

## On a $Q$ -recurrent Finsler space of second order

By A. KUMAR (Gorakhpur)

### 1. Introduction

Let  $F_n$  be an  $n$ -dimensional Finsler space equipped with positively homogeneous metric function  $F(x, \dot{x})$  of degree one in its directional arguments and satisfies the requisite conditions [1]<sup>1)</sup> imposed upon it. The fundamental metric tensor of the space is given by

$$(1.1) \quad g_{ij}(x, \dot{x}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{2} \partial_i \partial_j F^2(x, \dot{x}), \quad (\partial_i \equiv \partial/\partial \dot{x}^i).$$

The projective covariant derivative [2] of a vector field  $X^i(x, \dot{x})$  with respect to  $x^k$  is given by

$$(1.2) \quad x^i_{((k))} = \partial_k x^i - (\partial_m x^i) \Pi^m_{rk} \dot{x}^r + x^h \Pi^i_{hk}$$

where the projective connection coefficients

$$(1.3) \quad \Pi^i_{hk}(x, \dot{x}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} G^i_{hk} - \frac{1}{(n+1)} (2\delta^i_{(h} G^r_{k)r} + \dot{x}^i G^r_{rkh})$$

are positively homogeneous of degree zero in  $\dot{x}^i$ 's and satisfy the following relations:

$$(1.4) \quad \text{a) } \Pi^i_{hkr} \dot{x}^h = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{b) } \partial_h \Pi^i_{jk} = \Pi^i_{hjk}.$$

In particular the projective covariant derivative of  $x^i$  vanishes i.e.

$$(1.5) \quad \dot{x}^i_{((k))} = 0.$$

The commutation formulae involving the projective covariant derivative of tensor field  $T^i_j(x, \dot{x})$  are given by

$$(1.6) \quad \partial_h (T^i_{j((k))}) - (\partial_h T^i_{j((k))}) = T^s_j \Pi^i_{shk} - T^i_s \Pi^s_{jkh}$$

and

$$(1.7) \quad 2T^i_{j[(h)((k))]} = -(\partial_r T^i_j) Q^r_{shk} \dot{x}^s + T^s_j Q^i_{shk} - T^i_s Q^s_{jkh},$$

where the projective entity  $Q^i_{hjk}(x, \dot{x})$  is given by

$$(1.8) \quad Q^i_{hjk}(x, \dot{x}) = 2 \{ \partial_{[k} \Pi^i_{j]h} - (\partial_r \Pi^i_{[j]h}) \Pi^r_{ks} \dot{x}^s + \Pi^r_{h[j} \Pi^i_{k]r} \}$$

---

<sup>1)</sup> The numbers in square brackets refer to the references given at the end of the paper.

and satisfies the following relation [2]

$$(1.9) \quad Q_{hk}^i = Q_{hjk}^i \dot{x}^j.$$

The first order recurrency condition for the projective entity  $Q_{hjk}^i(x, \dot{x})$  is given by

$$(1.10) \quad Q_{hjk(l)}^i = u_l Q_{hjk}^i,$$

where  $u_l(x)$  is a recurrence vector depending only upon positional coordinates.

Transvecting (1.10) by  $\dot{x}^h$  in view of equations (1.5) and (1.9), we get

$$(1.11) \quad Q_{jk(l)}^i = u_l Q_{jk}^i.$$

## 2. $Q$ -recurrent Finsler space of second order

*Definition (2.1):* In an  $F_n$  if the projective  $Q_{hjk}^i$  satisfies the relations

$$(2.1) \quad Q_{hjk(l)(m)}^i = b_{lm} Q_{hjk}^i$$

and

$$(2.2) \quad Q_{hjk}^i \neq 0,$$

where  $b_{lm}(x, \dot{x})$  is a tensor field depending both upon positional and directional arguments, then it is said to be  $Q$ -recurrent Finsler space of second order and  $b_{sm}$  is called a recurrent tensor field. In this paper we shall denote such a Finsler space by  $F_n^*$ .

**Theorem (2.1).** *A relation between  $u_l(x)$  and  $b_{lm}(x, \dot{x})$  is given by*

$$(2.3) \quad b_{lm} = u_{l(m)} + u_l u_m.$$

PROOF. Differentiating (1.10) projective covariantly with respect to  $x^m$  and using equations (1.10) itself and (2.1), we get the required result (2.3).

**Theorem (2.2).** *In an  $F_n^*$  the recurrence tensor field  $b_{lm}(x, \dot{x})$  is non-symmetric.*

PROOF. Commutating (2.1) with respect to the indices  $s$  and  $m$  we get

$$(2.4) \quad 2Q_{hjk[(l)(m)]}^i = (b_{lm} - b_{ml})Q_{hjk}^i.$$

In view of the commutation formula (1.7) the above equation reduces to

$$(2.5) \quad (b_{lm} - b_{ml})Q_{hjk}^i = -(\partial_r Q_{hjk}^i)Q_{lm}^r + Q_{hjk}^r Q_{rlm}^i - Q_{rjk}^i Q_{hlm}^r - Q_{hrk}^i Q_{jlm}^r - Q_{hjr}^i Q_{klm}^r$$

which proves the theorem.

**Theorem (2.3).** *In an  $F_n^*$  if  $u_s$  is independent of  $\dot{x}^i$  the recurrence tensor field  $b_{sm}(x, \dot{x})$  satisfies the following relation*

$$(2.6) \quad \dot{x}^s \{(b_{lm} - b_{ml})_{(s)} - u_s (b_{lm} - b_{ml})\} = 0.$$

PROOF. In view of the commutation formula (1.6) differentiating (2.5) projective covariantly with respect to  $x^s$  and using the equations (1.10), (1.11), (2.5) itself and the fact that  $u_s$  is independent of  $\dot{x}^i$ , we have

$$(2.7) \quad (b_{lm} - b_{ml})_{((s))} Q_{hjk}^i = u_s (b_{lm} - b_{ml}) Q_{hjk}^i + \\ + Q_{lm}^r \{ Q_{hjk}^p \Pi_{prs}^i - Q_{pjk}^i \Pi_{hrs}^p - Q_{hpk}^i \Pi_{jrs}^p - Q_{hjp}^i \Pi_{krs}^p \}.$$

Transvecting (2.7) by  $\dot{x}^s$  and noting equation (1.4a), we obtain

$$(2.8) \quad Q_{hjk}^i \{ (b_{lm} - b_{ml})_{((s))} - u_s (b_{lm} - b_{ml}) \} \dot{x}^s = 0$$

which in view of the assumption that  $Q_{hjk}^i \neq 0$ , gives the required result.

**Theorem (2.4).** *In an  $F_n^*$  the recurrence tensor field satisfies the following relation:*

$$(2.9) \quad \dot{x}^s \{ (b_{lm} - b_{ml})_{((s))((n))} - u_{s((n))} (b_{lm} - b_{ml}) - 2(b_{lm} - b_{ml})_{[((n))} u_s] \}.$$

PROOF. Differentiating (2.7) projective covariantly with respect to  $x^n$  and using (1.10), (1.11), (2.3) and (2.7) itself, we get

$$(2.10) \quad Q_{hjk}^i [(b_{lm} - b_{ml})_{((s))((n))} - u_{s((n))} (b_{lm} - b_{ml}) - 2(b_{lm} - b_{ml})_{[((n))} u_s] - \\ - Q_{lm}^r \{ Q_{hjk}^p \Pi_{prs((n))}^i - Q_{pjk}^i \Pi_{hrs((n))}^p - Q_{hpk}^i \Pi_{jrs((n))}^p - Q_{hjp}^i \Pi_{krs((n))}^p \}] = 0.$$

Transvecting (2.10) by  $\dot{x}^s$  and noting the equations (1.4a) and (1.5), we get

$$(2.11) \quad Q_{hjk}^i [(b_{lm} - b_{ml})_{((s))((n))} - u_{s((n))} (b_{lm} - b_{ml}) - 2(b_{lm} - b_{ml})_{[((n))} u_s] \dot{x}^s = 0$$

which in view of the assumption (2.2) yields the required result.

*Acknowledgement:* The author is extremely grateful to DR. H. D. PANDE for his valuable suggestions in preparation of the paper.

## References

- [1] H. RUND, The differential geometry of Finsler space, *Berlin* (1959).
- [2] R. B. MISRA, The projective transformation in a Finsler space, *Annales de la Soc. Sci. de Bruxelles T. 80*, III, (1966), 227—239.
- [3] B. B. SINHA, and S. P. SINGH, On recurrent spaces of second order in Finsler space. *The Yokohama Mathematical Journal* **18** (1), (1970), 27—32.
- [4] H. D. PANDE and A. KUMAR, On some identities in the projective recurrent Finsler space of the second order, *Nanta Mathematica* (Communicated).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
UNIVERSITY OF GORAKHPUR  
GORAKHPUR—273 001 (U.P.)  
INDIA

(Received August 24, 1975.)