

## On relative connectedness I.

By BÉLA RENDI (Debrecen)

In their discussion of certain optimization problems, ST. SIMONS (1990) and S. HORVÁTH (1991) are using the following definition of a connected set: “a subset  $K \subset X$  of a topological space  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is connected, if the relations  $K \subset A \cup B$  and  $K \cap A \cap B = \emptyset$  imply  $K \subset A$  or  $K \subset B$ , where  $A, B \in \mathcal{T}$ .”

This definition of connectedness has been generalized by H. KÖNIG in his conference “The Topological Minimax Theorem” (Debrecen University, Institute of Mathematics and Informatics, 12 October 1995). Let  $X$  be a nonvoid set and  $\mathcal{S}$  a family of subset of  $X$ . H. König defines connectedness with respect to the family of sets  $\mathcal{S}$  as follows: “The subset  $K \subset X$  is connected with respect to the family of sets  $\mathcal{S}$ , if for any sets  $A, B \in \mathcal{S}$  the relations  $K \subset A \cup B$  and  $K \cap A \cap B = \emptyset$  imply  $K \subset A$  or  $K \subset B$ .”

*Remarks.* 1. For  $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{T}$  we get back the definition used by Simons, i.e. the classical notion of connectedness.

2. If  $\mathcal{S}_1 \subset \mathcal{S}_2$  and  $K \subset X$  is connected with respect to the family of sets  $\mathcal{S}_2$ , then  $K$  is connected with respect to the family of sets  $\mathcal{S}_1$  too.

3. If for  $K \subset X$  there do not exist sets  $A, B \in \mathcal{S}$  for which  $K \subset A \cup B$  then  $K$  is connected with respect to  $\mathcal{S}$ .

The last remark motivates the following

---

This paper was prepared during the author’s stay at the Institute of Mathematics and Informatics of Debrecen University in 1995–96.

†He died on 11 of June, 1996.

*Definition 1.* Let  $X$  be an arbitrary set and  $\mathcal{S}$  a given family of subsets. The subset  $K \subset X$  is  $(n-1)$ -connected with respect to  $\mathcal{S}$ , if for any sets  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{S}$  the relations  $K \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i$  and  $K \cap A_{i_0} \cap \left( \bigcup_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_0}}^n A_i \right) = \emptyset$  imply  $K \subset A_{i_0}$  or  $K \subset \bigcup_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_0}}^n A_i$ .

*Remarks. 4.* The notion of 1-connected set coincides with that of connected set in the sense of König. The notion just introduced can also be called chain-connectedness.

5. If  $\mathcal{S}_1 \subset \mathcal{S}$  and  $K \subset X$  is  $(n-1)$ -connected with respect to  $\mathcal{S}$ , then  $K$  is  $(n-1)$ -connected also with respect to  $\mathcal{S}_1$ .

6. The following examples will show that the notion just introduced essentially depends on both the family of sets  $\mathcal{S}$ , and the natural number  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Let  $X = \mathbb{R}$  and let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the set of those open intervals the length of which is not greater than 1, and let  $K = (0, 2) \cup (2, 3) \cup \{10\}$ . One sees that by Remark 3  $K$  is a 1-connected set. Similarly,  $K$  is 2-connected and 3-connected too. It can be shown that  $K$  is not 4-connected. Indeed, let  $A = (0, 1)$ ,  $B = (1/2, 3/2)$ ,  $C = (1, 2)$ ,  $D = (2, 3)$ ,  $E = (19/2, 21/2)$ . One verifies that the relations  $K \subset A \cup B \cup C \cup D \cup E$  and  $K \cap E \cap (A \cup B \cup C \cup D) = \emptyset$  are satisfied, but neither  $K \subset E$  nor  $K \subset A \cup B \cup C \cup D$  holds.

7. The notion introduced proves helpful in studying classic connectedness in a topological space  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  by investigating  $k$ -connectedness with respect to a topological base  $\mathcal{B}$ . This will become clear in the sequel.

**Theorem 1.** *If  $K \subset X$  is an  $(n-1)$ -connected set with respect to the family of sets  $\mathcal{S}$  and  $n \geq 3$ , then  $K$  is also  $(n-2)$ -connected with respect to  $\mathcal{S}$ , hence it is connected with respect to  $\mathcal{S}$ .*

PROOF. We suppose that  $K$  is  $(n-1)$ -connected with respect to the family of sets  $\mathcal{S}$ . Let the sets  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{n-1} \in \mathcal{S}$  satisfy  $K \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} A_i$  and  $K \cap A_{i_0} \cap \left( \bigcup_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_0}}^{n-1} A_i \right) = \emptyset$ . Moreover, let  $i_1 \neq i_0$ ,  $1 \leq i_1 \leq n-1$  and  $A_n =$

$A_{i_1}$ . It follows that we also have  $K \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i$  and  $K \cap A_{i_0} \cap \left( \bigcup_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_0}}^n A_i \right) = \emptyset$ .

Since  $K$  is  $(n-1)$ -connected with respect to the family of sets  $\mathcal{S}$ , we see

that either  $K \subset A_{i_0}$  or  $K \subset \bigcup_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_0}}^n A_i = \bigcup_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_0}}^{n-1} A_i$  holds, i.e.  $K$  is an  $(n - 2)$ -connected set with respect to the family of sets  $\mathcal{S}$ .

The above examples show that the converse of the theorem is not true.

A family of sets  $\mathcal{S}$  is said to be closed with respect to finite unions, if  $A, B \in \mathcal{S}$  always implies  $A \cup B \in \mathcal{S}$ .

**Theorem 2.** *If the family of sets  $\mathcal{S}$  is closed with respect to finite unions and if  $K \subset X$  is  $(n - 1)$ -connected with respect to the family of sets  $\mathcal{S}$ , then  $K$  is  $(k - 1)$ -connected with respect to the family of sets  $\mathcal{S}$  for any natural number  $k \geq 2$ .*

PROOF. Suppose that  $K \subset X$  is an  $(n - 1)$ -connected set with respect to  $\mathcal{S}$ . It follows that  $K$  is 1-connected with respect to  $\mathcal{S}$ . Let the sets  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k \in \mathcal{S}$  satisfy the relations  $K \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^k A_i$  and  $K \cap A_{i_0} \cap \left( \bigcup_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_0}}^k A_i \right) = \emptyset$ . (If no such sets  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k \in \mathcal{S}$  exist then  $K$  is trivially  $(k - 1)$ -connected.) Let  $B_1 = A_{i_0}$  and  $B_2 = \bigcup_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_0}}^k A_i$ . Let the conditions  $K \subset B_1 \cup B_2$  and  $K \cap B_1 \cap B_2 = \emptyset$  be satisfied. Since  $K$  is connected with respect to the family of sets  $\mathcal{S}$  and  $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{S}$  it follows that either  $K \subset B_1 = A_{i_0}$  or  $K \subset B_2 = \bigcup_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_0}}^k A_i$  i.e.  $K$  is  $(k - 1)$ -connected with respect to  $\mathcal{S}$ .

**Theorem 3.** *If  $K \subset X$  is  $(n - 1)$ -connected with respect to  $\mathcal{S}$  and the conditions  $K \cap A_j \cap \left( \bigcup_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^n A_i \right) = \emptyset$  and  $K \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i$  are satisfied for any natural number  $j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq n$ ), then there exists a natural number  $i_0$  ( $1 \leq i_0 \leq n$ ) such that  $K \subset A_{i_0}$ .*

PROOF. On the basis of the given conditions we have either  $K \subset A_j$  or  $K \subset \bigcup_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^n A_i$  for any natural number  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Let us suppose that there does not exist any  $i_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $1 \leq i_0 \leq n$  for which  $K \subset A_{i_0}$ . Then, for any natural number  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$  we obtain  $K \subset \bigcup_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^n A_i$ . Hence the conditions  $K \cap A_j \subset \left( \bigcup_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^n A_i \right) \cap A_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$  are satisfied, i.e.  $K \cap A_j \subset$

$\left(\bigcup_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^n A_i\right) \cap A_j \cap K$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . In view of  $K \cap A_j \cap \left(\bigcup_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^n A_i\right) = \emptyset$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$  we have  $K \cap A_j = \emptyset$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . From this we get  $K \cap \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) = \emptyset$ . Now  $K \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i$  implies  $K = \emptyset$  and so  $K \subset A_{i_0}$  holds for any natural number  $i_0$ ,  $1 \leq i_0 \leq n$  which contradicts our hypothesis. Thus the theorem is proved.

In what follows, let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a given family of sets satisfying  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{S}$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}^n$  denote the family of sets

$$\mathcal{S}^1(\cup)\mathcal{S}^{n-1} = \{A \cup B \mid A \in \mathcal{S}^1, B \in \mathcal{S}^{n-1}\}$$

where  $\mathcal{S}^1 = \mathcal{S}$ , and let  $\mathcal{S}^\cup = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{S}^n$ . One sees that  $\mathcal{S}^\cup$  is closed with respect to finite unions and

$$\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}^1 \subset \mathcal{S}^2 \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{S}^n \subset \dots$$

**Theorem 4.** *If  $K \subset X$  is connected (1-connected) with respect to  $\mathcal{S}^n$ , then  $K$  is  $n$ -connected with respect to  $\mathcal{S}$ .*

PROOF. Let us suppose that  $K$  is connected with respect to the family of sets  $\mathcal{S}^n$ . Let the sets  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{n+1} \in \mathcal{S}$  satisfy the conditions  $K \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} A_i$  and  $K \cap A_{i_0} \cap \left(\bigcup_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_0}}^{n+1} A_i\right) = \emptyset$  where  $1 \leq i_0 \leq n+1$ .

Since  $A_{i_0}, \bigcup_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_0}}^{n+1} A_i \in \mathcal{S}^n$  and  $K$  is connected with respect to  $\mathcal{S}^n$ , it follows

that  $K \subset A_{i_0}$  or else  $K \subset \bigcup_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_0}}^{n+1} A_i$  and so we get that  $K$  is an  $n$ -connected set with respect to  $\mathcal{S}$ . The converse of the theorem does not hold.

*Remark 8.* If  $\mathcal{S}$  is closed with respect to finite unions then  $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}^1 = \mathcal{S}^2 = \dots = \mathcal{S}^n = \dots$  and so  $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}^n = \mathcal{S}^\cup$ ,  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence we get that the set  $K \subset X$  is connected with respect to  $\mathcal{S}$  if and only if it is  $n$ -connected with respect to  $\mathcal{S}$  for any natural number  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ .

In what follows we are going to investigate certain properties of  $n$ -connectedness, taking into account those of classical connectedness. In order to supplement our notations, let us remark that the closure of a set  $L \subset X$  with respect to a family of sets  $\mathcal{S}$  will be the set  $\tilde{L} \subset X$ , defined by  $\tilde{L} = \bigcap_{\substack{S \in \mathcal{S}^\cup \\ L \subset S}} S$ .

*Remark 9.* If  $\tilde{L}$  is the closure of the set  $L$  with respect to  $\mathcal{S}$ , then  $\tilde{L}$  the closure of  $L$  also with respect to  $\mathcal{S}^n$  for any natural number  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $\tilde{L}$  is the closure of  $L$  with respect to  $\mathcal{S}^\cup$  too.

**Theorem 5.** *If  $K \subset X$  is an  $n$ -connected set with respect to  $\mathcal{S}$  and  $K \subset L \subset \tilde{K}$  then  $L$  too is  $n$ -connected with respect to  $\mathcal{S}$ .*

PROOF. Let  $A_0, A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{S}$  be sets satisfying  $L \subset \bigcup_{i=0}^n A_i$  and  $L \cap A_{i_0} \cap \left( \bigcup_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \neq i_0}}^n A_i \right) = \emptyset$ . Now  $K \subset L$  implies that  $K \subset \bigcup_{i=0}^n A_i$  and  $K \cap A_{i_0} \cap \left( \bigcup_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \neq i_0}}^n A_i \right) = \emptyset$ . Since  $K$  is  $n$ -connected with respect to  $\mathcal{S}$ , either  $K \subset A_{i_0}$  or  $K \subset \bigcup_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \neq i_0}}^n A_i$ . Now  $A_{i_0}, \bigcup_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \neq i_0}}^n A_i \in \mathcal{S}^\cup$  implies that  $\tilde{K} \subset A_{i_0}$  or  $\tilde{K} \subset \bigcup_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \neq i_0}}^n A_i$ , i.e. we get  $L \subset A_{i_0}$  or  $L \subset \bigcup_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \neq i_0}}^n A_i$ . Thus  $L$  is  $n$ -connected with respect to  $\mathcal{S}$ .

**Theorem 6.** *If the members of the family of sets  $\{K_i \mid i \in I\}$  are  $n$ -connected with respect to  $\mathcal{S}$  and  $\bigcap_{i \in I} K_i \neq \emptyset$  then  $K = \bigcup_{i \in I} K_i$  too is  $n$ -connected with respect to  $\mathcal{S}$ .*

PROOF. Let  $A_0, A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{S}$  be sets, such that  $K \subset \bigcup_{j=0}^n A_j$  and  $K \cap A_{j_0} \cap \left( \bigcup_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \neq j_0}}^n A_j \right) = \emptyset$ . It follows that for any  $i \in I$  we also have  $K_i \subset \bigcup_{j=0}^n A_j$  and  $K_i \cap A_{j_0} \cap \left( \bigcup_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \neq j_0}}^n A_j \right) = \emptyset$ . Since  $K_i$  is  $n$ -connected with respect to  $\mathcal{S}$  for any  $i \in I$ , it follows that  $K_i \subset A_{j_0}$  or  $K_i \subset \bigcup_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \neq j_0}}^n A_j$  for any  $i \in I$ . Now let  $I = I_1 \cup I_2$  with

$$I_1 = \{i \in I \mid K_i \subset A_{j_0}\},$$

$$I_2 = \{i \in I \mid K_i \subset \bigcup_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \neq j_0}}^n A_j\}.$$

If  $I_2 = \emptyset$  (or  $I_1 = \emptyset$ ) then the theorem is true, because  $K_i \subset A_{j_0}$  (or  $K_i \subset \bigcup_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \neq j_0}}^n A_j$ ) for any  $i \in I$ , and consequently  $K \subset A_{j_0}$  (or  $K \subset \bigcup_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \neq j_0}}^n A_j$ ).

Now suppose  $I_1 \neq \emptyset$  and  $I_2 \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $L_1 = \bigcup_{i \in I_1} K_i$ ,  $L_2 = \bigcup_{i \in I_2} K_i$ . One sees that  $L_1 \cap L_2 \subset \left( \bigcup_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \neq j_0}}^n A_j \right) \cap A_{j_0}$  and  $L_1 \cap L_2 \subset K$ , hence  $L_1 \cap L_2 \subset$

$K \cap A_{j_0} \cap \left( \bigcup_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \neq j_0}}^n A_j \right)$  i.e.  $L_1 \cap L_2 = \emptyset$ . From  $\bigcap_{i \in I} K_i \subset K_i, \forall i \in I$  we infer

that  $\bigcap_{i \in I} K_i \subset L_1$  and  $\bigcap_{i \in I} K_i \subset L_2$ , hence  $\bigcap_{i \in I} K_i \subset L_1 \cap L_2$ . Thus we obtain  $\bigcap_{i \in I} K_i = \emptyset$  and this contradicts the conditions of the theorem.

**Theorem 7.** *If for any two points  $x, y \in X$  there exists a set  $K_{xy} \subset X$  which is  $n$ -connected with respect to  $\mathcal{S}$  and satisfies  $x, y \in K_{xy}$  then the space  $X$  is  $n$ -connected with respect to  $\mathcal{S}$ .*

PROOF. Let  $x \in X$  be a fixed point and  $y \in X$  a variable point whose range is the whole space. For any point  $y \in X$  let  $K_{xy}$  be the set,  $n$ -connected with respect to  $\mathcal{S}$ , the existence of which is postulated in the theorem. Since  $\bigcap_{y \in X} K_{xy} \supset \{x\} \neq \emptyset$  the previous theorem implies that  $X = \bigcup_{y \in X} K_{xy}$  is an  $n$ -connected set with respect to  $\mathcal{S}$ .

*Remark 10.* Let  $X$  be an arbitrary set and  $\mathcal{S}$  an arbitrary family of subset of  $X$ . For any natural number  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and any point  $x \in X$  the sets  $K_0 = \emptyset$  and  $K_x = \{x\}$  are  $n$ -connected with respect to  $\mathcal{S}$ .

In what follows, let  $X$  and  $Y$  be two arbitrary sets and  $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$ ,  $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{P}(Y)$  two given families of sets, where  $\mathcal{P}(U) = \{A \subset U\}$ .

**Theorem 8.** *If  $X$  is  $n$ -connected with respect to  $\mathcal{S}$  and if there exists a function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $\bigcup_{R \in \mathcal{R}} R = f(X)$  and  $f^{-1}(R) \in \mathcal{S}$  for any  $R \in \mathcal{R}$  then  $Y$  is  $n$ -connected with respect to  $\mathcal{R}$ .*

PROOF. It will be sufficient to prove that  $f(X) \subset Y$  is  $n$ -connected with respect to  $\mathcal{R}$ . Indeed, if  $f(X) \neq Y$  is  $n$ -connected then  $Y$  too is  $n$ -connected (since it has no covering by elements of  $\mathcal{R}$ ), and whenever  $Y \supset Z \supset f(X)$  holds,  $Z$  is also  $n$ -connected.

On the basis of this it suffices to prove the theorem for a surjective function.

Let now be  $R_0, R_1, \dots, R_n \in \mathcal{R}$  and we suppose that  $Y \subset \bigcup_{i=0}^n R_i$  and  $Y \cap R_{i_0} \cap \left( \bigcup_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \neq i_0}}^n R_i \right) = \emptyset$ . We put  $S_i = f^{-1}(R_i) \in \mathcal{S}$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$  and we get  $X \subset \bigcup_{i=0}^n S_i$  and  $X \cap S_{i_0} \cap \left( \bigcup_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \neq i_0}}^n S_i \right) = \emptyset$ . Since  $X$  is an  $n$ -connected space with respect to  $\mathcal{S}$ , it follows that  $X \subset S_{i_0}$  or  $X \subset \bigcup_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \neq i_0}}^n S_i$  hence  $Y = f(X) \subset f(S_{i_0}) = R_{i_0}$  or  $Y = f(X) \subset f\left(\bigcup_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \neq i_0}}^n S_i\right) = \bigcup_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \neq i_0}}^n R_i$ . Thus the space  $Y$  is  $n$ -connected with respect to  $\mathcal{R}$ .

In what follows, let  $\{X_i \mid i \in I\}$  be a given family of sets, and for any  $i \in I$  let  $\mathcal{S}_i \subset \mathcal{P}(X_i)$  be given. Let  $X = \prod_{i \in I} X_i$  denote the cartesian product of the family of sets, and let

$$\mathcal{S} = \left\{ \prod_{i \in I} A_i \mid A_i \in \mathcal{S}_i \cup \{X_i\}, \left| \{i \in I, A_i \neq X_i\} \right| \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

One sees that  $x \in I$ .

**Theorem 9.** *If the space  $X_i$  is  $n$ -connected with respect to  $\mathcal{S}_i$  for any  $i \in I$ , then  $X$  is  $n$ -connected with respect to  $\mathcal{S}$ .*

PROOF. For any  $i \in I$ , let us fix a point  $x_i \in X_i$ . Let  $x = (x_i) \in \prod_{i \in I} X_i$  and let us denote by  $C$  the set of those points  $y \in \prod_{i \in I} X_i$  which have only finitely many of their coordinates different from the corresponding coordinate of  $x$ . If  $A \in \mathcal{S}^\cup$  then it is easy to see that  $C \subset A$  if and only if  $A = X$ . Let  $\tilde{C}$  denote the closure of the set  $C$  with respect to the family of sets  $\mathcal{S}^\cup$ . There follows that  $\tilde{C} = X$ . By Theorem 6 it will be sufficient to prove that  $C$  is  $n$ -connected with respect to  $\mathcal{S}^\cup$ , i.e. with respect to  $\mathcal{S}$ . By Theorem 7 it suffices to prove that for any point  $y \in C$  there exists a subset  $C_y \subset C$  such that  $x, y \in C_y$  and which is  $n$ -connected with respect to  $\mathcal{S}$ . Therefore let  $y = (y_i) \in C$ . There exist indices  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n \in I$  such that  $x_i = y_i, i \in I \setminus \{i_1, \dots, i_n\}$ . For any natural number  $k \leq n$  let

$$B_k = \left\{ z = (z_i) \in \prod_{i \in I} X_i \mid \begin{array}{ll} z_{i_\ell} = x_{i_\ell}, & 1 \leq \ell < k; & z_{i_k} \in X_{i_k} \\ z_{i_\ell} = y_{i_\ell}, & k < \ell \leq n; & z_i = x_i, i \in I \setminus \{i_1, \dots, i_n\} \end{array} \right\}.$$

It follows that  $y \in B_1, x \in B_n, B_k \cap B_{k+1} \neq \emptyset, k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$  (indeed let  $z_i = x_i, i = i_\ell, \ell \leq k$  and for  $i \in I \setminus \{i_1, \dots, i_n\}$ , let  $z_i = y_i, i = i_\ell, k+1 \leq \ell \leq n$ . With these notations we obtain  $z = (z_i) \in B_k \cap B_{k+1}$ ).

There exist bijective mappings  $h_k : X_{i_k} \rightarrow B_k, k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}'_k = \mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{P}(B_k), k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . It can be shown that for any set  $L \in \mathcal{S}'_k$  one has  $h_k^{-1}(L) \in \mathcal{S}_{i_k}, k = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and consequently  $B_k = h_k(X_{i_k})$  is  $n$ -connected with respect to  $\mathcal{S}'_k$ . It can easily be shown that the sets  $B_k$  are  $n$ -connected with respect to  $\mathcal{S}$  too. This implies that all the sets  $B_1, B_1 \cup B_2, \dots, \bigcup_{k=1}^n B_k$  are  $n$ -connected with respect to  $\mathcal{S}$  hence  $C_y = \bigcup_{k=1}^n B_k$  is the set we wanted to obtain. This completes the proof of the theorem.

In what follows, we are going to determine the connected components of the space  $X$ .

*Definition 2.* The set  $C \subset X$  is an  $n$ -connected component with respect to  $\mathcal{S}$  of the space  $X$ , if for any set  $C_1 \subset X, n$ -connected with respect to  $\mathcal{S}, C \subset C_1$  implies  $C = C_1$ .

**Theorem 10.** Any two noncoinciding components,  $n$ -connected with respect to  $\mathcal{S}$ , are disjoint.

PROOF. Let  $K_1, K_2$  be two components,  $n$ -connected with respect to  $\mathcal{S}$ , for which  $K_1 \cap K_2 \neq \emptyset$ . Thus  $K_1 \cup K_2$  is also an  $n$ -connected set with respect to  $\mathcal{S}$ . The inclusions  $K_1 \subset K_1 \cup K_2$  and  $K_2 \subset K_1 \cup K_2$  imply  $K_1 = K_1 \cup K_2 = K_2$ , and the theorem is proved.

**Theorem 11.** If the set  $K \subset X$  is  $n$ -connected with respect to  $\mathcal{S}$ , then the space has a component  $C \subset X, n$ -connected with respect to  $\mathcal{S}$ , such that  $K \subset C$ .

PROOF. Let  $C$  be a component,  $n$ -connected with respect to  $\mathcal{S}$ , for which  $C \cap K \neq \emptyset$ . It follows that  $C \cup K$  too is  $n$ -connected with respect to  $\mathcal{S}$ , hence  $C = C \cup K$  i.e.  $K \subset C$ . ( $C$  is the union of those sets,  $n$ -connected with respect to  $\mathcal{S}$ , which have nonvoid intersection with  $K$ .)

**Theorem 12.** The space  $X$  can be represented as the union of its components,  $n$ -connected with respect to  $\mathcal{S}$ .

PROOF. Let  $x \in X$  be an arbitrary point. The fact that the one-point set  $\{x\}$  is  $n$ -connected with respect to  $\mathcal{S}$ , implies the existence of a component  $C_x$  such that  $x \in C_x$ . Hence  $X = \bigcup_{x \in X} C_x$  is the desired representation.

*Acknowledgement.* I wish to express my gratitude to Professor A. CSÁSZÁR for his help and advice in preparing this paper.



**References**

- [1] Á. CSÁSZÁR, Foundations of General Topology, *Pergamon Press, Oxford – London – New York – Paris*, 1963.
- [2] H. KÖNIG, A General Minimax Theorem based on Connectedness, *Arch. Math.* **59** (1992), 55–64.
- [3] H. KÖNIG, Addendum to “A General Minimax theorem based on Connectedness”, *Arch. Math.* **64** (1995), 139–143.
- [4] H. KÖNIG, The Topological Minimax Theorem, 1995, *preprint*.
- [5] ST. SIMONS, Minimax Theorems and their Proofs, 1994, *preprint*.

BÉLA RENDI  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
UNIVERSITY LAJOS KOSSUTH  
H-4010 DEBRECEN  
HUNGARY

*(Received May 7, 1996)*