## Solution of a problem of B. de la Rosa

By L. C. A. van LEEUWEN (Groningen)

## 1. Introduction

In a previous paper [1] B. DE LA ROSA has introduced the radicals  $r_1$ ,  $r_2$  and  $r_3$  for general left A-modules, where A is an associative ring, without any assumptions on commutativity or the existence of a unity element. For the definitions of these radicals and other concepts we refer to his paper. B. de la Rosa conjectures that, in general,  $r_2 \neq r_3$ . The purpose of this paper is to show that this is indeed the case.

## 2. Construction of the ring A

In order to prove that  $r_2 \neq r_3$ , we use a ring A, such that  $r_2(A) \neq A$  but  $r_3(A) = A$ . The ring A is constructed similarly as the  $\Omega$ -ring A used by F. A. Szász [2]. Let K be a field and  $\Gamma$  be an index set, where  $|\Gamma| \cong \aleph_0$ . A is an algebra over K with the basic elements  $a_{\alpha}$ ,  $r_{\beta\gamma}$ ,  $s_{\epsilon\eta\vartheta}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \epsilon, \eta, \vartheta \in \Gamma)$ . Any element a in A has the form

$$(*) a = \sum_{i} \pi_i a_{\alpha_i} + \sum_{i,j} e_{ij} r_{\alpha_i \beta_j} + \sum_{i,j,k} \sigma_{ijk} s_{\alpha_i \beta_j \gamma_k},$$

where  $\pi_i$ ,  $\varrho_{ij}$ ,  $\sigma_{ijk} \in K$  and all three sums  $\Sigma^*$  are finite.

The multiplication of the basic elements is defined according to the tabel

	$a_{\varepsilon}$	$r_{\varepsilon\eta}$	$S_{\varepsilon\eta\vartheta}$
$a_{\alpha}$	$a_{\alpha}$	$S_{\alpha \epsilon \eta}$	$S_{\alpha\eta\vartheta}$
$r_{\alpha\beta}$	$\delta_{\beta\varepsilon} a_{\alpha}$	$\delta_{\beta\varepsilon}r_{\alpha\eta}$	$\delta_{\beta\varepsilon}s_{\alpha\eta\vartheta}$
$S_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$	$\delta_{\gamma\varepsilon}a_{\alpha}$	$\delta_{\gamma\varepsilon} s_{\alpha\beta\eta}$	$\delta_{\gamma \varepsilon} s_{\alpha \eta \vartheta}$

where  $\delta_{\alpha\beta}$  is the Kronecker symbol.

Because of the multiplication table one can show that the multiplication in A is associative. Let L be the subalgebra of A, generated by all elements  $r_{\beta\gamma}$ ,  $s_{\epsilon\eta3}$ . Without the operator set K, we get that A is an associative ring and L is a left ideal in A.

The left A-modules are the left ideals in A, so L is a left A-module. First we show that L a  $\Sigma^1$ -submodule of A is, i.e. if  $x \in A$  and  $Ax \subset L$ , then  $x \in L$  (cf. [1], definition

3.1). Let  $Ax \subset L$  for an element  $x \in A$  and suppose that  $x \notin L$ . Then, in the representation (\*) of x, at least one of the  $\pi_i$  is  $\neq 0$   $(\pi_i \in K)$ . It follows that  $(\pi_i^{-1} r_{\beta x_i}) x =$  $=a_{\beta}+i'$  and, since  $Ax\subset L$ ,  $a_{\beta}+i'\in L$ . Hence  $a_{\beta}\in L$ , which is impossible. So  $x\in L$ and L is a  $\Sigma^1$ -submodule of A:  $L \in \Sigma^1_A$ . Next we prove that L is a  $\Sigma^1$ -maximal submodule of A, i.e. a  $\Sigma^2$ -submodule of A. In fact we show that L is a maximal left ideal in A. Let  $a \notin L$ , then we prove that La+L=A. This shows that the left ideal in A, generated by L and a, is A. Since  $a \notin L$ , there exists an element  $\pi_i \neq 0$ ,  $\pi_i \in K$ , in the representation (\*) of a. Take an arbitrary element  $\beta \in \Gamma$  and an arbitrary element  $e \in K$ . Then  $\pi_i^{-1} e^r \beta \alpha_i a = e a_\beta + l''$ ,  $l'' \in L$ , or  $e a_\beta = \pi_i^{-1} e^r \beta \alpha_i a - l'' \in La + L$ . So any element of A belongs to La + L or A = La + L. Then L is element a  $\Sigma^2$ -submodule of A or  $L \in \Sigma_A^2$ .

Since  $r_2(A) = \bigcap_{S \in \Sigma_A^2} S$  if  $\widehat{\Sigma}_A^2 \neq \emptyset$ , we get that  $r_2(A) \subseteq L$  and  $r_2(A) \neq A$ .

A maximal left ideal L of the ring A is a  $\Sigma^3$ -submodule of A if there exists an  $a \in A$  such that  $ax - x \in L$  for all  $x \in A$ . Now we show that L is not a  $\Sigma^3$ -submodule of A or that  $L \notin \Sigma_A^3$ .

Suppose then that there exists an element  $a \in A$  such that  $ax - x \in L$  for all  $x \in A$ .

Then  $y(ax-x) \in L$  for all  $x, y \in A$  or  $[y(a-1)]x \in L$  for all  $x, y \in A$ . a) If  $a \in L$  then  $a = \sum_{i,j}^* e_{ij} r_{\alpha_i \beta_j} + \sum_{i,j,k}^* \sigma_{ijk} s_{\alpha_i \beta_j \gamma_k}$ . Since  $\sum_{i,j}^*$  and  $\sum_{i,j,k}^*$  are finite sums and  $|\Gamma|$  is infinite, there exists an index  $\varepsilon \in \Gamma$  such that  $\varepsilon \neq \beta_j$ ,  $\varepsilon \neq \gamma_k$  for all  $\beta_j$ ,  $\gamma_k$  in the representation of a. Hence

$$aa_{\varepsilon} = \sum_{i,j}^{*} e_{ij} \delta_{\beta_{j}\varepsilon} a_{\alpha_{i}} + \sum_{i,j,k}^{*} \sigma_{ijk} \delta_{\gamma_{k}\varepsilon} a_{\alpha_{i}} = 0,$$

so  $aa_{\varepsilon} - a_{\varepsilon} = -a_{\varepsilon} \in L$ . Contradiction.

b) If  $a \in L$  then there exists an element  $\pi_i \in K$ ,  $\pi_i \neq 0$  in the representation of a. Choose  $y = \pi_i^{-1} r_{\beta \alpha_i}$  in A, then  $y(a-1) = a_{\beta} + l$ ,  $l \in L$ . Therefore  $(a_{\beta} + l) x \in L$  for all  $x \in A$ . As  $l \in L$ , one can find, exactly as in case (a), an index  $\varepsilon \in \Gamma$  such that  $la_{\varepsilon} = 0$ .

Then  $(a_{\beta}+l)a_{\varepsilon}=a_{\beta}\in L$ , which is a contradiction. So  $L\notin \Sigma_A^3$ .

This last result enables us to show that  $\Sigma_A^3 = \emptyset$ . Suppose, on the contrary, that  $L' \in \Sigma_A^3$  i.e. L' is a maximal left ideal in A and there exists an element  $a \in A$ such that  $ax-x\in L'$  for all  $x\in A$ . Let a have the representation (\*). Since  $|\Gamma|$  is infinite and all sums  $\Sigma^*$ , occurring in the representation (\*), are finite, we can choose

an index  $\varepsilon \in \Gamma$  such that  $\varepsilon \neq \alpha_i$ ,  $\varepsilon \neq \beta_j$  and  $\varepsilon \neq \gamma_k$  for any choice of  $\alpha_i$ ,  $\beta_j$  or  $\gamma_k$  in (\*). Take  $x = r_{\varepsilon \eta}$  in A ( $\eta$  is arbitrary in  $\Gamma$ ). Then  $ar_{\varepsilon \eta} - r_{\varepsilon \eta} \in L'$ . Also  $(\sum_{i,j}^* e_{ij} r_{\alpha_i \beta_j}) r_{\varepsilon \eta} = \sum_{i,j}^* e_{ij} r_{\alpha_i \beta_j} r_{\varepsilon \eta} = \sum_{i,j}^* e_{ij} \delta_{\beta_j \varepsilon} r_{\alpha_i \eta} = 0$ . Similarly  $(\sum_{i,j,k}^* \sigma_{ijk} s_{\alpha_i \beta_j \gamma_k}) r_{\varepsilon \eta} = 0$ . And  $(\sum_{i}^{*} \pi_{i} a_{\alpha_{i}}) r_{e\eta} = \sum_{i}^{*} \pi_{i} a_{\alpha_{i}} r_{e\eta} = \sum_{i}^{*} \pi_{i} s_{\alpha_{i} e\eta}$ . Hence  $a r_{e\eta} - r_{e\eta} \in L'$  implies that  $-r_{e\eta}+\sum^*\pi_{\iota}s_{\alpha_{\iota}e\eta}\in L'$  for all  $\eta\in\Gamma$ . Then take  $r_{\lambda e}$ , where  $\lambda$  is arbitrarily chosen (in  $\Gamma$ ). It follows that

$$(r_{\lambda \epsilon}) \left( -r_{\epsilon \eta} + \sum_{i}^{*} \pi_{i} s_{\alpha_{i} \epsilon \eta} \right) = -r_{\lambda \eta} + \sum_{i}^{*} \pi_{i} r_{\lambda \epsilon} s_{\alpha_{i} \epsilon \eta} = -r_{\lambda \eta} \in L' \quad \text{for all} \quad \lambda, \, \eta \in \Gamma.$$

Hence  $r_{\lambda\eta} \in L'$  for all  $\lambda, \eta \in \Gamma$ .

Now choose  $x = s_{\varepsilon\mu\vartheta}$ , then  $as_{\varepsilon\mu\vartheta} - s_{\varepsilon\mu\vartheta} \in L'$ . Using the representation (\*) of a and proceeding as above, one gets that  $-s_{\varepsilon\mu\vartheta} + \sum_{i} \pi_{i} s_{\alpha_{i}\mu_{\vartheta}} \in L'$  for all  $\mu, \vartheta \in \Gamma$ .

Hence  $(r_{v\varepsilon})(-s_{\varepsilon\mu\vartheta}+\sum_{i}*\pi_{i}a_{\alpha_{i}\mu\vartheta})=-s_{v\mu\vartheta}\in L'$  for all  $v,\mu,\vartheta\in\Gamma$ . So  $s_{v\mu\vartheta}\in L'$  for all  $v, \mu, \theta \in \Gamma$ , Then the subalgebra of A, generated by all  $r_{\beta\gamma}, s_{\epsilon\eta\theta}$ , belongs to L', i.e.  $L \subseteq L'$ . However L, L' are maximal left ideals, so L = L'. Then  $L \in \Sigma_A^3$ , which is a contradiction. This shows that  $L' \in \Sigma_A^3$  does not exists, so  $\Sigma_A^3 = \emptyset$ . By definition,  $r_3(A) = A$  ([1], definition 2.2). We have seen that  $r_2(A) \neq A$ , so, in general,  $r_2 \neq r_3$ .

## Literature

 B. DE LA ROSA, Concrete radicals in general modules.
F. A. Szász, Lösung eines Problems bezüglich einer Charakterisierung des Jacobsonschen Radikals, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung. 18 (3-4), (1967), 261-272.

(Received September 28, 1976.)