

## A sine functional equation in Banach algebras

By B. NAGY (Budapest)

The functional equation  $f(x+y+\alpha) - f(x-y+\alpha) = 2f(x)f(y)$ , where  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  (the field of real numbers) was essentially first considered by E. B. VAN VLECK (cf. [1], [11]) for the case when  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous. The aim of this paper is to investigate this equation in the cases when  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow B$ , where  $B$  is a complex algebra, or Banach algebra, or the algebra of the bounded linear operators in a complex Banach space  $X$ . In the two latter cases measurability conditions will be imposed, which also ensure continuity of the solutions.

If  $B$  is a complex algebra, then the general solution is given in terms of its odd component, which on the other hand, is in connection with a type of exponential function. In the case of a complex Banach algebra  $B$  the concept of the generator element is defined and characterized, and the strongly measurable solutions are given in terms of the generator.

If  $B = B(X)$  is the algebra of bounded linear operators in a complex Banach space  $X$ , then essentially continuity of the solutions in the strong operator topology is assumed and similar problems are investigated. Theorem 8 deals with the case when  $X = H$  is a Hilbert space. Note that sometimes we use the terminology of [6] without explicitly mentioning this.

### 1. The equation in algebras and Banach algebras

*Definition.* Let  $\mathbb{R}$  be the real field,  $B$  an algebra over the complex field,  $S: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow B$  a map,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ . We shall say that  $S \in V(\alpha)$ , if for every  $(\xi, \eta) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$  we have

$$(1) \quad S(\xi + \eta + \alpha) - S(\xi - \eta + \alpha) = 2S(\xi)S(\eta)$$

We say that  $S \in V_0(\alpha)$  if  $S$  is odd and satisfies (1).

Fundamental is in what follows the following

**Theorem 1.** *If  $S \in V_0(\alpha)$ , then  $S(-\alpha) = j$  is idempotent, and  $jS(\xi) = S(\xi)j = S(\xi)$  holds for every  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ . Putting  $C(\xi) = S(\xi - \alpha)$ ,  $C(\xi)$  satisfies the equation  $C(\xi + \eta) + C(\xi - \eta) = 2C(\xi)C(\eta)$  (d'Alembert's equation), and  $E(\xi) = S(\xi - \alpha) + iS(\xi)$  satisfies the equations*

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} E(\xi + \eta) &= E(\xi)E(\eta) \\ E(\xi + \alpha) &= -iE(\xi) \end{aligned}$$

*Conversely, if  $E: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow B$  satisfies (2), then  $S(\xi) = \frac{1}{2i} \{E(\xi) - E(-\xi)\} \in V_0(\alpha)$ .*

PROOF. 1.  $S$  is odd, therefore  $S(0)=0$ . Setting  $\xi=0$  in (1), we have

$$(3) \quad S(\eta+\alpha) = S(-\eta+\alpha)$$

and hence

$$S(\eta)S(\xi) = \frac{1}{2} \{S(\xi+\eta+\alpha) - S(\eta-\xi+\alpha)\} = S(\xi)S(\eta) \quad \text{for } \xi, \eta \in R.$$

Since  $S$  is odd, putting  $\xi=-\alpha$  in (1), we obtain that  $S(\eta)=S(-\alpha)S(\eta)$  ( $\eta \in R$ ) and  $S(-\alpha)=j$  is idempotent. For the same reason (3) yields

$$(4) \quad S(\xi+\alpha) = -S(\xi-\alpha) \quad (\xi \in R)$$

and, considering (1) for  $(\xi-\alpha, \eta-\alpha) \in R \times R$ , we conclude that

$$(5) \quad S(\xi+\eta-\alpha) + S(\xi-\eta-\alpha) = 2S(\xi-\alpha)S(\eta-\alpha)$$

that is  $C(\xi)=S(\xi-\alpha)$  satisfies d'Alembert's equation. In view of the definition of  $E$

$$E(\xi)E(\eta) = C(\xi)C(\eta) - S(\xi)S(\eta) + i\{S(\xi)C(\eta) + C(\xi)S(\eta)\}.$$

Here we have  $C(\xi)C(\eta) - S(\xi)S(\eta) = C(\xi)C(\eta) - C(\xi+\alpha)C(\eta+\alpha) = 1/2\{C(\xi+\eta) + C(\xi-\eta) - C(\xi+\eta+2\alpha) - C(\xi-\eta)\} = C(\xi+\eta)$  according to (4) and (5). Similarly, by (1) and (4) we get

$$\begin{aligned} S(\xi)C(\eta) + C(\xi)S(\eta) &= S(\xi)S(\eta-\alpha) + S(\xi-\alpha)S(\eta) = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \{S(\xi+\eta) - S(\xi-\eta+2\alpha) + S(\xi+\eta) - S(\xi-\eta)\} = S(\xi+\eta) \end{aligned}$$

and thus  $E(\xi)E(\eta) = E(\xi+\eta)$ . Moreover, by (4)

$$E(\xi+\alpha) = C(\xi+\alpha) + iS(\xi+\alpha) = S(\xi) - iC(\xi) = -iE(\xi).$$

2. To prove the converse we observe that  $E(\xi-\alpha) = iE(\xi)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} S(\xi+\eta+\alpha) - S(\xi-\eta+\alpha) &= \\ &= \frac{1}{2i} \{E(\xi+\eta+\alpha) - E(-\xi-\eta-\alpha) - E(\xi-\eta+\alpha) + E(-\xi+\eta-\alpha)\} = \\ &= \frac{1}{2i} \{-iE(\xi+\eta) - iE(-\xi-\eta) + iE(\xi-\eta) + iE(-\xi+\eta)\} = 2S(\xi)S(\eta). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $S$  obviously is odd,  $S \in V_0(\alpha)$ , and the proof is complete.

*Definition.* The functions  $C, E$  occurring in Theorem 1 will be called the functions  $C, E$  associated with  $S \in V_0(\alpha)$ .

*Remark.* If  $B_u$  is a subalgebra of  $B$  with unit  $u$ ,  $S: R \rightarrow B_u$ ,  $S \in V(\alpha)$ , and for some  $\xi_0 \in R$  there exists the inverse of  $S(\xi_0)$  in  $B_u$  (denoted by  $S(\xi_0)_u^{-1}$ ), then  $S \in V_0(\alpha)$ . Indeed, by (1) we have for every  $\eta \in R$

$$S(\xi_0)S(-\eta) = -S(\xi_0)S(\eta)$$

and, multiplying by  $S(\xi_0)_u^{-1}$ , we obtain that  $S$  is odd.

**Theorem 2.** *If  $S \in V(\alpha)$ ,  $S_e(\xi) = 1/2\{S(\xi) + S(-\xi)\}$ ,  $S_0(\xi) = 1/2\{S(\xi) - S(-\xi)\}$ , then  $S_0 \in V_0(\alpha)$  and  $S_e(\xi) = a S_0(\xi + \alpha) + a - aj$ , where  $a = S_e(2\alpha)$ ,  $j = S_0(-\alpha)$  is idempotent, and  $a^2 = 0$ ,  $ja = 0$ . Conversely, if  $S_0 \in V_0(\alpha)$ ,  $j = S_0(-\alpha)$  and there exists an  $a \in B$  such that  $a^2 = 0$ ,  $ja = 0$ , then  $S(\xi)$  defined by  $S_0(\xi) + a S_0(\xi + \alpha) + a - aj$  belongs to  $V(\alpha)$  and  $S_0$  is the odd part of  $S$ .*

PROOF. 1. If  $S \in V(\alpha)$ , then  $S(\xi)S(-\eta) = -S(\xi)S(\eta)$  for  $\xi, \eta \in R$ , and hence

$$(6) \quad S(\xi)S_e(\eta) = 0 \quad (\xi, \eta \in R).$$

Putting the pair  $(-\xi, \eta)$  in (6) we get

$$(6a) \quad S_e(\xi)S_e(\eta) = 0$$

$$(6b) \quad S_0(\xi)S_e(\eta) = 0 \quad (\xi, \eta \in R)$$

With  $\xi = -\alpha$  in (1) we obtain

$$(7) \quad S(-\alpha)S(\eta) = \frac{1}{2} \{S(\eta) - S(-\eta)\} = S_0(\eta)$$

while (1) becomes because of (6)

$$(8) \quad S(\xi + \eta + \alpha) - S(\xi - \eta + \alpha) = 2S(\xi)S_0(\eta)$$

Multiplying (8) by  $S(-\alpha)$  from the left, we have by (7)

$$(9) \quad S_0(\xi + \eta + \alpha) - S_0(\xi - \eta + \alpha) = 2S_0(\xi)S_0(\eta)$$

and thus  $S_0 \in V_0(\alpha)$ . Using (8) again, we obtain

$$(10) \quad S_e(\xi + \eta + \alpha) - S_e(\xi - \eta + \alpha) = 2S_e(\xi)S_0(\eta)$$

Considering (10) for the pair  $(\xi - \alpha, \eta)$  implies

$$(11) \quad S_e(\xi - \alpha)S_0(\eta) = \frac{1}{2} \{S_e(\xi + \eta) - S_e(\xi - \eta)\} = S_e(\eta - \alpha)S_0(\xi) \quad (\xi, \eta \in R)$$

for the middle part is symmetric. From (9) it follows that  $S_0(-\alpha) = j$  is idempotent, and (11) for the pair  $(\xi + \alpha, -\alpha)$  gives that

$$(12) \quad S_e(\xi) \cdot j = S_e(2\alpha)S_0(\xi + \alpha).$$

Then for every  $\xi, \eta \in R$  it follows that

$$(13) \quad S_e(\xi)S_0(\eta) = S_e(\xi) \cdot j \cdot S_0(\eta) = S_e(2\alpha)S_0(\xi + \alpha)S_0(\eta).$$

Putting  $\eta = \xi - \alpha$  in (10) and making use of (13) gives

$$S_e(2\xi) = S_e(2\alpha) + 2S_e(2\alpha)S_0(\xi + \alpha)S_0(\xi - \alpha)$$

and by (9) we get

$$S_e(2\xi) = S_e(2\alpha) + S_e(2\alpha) \{S_0(2\xi + \alpha) - S_0(3\alpha)\}.$$

From (4) it can be seen that  $S_0$  is periodic with period  $4|\alpha|$ , thus with the notation of the theorem we have  $S_e(\xi) = a + a \{S_0(\xi + \alpha) - j\}$ . Moreover, from (6a) and (6b) we see that  $a^2 = 0$ ,  $ja = 0$ .

2. If  $S_0 \in V_0(\alpha)$  and  $S(\xi)$  is of the asserted form, then with the notation  $S_e(\xi) = S(\xi) - S_0(\xi)$  we obtain that  $S_0(\xi)S_e(\eta) = 0$  ( $\xi, \eta \in R$ ), for  $ja = 0$ . Similarly, since  $a^2 = 0$ , it follows that  $S_e(\xi) \cdot S_e(\eta) = \{a + a[S_0(\xi + \alpha)j - j]\} \cdot \{a + a[S_0(\eta + \alpha) - j]\} = 0$ . Thus, using (9), we establish that  $2S(\xi)S(\eta) = 2\{a + a[S_0(\xi + \alpha) - j] + S_0(\xi)\}S_0(\eta) = 2 \cdot S_0(\xi)S_0(\eta) + 2aS_0(\xi + \alpha)S_0(\eta) + 2aS_0(\eta) - 2ajS_0(\eta) = S_0(\xi + \eta + \alpha) - S_0(\xi - \eta + \alpha) + a\{S_0(\xi + \eta + 2\alpha) - S_0(\xi - \eta + 2\alpha)\} = S(\xi + \eta + \alpha) - S(\xi - \eta + \alpha)$ , hence  $S \in V(\alpha)$ . The last statement is obvious, because in view of  $S_0 \in V_0(\alpha)$   $S_0(-\xi + \alpha) = S_0(\xi + \alpha)$  holds.

*Corollary 1.* If  $S \in V(\alpha)$  and  $S(0) = 0$ , then  $S \in V_0(\alpha)$ .

**PROOF.** Using the notations of the preceding theorem  $S_0 \in V_0(\alpha)$ , and (4) implies that  $S_0(\alpha) = -j$ . By Theorem 2,  $0 = S(0) = a - 2aj$ , thus  $aS_0(\xi) = 2ajS_0(\xi) = 2aS_0(\xi)$ , hence  $aS_0(\xi) = 0$  for  $\xi \in R$ . Therefore  $S_e(\xi) = a - aj = a/2$  for  $\xi \in R$ . However,  $S_e(0) = 0$  by assumption, thus  $S_e(\xi) = 0$  for  $\xi \in R$ , and  $S = S_0 \in V_0(\alpha)$ .

*Corollary 2.*  $S \in V(0)$  implies  $S(\xi) = a$  for  $\xi \in R$ , where  $a^2 = 0$ . Conversely, if  $S(\xi) = a$  for  $\xi \in R$  with  $a^2 = 0$ , then  $S \in V(0)$ .

**PROOF.** If  $S \in V(0)$ , then Theorem 2 and (4) imply  $S_0(\xi) = -S_0(\xi)$ ,  $S_0(\xi) = 0$  for  $\xi \in R$ . Hence  $j = 0$ , and  $S(\xi) = a$  with  $a^2 = 0$ . The converse is trivial.

In view of this corollary we shall always assume that  $\alpha \neq 0$ .

*Example.* Let  $X$  be a Banach space,  $B = B(X)$  the Banach algebra of the bounded linear operators in  $X$ ,  $J \in B$  an idempotent (projection) operator,  $J \neq 0$ ,  $J \neq I$ . Then there exists an  $A \in B$  such that  $A^2 = 0$ ,  $JA = 0$ ,  $AJ \neq 0$ .

Indeed, denoting the range of  $T \in B$  by  $R(T)$ , we have  $X = R(J) \oplus R(I - J)$ , and there exist elements  $u \in R(I - J)$ ,  $u \neq 0$  and  $u^* \in X^*$  such that  $u^*(u) = 0$ , and  $u^*\{R(J)\} \neq 0$ . For  $x \in X$  set  $Ax = u^*(x) \cdot u$ , then  $A \in B$ ,  $R(A) \subset R(I - J)$ , thus  $JA = 0$ . On the other hand,  $AJx = u^*(Jx) \cdot u \neq 0$ , and  $A^2x = A\{u^*(x) \cdot u\} = u^*(x) \cdot u^*(u) \cdot u = 0$  for every  $x \in X$ , thus  $A$  fulfils the stated requirements.

*Definition.* We shall say that  $S \in V(\alpha, B, j, a)$  if  $S \in V(\alpha)$ ,  $B$  is a complex Banach algebra,  $S_0(-\alpha) = j$ ,  $S_e(2\alpha) = a$  and  $S$  is strongly measurable. We shall omit any of the variables of  $V$  if it is not emphasized.

**Theorem 3.** If  $S \in V(\alpha, B, j, \cdot)$ , then there exist one and only one  $g_0 \in jBj$  and exactly one  $a \in B$  such that  $S(\xi) = \sin(\xi g_0) - a \cos(\xi g_0) + a - aj$ , where by definition

$$\sin(\xi g_0) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{(\xi g_0)^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!}, \quad \cos(\xi g_0) = j + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{(\xi g_0)^{2n}}{(2n)!}.$$

Here  $a = S_e(2\alpha)$ ,  $a^2 = 0$ ,  $ja = 0$ ,  $S$  is strongly differentiable at each point  $\xi \in R$  and  $S'(\xi) = g_0 \cos(\xi g_0) + ag_0 \sin(\xi g_0)$ . Moreover,  $S'(0) = S'_0(0) = g_0$ .

**PROOF.** Under the conditions of the theorem  $S_0 \in V(\alpha, B, j, \cdot)$  and, by Theorem 1, the function  $E$  associated with  $S_0$  is also strongly measurable, and  $E(0) = j$ . Then by [6], 9.4. there exists exactly one  $g \in jBj$  such that

$$E(\xi) = j + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\xi g)^n}{n!} = \exp(\xi g),$$

and this series converges absolutely for  $\xi \in R$ . Writing  $g_0 = -ig \in jBj$ , we obtain that  $S_0(\xi) = \sin(\xi g_0)$ , and because of  $S'_0(0) = g_0$  the uniqueness of  $g_0$  is proved. Taking into account (4) and Theorem 1, we get  $S_0(\xi + \alpha) = -S_0(\xi - \alpha) = -C(\xi)$ , where  $C$  is the function associated with  $S_0$  according to Theorem 1. But then

$$S_0(\xi + \alpha) = -\frac{1}{2} \{E(\xi) + E(-\xi)\} = -\frac{1}{2} \{\exp(i\xi g_0) + \exp(-i\xi g_0)\} = -\cos(\xi g_0),$$

and the remaining assertions are contained in Theorem 2.

*Corollary* (cf. [7], [2]). If  $B$  is a complex Banach-algebra,  $C: R \rightarrow B$  is a strongly measurable function satisfying  $C(\xi + \eta) + C(\xi - \eta) = 2C(\xi)C(\eta)$ , and if for some  $\alpha \in R$  ( $\alpha \neq 0$ )  $C(\alpha) = 0$  holds, then  $C(0) = j$  is idempotent and there exists a (not unique)  $b \in jBj$  such that  $C(\xi) = \cos(\xi b) = j + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{(\xi b)^{2n}}{(2n)!}$ .

**PROOF.** From the assumptions we obtain that  $C(\xi + \alpha) = -C(\xi - \alpha)$  for  $\xi \in R$ . Putting the pair  $(\xi + \alpha, \eta + \alpha)$  in d'Alembert's equation, the preceding relation gives

$$(14) \quad C(\xi + \eta + 2\alpha) - C(\xi - \eta + 2\alpha) = 2C(\xi + \alpha)C(\eta + \alpha).$$

If we set  $S(\xi) = C(\xi + \alpha)$ , then  $S(0) = 0$  and (14) imply that  $S \in V_0(\alpha)$ , and clearly,  $S$  is strongly measurable. Thus, as in Theorem 3, for the function  $E$  associated with  $S$  we get  $E(\xi) = j + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\xi b)^n}{n!}$ , where  $j = C(0) = S(-\alpha)$  is idempotent and  $b \in jBj$ . From this it follows that  $C(\xi) = 1/2\{E(\xi) + E(-\xi)\} = \cos(\xi b)$ , while  $C(\xi) = \cos\{\xi(-b)\}$  is a trivial different representation.

*Definition.* If  $S \in V(\alpha, B, \cdot, \cdot)$ , then  $\frac{dS}{d\xi}(0)$  will be called the generator element of  $S$ .

A characterization of the generator elements is given in the following

**Theorem 4.** Let  $B$  be a complex Banach algebra,  $j \in B$  idempotent,  $\alpha \in R$ .  $g_0 \in jBj$  is the generator element of some  $S \in V(\alpha, B, j, \cdot)$  if and only if  $g_0 = \sum_{\beta=1}^n \lambda_{\beta} j_{\beta}$ , where  $\lambda_{\beta} = \frac{\pi}{2\alpha}(4k_{\beta} - 1)$  ( $k_{\beta}$  are different integers for  $\beta = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ),  $j_{\beta} \in jBj$  are idempotents,

$$\sum_{\beta=1}^n j_{\beta} = j, \quad j_{\beta} j_{\gamma} = \delta_{\beta\gamma} j_{\beta}$$

( $\gamma = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ). In this case we have

$$S_0(\xi) = \sum_{\beta=1}^n j_{\beta} \cdot \sin(\lambda_{\beta} \cdot \xi), \quad S_0(\xi + \alpha) = -\sum_{\beta=1}^n j_{\beta} \cdot \cos(\lambda_{\beta} \cdot \xi).$$

PROOF. According to the preceding theorems  $g_0 \in jBj$  is the generator element of some  $S \in V(\alpha; B, j, \cdot)$  if and only if  $E(\xi) = S_0(\xi - \alpha) + iS_0(\xi) = \exp(i\xi g_0)$ , where  $\exp$  denotes the exponential function in  $jBj$ , and  $E(\alpha) = \exp(i\alpha g_0) = -ij$ . The latter is the case exactly when  $i\alpha g_0 = \log(-ij)$ , where  $\log$  denotes logarithm in  $jBj$ . A value of this is clearly  $\log^*(-ij) = -i\frac{\pi}{2}j$ , and the spectrum of  $-i\frac{\pi}{2} \cdot j \in jBj$  is  $\left\{-i\frac{\pi}{2}\right\}$ , which is incongruent (mod  $2\pi i$ ) (see [5], p. 54.). Hence every logarithm of  $-ij$  is of the following form (see loc. cit.):  $\log(-i \cdot j) = -i\frac{\pi}{2} \cdot j + i2\pi \sum_{\beta=1}^n k_\beta \cdot j_\beta$ , where  $k_\beta$  ( $\beta=1, 2, \dots, n$ ) are different integers,  $j_\beta \in jBj$ ,  $j_\beta \cdot j_\gamma = \delta_{\beta\gamma} \cdot j_\beta$  ( $\beta, \gamma=1, 2, \dots, n$ ),  $\sum_{\beta=1}^n j_\beta = j$ . Therefore we obtain that

$$g_0 = \frac{\pi}{2\alpha} \left\{ -\sum_{\beta=1}^n j_\beta + 4 \sum_{\beta=1}^n k_\beta j_\beta \right\} = \frac{\pi}{2\alpha} \sum_{\beta=1}^n (4k_\beta - 1) j_\beta.$$

Because of the properties of the elements  $j, j_\beta$  we have in this case

$$\begin{aligned} E(\xi) &= \exp\left(i\xi \sum_{\beta=1}^n \lambda_\beta j_\beta\right) = \prod_{\beta=1}^n \exp(i \cdot \xi \cdot \lambda_\beta \cdot j_\beta) = \prod_{\beta=1}^n \{j + j_\beta \cdot [\exp(i\xi \lambda_\beta) - 1]\} = \\ &= j + \sum_{\beta=1}^n j_\beta [\exp(i\xi \lambda_\beta) - 1] = \sum_{\beta=1}^n j_\beta \exp(i\xi \lambda_\beta), \end{aligned}$$

and hence  $S_0(\xi) = \sum_{\beta=1}^n j_\beta \sin(\lambda_\beta \cdot \xi)$ . For the function  $C$  associated with  $S_0$   $S_0(\xi + \alpha) = -S_0(\xi - \alpha) = -C(\xi)$  holds and thus the remaining statement is also proved.

## 2. The equation in the algebra $B(X)$ .

Let  $X$  be a complex Banach space and  $B(X)$  the algebra of the bounded linear operators in  $X$ .

*Definition.* We say that  $S \in V(\alpha, B(X), J, A)$  if  $S: R \rightarrow B(X)$  satisfies (1),  $S_0(-\alpha) = J$  is a projection operator,  $S_e(2\alpha) = A$  and  $S$  is a strongly measurable operator function. Moreover, we say that  $S \in V_0(\alpha, B(X), J)$  if, in addition,  $S$  is odd (then necessarily  $A=0$ ).

Clearly, if  $S \in V(\alpha, B(X), J, A)$ , then  $S_0$  and the function  $E$  associated with  $S_0$  are also strongly measurable, hence  $E$  and  $S$  are continuous in the strong operator topology of  $B(X)$ .

*Definition.* If  $S \in V(\alpha, B(X), J, A)$ , then we set  $S'(\xi)x = \lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} \frac{S(\xi + \eta) - S(\xi)}{\eta} x$  if this limit exists in the strong topology of  $X$ , and then we write  $x \in D\{S'(\xi)\}$ . We denote  $S'(0)$  by  $G_0 = G_0(S)$ , and call it the generator operator of  $S$ .

**Lemma 1.** *If  $S \in V_0(\alpha, B(X), J)$  and  $x \in D(G_0)$ , then for every  $\xi \in R$  we have  $x \in D\{S'(\xi)\}$ ,  $S(\xi)x \in D(G_0)$  and*

$$S'(\xi)x = S(\xi - \alpha)G_0x = G_0S(\xi - \alpha)x.$$

**PROOF.** By (1) and the strong continuity of  $S$ , we obtain for  $x \in D(G_0)$ ,  $\xi \in R$  that there exists

$$(15) \quad S'(\xi)x = \lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} \frac{S(\xi + \eta) - S(\xi)}{\eta} x = \lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} \frac{S(\xi - \alpha + \eta/2)S(\eta/2)}{\eta/2} x = S(\xi - \alpha)G_0x.$$

On the other hand, for  $x \in D(G_0)$

$$\begin{aligned} G_0S(\xi - \alpha)x &= \lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\eta} S(\eta)S(\xi - \alpha)x = \lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} \frac{S(\xi + \eta) - S(\xi - \eta)}{2\eta} x = \\ &= \lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{S(\xi + \eta) - S(\xi) - \{S(\xi - \eta) - S(\xi)\}}{\eta} x = S'(\xi)x \end{aligned}$$

exists because of (15), and the proof is complete.

As a corollary we get that  $x \in D(G_0)$  implies  $G_0x = S(-\alpha)G_0x$ , hence  $R(G_0) \subset R\{S(-\alpha)\} = R(J)$ .

**Lemma 2.** *If  $S \in V(\alpha, B(X), J, A)$  and  $S_0$  is its odd part, then  $G_0(S) = G_0(S_0)$ .*

**PROOF.** If  $x \in D\{G_0(S)\}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} G_0(S_0)x &= \lim_{\xi \rightarrow 0} \frac{S_0(\xi)}{\xi} x = \lim_{\xi \rightarrow 0} \frac{S(\xi) - S(-\xi)}{2\xi} x = \\ &= \lim_{\xi \rightarrow 0} \frac{S(\xi) - S(0) - \{S(-\xi) - S(0)\}}{2\xi} x \end{aligned}$$

also exists, and  $G_0(S_0)x = G_0(S)x$ . Conversely, if  $x \in D\{G_0(S_0)\}$ , then by Lemma 1  $x \in D\{S'_0(\alpha)\}$  and  $S'_0(\alpha)x = 0$ . Applying Theorem 2, we obtain that

$$\lim_{\xi \rightarrow 0} \frac{S_e(\xi) - S_e(0)}{\xi} x = A \lim_{\xi \rightarrow 0} \frac{S_0(\xi + \alpha) - S_0(\alpha)}{\xi} x = 0,$$

and thus exists

$$G_0(S)x = \lim_{\xi \rightarrow 0} \frac{S(\xi) - S(0)}{\xi} x = \lim_{\xi \rightarrow 0} \frac{S_0(\xi)}{\xi} x = G_0(S_0)x,$$

which ends the proof.

Suppose  $S \in V(\alpha, B(X), J, A)$ ,  $S_0$  is its odd part and  $C, E$  are the functions associated with  $S_0$  as in Theorem 1. Introduce the following notations:  $G = E'(0)$ ,  $G_1 = C'(0)$  and  $G_2 = C''(0)$ , where the domains of the right sides consist of exactly those  $x \in X$ , for which the respective derivatives exist in the strong topology of  $X$ . We define the operators  $C'(\xi)$  ( $\xi \in R$ ) in a similar manner.

**Lemma 3.** *If  $S \in V(\alpha, B(X), J, A)$ , then for every  $\xi \in R$  we have  $D(G_0) \subset D\{C'(\xi)\}$ , and  $x \in D(G_1)$  implies  $G_1x = 0$ . Moreover,  $G = iG_0$  and  $G_2 = -G_0^2$ .*

PROOF. By Lemmas 1 and 2,  $x \in D(G_0)$  implies  $C'(\xi)x = S'_0(\xi - \alpha)x = -S_0(\xi)G_0x$  for  $\xi \in R$ . Moreover,  $G_1 = C'(0) = S'_0(-\alpha)$  and, in view of (3) and (4),  $S_0(\eta - \alpha) = S_0(-\eta - \alpha)$ . Hence for  $x \in D(G_1)$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} G_1x &= \lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} \frac{S_0(-\alpha + \eta) - S_0(-\alpha)}{\eta} x = \\ &= \lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} \frac{1/2 \{S_0(-\alpha + \eta) + S_0(-\alpha - \eta)\} - S_0(-\alpha)}{\eta} x = 0. \end{aligned}$$

If  $x \in D(G_0)$ , then  $x \in D(G_1)$  and exists

$$Gx = \lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} \left\{ \frac{C(\eta) - C(0)}{\eta} x + i \frac{S_0(\eta)}{\eta} x \right\} = iG_0x,$$

while  $x \in D(G)$  implies

$$G_0x = \lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} \frac{-i}{2} \left\{ \frac{E(\eta) - E(0)}{\eta} x + \frac{E(-\eta) - E(0)}{-\eta} x \right\} = \frac{1}{i} Gx,$$

hence  $G = iG_0$ .

To prove the last assertion suppose  $x \in D(G_0)$ ,  $\eta \neq 0$ . Then

$$\frac{C'(\eta) - G_1}{\eta} x = -\frac{S_0(\eta)G_0x}{\eta}, \quad \text{thus } D(G_0^2) \subset D(G_2),$$

and  $x \in D(G_0^2)$  implies  $G_2x = -G_0^2x$ . On the other hand, putting  $X_1 = JX$ ,  $X_0 = (I - J)X$ ,  $X$  is the direct sum of  $X_1$  and  $X_0$ . From Theorem 1 it follows that  $G_0, G$  and  $G_2$  are identically 0 on  $X_0$ , while on  $X_1$   $E(\xi)$  is a strongly continuous group of operators and  $C(\xi)$  a strongly continuous cosine operator function (cf. [10]) with  $C(0) = J$ , the identical operator in  $X_1$ . If  $\bar{G}_0, \bar{G}, \bar{G}_2$  denote the restrictions of the respective operators to  $X_1$ , then there exists a  $v > 0$  such that  $z > v$  implies  $z^2 \in \rho(\bar{G}_2)$ ,  $z \in \rho(\bar{G}) \cap \rho(-\bar{G})$ , where  $\rho$  denotes the resolvent set. Hence also  $z^2 \in \rho(\bar{G}^2)$ , thus

$$(z^2J - \bar{G}^2)D(\bar{G}^2) = X_1 = (z^2J - \bar{G}_2)D(\bar{G}_2)$$

the operators on both sides being one-to-one mappings. Therefore we get  $D(\bar{G}_2) = D(\bar{G}^2)$ , whence  $D(G_0^2) = D(G^2) = D(G_2)$  and  $G_2 = -G_0^2$ .

*Remark.* In the proof we employed an idea of M. SOVA [10].

*Corollary.*  $D(G_0)$  is dense in  $X$  and  $G_0$  is a closed operator.  $D(G_0) = X$ , i.e.  $G_0$  is bounded if and only if  $S(\xi)$  is continuous in the uniform operator topology.

**Lemma 4.** If  $S \in V_0(\alpha, B(X), J)$ ,  $y \in X$  and  $A, B \in R$ , then  $x_{A,B}(y) = \int_A^B S(\eta)y d\eta$  belongs to  $D(G_0)$  and  $G_0x_{A,B}(y) = \{S(B + \alpha) - S(A + \alpha)\}y$ . Moreover,  $R(J) = R(G_0)$ .



PROOF. If  $E$  is the group of operators associated with  $S$ , then we have

$$\int_A^B S(\eta)y d\eta = \frac{1}{2i} \int_A^B \{E(\eta) - E(-\eta)\}y d\eta = \frac{1}{2i} \left\{ \int_A^B E(\eta)y d\eta + \int_{-A}^{-B} E(\eta)y d\eta \right\}.$$

But then, according to a well-known result of N. DUNFORD, there exists

$$\begin{aligned} G_0 x_{A,B}(y) &= -iGx_{A,B}(y) = -\frac{1}{2} \{E(B) - E(A) + E(-B) - E(-A)\}y = \\ &= -\{C(B) - C(A)\}y = \{S(B + \alpha) - S(A + \alpha)\}y \end{aligned}$$

where  $C$  is the function associated with  $S$ , occurring in Theorem 1. Hence with  $B = -2\alpha$ ,  $A = -\alpha$  for every  $y \in X$  we get  $S(-\alpha)y = G_0 x_{-\alpha, -2\alpha}(y)$ ,  $R(J) = R\{S(-\alpha)\} \subset R(G_0)$ . The converse inclusion was established after Lemma 1.

*Corollary.*  $S \in V_0(\alpha, B(X), J)$  is continuous in the uniform operator topology if and only if  $D(G_0^2) = D(G_0)$ .

PROOF. If  $D(G_0^2) = D(G_0)$ , then with the notation of Lemma 3,  $X_1 = R(G_0) \subset D(G_0)$ , thus  $D(G_0) = X$  and  $G_0$  is bounded. The converse is evident.

*Remark 1.* Suppose  $S \in V_0(\alpha, B(X), J)$ . It can be seen from the proof of Lemma 3 that there is no essential restriction in assuming that  $J = S(-\alpha) = I$ . To simplify the statements, this assumption will often be made in what follows.

*Remark 2.*  $S \in V(\alpha, B(X), J, A)$  and  $S(-\alpha) = I$  hold if and only if  $S \in V_0(\alpha, B(X), I)$ . Indeed, from Theorem 2 we see that  $I = S_0(-\alpha) + S_e(-\alpha) = J + A - AJ$  implies  $0 = (A - AJ)^2 = I - J$ , hence  $J = I$  and  $A = JA = 0$ . Consequently, we have  $S \in V_0(\alpha, B(X), I)$ , while the converse is evident.

**Theorem 5.** If  $S \in V_0(\alpha, B(X), I)$  and  $\gamma_n$  denotes  $\frac{\pi}{2\alpha}(4n - 1)$  where  $n$  is integer, then the spectrum of  $G_0$  is entirely point spectrum, and  $\mathbb{Q} \neq \sigma(G_0) \subset \{\gamma_n; n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\}$ . Moreover, there exist projection operators  $P_n$  ( $n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$ ) in  $X$ , for which  $P_n X = \{x \in D(G_0); G_0 x = \gamma_n x\} \neq \{0\}$  if and only if  $\gamma_n$  is an eigenvalue of  $G_0$ .  $P_n P_k = \delta_{nk} P_n$ , and for  $x \in X$   $S(\xi)x = (C, 1) - \sum'_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sin(\gamma_n \xi) P_n x$ ,  $x = (C, 1) - \sum'_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} P_n x$ . For  $x \in D(G_0)$  we have  $G_0 x = (C, 1) - \sum'_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \gamma_n P_n x$  (here  $(C, 1) - \sum'$  denotes Cesàro sum of the first order, and  $\sum'$  indicates that summation is extended only for those  $n$ , for which  $\gamma_n \in \sigma(G_0)$ ).  $S$  is continuous in the uniform operator topology if and only if the number of the eigenvalues of  $G_0$  is finite, and then

$$S(\xi) = \sum'_n \sin(\gamma_n \xi) \cdot P_n, \quad G_0 = \sum'_n \gamma_n \cdot P_n, \quad I = \sum'_n P_n.$$

PROOF. If  $E$  is the group of operators associated with  $S$ , then  $E(\alpha) = -iI$ , and the eigenvalue  $-i$  is the unique element of  $\sigma\{E(\alpha)\}$ . If  $G$  is the generator operator of  $E$ , then according to the theorems of [6], 16.7.  $\mathbb{Q} \neq P\sigma(G) \subset \sigma(G) \subset$

$\subset \{\beta_n; n=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\}$ , where  $\beta_n = i\gamma_n$ , and thus Lemma 3 implies the statements concerning  $\sigma(G_0)$  except  $P_\sigma(G_0) = \sigma(G_0)$ . However, this will follow from Theorem 6, which implies that all the singularities of the resolvent of  $G_0$  are poles, hence eigenvalues of  $G_0$ .

Modifying the ideas in the proof of [6], Theorem 16.7.2., we get that the operators  $U(\tau) = e^{i\frac{\pi}{2\alpha}\tau} E(\tau)$  ( $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ ) in  $X$  form a strongly continuous group with period  $|\alpha|$ . For  $x \in X, n=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$  we define  $P_n x = \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_0^\alpha e^{-in\frac{2\pi}{\alpha}\tau} U(\tau)x d\tau$ . Then  $P_n \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ ,  $P_n P_k = \delta_{nk} P_n$ , and  $P_n X = \{x \in D(G): Gx = \beta_n x\} = \{x \in D(G_0): G_0 x = \gamma_n x\}$ .  $P_n X \neq \{0\}$  if and only if  $\gamma_n$  is an eigenvalue of  $G_0$ , and the strong continuity of  $U(\xi)x$  implies for  $x \in X$

$$U(\xi)x = (C, 1) - \sum'_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{in\frac{2\pi}{\alpha}\xi} P_n x,$$

and thus

$$(16) \quad E(\xi)x = (C, 1) - \sum'_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\gamma_n \xi} P_n x,$$

hence  $S(\xi)x = (C, 1) - \sum'_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sin(\gamma_n \xi) P_n x$ . (16) with  $\xi = 0$  gives

$$(17) \quad x = (C, 1) - \sum'_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} P_n x.$$

$P_n$  and  $U(\xi)$  commute, hence so do  $P_n$  and  $S(\xi)$ . Therefore  $x \in D(G_0)$  implies  $P_n x \in D(G_0)$  and  $P_n G_0 x = G_0 P_n x = \gamma_n P_n x$ . Thus, by (17), we have for  $x \in D(G_0)$

$$G_0 x = (C, 1) - \sum'_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} P_n G_0 x = (C, 1) - \sum'_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \gamma_n P_n x.$$

If  $S$  is continuous in the uniform operator topology, then the spectral radius of  $G_0$ ,  $r(G_0) \leq \|G_0\|$ , hence the number of the eigenvalues of  $G_0$  is finite. Conversely, if  $P\sigma(G_0)$  is finite, then  $S(\xi)x$  reduces to a finite sum, and for every  $x \in X$  we have  $x = \sum' P_n x$ ,  $S(\xi)x = \sum' \sin(\gamma_n \xi) P_n x$ , and

$$G_0 x = \lim_{\xi \rightarrow 0} \frac{S(\xi)x}{\xi} = \lim_{\xi \rightarrow 0} \sum' \frac{\sin(\gamma_n \xi)}{\xi} P_n x = \sum' \gamma_n P_n x,$$

hence  $D(G_0) = X$  and  $S$  is continuous in the uniform operator topology. Thus the proof is complete.

A characterization of the generator operator  $G_0$  is given in the following

**Theorem 6.** Let  $G_0$  be a closed operator in  $X$  with dense domain  $D(G_0)$ .  $G_0$  is the generator of some  $S \in V_0(\alpha, \mathcal{B}(X), I)$  ( $\alpha \neq 0$ ) if and only if there exists a real number  $M \geq 1$  such that 1° and 2° hold:

1°  $(I+zG_0)^{-1}$  is a bounded operator and  $\|(I+zG_0)^{-n}\| \leq M$  for every purely imaginary  $z$  and for  $n=1, 2, \dots$

2° the function  $F(z)=(e^{-iz} - i)R(z; G_0) \{z \in \rho(G_0)\}$  has an analytical continuation  $H(z)$  on the whole complex plane for which  $\|H(z)\| \leq Ke^{|\alpha \operatorname{Im} z|}$  (here  $R$  denotes the resolvent operator,  $K > 0$ ).

In this case the function  $S(\xi)$  generated by  $G_0$  is determined uniquely, and  $\|S(\xi)\| \leq M$  for  $\xi \in R$ .

*Remark.* A similar theorem has been proved in [9] (Teor. 2.). However, the proof of the sufficiency is not complete there and, in fact, can not dispense with a boundedness condition similar to that in 2°. In addition, our proof seems to be simpler.

**PROOF.** 1. Suppose  $S \in V_0(\alpha, B(X), I)$ ,  $G_0$  is its generator,  $E$  is the group of operators associated with  $S$  and  $G=iG_0$ .

Then 1° follows from Lemma 3 and [6], Theor. 12.3.2. Put  $z_k = \frac{i\pi}{2\alpha}(4k-1)$  ( $k$  integer), and for  $z \neq z_k, x \in X$   $U(z)x = (1+ie^{-\alpha z})^{-1} \int_0^z e^{-\xi z} E(\xi)x d\xi$ . From  $E(\alpha) = -iI$  it follows for  $x \in D(G)$  that  $U(z)Gx = zU(z)x - x$ , and by the denseness of  $D(G)$  that  $R(z; G) = U(z)$ .

For  $z \in \rho(G_0)$  we get  $R(z; G_0) = iR(iz; G)$ , by Lemma 3. Hence  $z \neq -iz_k, x \in X$  implies  $(e^{-iz} - i)R(z; G_0)x = (1+ie^{-iz})R(iz; G)x = \int_0^z e^{-i\xi z} E(\xi)x d\xi$ . Putting  $H(z)x = \int_0^z e^{-i\xi z} E(\xi)x d\xi$  for every complex  $z$ ,  $H(z)$  is analytic and a continuation of  $F(z)$ , for  $R(z; G_0)$  is holomorphic in  $\rho(G_0)$ . Moreover, we have

$$\|H(z)\| \leq e^{|\alpha \operatorname{Im} z|} \int_0^{|z|} \|E(\xi)\| d\xi = Ke^{|\alpha \operatorname{Im} z|},$$

and 2° is also verified.

2. If  $G_0$  satisfies 1°, then  $G=iG_0$  generates the strongly continuous group of operators  $E$ , for which  $\|E(\xi)\| \leq M$  ( $\xi \in R$ ). We show that 2° even implies  $E(\xi + \alpha) = -iE(\xi)$  for  $\xi \in R$ .

If  $Q(z) = H(-iz)$ , then  $\|Q(z)\| \leq Ke^{|\alpha \operatorname{Re} z|}$ , and for  $\operatorname{Re} z > 0, x \in X$  we get

$$\frac{Q(z)x}{1+ie^{-\alpha z}} = R(z; G)x = \int_0^\infty e^{-\xi z} E(\xi)x d\xi.$$

Suppose  $u, v > 0$  and  $E_2(u)x = \int_0^u \int_0^v E(\xi)x d\xi dv$ , then [6], (6.3.9) gives for  $r > 0$

$$E_2(u)x = \lim_{B \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{r-iB}^{r+iB} e^{zu} \frac{Q(z)x}{1+ie^{-\alpha z}} \cdot z^{-2} dz.$$

In the integrand  $(1+ie^{-az})^{-1}$  is bounded, and the absolute convergence of the integral yields with the notation  $g(z)=(2\pi i)^{-1}e^{zu}(1+ie^{-az})^{-1}z^{-2}Q(z)x$  that  $E_2(u)x = \int_{r-i\infty}^{r+i\infty} g(z)dz$ . Making use of  $2^\circ$ , it can be shown after some calculation that  $E_2(u)x = 2\pi i \left\{ \text{Res}(g; 0) + \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} \text{Res}(g; z_p) \right\}$  for  $u > |\alpha|$ . With the notation

$$Q^* = \left\{ \frac{d}{dz} [(1+ie^{-az})^{-1}Q(z)x] \right\}_{z=0}$$

we get for  $u > |\alpha|$

$$E_2(u)x = Q^* + u(1+i)^{-1}Q(0)x + \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{uz_p}(\alpha z_p^2)^{-1}Q(z_p)x.$$

Thus for  $u > 2|\alpha|$  we obtain

$$iE_2(u+\alpha)x - E_2(u)x = (i-1)Q^* + \left( u \cdot \frac{i-1}{i+1} + \frac{i\alpha}{1+i} \right) Q(0)x$$

and, differentiating twice,  $E(u+\alpha)x = -iE(u)x$ . If  $\xi \in R$ , then applying  $E(\xi-u)$  to both sides we get

$$E(\xi+\alpha)x = -iE(\xi)x \quad (x \in X).$$

If we put  $S(\xi) = -\frac{i}{2} \{E(\xi) - E(-\xi)\}$ , then  $S \in V_0(\alpha, B(X), I)$  by Theorem 1, and Lemma 3 gives that the generator of  $S$  is  $G_0$ . The uniqueness of  $S$  follows from the fact that  $G$  determines the group  $E$  uniquely.  $\|S(\xi)\| \cong M$  obtains immediately, and the proof is complete.

Since the generator  $G_0$  uniquely determines the function  $S \in V_0(\alpha, B(X), I)$ , this can be denoted by  $S(\xi; G_0)$  if we wish to emphasize the generator.

**Theorem 7.** *If  $S(\xi; G_0) \in V_0(\alpha, B(X), I)$  and  $v > 0$ , then  $S(\xi; vG_0) \in V_0\left(\frac{\alpha}{v}, B(X), I\right)$ , and for every  $x \in X$  we have  $\lim_{v \rightarrow 1} S(\xi; vG_0)x = S(\xi; G_0)x$ .*

**PROOF.** The first half of the theorem is clearly true by Theorem 6. If  $E(\xi; iA)$  is the group of operators associated with  $S(\xi; A)$ , then according to the proof of [6], Theorem 12.3.1., for  $x \in X$  we obtain  $E(\xi; ivG_0)x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( I - \frac{\xi}{n} ivG_0 \right)^{-n} x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( I - \frac{\xi \cdot v}{n} iG_0 \right)^{-n} x = E(\xi \cdot v; iG_0)x$ . Since  $E(\xi; iG_0)$  is strongly continuous, for  $x \in X$  we have  $\lim_{v \rightarrow 1} E(\xi; ivG_0)x = E(\xi; iG_0)x$ , and the second half of the theorem follows by Theorem 1.

*Remark.* Let  $X$  be the complex plane,  $g_0 \in X$ ,  $S(\xi)\zeta = \sin(g_0\xi) \cdot \zeta$  for  $\xi \in R$ ,  $\zeta \in X$ . If  $S(\xi; g_0) \in \bigcup_{\alpha \in R \setminus \{0\}} V_0(\alpha, B(X), I)$ , then  $S$  is periodic, consequently  $g_0$  is real. Therefore we can not expect that the admissible perturbations of  $G_0$  are much more general than those in Theorem 7.

**Theorem 8.** Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space and  $S \in V_0(x, B(H), I)$  with generator  $G_0$ . Then there exists a bounded positive selfadjoint operator  $Q$  such that  $B = QG_0Q^{-1}$  is a (generally nonbounded) selfadjoint operator with  $D(B) = Q\{D(G_0)\}$ , and  $S(\xi) = Q^{-1} \sin(\xi \cdot B)Q$ . Moreover, with the notations of Theorem 5 we have for  $x \in H$

$$S(\xi)x = \sum'_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sin(\xi\gamma_n) \cdot P_n x,$$

for  $x \in D(G_0)$

$G_0 x = \sum'_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \gamma_n \cdot P_n x$ , and the projections  $R_n = QP_nQ^{-1}$  are selfadjoint.

PROOF. If  $E$  is the group associated with  $S$ , then  $\sup_{\xi \in R} \|E(\xi)\| \leq K$  implies,

according to [8], that there exists a bounded selfadjoint operator  $Q$  with  $\frac{1}{K} \cdot I \leq Q \leq K \cdot I$  such that  $QE(\xi)Q^{-1}$  is unitary for  $\xi \in R$ . Then there exists a selfadjoint  $B$  such that  $E(\xi) = Q^{-1}e^{i\xi B}Q$ , and thus  $S(\xi) = Q^{-1} \sin(\xi B)Q$ , where clearly  $\sin(\xi B) \in V_0(x, B(H), I)$  and  $B$  is its generator. If  $Qx \in D(B)$ , then there exists  $G_0 x = \lim_{\xi \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\xi} Q^{-1} \sin(\xi B)Qx = Q^{-1}BQx$ , thus  $Q^{-1}\{D(B)\} \subset D(G_0)$ . If  $Q^{-1}x \in D(G_0)$ , then  $Bx = \lim_{\xi \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\xi} \sin(\xi B)x = \lim_{\xi \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\xi} QS(\xi)Q^{-1}x = QG_0Q^{-1}x$ , thus  $Q\{D(G_0)\} \subset D(B)$ , hence  $D(B) = Q\{D(G_0)\}$  and  $B = QG_0Q^{-1}$  as stated.

$B$  and  $G_0$  are similar, hence  $\sigma(B) = \sigma(G_0)$  and  $P\sigma(B) = P\sigma(G_0)$  (cf. [4], Problem 60.). The spectral representation of  $B$  gives for  $x \in D(B)$  that  $Bx = \sum'_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \gamma_n \cdot R_n x$ , where  $R_n$  is a selfadjoint projection ( $n=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$ ) with  $R_n R_k = \delta_{nk} R_n$ . From this we obtain for  $x \in D(G_0)$  that  $G_0 x = \sum'_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \gamma_n \cdot Q^{-1} R_n Qx$ ,

and for  $x \in H$  that  $S(\xi)x = \sum'_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sin(\xi\gamma_n) Q^{-1} R_n Qx$ . Denote  $Q^{-1} R_n Q$  by  $Q_n$ , we shall show that  $Q_n = P_n$ .

If  $x \in Q_n H$ , then  $S(\xi)x = \sin(\xi \cdot \gamma_n)x$  and  $G_0 x = \gamma_n x$ , thus, by Theorem 5,  $x \in P_n H$ . Conversely,  $x \in P_n H$  implies  $iG_0 x = i\gamma_n \cdot x$ , hence  $E(\xi)x = e^{i\gamma_n \xi} x$  and

$$\sin(\gamma_n \xi) \cdot x = S(\xi)x = \sum'_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \sin(\xi \cdot \gamma_k) Q_k x.$$

Applying  $P_n$  to both sides, we get because of  $Q_k H \subset P_k H$  that  $\sin(\gamma_n \xi)x = \sin(\gamma_n \xi) Q_n x$ , and hence  $x \in Q_n H$ . Thus  $P_n = Q^{-1} R_n Q$  for every integer  $n$  and the proof is complete.

## References

- [1] J. ACZÉL, Lectures on functional equations and their applications. *New York*, 1966.
- [2] J. A. BAKER, D'Alembert's functional equation in Banach algebras. *Acta Sci. Math. Szeged*, **32** (1971), 225—234.
- [3] E. GIUSTI, Funzioni coseno periodiche. *Boll. Unione Mat. Italiana*, **22** (1967), 478—485.
- [4] P. R. HALMOS, A Hilbert space problem book. *Princeton*, 1967.
- [5] E. HILLE, On roots and logarithms of elements of a complex Banach algebra. *Math. Ann.* **136** (1958), 46—57.
- [6] E. HILLE—R. S. PHILLIPS, Functional analysis and semi-groups. *Providence*, 1957.
- [7] S. KUREPA, A cosine functional equation in Banach algebras. *Acta Sci. Math. Szeged*, **23** (1962), 255—267.
- [8] B. SZŐKEFALVI-NAGY, On uniformly bounded transformations in Hilbert space. *Acta Sci. Math. Szeged*, **11** (1947), 152—157.
- [9] G. DA PRATO, Semigrupperi periodici. *Ann. Mat. Pura et Appl.* **78** (1968), 55—67.
- [10] M. SOVA, Cosine operator functions. *Warszawa*, 1966.
- [11] E. B. VAN VLECK, A functional equation for the sine. *Ann. Math.* **11** (1910), 161—165.

TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY, BUDAPEST  
ADDRESS: B. NAGY, VÉCSEY U. 28/A. I. 5.  
1204 BUDAPEST, HUNGARY

(Received September 15, 1974.)