A note on strongly regular near-rings

By Y. V. REDDY and C. V. L. N. MURTY (Nagarjunanagar)

Introduction

Throughout this note by a near-ring we mean a zerosymmetric right near-ring. A near-ring N is said to be strongly regular if $a \in Na^2$ for each $a \in N$. In this note we prove that if N is a strongly regular near-ring, then N has maximum condition on ideals if and only if N has minimum condition on ideals. We also present an affirmative answer to an open problem raised by MASON (See remark on page 33 of [5]).

If S is a nonempty subset of a near-ring N, we write $l(S) = \{x \in N | xS = \{0\}\}$ and $r(S) = \{x \in N | Sx = \{0\}\}$. It is easy to verify that if N is a near-ring without nonzero nilpotent elements then l(S) = r(S) and this is an ideal of N. For terminology and notation, we refer to [7]. Recall that a near-ring N is called regular if for each $a \in N$ there exists $x \in N$ such that a = axa. A near-ring N is said to have the IFP if for any a, b in N, ab = 0 implies anb = 0 for each $n \in N$.

1

For convenience, we first state a lemma whose proof follows from propositions 9.37 and 9.43 of [7].

Lemma 1. Suppose N is a near-ring without nonzero nilpotent elements. Then

- (i) N has the IFP,
- (ii) For any $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$, ab=0 implies ba=0,
- (iii) en=ene for any idempotent e and any $n \in N$.

The following lemma has been proved in several papers (eg [8]). The converse is also true, indeed in a more general set-up (see theorem 12).

Lemma 2. Suppose N is a strongly regular near-ring. Then

- (i) N has no nonzero nilpotent elements,
- (ii) N is regular.

In ring theory it is well known that [3, proposition 2.28] if R is a strongly regular ring then every one sided ideal is two sided. But in case of near-rings MASON [5]

showed that if N is a strongly regular near-ring with identity, then every N-subgroup of N is an ideal. Our next result is a generalization.

Lemma 3. If N is a strongly regular near-ring, then l(r(Na))=Na for each a in N. Moreover, each N-subgroup is an ideal of N.

PROOF. Let $a \in N$. Since N is regular, there is an idempotent e in N such that Na=Ne. Clearly $Na \subset l(r(Na))$. If $x \in l(r(Na))$ then $xr(Na) = \{0\}$. Since e is an idempotent, by lemma 1 (i), we have $x-xe \in l(Ne)=r(Ne)=r(Na)$. Hence x(x-xe)=0. So xe(x-xe)=0, by lemma 1 (i) and hence $(x-xe)^2=0$. Therefore $x=xe \in Ne=Na$. Thus Na=l(r(Na)) is an ideal of N. It is now easy to show that each N-subgroup is an ideal of N.

Lemma 4. If N is a strongly regular near-ring, then N is isomorphic to a subdirect product of near-rings N_i , where in each N_i , $N_i x = N_i$ for each nonzero x in N_i and each nonzero idempotent in N_i is a right identity.

PROOF. From [2, theorem 3.2] and lemma 3, it follows that the intersection of all maximal ideals is $\{0\}$. Hence N is isomorphic to a subdirect product of simple near-rings N_i . But from lemma 3, for each $0 \neq x \in N_i$, $N_i x$ is a nonzero ideal and hence $N_i x = N_i$. Thus each N_i has no zero divisors and hence each nonzero idempotent in N_i is a right identity.

Corollary 5. ([8, theorem 2]). If in a strongly regular near-ring N, every nonzero homomorphic image contains a nonzero distributive element then N is isomorphic to a subdirect product of near-fields and hence (N, +) is abelian.

The proof of this corollary follows directly from lemma 4 and [7, theorem 8.3, p. 237].

Corollary 6. Let N be a strongly regular near-ring. If N contains a left identity, then (N, +) is abelian.

Corollary 7. ([4, theorem 3]). If in a near-ring N, for each x there exists n(x)>1 such that $x^{n(x)}=x$, then N is isomorphic to a subdirect product of near-rings N_i where N_i is either a near-field or $N_i x = N_i$ for each $0 \neq x$ in N_i and each nonzero idempotent element of N_i is a right identity.

In ring theory it is well known that [3, proposition 1.29] if R is a regular ring then for any a, b in R there is an element c in R such that Ra+Rb=Rc. We now obtain this result for strongly regular near-rings. But it is not known whether this result is true for regular near-rings.

Lemma 8. If N is a strongly regular near-ring then for any a, b in N there is an element c such that Na+Nb=Nc.

PROOF. Since N is regular, there exists idempotents e and f such that Na=Ne and Nb=Nf. Now Ne is an ideal by lemma 3. So, $f=f^2=ff-f(f-fe)+f(f-fe)\in Ne+N(f-fe)$. Thus $Ne+Nf\subseteq Ne+N(f-fe)$. Clearly $f-fe\in Nf+Ne=Ne+Nf$. Hence $N(f-fe)\subseteq Ne+Nf$ and $Ne+N(f-fe)\subseteq Ne+Nf$. Therefore Ne+Nf=Ne+N(f-fe). Let g be an idempotent such that N(f-fe)=Ng. Clearly ge=0. By lemma 1 (ii), it follows that eg=0 and so by lemma 1 (iii) e(e+g)=e(e+g)e=

 $=e^3=e$ and $g(e+g)=g(e+g)g=g^3=g$. Thus $e,g\in N(e+g)$ and so, $Ne+Ng\subseteq N(e+g)$. Since $e+g\in Ne+Ng$, we have $N(e+g)\subseteq Ne+Ng$. Therefore Ne+Ng=N(e+g) and Na+Nb=Ne+Ng=N(e+g).

For a ring with an identity, it is well known that the minimum condition on right ideals implies the maximum condition on right ideals. Since in a strongly regular ring every one sided ideal is two sided ideal ([3, proposition 2.28]), it follows that in a strongly regular ring with identity the minimum condition on ideals implies the maximum condition on ideals. Theorems 3.2 and 2.7 of [6] shows that if N is a regular near-ring with dcc on N-subgroups, then it has maximum condition on N-subgroups. From lemma 3, it evidently follows that for a strongly regular near-ring the maximum condition on ideals implies the maximum condition on ideals. We now prove the converse of this result.

Theorem 9. Suppose N is a strongly regular near-ring. If N has maximum condition on ideals, then it has minimum condition on ideals.

PROOF. By lemma 3, for any a in N the principal ideal generated by a is Na. Since N has maximum condition on ideals, every ideal L of N is finitely generated. By lemma 8 L is a principal ideal and hence an annhilator ideal by lemma 3. Thus every ideal of N is an annhilator ideal.

Suppose $I_1 \supseteq I_2 \supseteq ... \supseteq I_n \supseteq ...$ is a chain of ideals. Then $l(I_1) \subseteq l(I_2) \subseteq ...$... $\subseteq l(I_n) \subseteq ...$ is an ascending sequence of ideals and hence by the maximum condition there is a positive integer k such that $l(I_n) = l(I_k)$ for all $n \supseteq k$. Hence $r(l(I_n)) = r(l(I_k))$ for all $n \supseteq k$ and since every ideal is an annhilator ideal, it follows that $I_n = I_k$ for all $n \supseteq k$. Thus N has minimum condition on ideals.

2

In ring theory, it is well known that if every nilpotent element is in the centre then every idempotent is in the centre. Hence a regular ring without nilpotent elements is strongly regular. In [5], Mason raised the following question: If in a regular near-ring N each nilpotent element is in the centre, then is it true that N is strongly regular? In the following we prove an affirmative answer to this question. We now establish a generalized result. To this end we introduce the following

Definition 10. A near-ring N is said to be symmetric if $a^2=0$ implies $\langle a \rangle^2 = \{0\}$, where $\langle a \rangle$ is the principal ideal generated by a.

Remark 11. The class of all symmetric near-rings contains the class of all near-rings without nilpotent elements, the class of all near-rings which have IFP and the class of all near-rings in which nilpotent elements are in the centre.

Theorem 12. Suppose N is a regular near-ring which is also symmetric. Then N is a strongly regular near-ring.

PROOF. We first show that N has no nonzero nilpotent elements. Let $s \in N$ with $s^2 = 0$. Then $\langle s \rangle^2 = \{0\}$. If $s \neq 0$, then by regularity there exists a nonzero idempotent e in $\langle s \rangle$. Therefore $e = e^2 \in \langle s \rangle^2 = \{0\}$ and this is a contradiction. Thus N has no nonzero nilpotent elements. If $a \in N$ then a = axa for some $x \in N$. Since

aa is an idempotent, by lemma 1 (iii) it follows that $ax = (axa)x = a(xa)x = x(xa)x(xa) \in Na$ and hence $a = axa \in Na^2$. Thus N is strongly regular.

By remark 11 and theorem 12 we have the following

Corollary 13. Suppose N is a regular near-ring in which every nilpotent element is in the centre. Then N is strongly regular.

We close this article with the following

Example 14. Let $N = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Define addition as moduolo 5 and multiplication as follows:

Then $(N, +, \cdot)$ is a near-ring (see CLAY [1]). Clearly N is a regular near-ring with no nonzero nilpotent elements. But no nonzero idempotent is in the centre of N.

Acknowledgement. The second author wishes to express thanks to the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research, New Delhi for giving financial assistance.

References

- [1] J. R. CLAY, The near-rings on groups of low order, Math. Z. 104 (1968), 364-371.
- [2] M. J. JOHNSON, Radicals of regular near-rings, Monatsh. Math. 80 (1975), 313-341.
- [3] К. Кон, Quasisimple modules and other topics in Ring theory Lectures on Rings and Modules, Springer-Verlag, New York 246 (1972), p. 323—428.
- [4] S. Ligh, On the commutativity of near-rings II, Kyungpook Math. J. 11 (1971), 159-163.
- [5] G. Mason, Strongly regular near-rings, Proc. Edin. Math. Soc. 23 (1980), 27-35.
- [6] A. OSWALD, Some topics in the structure theory of near-rings, Doctoral Dissertation, Univ. of York 1973.
- [7] G. Pilz, Near-rings, North-Holland, Amsterdam (1977).
- [8] G. SZETO, On regular near-rings with no nonzero nilpotent elements, Math. Japon. 79 (1974), 65-70.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS NAGARJUNA UNIVERSITY NAGARJUNANAGAR—522 510 A. P. INDIA

(Received June 29, 1983.)