \in \mathcal{F}$  we denote by  $f$  the mapping defined by

$$(3.6) \quad \cdot fw(\cdot) = f \wedge w'(\cdot) \quad (\text{for } w(\cdot) \text{ in } W);$$

it can be proved that  $f$  is an operator, called *the operator of the function  $f(\cdot)$* ; in fact,  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  (see 1.34 in [6]). The identity-operator  $I$  is the operator 1 of the unit constant function  $1(\cdot)$  defined by  $1(t)=1$  for  $t \in \mathbf{R}$  (see [6, 1.29]); thus,

$$(3.7) \quad 1A = A = A1 \quad (\text{for } A \text{ in } \mathcal{A}).$$

Moreover, the transformation  $f(\cdot) \mapsto f$  is a linear injection of  $\mathcal{F}$  into  $\mathcal{A}$  (see 1.34 in [6]).

3.8. *Reorientation.* We shall define a linear injection  $R \mapsto R^1$  of  $\mathfrak{B}$  into  $\mathcal{A}$  such that

$$(3.9) \quad [f]^0 1 = f \quad (\text{when } f(\cdot) \in \mathcal{F}),$$

$$(3.10) \quad [F \otimes S]^1 = F^1 D^{-1} S^1 \quad (\text{for } F \text{ and } S \text{ in } \mathfrak{B}),$$

$$(3.11) \quad ([1]^0 \otimes S)^1 = D^{-1} S^1 \quad (\text{for } S \text{ in } \mathfrak{B}),$$

and

$$(3.12) \quad \delta^1 = D1_+.$$

We begin with some preliminary definitions and lemmas.

3.13. **Lemma.** *If  $w(\cdot) \in W$  then both  $w_-(\cdot)$  and  $w_+(\cdot)$  belong to  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ .*

PROOF. Let  $k$  be any integer  $\geq 1$ . Since

$$w^{(k)}(0) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{w^{(k-1)}(t) - w^{(k-1)}(0)}{t - 0},$$

the equation

$$(2) \quad 0 = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{w^{(k-1)}(t)}{t}$$

is an immediate consequence of the fact that  $w^{(n)}(0)=0$  for each integer  $n \geq 0$ . Set  $f_1(\cdot) = w_-(\cdot)$  and  $f_2(\cdot) = w_+(\cdot)$  (see 2.34—2.35). Suppose that  $1 \leq m \leq 2$ : we must prove that  $f_m^{(k)}(t)$  exists for any  $t$  in  $\mathbf{R}$ . Since  $f_m^{(k)}(t)$  exists for  $t \neq 0$ , it will suffice to prove that  $f_m^{(k)}(0)=0$ . Since  $f_m^{(0)}(0)=0$ , we proceed by induction on  $k$ . To that effect, assume that  $f_m^{(k-1)}(0)=0$ , which implies that

$$(3) \quad f_m^{(k)}(0) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f_m^{(k-1)}(t) - 0}{t} = 0:$$

the second equation is obtained by noting that  $f_m^{(k-1)}(t)/t$  either equals 0 or it equals  $w^{(k-1)}(t)/t$  (and using (2)). This completes the induction proof of the equation  $f_m^{(k)}(0)=0$  for each integer  $k$ .

3.14. **Definition.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}_-^\infty$  (respectively,  $\mathcal{C}_+^\infty$ ) be the linear space of all the functions in  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$  which vanish on  $[0, \infty)$  (respectively, on  $(-\infty, 0]$ ).*

3.15. **Lemma.** *If  $w(\cdot) \in W$  then  $w_-(\cdot) \in \mathcal{C}_-^\infty$  and  $w_+(\cdot) \in \mathcal{C}_+^\infty$ ; moreover,  $W = \mathcal{C}_-^\infty + \mathcal{C}_+^\infty$ .*

PROOF. The two properties  $w_-(\cdot) \in \mathcal{C}_-^\infty$  and  $w_+(\cdot) \in \mathcal{C}_+^\infty$  are immediate from 3.13 and 2.34—2.35. Since both  $\mathcal{C}_-^\infty$  and  $\mathcal{C}_+^\infty$  are subsets of  $W$ , we only need to prove that  $W$  is a subset of  $\mathcal{C}_-^\infty + \mathcal{C}_+^\infty$ . To that effect, take  $w(\cdot)$  in  $W$  and note that  $w(\cdot) = w_-(\cdot) + w_+(\cdot)$  (by 2.34—2.35): the conclusion  $w(\cdot) \in \mathcal{C}_-^\infty + \mathcal{C}_+^\infty$  is now immediate from the fact that  $w_-(\cdot) \in \mathcal{C}_-^\infty$  and  $w_+(\cdot) \in \mathcal{C}_+^\infty$ .

3.16. **Lemma.** *There is a bilinear mapping*

$$(3.17) \quad (\alpha(\cdot), T) \mapsto \alpha \square T(\cdot) \in \mathcal{C}_+^\infty$$

*of the cartesian product  $\mathcal{C}_+^\infty \times \mathfrak{B}_+$  into  $\mathcal{C}_+^\infty$  such that*

$$(3.18) \quad [\alpha \square T]^0 = [\alpha]^0 * T.$$

PROOF. Suppose that  $\alpha(\cdot) \in \mathcal{C}_+^\infty$ . If  $x \in \mathbf{R}$ , let  $\alpha_x(\cdot)$  be the function defined by  $\alpha_x(t) = \alpha(x-t)$  (for  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ ). If  $T \in \mathfrak{B}_+$  we denote by  $\alpha \square T(\cdot)$  the function defined by

$$(4) \quad \alpha \square T(x) = T(\alpha_x) \quad (\text{for } x \in \mathbf{R}).$$

Since the supports of  $[\alpha]^0$  and  $T$  are both contained in  $[0, \infty)$ , these distributions satisfy condition ( $\Sigma$ ) in [4, p. 383]; we may therefore apply Proposition 1 in [4, p. 402] with  $s = \infty = t$ : what is there called  $E^s$  and  $E^{s-t}$  becomes  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$  (see [4, p. 440]); further,  $D^s$  becomes  $D'$ ; noting that  $\alpha * T$  is what we denote by  $[\alpha]^0 * T$ , Proposition 1 states that  $[\alpha]^0 * T(x)$  equals the righthand side of (4): consequently,  $[\alpha \square T]^0 = [\alpha]^0 * T$ . Having thus verified 3.18, we can use the second assertion in Proposition 1 [loc cit.] to state that

$$(5) \quad \alpha \square T(\cdot) \text{ belongs to } \mathcal{C}^\infty.$$

Since both  $\mathbf{O}([\alpha]^0)$  and  $\mathbf{O}(T)$  contain the interval  $(-\infty, 0)$ , it follows from 2.8 that

$$\mathbf{O}([\alpha]^0 * T) \supset (-\infty, 0),$$

whence the relation  $\mathbf{O}(\alpha \square T) \supset (-\infty, 0)$  now follows from 3.18: combining with (5), we conclude that  $\alpha \square T(\cdot)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{C}_+^\infty$ .

In consequence, we have established that the mapping 3.17 is into  $\mathcal{C}_+^\infty$ ; it is readily inferred from 3.18 that it is a bilinear mapping.

3.19. **Theorem.** *There is a bilinear mapping*

$$(3.20) \quad (R, w(\cdot)) \mapsto R \Delta w(\cdot) \in W$$

*of the cartesian product  $\mathfrak{B} \times W$  into  $W$  such that*

$$(3.21) \quad [R \Delta w]^0 = R \otimes [w]^0 \quad (\text{for } w(\cdot) \text{ in } W),$$

*and*

$$(3.22) \quad R \Delta q(\cdot) = 0 \text{ implies } R = \mathbf{0},$$

*where  $q(\cdot)$  is the function defined by 3.1.*

PROOF. Suppose that  $R \in \mathfrak{B}$ . If  $w(\cdot) \in W$  it follows from 3.13 that  $w_+(\cdot) \in \mathcal{C}_+^\infty$ ; we may therefore set  $\alpha = w_+$  in 3.16 to infer that

$$(1) \quad w_+ \square R_+(\cdot) \text{ belongs to } \mathcal{C}_+^\infty \quad (\text{since } R_+ \in \mathfrak{B}_+),$$

and

$$(2) \quad [w_+ \square R_+]^0 = R_+ * [w_+]^0;$$

the last equation is from 3.18 and 2.9.

Since  $w_+^\vee(x) = w_-(-x) = 0$  when  $-x > 0$ , we see that  $w_-^\vee(\cdot)$  vanishes on  $(-\infty, 0)$ ; this function  $w_-^\vee(\cdot)$  therefore belongs to  $\mathcal{C}_+^\infty$ . On the other hand,  $R_- \in \mathfrak{B}_-$ , so that  $\mathbf{O}(R_-) \supset (0, \infty)$ , whence

$$\mathbf{O}(R_-)^\vee \supset (-\infty, 0) \quad (\text{by 2.6}).$$

Thus,  $w_-^\vee(\cdot) \in \mathcal{C}_+^\infty$  and  $R_-^\vee \in \mathfrak{B}_+$ ; from 3.16 it therefore follows that

$$(3) \quad w_-^\vee \square R_-^\vee(\cdot) \text{ belongs to } \mathcal{C}_+^\infty$$

and

$$(4) \quad [w_-^\vee \square R_-^\vee]^0 = R_-^\vee * [w_-^\vee]^0;$$

the last equation is from 3.18 and 2.9. From (3) it follows easily that

$$(5) \quad (w_-^\vee \square R_-^\vee)^\vee \text{ belongs to } \mathcal{C}_-^\infty.$$

We now define the function  $R \Delta w(\cdot)$  by the equation

$$(6) \quad R \Delta w(\cdot) = -(w_-^\vee \square R_-^\vee)^\vee(\cdot) + w_+ \square R_+(\cdot).$$

From (1), (5), (6), and 3.15 it follows that  $R \Delta w(\cdot)$  belongs to  $W$ ; it is now easily verified that the mapping 3.21 is bilinear. It remains to prove 3.21—3.22. In view of 2.3, Equation (6) implies that

$$[R \Delta w]^0 = -[w_-^\vee \square R_-^\vee]^{0\vee} + [w_+ \square R_+]^0,$$

so that (4) and (2) give

$$(7) \quad [R \Delta w]^0 = -(R_-^\vee * [w_-^\vee]^{0\vee}) + R_+ * [w_+]^0.$$

From (7), 2.3, and 2.12 we see that

$$[R \Delta w]^0 = -R_- * [w_-]^{0\vee} + R_+ * [w_+]^0;$$

Conclusion 3.21 now comes from 2.18 and 1.28. Finally, suppose that  $R \Delta q(\cdot) = 0$ ; therefore,

$$(8) \quad 0 = (R \Delta q)_+(\cdot) = q_+ \square R_+(\cdot)$$

and

$$(9) \quad 0 = (R \Delta q)_-^\vee(\cdot) = (q_-^\vee \square R_-^\vee)^\vee(\cdot);$$

the right-hand equations are immediate from (6), (5), and (1)—(2). From (8)—(9) and 3.18 we see that

$$(10) \quad [q_+]^0 * R_+ = \mathbf{0} = [q_-^\vee]^{0\vee} * R_-^\vee;$$

but  $[q_+]^0$  and  $[q_-]^0$  belong to the Schwartz space  $D'_+$ , which has the property that  $A * T = 0$  implies  $T = 0$  whenever  $A \neq 0$  (see [8, pp. 172—173]); since  $[q_+]^0 \neq 0$  and  $[q_-]^0 \neq 0$ , the conclusion  $R_+ = 0 = R_-$  now come from (10): since  $R_- = 0$  implies  $R_+ = 0$  (by 2.4), we have  $R_- = 0 = R_+$ , whence our conclusion  $R = 0$ .

3.23. Definition. If  $R \in \mathfrak{B}$  we denote by  $R^\Delta$  the mapping that assigns to each  $w(\cdot)$  in  $W$  the function  $R \Delta w(\cdot)$ .

3.24. Remark. If  $w(\cdot) \in W$  then  $R \Delta w \in W$  (by 3.20); therefore,  $R^\Delta$  is the operator defined by

$$(3.25) \quad \cdot R^\Delta w(\cdot) = R \Delta w(\cdot) \quad (\text{for } w(\cdot) \text{ in } W).$$

From 3.21 we see that

$$(3.26) \quad [ \cdot R^\Delta w ]^0 = R \otimes [w]^0 \quad (\text{for } w(\cdot) \text{ in } W).$$

3.27. Lemma. If  $R \in \mathfrak{B}$  then  $R^\Delta \in \mathcal{A}$ .

PROOF. In view of 3.24, it only remains to verify that 3.4 holds in case  $A = R^\Delta$ . The equations

$$(1) \quad [ \cdot R^\Delta (w_1 \wedge w_2) ]^0 = R \otimes [w_1 \wedge w_2]^0 = R \otimes ([w_1]^0 \otimes [w_2]^0)$$

are from 3.26 and 2.32. From (1) and 2.28 it follows that

$$(2) \quad [ \cdot R^\Delta (w_1 \wedge w_2) ]^0 = (R \otimes [w_1]^0) \otimes [w_2]^0 = [ \cdot R^\Delta w_1 ]^0 \otimes [w_2]^0;$$

the last equation is from 3.26. From (2) and 2.32 we see that

$$[ \cdot R^\Delta (w_1 \wedge w_2) ]^0 = [ (\cdot R^\Delta w_1) \wedge w_2 ]^0;$$

in view of 1.11. the conclusion

$$\cdot R^\Delta (w_1 \wedge w_2)(\cdot) = (\cdot R^\Delta w_1) \wedge w_2(\cdot)$$

is now at hand.

3.28. Lemma. If  $F$  and  $S$  belong to  $\mathfrak{B}$ , then

$$(3.29) \quad (F \otimes S)^\Delta = F^\Delta S^\Delta.$$

PROOF. For any  $w(\cdot)$  in  $W$  it follows from 3.26 that

$$[ (\cdot (F \otimes S)^\Delta w) ]^0 = (F \otimes S) \otimes [w]^0 = F \otimes (S \otimes [w]^0)$$

consequently, two more applications of 3.26 give

$$(3) \quad [ (\cdot (F \otimes S)^\Delta w) ]^0 = F \otimes [ \cdot S^\Delta w ]^0 = [ \cdot F^\Delta (\cdot S^\Delta w) ]^0.$$

From (3) and 1.11 we therefore have

$$\cdot (F \otimes S)^\Delta w(\cdot) = \cdot F^\Delta (\cdot S^\Delta w)(\cdot) = \cdot (F^\Delta S^\Delta) w(\cdot);$$

the last equation is from the definition of multiplication of operators. Since  $w(\cdot)$  is an arbitrary element of  $W$ , the proof is completed.

3.30. Definition. If  $R \in \mathfrak{B}$ , we set  $R^1 = R^\Delta D$ .

3.31. *Remark.* Since  $D$  and  $R^\Delta$  belong to the algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  (see 3.3 and 3.27), we see that  $R^1 \in \mathcal{A}$ . Let us verify that

$$(3.32) \quad [R^1 w]^0 = R \otimes [w]^0 \quad (\text{for } w(\cdot) \in W):$$

indeed, the equations

$$[R^1 w]^0 = [R^\Delta(\cdot, Dw)]^0 = [R^\Delta w']^0 = R \otimes [w']^0$$

are from 3.30 and 3.26.

3.33. **Theorem.** *If  $F$  and  $S$  belong to  $\mathfrak{B}$ , then*

$$(3.34) \quad [F \otimes S]^1 = F^1 D^{-1} S^1.$$

PROOF. The equations

$$[F \otimes S]^1 = [F \otimes S]^\Delta D = (F^\Delta S^\Delta) D = (F^\Delta D) D^{-1} (S^\Delta D)$$

are from 3.30, 3.29, and the associativity of the algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ ; another application of 3.30 now gives 3.34.

3.35. **Theorem.** *If  $f(\cdot) \in \mathcal{F}$  then*

$$(3.36) \quad [f]^{01} = f.$$

PROOF. If  $w(\cdot) \in W$  the equations

$$[f]^{01} w(\cdot) = [f]^{01} \otimes [w]^0 = [f \wedge w']^0 = [f w]^0$$

are from 3.32, 2.32, and 3.6. From 1.11 it therefore follows that  $[f]^{01} w(\cdot) = f w(\cdot)$ ; since  $w(\cdot)$  is arbitrary, Conclusion 3.36 is at hand.

3.37. *Remarks.* If  $f(\cdot) \in \mathcal{F}$  we can combine 3.36 with 3.34 to obtain

$$(3.38) \quad ([f]^0 \otimes S)^1 = f D^{-1} S^1 \quad (\text{if } S \in \mathfrak{B}).$$

Setting  $f(\cdot) = 1(\cdot)$  in 3.38, we obtain

$$(3.39) \quad ([1]^0 \otimes S)^1 = 1 D^{-1} S^1 = D^{-1} S^1;$$

the second equation is from 3.7. Setting  $S = \delta$  in 3.39, we obtain  $([1]^0 \otimes \delta)^1 = D^{-1} \delta^1$ ; consequently, the equations

$$(4) \quad \delta^1 = D([1]^0 \otimes \delta)^1 = D(\delta \otimes [1]^0)^1 = D[1]_+^{01}$$

are from 2.27 and 2.29. From (4), 1.28, and 3.36 it follows that

$$(3.40) \quad \delta^1 = D 1_+.$$

3.41. **Theorem.** *The transformation  $R \rightarrow R^1$  is a linear injection of  $\mathfrak{B}$  into  $\mathcal{A}$ . In particular,*

$$(3.42) \quad T^1 = S^1 \text{ implies } T = S.$$

PROOF. The linearity follows directly from Definitions 3.30 and 3.25 (the bilinearity property is stated in 3.19); the fact that  $R^1 \in \mathcal{A}$  was verified in 3.31. To prove 3.42, set  $R = T - S$ : the hypothesis  $R^1 = 0$  implies  $R^\Delta D = 0$  (by 3.30); right-multiplying by  $D^{-1}$  both sides of this equation, we obtain  $R^\Delta = 0$ , whence  $\cdot R^\Delta q(\cdot) = 0$  (since  $q(\cdot) \in W$ : see 3.2), whence  $R^\Delta q(\cdot) = 0$  (by 3.25), whence the conclusion  $R = 0$  now comes from 3.22.

3.43. *Reorientation.* We have now proved all the properties announced in 3.9–3.12. We now prepare for § 4. If  $k \geq 0$  we denote by  $Y_{k+1}(\cdot)$  the function defined by

$$(3.44) \quad Y_{k+1}(t) = \frac{t^k}{k!} \quad (\text{for } t \in \mathbf{R}).$$

It is not hard to prove that

$$(3.45) \quad Y_{k+1} = \frac{D}{D^{k+1}} \quad (\text{see 4.5 in [7]}).$$

3.46. **Lemma.** *If  $G \in \mathfrak{B}$  and  $n \geq 1$  then  $\partial^n([Y_n]^0 \otimes G) = G$ .*

PROOF. Since  $Y_1(\cdot) = 1(\cdot)$ , we can use 2.31 to obtain

$$(1) \quad \partial([Y_1]^0 \otimes G) = G.$$

Next, observe that the equations

$$[1]^0 \otimes ([Y_n]^0 \otimes G) = ([1]^0 \otimes [Y_n]) \otimes G = [1 \wedge Y_n] \otimes G$$

come from 2.28 and 2.32; since  $1 \wedge Y_n(\cdot) = Y_{n+1}(\cdot)$  (see 2.33 and 3.44), we have

$$(2) \quad [1]^0 \otimes [[Y_n]^0 \otimes G] = [Y_{n+1}]^0 \otimes G.$$

The equations

$$(3) \quad \partial^{n+1}([Y_{n+1}]^0 \otimes G) = \partial^{n+1}([1]^0 \otimes [[Y_n]^0 \otimes G]) = \partial^n [[Y_n]^0 \otimes G]$$

are from (2) and 2.31 (with  $S = [Y_n]^0 \otimes G$ ). The property  $\partial^k([Y_k]^0 \otimes G) = G$  holds for  $k = 1$  (by (1)); it holds for  $k = n + 1$  whenever it holds for  $k = n$  (by (3)); therefore, it holds for every integer  $k \geq 1$ .

#### § 4. Initial values of distributions

The following notation and terminology was introduced in [6]. 4.1.

Suppose that  $a < 0$  and  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  (see 3.3). We say that  $A$  agrees with  $B$  on the interval  $(a, 0)$  if  $B \in \mathcal{A}$  and

$$\cdot Aw(t) = \cdot Bw(t) \quad (\text{for } a < t < 0 \text{ and any } w(\cdot) \text{ in } W).$$

The relation  $A \subset B$  means that there exists some number  $a < 0$  such that  $A$  agrees with  $B$  on the interval  $(a, 0)$ .

4.2. *The space  $\mathcal{B}_\omega$ .* As in [7], we denote by  $\mathcal{B}_\omega$  the family of all the elements  $B$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that the relation  $f \subset B$  holds for some  $f(\cdot)$  in  $\mathcal{F}$ . Recall that  $f$  is the operator of the function  $f(\cdot)$  (see 3.6).

4.3. *Remark.* In consequence of 4.1—4.2, we can say that  $B \in \mathcal{B}_\omega$  if (and only if)  $B \in \mathcal{A}$  and there exists a function  $f(\cdot)$  in  $\mathcal{F}$  and a number  $a < 0$  such that

$$\cdot Bw(t) = \cdot fw(t) \quad (\text{for } a < t < 0 \text{ and } w(\cdot) \in W).$$

4.4. *Derivable operators.* An operator  $B$  is said to be *derivable* if  $B \in \mathcal{A}$  and if the relation  $f \subset B$  holds for some  $f(\cdot)$  in  $\mathcal{F}$  such that  $|f(0_-)| < \infty$ . If  $B$  is derivable, there exists a unique complex number  $\langle B, 0_- \rangle$  such that the equation  $\langle B, 0_- \rangle = f(0_-)$  holds for some function  $f(\cdot)$  in  $\mathcal{F}$  such that  $f \subset B$  (see [6, 5.0]). We set

$$(4.5) \quad \partial_t B = BD - \langle B, 0_- \rangle D.$$

We define  $\partial_t^n B$  recursively by the equations  $\partial_t^0 B = B$  and  $\partial_t^{k+1} B = \partial_t(\partial_t^k B)$ .

4.6. *Remark.* If  $f(\cdot) \in \mathcal{F}$  and if  $|f(0_-)| < \infty$  then  $\langle f, 0_- \rangle = f(0_-)$ : see 2.17 in [7].

4.7. *Reorientation.* One of our aims is to prove that the transformation  $R \rightarrow R^1$  is an injection of  $\mathfrak{B}$  into  $\mathcal{B}_\omega$ . Our main result will be obtained by relating distributional derivation  $R \rightarrow \partial R$  to the operation  $B \rightarrow \partial_t B$  (on operators): as we shall see, if  $R$  is an arbitrary distribution such that  $\partial R \in \mathfrak{B}$ , then  $R \in \mathfrak{B}$ , the operator  $R^1$  is derivable, and  $(\partial R)^1 = \partial_t R^1$ ; moreover, it is natural to consider  $\langle R^1, 0_- \rangle$  as the *initial value* (denoted  $R(0_-)$  in the Introduction) of the distribution  $R$ .

4.8. **Lemma.** *If  $X \in \mathcal{B}_\omega$  then  $X/D$  is derivable, and  $\langle \frac{X}{D}, 0_- \rangle = 0$ .*

PROOF. Set  $G=1$  in [7, 3.5].

4.9. **Theorem.** *If  $F \subset \mathfrak{B}$  then  $F^1 \in \mathcal{B}_\omega$ .*

PROOF. From 1.20 and 1.18 it follows the existence of a function  $f(\cdot)$  in  $\mathcal{F}$  and a distribution  $L$  in  $(\mathcal{L})$  such that

$$(1) \quad F^1 = [f]^{01} + L^1 + F_+^1.$$

We intend to prove that  $f \subset F^1$ . Take any  $w(\cdot)$  in  $W$ . From (1) and 3.36 it follows that

$$(2) \quad \cdot F^1 w(\cdot) = \cdot fw(\cdot) + (\cdot L^1 w(\cdot) + \cdot F_+^1 w(\cdot)).$$

On the other hand, 3.32 gives

$$(3) \quad [\cdot L^1 w + \cdot F_+^1 w]^0 = L \otimes [w']^0 + F_+ \otimes [w']^0.$$

Since  $L \in (\mathcal{L})$  it follows from 2.24 that  $L \otimes [w']^0$  belongs to  $(\mathcal{L})$ , so that 1.16 therefore insures the existence of a number  $a < 0$  such that

$$(4) \quad \bullet (L \otimes [w']^0) \supset (a, \infty).$$

Next, observe that  $F_+ \otimes [w']^0$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{B}_+$  (by 2.23), so that

$$(5) \quad \bullet (F_+ \otimes [w']^0) \supset (-\infty, 0) \quad (\text{by 1.13}).$$



Combining (3), (4), and (5), we can use 1.6 to obtain

$$\bullet ([L_1 w + F_+^1 w]^0) \supset (a, \infty) \cap (-\infty, 0) = (a, 0).$$

Thus,  $[L_1 w + F_+^1 w]^0$  equals  $\mathbf{0}$  in  $(a, 0)$ , whence  $L_1 w(\cdot) + F_+^1 w(\cdot) = 0$  on the interval  $(a, 0)$  (by 1.11); since the functions are continuous we therefore have

$$L_1 w(t) + F_+^1 w(t) = 0 \quad (\text{for } a < t < 0);$$

consequently, (2) gives

$$(6) \quad F^1 w(t) = f w(t) \quad (\text{for } a < t < 0).$$

Since  $w(\cdot)$  is an arbitrary element of  $W$ , Equation (6) states that the operator  $f$  agrees with  $F^1$  on the interval  $(a, 0)$ : therefore,  $f \subset F^1$ . The conclusion  $F^1 \in \mathcal{B}_\omega$  is now immediate from Definition 4.2.

**4.10. Theorem.** *If  $R$  is a distribution such that  $\partial R$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{B}$ , then  $R$  also belongs to  $\mathfrak{B}$ , the operator  $R^1$  is derivable, and  $\partial_t R^1 = (\partial R)^1$ .*

PROOF. In view of our hypothesis  $\partial R \in \mathfrak{B}$ , we can set  $S = \partial R$  in 2.31 to obtain

$$\partial([1]^0 \otimes \partial R) = \partial R;$$

thus, both  $[1]^0 \otimes \partial R$  and  $R$  have the same derivative: therefore, they differ by a constant function [4, p. 328]; thus, there exists a number  $c$  such that

$$(1) \quad R = [f_c]^0 + [1]^0 \otimes \partial R,$$

where  $f_c(\cdot)$  is the constant function  $f_c(\cdot) = c$ . Since  $\partial R$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{B}$  (by hypothesis), it follows from (1) and 2.20 that  $R \in \mathfrak{B}$  (recall 1.27). From (1) and 3.39 it results that

$$(2) \quad R^1 = [f_c]^{01} + \frac{(\partial R)^1}{D} = f_c + \frac{(\partial R)^1}{D};$$

the second equation is from 3.36. Since  $f_c$  is the operator of the constant function  $f_c(\cdot) = c$ , it follows from 4.6 that

$$(3) \quad \langle f_c, 0_- \rangle = c.$$

Note that  $(\partial R)^1$  belongs to  $\mathcal{B}_\omega$  (by 4.9 and our hypothesis  $\partial R \in \mathfrak{B}$ ); we may therefore set  $X = (\partial R)^1$  in 4.8 to obtain

$$(4) \quad \left\langle \frac{(\partial R)^1}{D}, 0_- \right\rangle = 0.$$

From (2), (3), and (4) we see that

$$\langle R^1, 0_- \rangle = \langle f_c, 0_- \rangle + \left\langle \frac{(\partial R)^1}{D}, 0_- \right\rangle = c + 0 = c.$$

Therefore, 4.5 gives

$$\partial_t R^1 = D R^1 - c D = D \left( f_c + \frac{(\partial R)^1}{D} \right) - f_c D = (\partial R)^1;$$

the middle equation is from (2). Thus, the conclusion  $\partial_t R^1 = (\partial R)^1$  is at hand.

4.11. **Lemma.** *Let  $F$  be a distribution. The implication*

$$(5) \quad \partial^v F \in \mathfrak{B} \quad \text{implies} \quad \partial_t^v F^1 = (\partial^v F)^1$$

*holds for every integer  $v \geq 0$ .*

**PROOF.** Clearly, (5) holds for  $v=0$ . To proceed by induction, assume that (5) holds for  $v=k-1$ :

$$(6) \quad \partial^{k-1} F \in \mathfrak{B} \quad \text{implies} \quad \partial_t^{k-1} F^1 = (\partial^{k-1} F)^1.$$

Let us prove that (5) holds for  $v=k$ . If  $\partial^k F \in \mathfrak{B}$  then  $\partial(\partial^{k-1} F) \in \mathfrak{B}$ , so that 4.10 gives

$$(7) \quad \partial^{k-1} F \quad \text{belongs to} \quad \mathfrak{B}$$

and

$$(8) \quad \partial_t(\partial^{k-1} F)^1 = [\partial(\partial^{k-1} F)]^1.$$

From (7) and (6) we see that

$$(9) \quad \partial_t(\partial_t^{k-1} F^1) = \partial_t(\partial^{k-1} F)^1:$$

combining (9) with (8), we obtain  $\partial_t^k F^1 = [\partial(\partial^{k-1} F)]^1$ . Thus, (5) holds for  $v=k$  when it holds for  $v=k-1$ .

4.12. **Lemma.** *Let  $F$  be a distribution. If  $m$  is an integer  $\geq 1$  such that  $\partial^m F \in \mathfrak{B}$ , then*

$$(4.13) \quad \partial^v F \in \mathfrak{B} \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_t^v F^1 = (\partial^v F)^1 \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \leq v \leq m.$$

**PROOF.** First, suppose that  $0 \leq v \leq m-1$ . Let us prove that

$$(10) \quad \partial^{v+1} F \in \mathfrak{B} \quad \text{implies} \quad \partial^v F \in \mathfrak{B}.$$

To that effect, observe that the hypothesis ( $\partial^{v+1} F \in \mathfrak{B}$ ) gives  $\partial(\partial^v F) \in \mathfrak{B}$ , whence the conclusion  $\partial^v F \in \mathfrak{B}$  follows immediately

4.10. Consequently, we have proved that

$$(11) \quad 0 \leq v \leq m-1 \quad \text{and} \quad \partial^v F \notin \mathfrak{B} \quad \text{implies} \quad \partial^{v+1} F \notin \mathfrak{B}.$$

Next, let  $M$  be the set of all the non-negative integers  $v \leq m$  such that  $\partial^v F \notin \mathfrak{B}$ : since  $\partial^m F \in \mathfrak{B}$  (by hypothesis), we have  $m \notin M$ , whence

$$(12) \quad v \in M \quad \text{implies} \quad 0 \leq v \leq m-1.$$

In view of 4.11, our conclusion 4.13 can be obtained by proving that

$$(13) \quad 0 \leq v \leq m \quad \text{implies} \quad \partial^v F \in \mathfrak{B}.$$

We shall prove (13) by contradiction: if (13) fails, then  $M$  is a non-void subset of the positive integers: it therefore follows (from (12)) the existence of an integer

$$(14) \quad v = \max M \quad (\text{see [3, p. 64]}).$$

Since  $v \in M$ , it follows from (12) that  $0 \leq v \leq m-1$ . Since  $v \in M$ , we have  $\partial^v F \notin \mathfrak{B}$ : from (11) it now follows that  $\partial^{v+1} F \notin \mathfrak{B}$ . Consequently,  $0 \leq v+1 \leq m$  and  $\partial^{v+1} F \notin \mathfrak{B}$ : therefore,  $v+1$  belongs to  $M$ , which contradicts (14). This contradiction establishes (13); from (13) and 4.11 now follows 4.13.

§ 5. The main result

Throughout this section,  $\mu$  is a polynomial  $\mu_k$  ( $k=0, 1, 2, \dots$ ) of degree  $m \geq 1$  (that is,  $\mu$  is a sequence of complex numbers such that  $\mu_m \neq 0$  and  $\mu_k = 0$  for  $k > m$ ). As usual,

$$(5.1) \quad \mu(D) = \mu_0 + \mu_1 D + \dots + \mu_m D^m.$$

If  $u$  is a distribution we set

$$(5.2) \quad \mu(\partial)u = \mu_0 u + \mu_1 \partial u + \dots + \mu_m \partial^m u.$$

If  $\lambda$  is a polynomial whose degree is smaller than  $m$ , we denote by  $g^\lambda(\cdot)$  the unique continuous function  $h_m(\cdot)$  such that  $D\lambda(D)/\mu(D) = h_m$  (see [7, 5.4]):

$$(5.3) \quad D \frac{\lambda(D)}{\mu(D)} = g_\mu^\lambda.$$

5.4 Remark. In the particular case where  $\lambda$  is the polynomial such that  $\lambda(D) = 1$ , we set  $g_\mu = g_\mu^1$ , so that

$$(5.5) \quad \frac{D}{\mu(D)} = g_\mu.$$

If  $S \in \mathfrak{B}$  then

$$(5.6) \quad \frac{S^1}{\mu(D)} = [[g_\mu]^0 \otimes S]^1.$$

To prove 5.6 it suffices to note the equations

$$[[g_\mu]^0 \otimes S]^1 = g_\mu D^{-1} S^1 = \frac{D}{\mu(D)} D^{-1} S^1 = \frac{S^1}{\mu(D)}$$

come from 3.38 and 5.5.

5.7. Lemma. If  $S \in \mathfrak{B}$  the equation

$$(5.8) \quad F = [g_\mu]^0 \otimes S$$

determines a solution of the initial-value problem

$$(5.9) \quad \langle \partial_t^k F^1, 0_- \rangle = 0 \quad (\text{for } 0 \leq k \leq m-1)$$

and

$$(5.10) \quad \mu(\partial)F = S;$$

moreover,

$$(5.11) \quad F \in \mathfrak{B} \quad \text{and} \quad \partial^v F \in \mathfrak{B} \quad \text{for all } v \leq m.$$

PROOF. From 5.8 and 5.6 we see that

$$(1) \quad F^1 = \frac{S^1}{\mu(D)},$$

so that 5.9 is obtained by setting  $B=S^1$  in [7, (5.7)] (the property  $B \in \mathcal{B}_\omega$  comes from 4.9). It only remains to prove 5.10—5.11. From (1) and [7, (5.8)] (again with  $B=S^1$ ) we also obtain

$$(2) \quad \mu(\partial_i)F^1 = S^1.$$

Next, the equations

$$F^1 = \frac{D^m}{\mu(D)} \frac{S^1}{D^m} = \left( \frac{1}{\mu_m} + g_m D^{-1} \right) \frac{S^1}{D_m}$$

are from (1) and [7, (5.5)]; therefore,

$$(3) \quad F^1 = \frac{D}{D^m} D^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{\mu_m} S^1 + g_m D^{-1} S^1 \right)$$

now set

$$(4) \quad G = \frac{1}{\mu_m} S + [g_m]^0 \otimes S.$$

From (4) and 3.38 we obtain  $G^1 = \mu_m^{-1} S^1 + g_m D^{-1} S^1$ : substituting into (3), we can use 3.45 to write

$$(5) \quad F^1 = Y_m D^{-1} G^1 = ([Y_m]^0 \otimes G)^1;$$

the last equation is from 3.38. Since  $g_m(\cdot) \in \mathcal{F}$  we have  $[g_m]^0 \in \mathfrak{B}$  (by 1.27), so that  $[g_m]^0 \otimes S$  belongs also to  $\mathfrak{B}$  (by 2.20); since  $S \in \mathfrak{B}$  it now follows directly from (4) that

$$(6) \quad G \text{ belongs to } \mathfrak{B}.$$

In view of (6), we see that  $[Y_m]^0 \otimes G$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{B}$  (by 2.20); since  $F \in \mathfrak{B}$  (by 5.8 and 2.20), we can therefore apply 3.42 to (5):

$$(7) \quad F = [Y_m]^0 \otimes G \quad (\text{from (5)}).$$

From (7) and 3.46 it now follows that  $\partial^m F = G$ ; since  $G \in \mathfrak{B}$  it results from 4.12 that

$$(8) \quad \partial^v F \in \mathfrak{B} \quad \text{and} \quad (\partial^v F)^1 = \partial_i^v F^1 \quad (\text{for } 0 \leq v \leq m).$$

From (8) and 5.2 we see that

$$(9) \quad (\mu(\partial)F)^1 = \mu(\partial_i)F^1 = S^1;$$

the second equation is from (2). From (8) it also follows that  $\mu(\partial)F$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{B}$ ; we may therefore use 3.42 to infer from (9) that  $\mu(\partial)F = S$ .

This establishes 5.10. Conclusion 5.11 is immediate from (8), and 5.9 was verified at the beginning of this proof.

**5.12. First main theorem.** *Suppose that  $S \in \mathfrak{B}$ . If  $u$  is a distribution such that  $\mu(\partial)u = S$ , then  $u \in \mathfrak{B}$ ,*

$$(5.13) \quad \partial^k u \in \mathfrak{B}, \quad \text{and} \quad (\partial^k u)^1 = \partial_i^k u^1 \quad (\text{for } 0 \leq k \leq m);$$

moreover,

$$(5.14) \quad (\partial^k u)^1 = D^k u^1 - \sum_{v=1}^{k-1} \langle \partial_i^v u^1, 0_- \rangle D^{k-v} \quad (\text{for } 1 \leq k \leq m).$$

PROOF. Let  $F$  be as in 5.8. Since  $\mu(\partial)F=S$  and  $\mu(\partial)u=S$  it follows from [4, p. 328] the existence of a polynomial  $p(\cdot)$  of degree  $\leq m-1$  such that  $u=F+[p]^0$ : consequently,  $u \in \mathfrak{B}$  and

$$\partial^m u = \partial^m F + \partial^m [p]^0 = \partial^m F;$$

the last equation is from the fact that  $\partial^m [p]^0 = p^{(m)} = 0$  (since the degree of  $p(\cdot)$  is  $\leq m-1$ ). Thus,  $\partial^m u = \partial^m F$ ; but  $\partial^m F \in \mathfrak{B}$  (by 5.11), so that  $\partial^m u \in \mathfrak{B}$ : Conclusion 5.13 is now immediate from 4.12. Conclusion 5.14 comes from 5.13 and [7, 4.1].

**5.15. Second main theorem.** *Let  $c_v$  ( $v=0, 1, \dots, m-1$ ) be given numbers. If  $S \in \mathfrak{B}$  there exists a unique distribution  $u$  such that*

$$(5.16) \quad \mu(\partial)u = S$$

and

$$(5.17) \quad \langle \partial_t^v u^1, 0_- \rangle = c_v \quad (\text{for } 0 \leq v < m).$$

*That solution  $u$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{B}$ , satisfies 5.13, and is determined by the equation*

$$(5.18) \quad u = [g_\mu]^0 \otimes S + [g_\mu^\lambda]^0,$$

where  $g_\mu^\lambda(\cdot)$  is the function determined by

$$(5.19) \quad g_\mu^\lambda = \frac{1}{\mu(D)} \sum_{n=1}^m \mu_n \sum_{v=0}^{n-1} c_v D^{n-v}.$$

PROOF. First, we verify the existence of a solution of the initial-values problem 5.16—5.17. Let  $y(\cdot)$  be the solution of the initial-value problem

$$(1) \quad \sum_{k=0}^m \mu_k y^{(k)}(t) = 0 \quad (\text{for } t \in \mathbf{R})$$

subject to the initial conditions

$$(2) \quad y^{(k)}(0) = c_k \quad (\text{for } 0 \leq k < m).$$

It is well-known that such a function  $y(\cdot)$  exists; in fact,  $y(\cdot)$  is infinitely differentiable; consequently, (2) and [7, 2.21] give

$$(3) \quad \langle \partial_t^k y, 0_- \rangle = c_k \quad (\text{for } 0 \leq k < m).$$

Since  $y(\cdot)$  is infinitely differentiable, (1) implies that

$$(4) \quad \mu(\partial)[y]^0 = 0.$$

Let  $F$  be the distribution defined by 5.8; we denote by  $u$  the distribution

$$(5) \quad u = F + [y]^0.$$

The equations

$$(6) \quad \mu(\partial)u = \mu(\partial)F + \mu(\partial)[y]^0 = S + 0 = S$$

are from (5), 5.10, and (4). On the other hand, (5) and 3.36 give  $u^1 = F^1 + y$ : consequently,

$$(7) \quad \langle \partial_t^k u^1, 0_- \rangle = \langle \partial_t^k F^1, 0_- \rangle + \langle \partial_t^k y, 0_- \rangle = 0 + c_k = c_k;$$

the last two equations are from 5.9 and (3). From (6)—(7) it follows that (5) defines a distribution  $u$  satisfying 5.16—5.17.

Finally, we verify the uniqueness. If  $u$  is a distribution satisfying 5.16—5.17, then (since  $S \in \mathfrak{B}$ ) we can use 5.14 to obtain

$$(\mu(\partial)u)^1 = \mu(G)u^1 - \sum_{k=1}^m \mu_k \sum_{v=0}^{k-1} \langle \partial^v u^1, 0_- \rangle D^{k-v};$$

therefore, it results from 5.16—5.17 that

$$S^1 = \mu(D)u^1 - \sum_{k=1}^m \mu_k \sum_{v=0}^{k-1} c_v D^{k-v};$$

solving for  $u^1$ , we can use 5.19 to write

$$(8) \quad u_1 = \frac{S^1}{\mu(D)} + g_\mu^\lambda = ([g_\mu]^\lambda \otimes S + [g_\mu^\lambda]^\lambda)^1;$$

the second equation is from 5.6 and 3.36. Thus, if  $u$  is a distribution satisfying 5.16—5.17, it follows from (8) and 3.42 that  $u$  is given by 5.18. From 5.12 it results that  $u \in \mathfrak{B}$  and  $u$  satisfies 5.13. Since we have already verified the existence of a distribution  $u$  satisfying 5.16—5.17, our proof is complete.

## § 6. Particular cases

If  $b \geq 0$  we denote by  $T_b(\cdot)$  the function defined by

$$T_b(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } t < b \\ 1 & \text{for } t \geq b \end{cases}$$

If  $a < 0$  we set

$$T_a(t) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{for } t < a \\ 0 & \text{for } t \geq a \end{cases}$$

As usual,  $\delta_x$  is the distribution defined by  $\delta_x(\varphi) = \varphi(x)$  (for each  $\varphi(\cdot)$  in  $D$ ). We set

$$(6.1) \quad E = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta_{2k\pi};$$

this series converges in the topology of  $D'$ .

**6.2. Lemma.**  $E$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{B}$  and

$$(6.3) \quad E^1 = D \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} T_{2k\pi}.$$

PROOF. Note that  $E=A+B$ , where

$$A = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} \delta_{2k\pi} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \delta_{2k\pi}.$$

It is easily verified that  $A \in (\mathcal{L})$  and  $B \in \mathfrak{B}_+$ , so that  $E \in \mathfrak{B}$ . Next, observe that  $\delta_x = \partial[T_x]^0$  for any  $x$  in  $\mathbf{R}$ ; therefore, 6.1 gives

$$(1) \quad E = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \partial[T_{2k\pi}]^0 = \partial[f]^0,$$

where

$$(2) \quad f(\cdot) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} T_{2k\pi}(\cdot) \quad (\text{see [8, p. 37]}).$$

Since  $E \in \mathfrak{B}$ , the equations  $E^1 = \partial_t[f]^0 = \partial_t f$  follow from (1), 4.10, and 3.36; but  $\partial_t f = Df$  (by [6, 5.8]): therefore,  $E^1 = Df$ . Conclusion 6.3 now comes from [6, 4.12 (with  $g=1$ )].

6.4. *First example.* To find a distribution  $u$  such that

$$(3) \quad \partial^2 u + u = E.$$

Since  $E \in \mathfrak{B}$  it follows from 5.12 that  $u \in \mathfrak{B}$  and  $(\partial^2 u)^1 = \partial_t^2 u^1$ ; consequently, (3) gives

$$(4) \quad \partial_t^2 u^1 + u^1 = E^1 = D \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} T_{2k\pi};$$

the second equation is from 6.3. The equation (4) is precisely the one that has been solved in [6, 6.7]: the explicit solution is given in the introduction of the present paper.

6.5. *Second example.* In case  $S=0$  and  $c_{m-1}=1/\mu_m$  with  $c_k=0$  for  $0 \leq k \leq m-2$  it follows from 5.18—5.19 that the solution of the problem 5.16—5.17 is given by  $u=[g_\mu]^0$ , where  $g_\mu(\cdot)$  is the function defined by 5.5: it is the Green's function of the problem.

6.6. *Third example.* In case  $S=\delta$  and  $c_k=0$  for  $0 \leq k \leq m-1$ , it follows from 5.18 that the solution of the problem 5.16—5.17 is given by

$$u = [g_\mu]^0 \otimes \delta = [g_\mu]_+^0;$$

the second equation is from 2.29; equivalently,

$$u(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } t < 0 \\ g_\mu(t) & \text{for } t > 0: \end{cases}$$

see 1.28 and 1.26.

6.7. *Fourth example.* In case  $B \subset \mathfrak{B}_+$  then  $B = \mathfrak{B}_+$  (see 1.21); it follows from 2.22 that the equation 5.18 becomes

$$u = [g_\mu]_+^0 * B + [g_\mu^\lambda]^0.$$

*Added in proof.* The results in this paper have been generalized by H. SHULTZ, An algebra of distributions on an open interval. *Transactions of the American Math. Soc.* **169** (1972), 163—181.

### References

- [1] H. BREMERMANN, Distributions, complex variables, and Fourier transforms, *Reading, Mass.*, 1965.
- [2] A. CÉSAR DE FREITAS, Sur les distributions qui interviennent dans le calcul symbolique des électrotechniciens (cas des circuits à constantes concentrées) *Rev. Fac. Ciênc. de Lisboa, 2<sup>a</sup> série A*, **3** (1955), 279—310.
- [3] L. CHAMBADAL, J-L OVAERT, Cours de Mathématiques, Notions fondamentales d'algèbre et d'analyse, *Paris*, 1966.
- [4] J. HORVÁTH, Topological vector spaces and distributions, vol. I, *Reading, Mass.* 1966.
- [5] G. KRABBE, Operational calculus, *Berlin*, 1970.
- [6] G. KRABBE, An algebra of generalized functions on an open interval: two-sided operational calculus, *Pac. J. Math.*, **42**, (1972).
- [7] G. KRABBE, Initial-value problems involving generalized functions; two-sided operational calculus, *Revue Roumaine Math.*, **7**, (1974).
- [8] L. SCHWARTZ, Théorie des distributions, *Paris*, 1966.
- [9] K. YOSIDA, Functional analysis, *Berlin* 1965.
- [10] A. H. ZEMANIAN, Distribution theory and transform analysis, *New York*, 1965.
- [11] G. KRABBE, An algebra of generalized functions on an open interval; two-sided operational calculus, *Bull. A. M. S.* **77**, (1971) 78—84.

(Received July 11, 1972; in revised form: March 25, 1974)