

## On some extremal properties of sequences of integers, II

By P. ERDŐS, A. SÁRKÖZY and E. SZEMERÉDI (Budapest)

1. Let  $A = \{a_1 < a_2 < \dots\}$  be a sequence of positive integers. Put  $A(n) = \sum_{a_i \leq n} 1$ . Denote by  $f_k(n)$  the smallest integer so that every sequence  $A$  satisfying  $A(n) \cong \frac{n}{k}$  contains a subsequence of  $k$  terms which are pairwise relatively prime. It is easy to see that

$$f_2(n) = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor + 1,$$

$$f_3(n) = 1 + \xi_2(n) (= \frac{2}{3}n + 1 \text{ for } 6/n)$$

and it seems likely that

$$f_k(n) = 1 + \xi_{k-1}(n)$$

where  $\xi_{k-1}(n)$  denotes the number of integers not exceeding  $n$  which are multiples of at least one of the first  $k-1$  primes  $2, 3, \dots, p_{k-1}$ .

In Part I of this paper (see [3]) we proved in a sharper and more general form several related conjectures stated in [2]. In this paper, we continue this discussion. First we introduce some *notations*.  $A_{(m,u)}$  denotes the integers  $a_i \in A$ ,  $a_i \equiv u \pmod{m}$  (and  $A_{(m,u)}(n)$  denotes the number of those terms of the sequence  $A_{(m,u)}$  which do not exceed  $n$ ).  $\varphi(n)$  denotes Euler's function. We put

$$\varphi_A(u) = \sum_{\substack{a_i \leq n \\ (a_i, u) = 1}} 1$$

and

$$\psi_A(u, v) = \sum_{\substack{a_i \leq n \\ (a_i, u) = (a_i, v) = 1}} 1.$$

For  $k=2, 3, \dots$ ,  $\Phi_k(A)$  denotes the number of the  $k$ -tuples  $a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, \dots, a_{i_k}$  such that  $a_{i_1} < a_{i_2} < \dots < a_{i_k} \leq n$  and  $(a_{i_x}, a_{i_y}) = 1$  for  $1 \leq x < y \leq k$ . We put

$$F_2(n) = \min_A \max_{a_j \in A} \varphi_A(a_j)$$

and

$$F_3(n) = \min_A \max_{1 \leq x < y \leq A(n)} \psi_A(a_x, a_y)$$

where the minimum is to be taken over all sequences  $A$  satisfying  $A(n) \cong \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor + 1$  and  $A(n) \cong \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor + 2$ , respectively.

$c_1, c_2, \dots, n_0, n_1, \dots$  will denote suitable positive absolute constants. In Part I of this paper, we proved the following theorems:

**Theorem 1.** For  $n > n_0$ ,

$$F_2(n) > c_1 n / \log \log n.$$

**Theorem 2.** There exists constants  $c_2, c_3, c_4, n_1$  such that

$$A_{(2,1)}(n) = s, \quad 1 \leq s < c_2 n$$

and

$$A(n) > \frac{n}{2}$$

imply that for  $n > n_1$ ,

$$\max_{a_i \in A} \varphi_A(a_i) > c_3 n / \log \log \frac{n}{s}$$

and

$$\Phi_2(A) > c_4 s n / \log \log \frac{n}{s}.$$

**Theorem 3.** To every  $0 < \varepsilon (< 1/2)$ , there exist constants  $c_5 = c_5(\varepsilon)$  and  $n_2 = n_2(\varepsilon)$  such that if  $n > n_2$ ,

$$A_{(2,1)}(n) = s \leq \varepsilon n$$

and

$$A(n) > \frac{n}{2},$$

then

$$\Phi_2(A) > c_5 n^2.$$

(Note that Theorem 1 is a consequence of Theorems 2 and 3.)

2. Throughout this section, we will assume for simplicity that  $n$  is even; all our results could be extended easily for odd  $n$ .

P. ERDŐS conjectured in [2] that if

$$A(n) \leq \frac{n}{2} + 2$$

then there exists a 4-tuple  $a_x, a_y, a_u, a_v$  such that

$$(a_x, a_u) = (a_x, a_v) = (a_y, a_u) = (a_y, a_v) = 1.$$

In this section, we are going to prove the following sharper form of this conjecture:

**Theorem 4.** For  $n > n_3$ ,

$$F_3(n) > c_6 n / (\log \log n)^2.$$

We first prove two other theorems which will easily imply Theorem 4.

**Theorem 5.** *There exist constants  $c_7, c_8, c_9$  and  $n_4$  such that if  $n > n_4$ ,*

$$(1) \quad A_{(2,1)}(n) = s, \quad 2 \cong s < c_7 n$$

and

$$(2) \quad A(n) > \frac{n}{2}$$

then there exist at least  $c_8 s^2$  pairs  $a_x, a_y$  ( $a_x \in A, a_y \in A$ ) satisfying  $1 \cong a_x < a_y \cong n$  and

$$(3) \quad \psi_A(a_x, a_y) > c_9 n \left/ \left( \log \log \frac{n}{s} \right)^2 \right.$$

PROOF. We need the following known lemma (see [1]).

**Lemma 1.** *The number of integers  $1 \cong k \cong n$  satisfying  $\varphi(k)/k < 1/t$  is less than  $n \exp(-\exp c_{10} t)$  (where  $\exp z = e^z$ ), uniformly in  $t > 2$ .*

Let us apply Lemma 1 with

$$t = \frac{1}{c_{10}} \log \log \frac{2n}{s}.$$

( $t > 2$  holds for small enough  $c_7$ .) We obtain that the number of integers  $1 \cong k \cong n$  which satisfy  $\varphi(k)/k < 1/t$  (where  $t$  is defined by (3)) is less than  $s/2 (\cong 1)$ . Denote now by  $b_1 < \dots < b_r \cong n, r > s/2 (\cong 1)$  the integers in  $A_{(2,1)}$  satisfying  $\varphi(b_i)/b_i > 1/t$ . We are going to show that for  $1 \cong x < y \cong r$ ,

$$(4) \quad \psi_A(b_x, b_y) > c_9 n / \log \log \frac{n}{s}$$

provided that  $c_7$  and  $c_9$  are sufficiently small (and  $n$  is large).

Clearly, the number of integers  $2u \cong n$  satisfying  $(2u, b_x) = (2u, b_y) = 1$  is

$$\left[ \frac{n}{2} \right] + \sum_{p_{i_1} p_{i_2} \dots p_{i_k} | [b_x, b_y]} (-1)^k \left[ \frac{n}{2 p_{i_1} p_{i_2} \dots p_{i_k}} \right].$$

Here for  $n$  large, the number of terms is

$$2^{v([b_x, b_y])} < 2^{4 \log n / \log \log n}$$

(where  $v(m)$  denotes the number of the distinct prime factors of  $m$ ) since it is well-known (and follows from the prime number theorem or a more elementary theorem) that for  $m < N$ ,

$$(5) \quad v(m) < 2 \log N / \log \log N,$$

hence

$$v([b_x, b_y]) < 2 \log n^2 / \log \log n^2 < 4 \log n / \log \log n.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{\substack{u \leq n/2 \\ (2u, b_x) = (2u, b_y) = 1}} 1 &\cong \frac{n}{2} \prod_{p|b_x, b_y} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) - 2^{4 \log n / \log \log n} \cong \\
 &\cong \frac{n}{2} \prod_{p|b_x} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \prod_{p|b_y} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) - 2^{4 \log n / \log \log n} = \\
 &= \frac{n}{2} \frac{\varphi(b_x)}{b_x} \frac{\varphi(b_y)}{b_y} - 2^{4 \log n / \log \log n} > \\
 &> \frac{n}{2t^2} - 2^{4 \log n / \log \log n} > \frac{n}{3t^2}
 \end{aligned}$$

for sufficiently large  $n$  (with respect to (3)). Hence, we obtain by a simple computation (with respect to (1) and (2)) that for sufficiently small  $c_7$  and  $c_9$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \psi_A(b_x, b_y) &\cong \sum_{\substack{u \leq n/2 \\ (2u, b_x) = (2u, b_y) = 1}} 1 - \sum_{\substack{u \leq n/2 \\ 2u \in A}} 1 > \\
 &> \frac{n}{3t^2} - \left(\frac{n}{2} - A_{(2,0)}(n)\right) > \frac{n}{3t^2} - \frac{n}{2} + (A(n) - A_{(2,1)}(n)) > \\
 &> \frac{n}{3t^2} - A_{(2,1)}(n) = \frac{n}{3t^2} - s > c_9 n / \left(\log \log \frac{n}{s}\right)^2,
 \end{aligned}$$

provided that  $n$  is large enough which proves (4).

To complete the proof of Theorem 5, observe that  $b_x \in A$  and  $b_y \in A$  in (4), furthermore, (4) holds for any pair  $x, y$  such that  $1 \leq x < y \leq r$ , and here  $r > s/2 (\cong 1)$ .

**Theorem 6.** *To every  $0 < \varepsilon (< 1/2)$ , there exist constants  $c_{11} = c_{11}(\varepsilon)$  and  $n_5 = n_5(\varepsilon)$  such that if  $n > n_5$ ,*

$$A_{(2,1)}(n) = s > \varepsilon n$$

and

$$A(n) > n/2$$

then there exist at least  $c_{10}n^2$  pairs  $a_x, a_y$  ( $a_x \in A, a_y \in A$ ) satisfying  $1 \leq a_x < a_y \leq n$  and

$$\psi_A(a_x, a_y) > c_{11}n.$$

(Note that for  $\varepsilon n < s < c_7 n$ , Theorem 6 would follow from Theorem 5, but for the large values of  $s$ , we need a separate proof.)

**PROOF.** We are going to show that Theorem 3 implies Theorem 6.

By Theorem 3 and Cauchy's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (6) \quad & \sum_{1 \leq x < y \leq A(n)} \psi_A(a_x, a_y) = \sum_{1 \leq x < y \leq A(n)} \sum_{\substack{a_i \leq n \\ (a_i, a_x) = (a_i, a_y) = 1}} 1 = \\
 & = \sum_{a_i \leq n} \left( \sum_{\substack{1 \leq x < y \leq A(n) \\ (a_i, a_x) = (a_i, a_y) = 1}} 1 \right) = \sum_{a_i \leq n} \binom{\varphi_A(a_i)}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a_i \leq n} (\varphi_A(a_i))^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a_i \leq n} \varphi_A(a_i) \cong \\
 & \cong \frac{1}{2} \frac{\left( \sum_{a_i \leq n} \varphi_A(a_i) \right)^2}{n} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a_i \leq n} n \cong \frac{1}{2n} \left( \sum_{a_i \leq n} \left( \sum_{\substack{a_j \leq n \\ (a_j, a_i) = 1}} 1 \right) \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2} n^2 \cong \\
 & \cong \frac{1}{2n} (2\Phi_2(A))^2 - \frac{1}{2} n^2 > \frac{1}{2n} (2c_5(\varepsilon)n^2)^2 - \frac{1}{2} n^2 > c_{12}(\varepsilon)n^3.
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (7) \quad & \sum_{1 \leq x < y \leq A(n)} \psi_A(a_x, a_y) = \\
 & = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq x < y \leq A(n) \\ \psi_A(a_x, a_y) > c_{11}n}} \psi_A(a_x, a_y) + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq x < y \leq A(n) \\ \psi_A(a_x, a_y) < c_{11}n}} \psi_A(a_x, a_y) \cong \\
 & \cong \sum_{1 \leq x < y \leq n} c_{11}n + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq x < y \leq A(n) \\ \psi_A(a_x, a_y) < c_{11}n}} n \cong \frac{c_{11}}{2} n^3 + n \sum_{\substack{1 \leq x < y \leq A(n) \\ \psi_A(a_x, a_y) < c_{11}n}} 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

If  $c_{11}$  is sufficiently small (depending on  $\varepsilon$ ) then (6) and (7) yield the statement of Theorem 6.

Theorem 4 follows easily from Theorems 5 and 6. Namely, if

$$2 \leq s = A_{(2,1)}(n) < c_7 n$$

then Theorem 5 yields that

$$\max_{1 \leq x < y \leq A(n)} \psi_A(a_x, a_y) > c_9 n / (\log \log n)^2,$$

while if

$$s = A_{(2,1)}(n) \cong c_7 n$$

then applying Theorem 6 with  $c_7$  in place of  $\varepsilon$ , we obtain the much sharper

$$\max_{1 \leq x < y \leq A(n)} \psi_A(a_x, a_y) > c_{11}(c_7)n$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 4.

Finally, we remark that using the same method, also the following theorem could be proved:

**Theorem 7.** *If  $n > n_6$ ,*

$$A_{(2,1)}(n) = s(> 0), \quad A(n) > \frac{n}{2}$$

and

$$(8) \quad r = \min \left\{ s, \left\lceil \frac{1}{10} \log \log n \right\rceil \right\}$$

then there exist integers  $b_1 < b_2 < \dots < b_r$  and  $d_1 < d_2 < \dots < d_r$  such that  $b_i, d_i \in A$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$  and

$$(b_i, d_j) = 1 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i, j \leq r.$$

(The statement of this theorem is, perhaps, true even with  $\min \{s, (1/4 - \varepsilon)n/\log n\}$  on the right of (8) but this can not be proved by our method.)

3. Starting out from an other conjecture of P. Erdős, we will prove the following analogue of Theorem 3 for triplets  $a_x, a_y, a_z$  instead of pairs  $a_x, a_y$ :

**Theorem 8.** *To every  $0 < \varepsilon (< 1/2)$ , there exist constants  $c_{12} = c_{12}(\varepsilon)$  and  $n_7 = n_7(\varepsilon)$  such that if  $n > n_7$  and*

$$(9) \quad A(n) > \left( \frac{2}{3} + \varepsilon \right) n$$

then

$$\Phi_3(A) > c_{12} n^3.$$

PROOF. Denote by  $P_r$  the product of the primes not exceeding  $r$ . We need

**Lemma 2.** *To every  $\varrho > 0$  and  $\delta > 0$  there is an  $r_0 = r_0(\varrho, \delta)$  so that if  $r \geq r_0$ ,  $n > n_8(\varrho, \delta, r)$  and  $u = 1, 2, \dots, P_r$  then for all but  $\varrho \frac{n}{P_r}$  integers  $k$  satisfying*

$$1 \leq k \leq n, \quad k \equiv u \pmod{P_r},$$

we have

$$\alpha(k) = \prod_{\substack{p|k \\ p > r}} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) > 1 - \delta.$$

This lemma is identical with Lemma 2 in [3].

Now we prove Theorem 8. Let  $r$  denote a positive integer for which

$$(10) \quad r \geq r_0 \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{4}, \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad r \geq 3$$

hold.

By (9),

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{P_r}{6} \max_{0 \leq k \leq P_r/6-1} \sum_{i=1}^6 A_{(P_r, 6k+i)}(n) \cong \\ & \cong \sum_{k=0}^{P_r/6-1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^6 A_{(P_r, 6k+i)}(n) \right) = \sum_{j=1}^{P_r} A_{(P_r, j)}(n) = A(n) > \left( \frac{2}{3} + \varepsilon \right) n. \end{aligned}$$

This implies the existence of an integer  $k$  such that  $0 \leq k \leq P_r/6 - 1$  and

$$(11) \quad \sum_{i=1}^6 A_{(P_r, 6k+i)}(n) > \frac{6}{P_r} \left( \frac{2}{3} + \varepsilon \right) n = (4 + 6\varepsilon) \frac{n}{P_r}.$$

Clearly, for every  $u$ ,

$$(12) \quad A_{(P_r, u)}(n) < \frac{n}{P_r} + 1.$$

(11) and (12) imply that there exist integers  $i_1, \dots, i_5$  such that

$$(13) \quad 1 \equiv i_1 < \dots < i_5 \equiv 6$$

and

$$(14) \quad A_{(P_r, 6k+i_j)}(n) > 2\varepsilon \frac{n}{P_r} \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, 5,$$

since otherwise

$$\sum_{i=1}^n A_{(P_r, 6k+i)}(n) \equiv 4 \left( \frac{n}{P_r} + 1 \right) + 2 \left( 2\varepsilon \frac{n}{P_r} \right) = (4 + 4\varepsilon) \frac{n}{P_r} + 4 < (4 + 6\varepsilon) \frac{n}{P_r}$$

would hold, in contradiction with (11).

It follows from (13) that the sequence  $\{i_1, \dots, i_5\}$  contains a subsequence  $\{j_1, j_2, j_3\}$  of 3 terms which are pairwise relatively prime. Let us put  $6k+j_i=u_i$ , for  $i=1, 2, 3$ ; then we have

$$(15) \quad (u_1, u_2) = (u_1, u_3) = (u_2, u_3) = 1, \quad |u_\mu - u_\nu| \leq 5 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq \mu, \nu \leq 3,$$

and by (14),

$$(16) \quad A_{(P_r, u_i)}(n) > 2\varepsilon \frac{n}{P_r}.$$

Let  $b_1 < \dots < b_t$  denote the sequence of those integers  $b$  for which

$$(17) \quad b \in A_{(P_r, u_1)} \quad \text{and} \quad \prod_{\substack{p|b \\ p>r}} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) > 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{4}.$$

Lemma 2 yields with respect to (10) and (14) that

$$(18) \quad t > A_{(P_r, u_1)}(n) - \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \frac{n}{P_r} > 2\varepsilon \frac{n}{P_r} - \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \frac{n}{P_r} > \varepsilon \frac{n}{P_r}.$$

We are going to estimate from below the number of solutions

$$(19) \quad (b_i, a_x) = 1, \quad a_x \in A_{(P_r, u_2)}$$

(for  $i$  fixed).

Assume that  $p|(b_i, d)$ ,  $d \equiv u_2 \pmod{P_r}$ . By (10), (15) and (17), these imply  $p > r$ . Denote by  $D_i(P_r, u_2)$  the number of those integers  $d$  for which  $d \leq n$ ,  $d \equiv u_2 \pmod{P_r}$  and  $(b_i, d) = 1$ . We have by a simple argument

$$(20) \quad \left| D_i(P_r, u_2) - \frac{n}{P_r} \prod_{\substack{p|b_i \\ p>r}} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) \right| \leq 2^{v(b_i)} < 2^{2 \log n / \log \log n}$$

(with respect to (5)). Thus in view of (17),

$$(21) \quad \begin{aligned} D_i(P_r, u_2) &> \frac{n}{P_r} \prod_{\substack{p|b_i \\ p>r}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) - 2^{2 \log n / \log \log n} > \\ &> \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{4}\right) \frac{n}{P_r} - 2^{2 \log n / \log \log n} > \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) \frac{n}{P_r} \end{aligned}$$

(for  $n$  large).

Denoting the number of solutions of (19) by  $v_i$ , we have by (16) and (21)

$$(22) \quad \begin{aligned} v_i &\cong A_{(P_r, u_2)}(n) - \sum_{\substack{d \leq n \\ d \equiv u_2 \pmod{P_r} \\ (b_i, d) > 1}} 1 = \\ &= A_{(P_r, u_2)}(n) - \left( \sum_{\substack{d \leq n \\ d \equiv u_2 \pmod{P_r}}} 1 - D_i(P_r, u_2) \right) < \\ &> 2\varepsilon \frac{n}{P_r} - \left( \frac{n}{P_r} + 1 \right) + \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) \frac{n}{P_r} = \frac{3\varepsilon}{2} \frac{n}{P_r} - 1 > \varepsilon \frac{n}{P_r}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $d_1^{(i)} < \dots < d_{w_i}^{(i)}$  denote the sequence of those integers  $d$  for which

$$(23) \quad (b_i, d) = 1, \quad d \in A_{(P_r, u_2)} \quad \text{and} \quad \prod_{\substack{p|d \\ p>r}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) > 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{4}.$$

Lemma 2 yields by (10) and (22) that

$$(24) \quad w_i \cong v_i - \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \frac{n}{P_r} > \varepsilon \frac{n}{P_r} - \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \frac{n}{P_r} > \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \frac{n}{P_r}.$$

Let us denote the number of solutions of

$$(25) \quad (b_i, a_y) = (d_j^{(i)}, a_y) = 1, \quad a_y \in A_{(P_r, u_3)}$$

(for  $i, j$  fixed) by  $z_j^{(i)}$ .

By (15), (17) and (23), if  $d \equiv u_3 \pmod{P_r}$  and  $p|(b_i, e)$  or  $p|(d_j^{(i)}, e)$  then  $p > r$ . Denote by  $E_j^{(i)}(P_r, u_3)$  the number of those integers  $e$  for which  $e \leq n$ ,  $e \equiv u_3 \pmod{P_r}$  and  $(b_i, e) = (d_j^{(i)}, e) = 1$ . With respect to (5), we have

$$(26) \quad \begin{aligned} \left| E_j^{(i)}(P_r, u_3) - \frac{n}{P_r} \prod_{\substack{p|b_i d_j^{(i)} \\ p>r}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \right| &< 2^{v(b_i d_j^{(i)})} < \\ &< 2^{2 \log n^2 / \log \log n^2} < 2^{4 \log n / \log \log n}. \end{aligned}$$



We obtain from (17), (23) and (26) for sufficiently large  $n$  that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (27) \quad E_j^{(i)}(P_r, u_3) &> \frac{n}{P_r} \prod_{\substack{p|b_i d_j^{(i)} \\ p>r}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) - 2^{4 \log n / \log \log n} = \\
 &= \frac{n}{P_r} \prod_{\substack{p|b_i \\ p>r}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \prod_{\substack{p|d_j^{(i)} \\ p>r}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) - 2^{4 \log n / \log \log n} > \\
 &> \frac{n}{P_r} \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{4}\right) \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{4}\right) - 2^{4 \log n / \log \log n} > \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) \frac{n}{P_r}.
 \end{aligned}$$

(16) and (27) yield that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (28) \quad z_j^{(i)} &\equiv A_{(P_r, u_3)}(n) - \left( \sum_{\substack{e \leq n \\ e \equiv u_3 \pmod{P_r}}} 1 - E_j^{(i)}(P_r, u_3) \right) > \\
 &> 2\varepsilon \frac{n}{P_r} - \left( \frac{n}{P_r} + 1 \right) + \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) \frac{n}{P_r} = \frac{3\varepsilon}{2} \frac{n}{P_r} - 1 > \varepsilon \frac{n}{P_r}.
 \end{aligned}$$

By (17), (23) and (25), the triplets  $b_i, d_j^{(i)}, a_y$  satisfy

$$(b_i, d_j^{(i)}) = (b_i, a_y) = (d_j^{(i)}, a_y) = 1, \quad b_i, d_j^{(i)}, a_y \in A,$$

and by (18), (24) and (28), their number is greater than

$$\varepsilon \frac{n}{P_r} \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \frac{n}{P_r} \cdot \varepsilon \frac{n}{P_r} = c_{12}(\varepsilon) n^3$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 8.

### References

- [1] P. ERDŐS, Some remarks about additive and multiplicative functions, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* (1946), 527—537.
- [2] P. ERDŐS, Remarks on number theory, V. Extremal problems in number theory, II. (in Hungarian), *Mat. Lapok* **17** (1966), 135—155.
- [3] P. ERDŐS, A. SÁRKÖZY and E. SZEMERÉDI, On some extremal properties of sequences of integers, *Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest. Eötvös* **12** (1969), 131—135.

(Received April 23, 1977.)