Functional equations of sum form

By L. LOSONCZI (Lagos and Debrecen)

1. Introduction

Let Γ_n denote the set of all complete n-ary probability distributions, that is

$$\Gamma_n = \{ p = (p_1, ..., p_n) | p_i \ge 0 \quad i = 1, ..., n; \sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1 \}$$

and $\Gamma_n^0 = \{p = (p_1, ..., p_n) | p_i > 0 \quad i = 1, ..., n; \sum_{i=0}^n p_i = 1\}$. The characterization of entropies of degree α leads to the functional equation

(1)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{l} f(p_i q_j) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} f(p_i) + \sum_{j=1}^{l} f(q_j) + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{k} f(p_i) \sum_{j=1}^{l} f(q_j)$$

where $p \in \Gamma_k$, $q \in \Gamma_l$, $\lambda = 2^{1-\alpha} - 1$ is a constant and $f: [0, 1] \to R$ is the unknown function (see e.q. Aczél—Daróczy [3], Losonczi [15]). Allowing different functions in (1) we obtain

(2)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{l} [f_{ij}(p_i q_j) - q_j g_i(p_i) - p_i h_j(q_j) - \lambda g_i(p_i) h_j(q_j)] = 0$$

for $p \in \Gamma_k$, $q \in \Gamma_l$. In some cases p, q lie in Γ_k^0 , Γ_l^0 respectively. Equations like (2) also arise in various characterizations of measures of information depending on two probability distributions.

The aim of this paper is to investigate a wide class of functional equations and obtain general methods for their solution.

We study the equation

(3)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{l} F_{ij}(p_i, q) = 0$$

where either $F_{ij}: [0, 1]^2 \to R$, $p \in \Gamma_k$, $q \in \Gamma_l$ or $F_{ij}: (0, 1)^2 \to R$, $p \in \Gamma_k^0$, $q \in \Gamma_l^0$ and $k, l \ge 3$ are fixed integers. An equation of form (3) is called a functional equation of sum form.

In Section 2 we prove some lemmas which serve as our main tool in finding the general solution of (3) in Section 3.

In Section 4 we specialize our results for the equation

(4)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{l} \left[f_{ij}(p_i q_j) - \sum_{t=1}^{N} g_{it}(p_i) h_{jt}(q_j) \right] = 0 \quad (p \in \Gamma_k, q \in \Gamma_l)$$

(or $p \in \Gamma_k^0$, $q \in \Gamma_l^0$). This is the most important (but still quite general) special case of (3). Equation (3) has been solved if all functions F_{ij} are equal and $p \in \Gamma_k$, $q \in \Gamma_l$ (see Losonczi—Maksa [18]). Apart from this and a result of Kannappan [13] concerning the measurable solutions of (3) if $p \in \Gamma_k$, $q \in \Gamma_l$ all sum form equations which have been investigated so far are of form (4). In some cases however some of the functions g_{it} , h_{jt} are known (Kannappan [11], [12], Losonczi—Maksa [17] (while in other cases the condition $k, l \ge 3$ is not satisfied (Daróczy [7], Daróczy—Járai [8], Losonczi [15], Maksa [19]).

We show that under measurability conditions (4) can be reduced to the equations

(5)
$$\bar{f}_{ij}(xy) - \sum_{t=1}^{N} \bar{g}_{it}(x)\bar{h}_{jt}(y) = 0 \quad (x, y \in [0, 1])$$

(i=1,...,k; j=1,...,l) where \bar{f}_{ij} , \bar{g}_{it} , \bar{h}_{jt} are new unknown functions obtained from f_{ij} , g_{it} , h_{jt} by adding suitable linear functions. Concerning (5) see Aczél [1], Aczél—Chung [2], Járai [10], Losonczi [16], McKiernan [20], Székelyhidi [24], Vincze [25].

We remark that if the domain is open (i.e. $p \in \Gamma_k^0$, $q \in \Gamma_l^0$) or the conditions $k, l \ge 3$ are not satisfied only the measurable solutions of some special cases of (4) have been found (Kannappan—NG [14], Sahoo [22], [23], Daróczy—Járai [8]). Finding the general solution of equations of type (4) requires individual treatment for each equation (see Losonczi—Maksa [17], [18]).

In Section 5 we show how the general methods of sections 3, 4 work by solving some equations of type (4). Related equations have been investigated by several authors, among others by Aczél—Daróczy [3], Behara—Nath [5], Chaundy—McLeod [6], Kannappan [12], [13], Losonczi [15], Maksa [19], Mittal [21].

Through the paper we shall apply, if convenient, the following notations.

I and Δ_n will denote either [0, 1] and Γ_n or (0, 1) and Γ_n^0 .

If f is a real valued function defined on a set G containing I^k and $r, 2r \in I$ then the difference operator D_i^r (i=1, ..., k) is given by

$$(D_i^r f)(x_1, ..., x_i, ..., x_k) =$$

$$= f(x_1, ..., x_i, ..., x_k) + f(x_1, ..., 2r, ..., x_k) - 2f(x_1, ..., r, ..., x_k) \quad (x_1, ..., x_k) \in G.$$

If f is a function of a single variable then we use D^r instead of D_1^r .

It is easy to check that D_i^r is a linear operator having the following properties.

$$D_i^r D_j^s = D_j^s D_i^r$$
$$(D_i^r)^2 = D_i^r$$

and

$$D_i^r f = f$$

if f is additive in the ith variable.

2. Basic lemmas

Our main tool in solving sum form equations in the next lemma which has been proved in a less general form by LOSONCZI—MAKSA [18].

Lemma 1. Let $k \ge 3$ be a fixed integer, c a constant. The functions $\Phi_i: I \to R$ (i=1,...,k,R) is the set of reals) satisfy the functional equation

(6)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \Phi_i(p_i) = c \quad (p \in \Delta_k)$$

if and only if there exists an additive function $a: R \rightarrow R$ and constants b_i (i=1, ..., k) such that

(7)
$$\Phi_i(x) = a(x) + b_i \quad (x \in I),$$

(8)
$$a(1) + \sum_{i=1}^{k} b_i = c$$

hold.

PROOF. Case 1: I=(0, 1), $\Delta_k = \Gamma_k^0$. Let first k > 3. Take an ε from (0, 1) and choose two different indices n, r from the set $\{2, ..., k\}$. Let $0 < x, y, x + y < \varepsilon$. Substituting into (6)

(9)
$$p_1 = x, \ p_n = y, \ p_r = \varepsilon - (x+y), \ p_s = \frac{1-\varepsilon}{k-3} \ (s \neq 1, n, r)$$

we get

(10)
$$\Phi_1(x) + \Phi_n(y) + \Phi_r(\varepsilon - (x+y)) = c_{\varepsilon}$$

where c_{ε} is a constant. Since the right hand side of (10) is symmetric in x, y we obtain

$$\Phi_n(x) - \Phi_1(x) = \Phi_n(y) - \Phi_1(y) \quad (0 < x, y, x + y < \varepsilon).$$

Substituting $y_1, y_2 \in (0, \varepsilon)$ here and observing that the left hand side is the same for $x \in (0, \varepsilon - \max\{y_1, y_2\})$ we conclude that

$$\Phi_n(y_1) - \Phi_1(y_1) = \Phi_n(y_2) - \Phi_1(y_2).$$

Since $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ is arbitrary

(11)
$$\Phi_n(y) - \Phi_1(y) = d_n \quad (y \in (0, 1); \ n = 2, ..., k)$$

where d_n 's are constants. Using (11) we obtain from (10)

(12)
$$\Phi_1(x) + \Phi_1(y) + \Phi_1(\varepsilon - (x+y)) = e_{\varepsilon} \quad (0 < x, y, x+y < \varepsilon)$$

where e_{ε} is a constant. Substituting $x = \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ then $y = \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ and deducting from (12) the equations so obtained we get

$$\Phi_1(\varepsilon - (x+y)) = \Phi_1\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2} - x\right) + \Phi_1\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2} - y\right) + e_{\varepsilon} \quad \left(0 < x, y < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right).$$

This means that the function

$$\Psi(x) = \Phi_1(x) - e_{\varepsilon}$$

is additive on the square $S_{\epsilon/2} = \{(x, y) | 0 < x, y < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \}$, that is

$$\Psi(u+v) = \Psi(u) + \Psi(v)$$
 if $(u, v) \in S_{\varepsilon/2}$.

Applying the quasi-extension theorem of Daróczy—Losonczi ([9], Theorem 4) we conclude that there exists a function a_{ε} : $R \to R$ additive on $R \times R$ such that

$$\Psi(u) - 2\Psi(\alpha) = a_{\varepsilon}(u) - 2a_{\varepsilon}(\alpha) \quad u \in (0, \varepsilon)$$

$$\Psi(u) - \Psi(\alpha) = a_{\varepsilon}(u) - a_{\varepsilon}(\alpha) \quad u \in \left(0, \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)$$

where (α, α) is a fixed point of $S_{\epsilon/2}$. Therefore $\Psi(\alpha) = a_{\epsilon}(\alpha)$ and

(13)
$$\Phi_1(u) = \Psi(u) + e_{\varepsilon} = a_{\varepsilon}(u) + e_{\varepsilon} \quad \text{if} \quad u \in (0, \varepsilon).$$

Hence, for $0 < \varepsilon_1$, $\varepsilon_2 < 1$ we have $a_{\varepsilon_1}(u) + e_{\varepsilon_1} = a_{\varepsilon_2}(u) + e_{\varepsilon_2}$ if $u \in (0, \min \{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2\})$ which implies $a_{\varepsilon_1} = a_{\varepsilon_2} = a$, $e_{\varepsilon_1} = e_{\varepsilon_2} = e$ and

$$\Phi_1(u) = a(u) + e$$

for $u \in (0, \varepsilon)$ thus for $u \in (0, 1)$ as well. By (11), (14)

$$\Phi_n(x) = a(x) + b_n \quad (x \in (0, 1), n = 1, ..., k)$$

which proves (7).

If k=3 then instead of (9) we use the substitution

$$p_1 = x$$
, $p_n = y$, $p_r = 1 - (x + y)$

where 0 < x, y, x+y < 1. Repeating the calculations above with $\varepsilon = 1$ (and with some omissions) we get (7).

It is easy to see that (7) is a solution of (6) if and only if (8) holds.

Case 2: I=[0,1], $\Delta_k=\Gamma_k$. By Case 1 we have (7) for $x\in(0,1)$ and (8). Thus we only have to show that $\Phi_i(0)=b_i$, $\Phi_i(1)=a(1)+b_i$ (i=1,...,k) i.e. (8) holds for x=0 and x=1. Substituting e.g. $p_1=0$, $(p_2,...,p_k)\in\Gamma_{k-1}^0$ into (6) and using (7) for $x\in(0,1)$ and (8) we get

$$c = \Phi_1(0) + \sum_{i=2}^{k} [a(p_i) + b_i] = \Phi_1(0) + a(1) + \sum_{i=2}^{k} b_i$$

that is $\Phi_1(0)=b_1$. Similarly $\Phi_i(0)=b_i$ for $i=2,\ldots,k$. With $p_1=1,\ p_2=\ldots=p_k=0$ we obtain from (6)

$$\Phi_1(1) + \sum_{i=2}^k b_i = c$$

hence, by (8), $\Phi_1(1) = a(1) + b_1$ and in the same way $\Phi_i(1) = a(1) + b_i$ (i = 2, ..., k).

Corollary 1. Equation (6) holds if and only if

(15)
$$\Phi_i(x) + \Phi_i(2r) - 2\Phi_i(r) = a(x) \quad (x \in I, \ i = 1, ..., k)$$

or if $(D^r\Phi_i)(x)=a(x)$ and

(16)
$$a(1) = c + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \left[\Phi_i(2r) - 2\Phi_i(r) \right]$$

holds where r is a fixed value in I such that $2r \in I$.

PROOF. From (7) with x=2r and x=r using a(2r)=2a(r) we easily obtain $b_i=2\Phi_i(r)-\Phi_i(2r)$ hence (7), (8) give (15), (16).

Corollary 2. Suppose that one of the functions $\Phi_1, ..., \Phi_k$ is measurable. Then (6) holds if and only if

(17)
$$\Phi_{i}(x) - \Phi_{i}(r) - \frac{c - \sum_{m=1}^{k} \Phi_{m}(r)}{1 - kr} (x - r) = 0 \quad (x \in I, \ i = 1, ..., k)$$

where $r \in I$ is a fixed number with $kr - 1 \neq 0$.

PROOF. If e.g. Φ_1 is measurable then by (7) so is the additive function a hence (see Aczél [1]) a(x) = a(1)x. With x = r from (7) $\Phi_i(x) - \Phi_i(r) = a(1)(x-1)$, calculating a(1) here we get exactly (17).

Lemma 2. Let $k, l \ge 3$ be fixed integers and suppose that the functions $F_{ij}: I^2 \to R$ (i=1,...,k; j=1,...,l) satisfy the equation

(3)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{l} F_{ij}(p_i, q_j) = 0 \quad (p \in \Delta_k, q \in \Delta_l).$$

Then there exist functions $a_j: R \times I \rightarrow R$ (j=1,...,l) and $b_i: I \times R \rightarrow R$ (i=1,...,k) such that $a_j(\cdot,y)$, $b_i(x,\cdot)$ are additive for every fixed value of $y, x \in I$ respectively and for every fixed values $r, s \in I$ with $2r, 2s \in I$ we have

(18)
$$(D_2^s D_1^r F_{ij})(x, y) = (D_2^s D_1^r F_{11})(x, y) + a_i(x, y) + b_i(x, y)$$

$$(x, y \in I, i = 1, ..., k; j = 1, ..., l)$$

moreover $a_1 = b_1 \equiv 0$.

Proof. Equation (3) can be rewritten as

(19)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \Phi_i(p_i, q_1, ..., q_l) = 0 \quad (p \in \Delta_k, q \in \Delta_l)$$

where

$$\Phi_i(p, q_1, ..., q_l) = \sum_{j=1}^l F_{ij}(p, q_j).$$

From corollary 1 formula (15) we get

$$D_1^r \Phi_i - D_1^r \Phi_1 = 0 \quad (i = 1, ..., k)$$

that is

$$\sum_{j=1}^{l} [(D_1^r F_{ij})(p, q_j) - (D_1^r F_{1j})(p, q_j)] = 0.$$

Applying corollary 1 again there are functions $b_2: I \times R \rightarrow R$ additive in the second variable such that

$$D_2^s(D_1^r F_{ii} - D_1^r F_{1i})(x, y) = b_i(x, y)$$

or

(20)
$$(D_2^s D_1^r F_{ij})(x, y) - (D_2^s D_1^r F_{1j})(x, y) = b_i(x, y)$$

with $b_1 \equiv 0, i = 1, ..., k$.

Interchanging the roles of the indices i, j in the above reasoning we get.

(21)
$$(D_2^s D_1^r F_{ij})(x, y) - (D_2^s D_1^r F_{ij})(x, y) = a_i(x, y) \quad (j = 1, ..., l)$$

where a_j 's are as described in lemma 2 and $a_1 \equiv 0$. From (20) and (21) our representation (18) follows immediately.

Lemma 3. If (3) holds then we have

(22)
$$(D_2^s D_1^r F_{ij})(x, y) = \overline{a}_j(x, y) + \overline{b}_i(x, y) + \overline{c}_{ij}(x, y)$$
$$(x, y \in I, i = 1, ..., k; j = 1, ..., l)$$

where $\bar{a}_j: R \times I \rightarrow R$, $\bar{b}_i: I \times R \rightarrow R$ are functions additive in the first, second variable respectively, $\bar{c}_{ij}: R \times R \rightarrow R$ are biadditive functions (i.e. additive in both variables), r, s are fixed elements of I with $2r, 2s \in I$.

PROOF. Writing (3) in the form (19) and applying corollary 1 we get

(23)
$$\sum_{j=1}^{l} (D_1^r F_{ij})(x, q_j) = a(x, q_1, ..., q_l)$$

where $a: R \times \Delta_l \to R$ is additive in the first variable. Let now $H = \{h_{\gamma} | \gamma \in S\}$ be a Hamel-basis of the real numbers over the field of rationals such that $H \subset I$. If $x = \sum_{\alpha} r_{\alpha}(x)h_{\alpha}(x) = \sum_{\alpha} r_{\alpha}h_{\alpha}$ (r_{α} is rational, $h_{\alpha} \in H$ the summation extends to a finite number of indices depending on x) we have

$$a(x, q_1, ..., q_l) = \sum_{\alpha} r_{\alpha} a(h_{\alpha}, q_1, ..., q_l)$$

thus by (23)

$$\sum_{j=1}^{l} \left[(D_1^r F_{ij})(x, q_j) - \sum_{\alpha} r_{\alpha}(D_1^r F_{ij})(h_{\alpha}, q_j) \right] = 0 \quad (i = 1, ..., k).$$

We apply corollary 1 again (keeping x, i fixed) to get

(24)
$$(D_2^s D_1^r F_{ij})(x, y) - \sum_{\alpha} r_{\alpha} (D_2^s D_1^r F_{ij})(h_{\alpha}, y) = \overline{b}_j(x, y) \quad (x, y \in I)$$

where $\bar{b}_j: I \times R \to R$ is additive in the second variable. Define $a_{ij}: R \times I \to R$ by the equation

(25)
$$a_{ij}(x, y) = \sum_{\alpha} r_{\alpha}(D_2^s D_1^r F_{ij})(h_{\alpha}, y)$$

if $x = \sum_{\alpha} r_{\alpha} h_{\alpha} \in R$, $y \in I$ then a_{ij} is additive in the first variable and by (24), (25) (26) $(D_2^s D_1^r F_{ij})(x, y) = a_{ij}(x, y) + \overline{b}_j(x, y) \quad (x, y \in I).$ Interchanging the roles of the variables p_i , q_j in the above argument we get

(27)
$$(D_1^r D_2^s F_{ij})(x, y) = \bar{a}_i(x, y) + b_{ij}(x, y) \quad (x, y \in I)$$

where \bar{a}_i , b_{ij} are additive in the first, second variable respectively. A comparison of (26), (27) shows that

(28)
$$a_{ij}(x, y) - \bar{a}_i(x, y) = b_{ij}(x, y) - \bar{b}_i(x, y) \quad (x, y \in I).$$

Defining $c_{ij}(x, y)$ by the right hand side of this equation we see that $c_{ij}: I \times R \to R$ is additive in the second variable and by (28) it is also additive in the first variable on the triangle $\{(u, v)|u, v, u+v \in I\}$. Applying theorem 4 of [9] we conclude that there exists a biadditive extension $\bar{c}_{ij}: R \times R \to R$ of c_{ij} . Since $b_{ij}(x, y) = \bar{b}_j(x, y) + \bar{c}_{ii}(x, y)$ we obtain (22) from (27).

3. The solution of equation (3)

First we determine the measurable solutions of (3).

Theorem 1. Suppose that $k, l \ge 3$ are fixed integers, $F_{ij}: I^2 \to R$ are functions such that $F_{1j}(\cdot, y)$ (j=1,...,l), $F_{i1}(x,\cdot)$ (i=1,...,k) are measurable for every fixed value of $y, x \in I$ respectively. Then (3) holds if and only if

(29)
$$F_{ij}(x, y) = F_{ij}(x, s) + F_{ij}(r, y) - F_{ij}(r, s) + \frac{x - r}{kr - 1} \sum_{u=1}^{k} [F_{uj}(r, y) - F_{uj}(r, s)] + \frac{y - s}{ls - 1} \sum_{v=1}^{l} [F_{iv}(x, s) - F_{iv}(r, s)] + \frac{(x - r)(y - s)}{(kr - 1)(ls - 1)} \sum_{u=1}^{k} \sum_{v=1}^{l} F_{uv}(r, s) \quad (x, y \in I, i = 1, ..., k; j = 1, ..., l)$$

holds where r, s are fixed numbers in I with $(kr-1)(ls-1)\neq 0$.

In other words, if $F_{ii}(x, \cdot)$, $F_{ij}(\cdot, y)$ are measurable then the general solution of (3) is given by (29) where $x \to F_{ij}(x, s)$, $y \to F_{ij}(r, y)$ are arbitrary functions (measurable if i=1, j=1 respectively) having the same value $F_{ij}(r, s)$ at x=r, y=s respectively.

PROOF. Writing (3) in the form (19) and applying corollary 2 we obtain

$$\sum_{j=1}^{l} \left[F_{ij}(x, q_j) - F_{ij}(r, q_j) - \frac{x-r}{kr-1} \sum_{u=1}^{k} F_{uj}(r, q_j) \right] = 0.$$

Using corollary 2 again we get

$$F_{ij}(x, y) - F_{ij}(r, y) - \frac{x - r}{kr - 1} \sum_{u = 1}^{k} F_{uj}(r, y) - F_{ij}(x, s) + F_{ij}(r, s) + \frac{x - r}{kr - 1} \sum_{u = 1}^{k} F_{uj}(r, s) - \frac{y - s}{ls - 1} \sum_{v = 1}^{l} \left[F_{iv}(x, s) - F_{ir}(r, s) - \frac{x - r}{kr - 1} \sum_{u = 1}^{k} F_{uv}(r, s) \right] = 0$$

which is exactly (29).

The next theorem gives the general solution of (3).

Theorem 2. Let $k, l \ge 3$ be fixed integers, $F_{ij}: I^2 \rightarrow R$ (i=1, ..., k; j=1, ..., l) functions satisfying (3). Then

(30)
$$(D_2^s D_1^r F_{ij})(x, y) = A_j(x, y) + B_i(x, y) + C(x, y)$$

or, in detailed form,

(31)
$$F_{ij}(x, y) = 2F_{ij}(x, s) - F_{ij}(x, 2s) + 2F_{ij}(r, y) - F_{ij}(2r, y) + 2F_{ij}(r, 2s) + 2F_{ij}(2r, s) - F_{ij}(2r, 2s) - 4F_{ij}(r, s) + A_{j}(x, y) + B_{i}(x, y) + C(x, y)$$

$$(x, y \in I, i = 1, ..., k; j = 1, ..., l)$$

where r, s are constants with r, s, 2r, $2s \in I$ A_j : $R \times I \rightarrow R$ (j=1, ..., l) and B_i : $I \times R \rightarrow R$ (i=1, ..., k) are additive functions in the first and second variable respectively, $C: R \times R \rightarrow R$ is a biadditive function such that there are additive functions a, $b: R \rightarrow R$ with the properties

(32)
$$a(y) = (D_2^s A_j)(1, y) + \sum_{i=1}^k [2(D_2^s F_{ij})(r, y) - (D_2^s F_{ij})(2r, y)], \quad (y \in I, j = 1, ..., l)$$

(33)
$$b(x) = (D_1^r B_i)(x, 1) + \sum_{j=1}^{l} [2(D_1^r F_{ij})(x, s) - (D_1^r F_{ij})(x, 2s)], (x \in I, i = 1, ..., k)$$

(34)
$$a(1)+b(1)+C(1,1) = \sum_{j=1}^{l} [A_j(1,2s)-2A_j(1,s)] + \sum_{i=1}^{k} [B_i(2r,1)-2B_i(r,1)] + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{l} [2F_{ij}(r,2s)+2F_{ij}(2r,s)-F_{ij}(2r,2s)-4F_{ij}(r,s)].$$

Conversely, functions of the form (31) satisfy (3) if (32), (33), (34) hold.

PROOF. Suppose that (3) holds. By Lemma 2 and Lemma 3 (formulae (18), (22)) we get (30) where $A_j = a_j + \bar{a}_1$, $B_i = b_i + \bar{b}_1$, $C = \bar{c}_{11}$ are functions with the additivity properties stated in theorem 2. (31) is just a reformulation of (30).

Now we show that (31) is a solution of (3) if and only if (32), (33), (34) hold. Substituting (31) into (3) and using the additivity properties of A_j , B_i , C we get

(35)
$$\sum_{j=1}^{l} \left[A_{j}(1, q_{j}) + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \left(2F_{ij}(r, q_{j}) - F_{ij}(2r, q_{j}) \right) \right] =$$

$$= -d - C(1, 1) - \sum_{i=1}^{k} \left[B_{i}(p_{i}, 1) + \sum_{j=1}^{l} \left(2F_{ij}(p_{i}, s) - F_{ij}(p_{i}, 2s) \right) \right]$$
where $d = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{l} D_{ij}$ and

(36)
$$D_{ij} = 2F_{ij}(r, 2s) + 2F_{ij}(2r, s) - F_{ij}(2r, 2s) - 4F_{ij}(r, s)$$

is the "constant part" of F_{ij} . By corollary 1, applied for the function

$$\Phi_j(y) = A_j(1, y) + \sum_{i=1}^k [2F_{ij}(r, y) - F_{ij}(2r, y)],$$

(35) holds if and only if there exists an additive function $a: R \rightarrow R$ such that (32) and

(37)
$$a(1) = -d - C(1, 1) - \sum_{i=1}^{k} \left[B_i(p_i, 1) + \sum_{j=1}^{l} \left(2F_{ij}(p_i, s) - F_{ij}(p_i, 2s) \right) \right] + \sum_{j=1}^{l} \left[A_j(1, 2s) - 2A_j(1, s) + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \left(2F_{ij}(r, 2s) - F_{ij}(2r, 2s) - 4F_{ij}(r, s) + 2F_{ij}(2r, s) \right) \right]$$

hold. (37) is again an equation of type (6). Applying corollary 1 for (37) we see that it holds if and only if there is an additive function $b: R \rightarrow R$ such that (33) and

(38)
$$b(1) = -d - C(1, 1) - a(1) +$$

$$+ \sum_{j=1}^{l} \left[A_{j}(1, 2s) - 2A_{j}(1, s) + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \left(2F_{ij}(r, 2s) - F_{ij}(2r, 2s) - 4F_{ij}(r, s) + 2F_{ij}(2r, s) \right) \right] +$$

$$+ \sum_{j=1}^{k} \left[B_{i}(2r, 1) - 2B_{i}(r, 1) + \sum_{j=1}^{l} \left(2F_{ij}(2r, s) - F_{ij}(2r, 2s) - 4F_{ij}(r, s) + 2F_{ij}(r, 2s) \right) \right]$$

are valid. Using the definition of D_{ij} , d (38) reduces to (34). Thus we have proved that the functions (31) satisfy (3) if and only if (32), (33), (34) hold.

Remark. If I=[0, 1] we may choose r=s=0 in (31) and obtain

$$(31)^* \quad F_{ii}(x, y) = F_{ii}(x, 0) + F_{ii}(0, y) - F_{ii}(0, 0) + A_i(x, y) + B_i(x, y) + C(x, y)$$

as the general solution of (3). ((32), (33), (34) have much simpler forms too).

The following theorem shows how equation (3) can be reduced to the equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{i=1}^l F(p_i, q_i) = 0 \quad (p \in \Delta_k, q \in \Delta_l).$$

Theorem 3. Suppose that $k, l \ge 3$ are fixed integers, $F_{ij}: I^2 \to R$ are functions satisfying (3) and r, s are constants with $r, s, 2r, 2s \in I$. Let

(39)
$$\overline{F}_{ij}(x,y) = (D_2^s D_1^r F_{ij})(x,y) +$$

$$+ x \left[2F_{ij}(r,2s) - 2F_{ij}(r,s) + \sum_{u=1}^{k} \left(2(D_2^s F_{uj})(r,y) - (D_2^s F_{uj})(2r,y) \right) \right] +$$

$$+ y \left[2F_{ij}(2s,r) - 2F_{ij}(r,s) + \sum_{v=1}^{l} \left(2(D_1^r F_{iv})(x,s) - (D_1^r F_{iv})(x,2s) \right) \right] -$$

$$- xy \left[\sum_{u=1}^{k} \left(2F_{uj}(2r,s) - 2F_{uj}(r,s) \right) + \sum_{v=1}^{l} \left(2F_{iv}(r,2s) - 2F_{iv}(r,s) \right) +$$

$$+ \sum_{u=1}^{k} \sum_{v=1}^{l} \left(2F_{uv}(r,2s) + 2F_{uv}(2r,s) - F_{uv}(2r,2s) - 4F_{uv}(r,s) \right) \right]$$

if $x, y \in I$, i=1,...,k; j=1,...,l. Then for all possible fixed indices i,j we have

(40)
$$\sum_{m=1}^{k} \sum_{n=1}^{l} \overline{F}_{ij}(p_m, q_n) = 0 \quad (p \in \Delta_k, q \in \Delta_l).$$

PROOF. By theorem 2

(30)
$$(D_2^s D_1^r F_{ii})(x, y) = A_i(x, y) + B_i(x, y) + C(x, y)$$

and (32), (33), (34) hold. Using the additivity properties of A_j , B_i , C we easily obtain from (30) that

(41)
$$A_{j}(1,2s) - 2A_{j}(1,s) = (D_{2}^{s}D_{1}^{r}F_{ij})(1,2s) - 2(D_{2}^{s}D_{1}^{r}F_{ij})(r,s) = 2F_{ij}(r,2s) - 2F_{ij}(r,s)$$

and

(42)
$$B_i(2r, 1) - 2B_i(r, 1) = 2F_{ij}(2s, r) - 2F_{ij}(r, s).$$

By (32) and (41) the coefficient of x in \overline{F}_{ij} is exactly $a(y) - A_j(1, y)$ while that of y is $b(x) - B_i(x, 1)$. Thus \overline{F}_{ij} can be rewritten as

$$\vec{F}_{ij}(x, y) = A_j(x, y) + B_i(x, y) + C(x, y) + x[a(y) - A_j(1, y)] + y[b(x) - B_i(x, 1)] - xy \left[\sum_{u=1}^{k} \left(B_u(2r, 1) - 2B_u(r, 1) \right) + \sum_{v=1}^{l} \left(A_v(1, 2s) - 2A_v(1, s) \right) + \sum_{u=1}^{k} \sum_{v=1}^{l} D_{uv} \right]$$

where D_{uv} is the expression defined by (36). If we use the additivity properties of A_i , B_i , C, a, b we get

$$\sum_{m=1}^{k} \sum_{n=1}^{l} \overline{F}_{ij}(p_m, q_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{l} A_j(1, q_n) + \sum_{m=1}^{k} B_i(p_m, 1) + C(1, 1) + A(1) - \sum_{n=1}^{l} A_j(1, q_n) + b(1) - \sum_{m=1}^{k} B_i(p_m, 1) - A(1) - \sum_{n=1}^{k} (B_n(2r, 1) - 2B_n(r, 1)) - \sum_{n=1}^{l} (A_n(1, 2s) - 2A_n(1, s)) - \sum_{n=1}^{k} \sum_{n=1}^{l} D_{nn} = 0$$

which completes the proof.

4. The investigation of equation (4)

Equation (4) can be obtained from (3) by choosing

(43)
$$F_{ij}(x, y) = f_{ij}(xy) - \sum_{t=1}^{N} g_{it}(x) h_{jt}(y) \quad (x, y \in I).$$

Theorem 4. Let $k, l \ge 3$ be fixed integers and assume that the functions f_{1j} , f_{i1} , g_{it} , h_{jt} $(i=1,...,k;\ j=1,...,l;\ t=1,...,N)$ are measurable. Then

(4)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{l} \left[f_{ij}(p_i q_j) - \sum_{t=1}^{N} g_{it}(p_i) h_{jt}(q_j) \right] = 0 \quad (p \in \Delta_k, q \in \Delta_l)$$

holds if and only if

(44)
$$\tilde{F}_{ij}(x, y) = \sum_{t=1}^{N} \bar{g}_{it}(x) \bar{h}_{jt}(y) = 0 \quad (x, y \in I; i = 1, ..., k; j = 1, ..., l)$$

where

(45)
$$\widetilde{F}_{ij}(x,y) = f_{ij}(xy) - f_{ij}(xs) - f_{ij}(ry) + f_{ij}(rs) + \frac{x-r}{1-kr} \sum_{u=1}^{k} \left(f_{uj}(ry) - f_{uj}(rs) \right) + \frac{y-s}{1-ls} \sum_{v=1}^{l} \left(f_{iv}(xs) - f_{iv}(rs) \right) + \frac{(x-r)(y-s)}{(1-kr)(1-lr)} \sum_{u=1}^{k} \sum_{v=1}^{l} f_{uv}(rs),$$

(46)
$$\bar{g}_{it}(x) = g_{it}(x) - g_{it}(r) + \frac{x-r}{1-kr} \sum_{u=1}^{k} g_{ut}(r),$$

(47)
$$\bar{h}_{jt}(x) = h_{jt}(x) - h_{jt}(s) + \frac{x-s}{1-ls} \sum_{v=1}^{l} h_{vt}(s)$$

$$(i = 1, ..., k; j = 1, ..., l; t = 1, ..., N; x, y \in I)$$

r, s are being arbitrary elements of I with $kr \neq 1$, $ls \neq 1$.

PROOF. Applying theorem 1 for the function (43) we immediately obtain (44). Remarks 1. If I=[0,1], $\Delta_n = \Gamma_n$ we may choose r=s=0. Then (44) reduces to

(48)
$$\bar{f}_{ij}(xy) - \sum_{t=1}^{N} \bar{g}_{it}(x)\bar{h}_{jt}(y) = 0 \quad (x, y \in I; i = 1, ..., k; j = 1, ..., l)$$
 where

(49)
$$\vec{f}_{ij}(x) = f_{ij}(x) - f_{ij}(0) + x \sum_{u=1}^{k} \sum_{v=1}^{l} f_{uv}(0)$$

 \bar{g}_{it} , \bar{h}_{jt} are obtained from (46), (47) with r=0, s=0. 2. Since (44) holds for every fixed pair (i,j) of indices it is also an equation of type

$$f(xy) - \sum_{t=1}^{N} g_t(x) h_t(y) = 0$$
 $(x, y \in I).$

For the sake of simplicity we specialize theorem 3 only in the case I=[0,1].

Theorem 5. If $k, l \ge 3$ are fixed integers and (4) holds for all $p \in \Gamma_k$, $q \in \Gamma_l$ then for all possible fixed pair of indices (i, j) we have

(50)
$$\sum_{m=1}^{k} \sum_{n=1}^{l} \left[\bar{f}_{ij}(p_m q_n) - \sum_{t=1}^{N} \bar{g}_{it}(p_m) \bar{h}_{jt}(q_n) \right] = 0 \quad (p \in \Gamma_k, q \in \Gamma_l)$$

where \bar{f}_{ij} is given by (49), and \bar{g}_{it} , \bar{h}_{jt} are obtained from (40), (47) by substituting

PROOF. We may choose r=s=0 hence (39) goes over into

$$\bar{F}_{ii}(x, y) = F_{ii}(x, y) - F_{ii}(x, 0) - F_{ii}(0, y) + F_{ii}(0, 0) +$$

$$+ x \sum_{u=1}^{k} [F_{uj}(0, y) - F_{uj}(0, 0)] + y \sum_{v=1}^{l} [F_{iv}(x, 0) - F_{iv}(0, 0)] + xy \sum_{u=1}^{k} \sum_{v=1}^{l} F_{uv}(0, 0).$$

Now F_{ij} has the form (43) thus

$$\bar{F}_{ij}(x, y) = \bar{f}_{ij}(xy) - \sum_{t=1}^{N} \bar{g}_{it}(x)\bar{h}_{jt}(y).$$

The proof is completed by applying theorem 3.

5. Applications

First we find the measurable solutions of (2). Introducing the functions

(51)
$$F_{ij}(x) = x + \lambda f_{ij}(x), \quad G_i(x) = x + \lambda g_i(x), \quad H_j(x) = x + \lambda h_j(x)$$

 $x \in [0, 1]$; i=1, ..., k; j=1, ..., l equation (2) can be written as

(52)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{l} [F_{ij}(p_i q_j) - G_i(p_i) H_j(q_j)] = 0 \quad (p \in \Gamma_k, q \in \Gamma_l)$$

provided that $\lambda \neq 0$. If f_{i1}, f_{1j}, g_i, h_j are measurable then by theorem 4 (52) holds if and only if

(53)
$$\overline{F}_{ij}(xy) = \overline{G}_i(x)\overline{H}_j(y) \quad (x, y \in [0, 1]; i = 1, ..., k; j = 1, ..., l)$$

where by (46), (47) (with r=s=0), (49), (51)

$$\overline{F}_{ij}(x) = x + \lambda f_{ij}(x) - \lambda f_{ij}(0) + \lambda x \sum_{u=1}^{k} \sum_{v=1}^{l} f_{uv}(0),$$

(54)
$$\overline{G}_i(x) = x + \lambda g_i(x) - \lambda g_i(0) + \lambda x \sum_{u=1}^k g_u(0),$$

$$\overline{H}_j(x) = x + \lambda h_j(x) - \lambda h_j(0) + \lambda x \sum_{v=1}^{l} h_v(0).$$

Lemma 4. If $f, g, h: [0, 1] \rightarrow R$ satisfy the functional equation

(55)
$$f(xy) = g(x)h(y) \quad (x, y \in [0, 1])$$

and g, h are measurable, g(0)=h(0)=0 then

(56)
$$f(x) = 0 \quad x \in [0, 1], \quad g(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x \in E \\ \vartheta(x) & x \in F, \end{cases} \quad h(x) = \begin{cases} \eta(x) & x \in E \\ 0 & x \in F \end{cases}$$

or

(57)
$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x \in [0, 1) \\ ab & x = 1, \end{cases} \quad g(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x \in [0, 1) \\ a & x = 1, \end{cases} \quad h(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x \in [0, 1) \\ b & x = 1 \end{cases}$$

or

(58)
$$f(x) = \begin{cases} abx^{\delta} & x \in (0, 1] \\ 0 & x = 0, \end{cases} \quad g(x) = \begin{cases} ax^{\delta} & x \in (0, 1] \\ 0 & x = 0, \end{cases} \quad h(x) = \begin{cases} bx^{\delta} & x \in (0, 1] \\ 0 & x = 0 \end{cases}$$

where E, F are disjoint measurable sets whose union is [0, 1], $0 \in E$, ϑ , η are arbitrary measurable functions on E, F respectively such that $\vartheta(x) \neq 0$ $x \in E$, $\eta(0) = 0$, δ , $a \neq 0$, $b \neq 0$ are arbitrary constants.

PROOF. If g(1)=0 or h(1)=0 then (55) shows that f(x)=0 on [0, 1]. Let $E=\{x\in[0,1] | g(x)=0\}$ then $0\in E$, E is measurable. h is arbitrary on E and zero on F=[0,1]-E since on F $g(x)\neq 0$. Then h(0)=0 and g is arbitrary on F. This gives solution (56).

If $g(1)h(1)\neq 0$ then the substitutions x=1 and y=1 give h(y)=f(y)/g(1) and g(x)=f(x)/h(1) thus $\overline{f}(x)=f(x)/g(1)h(1)$ satisfies

(59)
$$\bar{f}(xy) = \bar{f}(x)\bar{f}(y) \quad (x, y \in [0, 1]).$$

We have $\bar{f}(1)=f(1)/g(1)h(1)=1$. We claim that either $\bar{f}(x)=0$ if $x \in (0,1)$ or $\bar{f}(x) \neq 0$ if $x \in (0,1)$. Namely if there exists an $x_0 \in (0,1)$ with $\bar{f}(x_0)=0$ then by (59) $\bar{f}(x)=0$ for $x \in (0,x_0]$. For any $y \in (0,1)$ we can find an $x \in (0,x_0]$ and a positive integer n such that $y^n=x$. Hence $0=\bar{f}(x)=\bar{f}(y^n)=[\bar{f}(y)]^n$ and $\bar{f}(y)=0$.

If $\bar{f}(x)=0$ $x\in[0,1)$ then we obtain solution (57) with a=g(1), b=h(1). If $\bar{f}(x)\neq 0$ $x\in(0,1]$ then $\bar{f}(x)=\bar{f}(\sqrt{x})^2>0$ for $x\in(0,1]$. By (59) the function $F(t)=\ln \bar{f}(e^{-t})$ $t\in[0,\infty)$ is measurable and additive in the first quadrant:

$$F(t+s) = F(t) + F(s) \quad t, s \ge 0.$$

Thus (see Aczél [1], Aczél—Erdős [4]) F is linear and $\bar{f}(x) = x^{\delta}$ if $x \in (0, 1]$, $\bar{f}(1) = 1$. This gives solution (58) with a = g(1), b = h(1).

Theorem 6. Let $k, l \ge 3$ be fixed integers f_{i1}, f_{1j}, g_i, h_j (i=1, ..., k; j=1, ..., l) be measurable functions and suppose that (2) holds for all $p \in \Gamma_k$, $q \in \Gamma_l$ and $\lambda \ne 0$. Then

$$f_{ij}(x) = -\frac{x}{\lambda} + \alpha_{ij} - x \sum_{u=1}^{k} \sum_{v=1}^{l} \alpha_{uv} + \frac{1}{\lambda} \overline{F}_{ij}(x),$$

$$g_{i}(x) = -\frac{x}{\lambda} + \beta_{i} - x \sum_{u=1}^{k} \beta_{u} + \frac{1}{\lambda} \overline{G}_{i}(x),$$

$$h_{j}(x) = -\frac{x}{\lambda} + \gamma_{j} - x \sum_{u=1}^{l} \gamma_{v} + \frac{1}{\lambda} \overline{H}_{j}(x)$$

 $x \in [0, 1]; i=1, ..., k; j=1, ..., l$ where $\alpha_{ij}, \beta_i, \gamma_j$ are arbitrary constants and $\overline{F}_{ij}, \overline{G}_i, \overline{H}_j$ are given in the following way. All possible pairs (i, j) of indices can be divided in three disjoint sets A, B, C such that if $(i, j) \in A$ then

$$\overline{F}_{ij}(x) = 0 \quad x \in [0, 1], \quad \overline{G}_i(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x \in E_i \\ \vartheta_i(x) & x \in F_i, \end{cases} \quad \overline{H}_j(x) = \begin{cases} \eta_j(x) & x \in E_i \\ 0 & x \in F_i \end{cases}$$

if $(i,j) \in B$ then

$$\overline{F}_{ij}(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x \in [0, 1) \\ a_i b_i & x = 1, \end{cases} \quad \overline{G}_i(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x \in [0, 1) \\ a_i & x = 1, \end{cases} \quad \overline{H}_j(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x \in [0, 1) \\ b_i & x = 1 \end{cases}$$

if $(i,j) \in C$ then

$$\overline{F}_{ij}(x) = \begin{cases} a_i b_j x^{\delta_{ij}} & x \in (0, 1] \\ 0 & x = 0, \end{cases} \quad \overline{G}_i(x) = \begin{cases} a_i x^{\delta_{ij}} & x \in (0, 1] \\ 0 & x = 0, \end{cases} \quad \overline{H}_j(x) = \begin{cases} b_j x^{\delta_{ij}} & x \in (0, 1] \\ 0 & x = 0. \end{cases}$$

Here E_i , F_i are disjoint measurable sets whose union is [0,1], $0 \in E_i$, θ_i , η_j are arbitrary measurable functions on E_i , F_i respectively such that $\vartheta_i(x) \neq 0$ on E_i , $n_j(0)=0$. Depending on A the some sets E_i may have to be equal, $a_i\neq 0$, $b_j\neq 0$, δ_{ij} are arbitrary constants. Depending on C some constants δ_{ij} may have to be equal.

The proof is immediate if we apply lemma 4 for equation (53). As second example we solve the equation

(60)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{l} f(p_i q_j) = 0 \quad (p \in \Gamma_k^0, \ q \in \Gamma_l^0)$$

assuming the measurability of f.

Theorem 7. If $f:(0,1) \rightarrow R$ is measurable, $k, l \ge 3$ and (60) holds then

(61)
$$f(x) = a\left(x - \frac{1}{kl}\right) \quad (x \in (0, 1))$$

where a is a constant.

PROOF. By theorem 4 (60) holds if and only if

(62)
$$f(xy) = f(xs) \frac{ly-1}{ls-1} + f(ry) \frac{kx-1}{kr-1} - f(rs) \frac{kx-1}{kr-1} \frac{ly-1}{ls-1}$$

for all $x, y \in [0, 1), r, s \in (0, 1), kr \neq 1, ls \neq 1$. With $x = \frac{1}{k}$ we obtain from (62)

$$f(y/k) = \frac{f(s/k)}{ls-1}(ly-1) = a_1y + b_1 \quad (y \in (0, 1))$$

or f(y) = ay + b if $y \in \left(0, \frac{1}{k}\right)$. If $r, s < \min\left\{\frac{1}{k}, \frac{1}{k}\right\}$ then $xs, ry \in \left(0, \frac{1}{k}\right)$ thus (62) gives $f(xy) = Axy + Bx + Cy + D (x, y \in (0, 1))$

where A, B, C, D are constants. Since f is linear on $\left(0, \frac{1}{k}\right)$ we get A = a, B = C = 0, D=b and f(x) = ax + b $x \in (0, 1)$.

This is a solution of (60) if and only if $b = -\frac{1}{kI}(a)$.

References

- [1] J. Aczél, Lectures on functional equations and their applications. Academic Press, New York-London, 1966.
- [2] J. ACZÉL and J. K. CHUNG, Integrable solutions of functional equations of a general type (to appear).
- [3] J. Aczél and Z. Daróczy, On measures of information and their characterizations. Academic Press, New York—San Francisco—London, 1975.
- [4] J. Aczél and P. Erdős, The non-existence of a Hamel-basis and the general solution of Cauchy's functional equation for nonnegative numbers Publ. Math. (Debrecen) 12 (1965), 259-263.
- [5] M. Behara and P. Nath, Additive and non-additive entropies of finite measurable partitions. Probability and Information Theory II. Lecture Notes in Math. Vol. 296. Berlin. Heidelberg—New York 1973, 102—138.
- [6] T. V. Chaundy and J. B. McLeod, On a functional equation. Edinburgh Math. Notes 43 (1960), 7-8.
- [7] Z. DARÓCZY, On the measurable solutions of a functional equation, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung. 22 (1971), 11-14.
- [8] Z. DARÓCZY and A. JÁRAI, On measurable solutions of functional equations. Acta Math. Acad Sci. Hung. 34 (1979), 105-116.
- [9] Z. DARÓCZY and L. LOSONCZY, Über die Erweiterung der auf einer Punktmenge additiven Funktionen. Publ. Math. (Debrecen) 14 (1967), 239-245.
- [10] A. JÁRAI, A remark to a paper of J. Aczél and J. K. Chung (to appear).
- [11] Pl. KANNAPPAN, An application of a differential equation in information theory. Glasnik Math. 14 (1979), 269-274.
- 12] Pl. Kannappan, On a generalization of sum form functional equation I. (to appear).
- [13] Pl. Kannappan, On a generalization of sum form functional equation III. Demonstratio Math.
- 3 (1980), 749—754.

 [14] Pl. Kannappan and C. T. Ng, On functional equations and measures of information II J. Appl. Prob. 17 (1980), 271—277.
- [15] L. LOSONCZI, A characterization of entropies of degree α. Metrika 28 (1981), 237—244.
- [16] L. Losonczi, An extension theorem (submitted).
- [17] L. LOSONCZI and GY. MAKSA, The general solution of a functional equation of information theory. Glasnik Math. 16 (1981), 261-266.
- [18] L. Losonczi and Gy. Maksa, On some functional equations of the information theory. Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung. 39 (1982), 73-82.
- [19] Gy. Maksa, On the bounded solutions of a functional equation. Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung. 37 (1981), 445-450.
- [20] M. A. McKiernan, Equations of the form $H(x \circ y) = \sum_i f_i(x)g_i(y)$. Aeq. Math. 16 (1977),
- [21] D. P. MITTAL, On continuous solutions of a functional equation. Metrika 22 (1976), 31-40.
- [22] P. K. Sahoo, On a functional equation connected to sum form nonadditive information measures on an open domain (to appear).
- [23] P. K. Sahoo, Characterization of information measures of the sum form by additivity (to appear)
- [24] L. SZÉKELYHIDI, Functional equations on Abelian groups. Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung. 37 (1981), 235-243.
- [25] E. VINCZE, Eine allgemeinere Methode in der Theorie der Functionalgleichungen I, II, III, IV. Publ. Math. Debrecen 9 (1962), 149—163; ibid. 9 (1962), 314—323; ibid. 10 (1963), 191—202; ibid. 10 (1963), 283—318.

(Received September 12, 1983.)