

## A note on additive bases of integers

By I. Z. RUZSA,\* (Budapest) and S. TURJÁNYI (Debrecen)

### 1. Introduction

As usual, if  $A$  and  $B$  are sets of integers, we write

$$A \pm B = \{a \pm b : a \in A, b \in B\}$$

and we apply  $kA$  to denote  $A + A + \dots + A$  ( $k$  times). We use  $A(x), B(x), \dots$  to denote the number of elements of  $A, B, \dots$  below  $x$ , and  $A_k(x), \dots$  for the number of elements of  $kA$  below  $x$ .

A set of natural numbers is a *basis* of order  $h$  if every sufficiently large integer is the sum of at most  $h$  elements of  $A$ . (From our point of view it makes no difference whether we require all the integers to be in  $hA$  or we permit a finite number of exceptions.) P. ERDŐS and R. L. GRAHAM (1980) conjectured that if  $A$  is a basis and  $A(x) = o(x)$ , then  $A_2(x)/A(x) \rightarrow \infty$ . One of the authors disproved this (TURJÁNYI (1981)) by constructing for every  $k \geq 4$  a basis of order  $k$  such that

$$\liminf A_2(x)/A(x) < \infty.$$

In section 2 we generalize this counterexample. In section 3 we present a modified form of the Erdős—Graham conjecture that has more chance to be true and in the remainder of the paper we prove a partial result.

### 2. An example

Here we prove our

**Theorem 1.** *For every  $h \geq 3$  there exists a basis  $A$  of order  $h$  such that  $A(x) = o(x)$  and  $\liminf A_{h-1}(x)/A(x) < \infty$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $B$  be a basis of order  $h$  satisfying  $B(x) = o(x^{1/h})$  (cf. e.g. OSTMANN (1969) or HALBERSTAM—ROTH (1966)). Let  $d_n$  be a sufficiently quickly increasing

---

\*) Paper finished when author was visiting the University of Ulm with a stipendium of the A. v. Humboldt-Stiftung.

sequence and

$$d'_n = d_n - d_n^r;$$

the number  $r \in (0, 1)$  and the necessary rate of increase of  $d_n$  will be specified later.

We put

$$A = B \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [d'_n, d_n]$$

where by  $[a, b]$  now we mean the set of integers between the limits  $a$  and  $b$ . Clearly

$$(1) \quad A(d_n) \cong d_n^r.$$

We estimate  $A_{h-1}(d_n)$  from above. Obviously

$$A_{h-1}(d_n) \cong d_n^r + A_{h-1}(d'_n) \cong d_n^r + (A(d'_n))^{h-1} \cong d_n^r + (d_{n-1} + B(d_n))^{h-1} \ll d_n^r$$

assuming

$$d_n > d_{n-1}^{(h-1)/r}$$

and  $r > 1 - 1/h$ . Choosing  $r$  and  $d_n$  according to these requirements we obtain the desired example.

### 3. A more probable conjecture

Observe that in the previous example the following happened:  $A(x)$  grew suddenly in a short interval, but the sum of two numbers near to  $x$  being near to  $2x$ ;  $A_2(x)$  began to grow only much later. This motivates the

*Conjecture 1.* If  $A$  is a basis and  $A(x) = o(x)$ , then  $A_2(2x)/A(x) \rightarrow \infty$ . We prove something more modest.

**Theorem 2.** *If  $A$  is a basis and  $A(x) = o(x)$ , then  $A_3(3x)/A(x) \rightarrow \infty$ .*

We shall deduce Theorem 2 from

**Theorem 3.** *If  $X$  is any finite set of integers,  $|X| = n$  and  $|3X| = sn$ , then for every  $k$  we have  $|kX| \cong s^k n$ .*

In a similar way we could deduce Conjecture 1 from

*Conjecture 2.* If  $X$  is any finite set of integers,  $|X| = n$  and  $|2X| = sn$ , then for every  $k$

$$|kX| \cong f(s, k)n,$$

with a number  $f(s, k)$  depending only on  $s$  and  $k$ .

Probably Conjecture 2 and hence Conjecture 1 can be deduced from Freiman's deep main theorem (1966) with

$$f(s, k) = \exp cks.$$

We do not pursue this further since we think that the real order of  $f(s, k)$  is something like  $s^{ck}$ .

#### 4. Proof of Theorem 3

This is based on the following inequality of Ruzsa (1976): for arbitrary sets  $X, Y, Z$  of integers we have

$$(2) \quad |X||Y-Z| \leq |X-Y||X-Z|.$$

Write

$$|kX-lX| = q(k, l)|X|, \quad q(3, 0) = s,$$

and substitute  $Y = -(X+X)$ ,  $Z = kX-lX$  into (2). We obtain

$$(3) \quad q(k, l+2) \leq sq(k+1, l).$$

Choosing  $k=2$ ,  $l=0$  we obtain

$$(4) \quad q(2, 2) \leq s^2.$$

Now we prove

$$(5) \quad q(k, l) \leq s^{k+l}$$

for all  $k, l$ . Suppose this is wrong; consider a counterexample to (5) with the minimal  $k+l$ . If  $l \geq 2$ , then we have by (3)

$$q(k, l) \leq sq(k+1, l-2) \leq s^{k+l}.$$

The same argument works if  $k \geq 2$  (since  $q(k, l) = q(l, k)$ ). Finally if  $k < 2$  and  $l < 2$ , then (5) follows from (4).

Theorem 3 is just the case  $l=0$  of (5).

#### 5. Proof of Theorem 2

Consider the set  $X = A \cap [1, x]$ . Clearly

$$|X| = A(x), \quad |3X| \leq A_3(3x),$$

and if  $A$  is a basis of order  $h$ , then

$$|hX| \geq x - c$$

with a constant  $c$  depending on  $X$  but independent of  $x$ . Theorem 3 yields

$$x - c \leq |hX| \leq \left( \frac{|3X|}{|X|} \right)^h |X| \leq A(x) \left( \frac{A_3(3x)}{A(x)} \right)^h,$$

hence

$$\frac{A_3(3x)}{A(x)} \geq \left( \frac{x-c}{A(x)} \right) \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{qu.e.d.}$$

### References

- P. ERDŐS, R. L. GRAHAM, On bases with an exact order, *Acta Arithmetica* **37** (1980), 201—207.  
G. A. FREIMAN (1966), The foundations of the structural theory of addition of sets, *Kazan* (*In Russian*; English translation in the AMS Translations of math. monographs ser.)  
H. HALBERSTAM, K. F. ROTH (1966), Sequences, vol. 1, *Oxford Univ. Press*.  
H. H. OSTMANN (1969), Additive Zahlentheorie, *Springer-Verlag, Berlin*.  
I. Z. RUZSA (1976), On the cardinality of  $A+A$  and  $A-A$ , *Coll. Math. Soc. Bolyai* **18**. Combinatorics, *Keszthely*.  
S. TURJÁNYI (1981), A note on basis sequences, *Coll. Math. Soc. Bolyai*, Number Theory, *Budapest*.

(Received November 28, 1983.)

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE OF THE  
HUNGARIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES  
BUDAPEST

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE OF THE  
"KOSSUTH LAJOS" UNIVERSITY, DEBRECEN